OIL PALM EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH (EFB) FIBER REINFORCED POLY(LACTIC) ACID COMPOSITES: EFFECTS OF FIBER TREATMENT AND IMPACT MODIFIER

AKINDOYO JOHN OLABODE

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

FEBRUARY, 2015.

ABSTRACT

The use of natural fibers as reinforcement in polymer composites has become necessary based on the several advantages of lignocellulosic fibers over their inorganic counterparts. However, limitations to the use of natural fiber in composites are the inherent reduced adhesion between the fiber and matrix, high moisture absorption and UV degradation owing to non-cellulosic components of natural fibers. In this research, composites were fabricated from oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber (EFB) and poly lactic acid (PLA) with different loading percent of 10-40 wt%. Mechanical testing revealed that 30 wt% of fiber content produced the highest mechanical properties and this was selected as the optimum fiber content based on treated EFB fiber that were fabricated. To enhance the compatibility of EFB with PLA, the fiber surface was treated by ultrasound in both water and alkali medium and optimization was done by response surface methodology (RSM), which selected 100 mins exposure time at 90°C in 2 wt% NaOH as the optimum treatment condition. Fibers were further treated with poly(dimethysiloxane) coupling agent to increase bonding of EFB with PLA. Effects of fiber treatment were investigated through mechanical, structural, morphological and thermal analysis. Characteristic strength analysis of fibers was also done by Weibull characteristic model. Fabrication of composites was done by extrusion followed by pelletizing after which test samples were prepared using injection moulding machine, and composite characterization was carried out. Furthermore, biostrong impact modifier was incorporated into the composites up to 2 wt% to improve the impact properties and it was found to increase the IS of PLA by 38%, but also led to reduction in other mechanical properties of EFB/PLA composites. Morphological analysis of composites fractured surface by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and functional groups analysis by Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) revealed improved adhesion of treated fibers with PLA. Structural analysis by X-ray diffraction (XRD), supported results from differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) analysis which showed that composites prepared with the combination of ultrasound alkali and silane treated fibers has the highest crystallinity index (CrI% = 75.44%). Thermogryimetric analysis (TGA) also showed that silane ultrasound and alkali treatment of EFB fibers increased the thermal stability of the composites by raising the peak decomposition temperature, with an increase of 43% in activation energy ($E_a = 56.52 \text{ kJ/mol}$). Natural degradation analysis also confirmed the reduced effect of environmental factors on silane and ultrasound treated fiber based composites compared to untreated fiber based composites. Besides that, water uptake analysis and contact angle measurements revealed the increased hydrophobicity of composites after silane treatment of EFB fibers, with about 106° contact angle value and less than 5% water uptake after 150 days soaking period. The highest mechanical properties were obtained from composites based on combined ultrasound, alkali and silane treated fibers.

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan gentian semula jadi sebagai komponen penguat dalam komposit polimer amat penting berasaskan kepada beberapa kelebihan gentian lignoselulosa berbanding bahagian bukan organik. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat kekangan kepada penggunaan serat semula jadi dalam komposit seperti kelemahan lekatan di antara gentian dan matriks, penyerapan kelembapan yang tinggi dan degradasi UV oleh komponen bukan selulos gentian semula jadi. Dalam kajian ini, komposit diperbuat dari gentian tandan kosong kelapa sawit (EFB) dan poli asid laktik (PLA) dengan berat di antara 10-40 %. Ujian mekanikal menunjukkan bahawa 30 % berat kandungan gentiant menghasilkan sifat mekanikal tertinggi dan dipilih sebagai kandungan gentian optimum berdasarkan komposit EFB terawat yang dihasilkan. Untuk meningkatkan keserasian di antara EFB dengan PLA, permukaan gentian telah dirawat dengan kaedah ultrasound dalam medium air dan sederhana alkali dengan pengoptimuman dilakukan melalui kaedah gerak balas permukaan (RSM), dengan 100 minit masa pendedahan pada 90°C di dalam 2% berat NaOH sebagai kaedah rawatan yang optimum. Gentian juga dirawat dengan ejen gandingan *poly(dimethysiloxane)* untuk meningkatkan ikatan di antara EFB dengan PLA. Kesan rawatan gentian diuji melalui analisis mekanikal, struktur, morfologi dan terma. Analisis kekuatan ciri gentian juga telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan Weibull model. Penghasilan komposit dengan gentian dilakukan melalui kaedah penyemperitan diikuti dengan *pelletizing* selepas sampel ujian dihasilkan dengan menggunakan mesin pengacuan suntikan, dan seterusnya pencirian komposit dilakukan. Seterusnya, 2% berat pengubahsuai impak (*biostrong*) dicampurkan ke dalam formulasi komposit dan didapati meningkatkan IS PLA IS PLA sebanyak 38%, namun juga mengurangkan sifat mekanikal yang lain dalam komposit EFB/PLA. Analisis kegagalan permukaan komposit dilakukan dengan menggunakan scanning electron microscopy (SEM) dan analisis kumpulan fungsi menggunakan Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) menunjukkan pelekatan yang lebih baik di antara gentian EFB terawat dengan PLA. Analisis struktur menggunakan X-ray diffraction (XRD), yang disokong dengan differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) analisis menunjukkan bahawa komposit yang dihasilkan dengan gabungan ultrasound gentian alkali dan dirawat *silane* mempunyai indeks penghabluran tertinggi (CRI% = 75.44%) Analisis thermogravimetrik (TGA) juga menunjukkan bahawa ultrasound silane dan rawatan alkali gentian EFB meningkat kestabilan terma komposit dengan menaikkan suhu penguraian puncak, dengan peningkatan sebanyak 43% dalam tenaga pengaktifan (Ea = 56.52 kJ / mol). Analisis degradasi alam juga mengesahkan pengurangan kesan alam sekitar terhadap komposit gentian terawat silane dan ultrasound berbanding dengan yang lain. Di samping itu, analisis pengambilan air dan pengukuran sudut menunjukkan hydrophobicity komposit meningkat selepas rawatan silane gentian EFB, dengan nilai sudut 106[°] dan kurang dari 5% penyerapan air selepas 150 hari tempoh rendaman. Sifatsifat mekanikal yang paling tinggi diberikan oleh komposit dengan gabungan ultrasound alkali dan silane yang digunakan untuk merawat gentian.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

SUPI	ERVISOR'S DECLARATION	ii
STU	DENT'S DECLARATION	iii
DED	ICATION	iv
ACK	NOWLEDGEMNTS	v
ABS	ГКАСТ	vi
TRA	NSLATION OF ABSTRACT	vii
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST	'S OF TABLES	xv
LIST	OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST	OF SYMBOLS	xxiii
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	XXV
СНА	PTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT	5
1.3	OBJECTIVES	6
1.4	SCOPE OF STUDY	6
1.5	SIGNIFICANCE OF RESEARCH	7

2.1	INTR	ODUCTION	9
2.2	NATU	JRAL FIBERS	9
	2.2.1	Definition of Fiber	9
	2.2.2	General Characteristic Feature of Natural Fibers	10
	2.2.3	Classification of Natural Fibers	11
	2.2.4	Chemical Constituents of Natural Fibers	12
	2.2.5	Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber (EFB)	18
	2.2.6	Morphology and properties of EFB.	20
2.3	POLY	MER COMPOSITES	24
	2.3.1	Polymer	24
	2.3.2	Polymer Composite	26
	2.3.3	Composite Constituents	26
2.4.	POLY	LACTIC ACID	28
2.5	NATU	JRAL FIBER REINFORCED COMPOSITE	31
	2.5.1	Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites	32
	2.5.2	Challenges to Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites	34
2.6	FIBE	R SURFACE TREATMENT	34
2.7	CONV	/ENTIONAL FIBER SURFACE TREATMENT METHODS	37
	2.7.1	Physical Method	37
	2.7.2	Chemical Method	37
2.8	ULTR POLY	ASOUND TREATED NATURAL FIBER REINFORCED MER COMPOSITE	49
	2.8.1	Why Preference to Ultrasound Treatment of Natural Fibers	49
	2.8.2	Ultrasound Treated Natural Fibers	50

	2.8.3	Effects of Ultrasound Treatment on Natural Fibers Reinforced Polymer Composites	51
2.9	OIL P REIN	PALM EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH (EFB) FIBER FORCED COMPOSITES	55
	2.9.1	Mechanical Properties	55
	2.9.2	Thermal Properties	58
2.10	SHOF	RT FIBER REINFORCED THERMOPLASTICS COMPOSITES	59
	2.10.1	Processing	59
	2.10.2	2 Compounding	60
	2.10.3	Final Shape	60
	2.10.4	Performance	62
2.11	SUM	MARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW	64

CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

3.1	INTR	ODUCTION	65
3.2	MATI	ERIALS	67
	3.2.1	Polymer Matrix	67
	3.2.2	Reinforcing Fiber	67
	3.2.3	Chemicals	67
	3.2.4	Impact Modifier	67
	3.2.5	Coupling Agent	68
3.3	METH	IODS	68
	3.3.1	Fiber Processing	68
	3.3.2	Fiber Treatment	68
	3.3.3	Optimization of Fiber Treatment Parameters Using Response Surface Method (RSM)	69

	3.3.4	Mechanical Testing and Scaling for Single Fiber Strength	70
		3.3.4.1 Single fiber tensile testing3.3.4.2 Weibull statistical model	70 71
	3.3.5	Lignin Content Determination	73
	3.3.6	Interfacial Shear Strength Analysis	73
3.4	FABF	RICATION OF COMPOSITES	74
	3.4.1	Mixing	75
	3.4.2	Extrusion	76
	3.4.3	Pelletizing	78
	3.4.4	Injection moulding	78
3.5	FIBEI	R AND COMPOSITES CHARACTERIZATION	78
	3.5.1	Contact Angle Measurement	78
	3.5.2	Fourier Transforms Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	80
	3.5.3	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	80
	3.5.4	Density Measurement	80
	3.5.5	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	80
	3.5.6	Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	81
	3.5.7	X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis	82
	3.5.8	Mechanical Properties of Composites	83
		3.5.8.1 Tensile testing of composites3.5.8.2 Charpy impact testing of composites3.5.8.3 Flexural testing of composites	83 84 84
3.6	WAT	ER UPTAKE ANALYSIS	85
3.7	SOIL	BURIAL ANALYSIS	86

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	CHAR TREA	ACTERIZATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF EFB FIBER TMENT	87
	4.1.1	Single EFB Fiber Tensile Strength and Lignin Content Determination	87
	4.1.2	Fiber Treatment Optimization Using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	88
	4.1.3	Effect of Fiber Length on Tensile Strength of Treated EFB Fiber	98
	4.1.4	Weibull Characteristic Model for Determination of EFB Fiber Characteristic Strength.	99
	4.1.5	Interfacial Shear Strength of EFB/PLA Pull-out Samples	101
	4.1.6	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis of EFB fiber	102
		4.1.6.1 Effect of ultrasound and alkaline treatment on surface	102
		4.1.6.2 Effect of silane treatment on surface morphology of treated EFB fiber	103
	4.1.7	Contact Angle Analysis of EFB Fiber	105
	4.1.8	Fourier Transforms Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) Analysis of EFB fiber	106
	4.1.9	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of EFB Fiber	108
	4.1.10	Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of EFB fiber.	110
	4.1.11	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis of EFB Fiber	112
	4.1.12	Density of EFB Fiber	114
4.2	EFFE ON PI	CT OF FIBER CONTENT AND FIBER TREATMENT ROPERTIES OF EFB/PLA COMPOSITES	117
	4.2.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis of EFB/PLA Composites	117
	4.2.2	Effect of Fiber Content and Fiber Treatment on Mechanical Properties of EFB/PLA Composites	118

	4.2.3	Effect of fiber content and fiber treatment on DSC of EFB/PLA composites	126
	4.2.4	Effect of ultrasound and alkaline treatment on XRD of EFB/PLA composites	129
	4.2.5	Effect of Fiber Content and Fiber Treatment on Density of EFB/PLA Composites	131
	4.2.6	Effect of Fiber Content and Fiber Treatment on Contact Angle of EFB/PLA Composites	134
4.3	EFFEC EFB/P	CT OF IMPACT MODIFIER ON PROPERTIES OF LA COMPOSITES	137
	4.3.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis of Impact Modified EFB/PLA Composites	137
	4.3.2	Effect of Impact Modifier Content on Mechanical Properties of PLA and EFB/PLA Composites	138
	4.3.3	DSC Analysis of Impact Modified EFB/PLA Composites	141
	4.3.4	Effect of Impact Modifier Content on Density of EFB/PLA Composites	143
4.4	EFFEC EFB/P	CT OF SILANE TREATMENT ON PROPERTIES OF LA COMPOSITES	145
	4.4.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis of silane treated EFB/PLA Composites	145
	4.4.2	Fourier Transforms Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) of EFB/PLA composites	146
	4.4.3	Mechanical Properties of Silane Treated and Impact Modified EFB/PLA Composites	148
	4.4.4	Thermogravimetic Analysis (TGA) of EFB/PLA Composites	152
	4.4.5	Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) of EFB/PLA Composites	154
	4.4.6	XRD Analysis of EFB/PLA Composites	156
	4.4.7	Density of Silane Treated and Impact Modified EFB/PLA Composite	158

	4.4.8	Contact Angle of Silane Treated and Impact Modified EFB/PLA Composites	160
4.5	NATU COMF	IRAL DEGRADATION BEHAVIOUR OF EFB/PLA POSITES	162
	4.5.1	Water Uptake Analysis of EFB/PLA Composites	162
		4.5.1.1 Effect of fiber content on water uptake of untreated EFB/PLA composites	162
		4.5.1.2 Effect of fiber content and water uptake on mechanical strength of untreated EFB/PLA composites	163
		4.5.1.3 Effect of ultrasound and alkali treatment on water uptake of treated EFB/PLA composites	165
		4.5.1.4 Effect of impact modifier and silane treatment on water uptake of treated EFB/PLA composites	166
		4.5.1.5 Effect of moisture content on mechanical strength of treated EFB/PLA composites	167
		4.5.1.6 FTIR analysis of EFB/PLA composites after water uptake analysis	169
	4.5.2	Soil Burial Analysis of EFB/PLA Composites	171
		4.5.2.1 SEM analysis of buried EFB/PLA composite4.5.2.2 Effect of fiber content on natural degradation of buried EFB/PLA composites	171 171
		4.5.2.3 Effect of fiber treatment on natural degradation of buried EFB/PLA composites	173
		4.5.2.4 FTIR analysis of EFB/PLA composites after soil burial analysis	176

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	CONCLUSION	178
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	179
REFERENCES		180
APPE	APPENDICES	
LIST	OF PUBLICATIONS	222

LIST OF TABLES

Table	No. Title	Page
2.1	Physico-mechanical properties of some natural fibers	10
2.2	Chemical composition of selected natural fibers	14
2.3	Chemical composition of EFB	21
2.4	Tensile properties of single EFB fiber and some other natural fibers	22
2.5	Effect of surface treatments on properties of EFB fiber	23
3.1	List of chemicals	67
3.2	Table for coded level of independent variables used in experimental design	n 70
3.3	Experimental design for factor combination for ultrasound treatment of EFB fiber	70
3.4	Mixing formulation for fabrication of untreated EFB/PLA composites	75
3.5	Mixing formulation for fabrication of impact modified optimized alkali medium treated EFB/PLA composites at 30 wt% fiber content	76
3.6	Mixing formulation for fabrication of silane treated optimized ultrasound alkali treated EFB/PLA composites with and without impact modifier.	76
3.7	Temperature parameter for extrusion of EFB/PLA	77
3.8	Temperature parameter for injection moulding of EFB/PLA specimen samples.	78
4.1	Summary of tensile strength and lignin content responses obtained from various treatment conditions	88
4.2	Summary of design parameters for factors (Exposure time, Ultrasound temperature and NaOH concentration)	89
4.3	Summary of design parameters for Responses (Tensile strength and lignin content)	89
4.4	Combinations for evaluation of factors intercept	89
4.5	Numerical optimization Solutions showing the selected points	90

4.6	Tensile strength ANOVA of selected factorial model for EFB fibers	92
4.7	Lignin content ANOVA of selected factorial model for EFB fibers	95
4.8	Effect of ultrasound temperature on the characteristic strength of treated EFB fiber at constant length, exposure time and alkali concentration	101
4.9	Effect of ultrasound temperature on the interfacial shear strength of EFB/PLA pull out samples.	102
4.10	Contact angle values for untreated and treated EFB fibers	105
4.11	Summary of FTIR spectra for untreated and treated EFB fibers	107
4.12	Effect of treatment on thermal stability of untreated and treated EFB fibers	110
4.13	Effect of treatment on DTG and activation energies of untreated and treated EFB fibers	112
4.14	XRD parameters of treated and untreated EFB fibers	114
4.15	Density of untreated and treated EFB fibers	115
4.16	Effect of EFB fiber content on T_g , T_c , T_m , ΔH and I_{DSC} (%) of EFB/PLA composites.	128
4.17	XRD parameters of pure PLA, ORFPC and OUFPC	130
4.18	Density of PLA and raw EFB/PLA composites	131
4.19	Density of untreated and treated EFB/PLA composites	133
4.20	Contact angle values for untreated EFB/PLA composite at different fiber content	134
4.21	Contact angle values for PLA, ORFPC, WUFPC and OUFPC	136
4.22	Summary of DSC thermograms of PLA, ORFPC, OUFPC and IMFPC	142
4.23	Density of PLA and impact modified EFB/PLA composites	144
4.24	Summary of FTIR spectra for PLA and EFB/PLA composites	147
4.25	Decomposition temperatures of PLA and EFB/PLA composites	153
4.26	Decomposition temperatures and activation energies of PLA and	156

4.27	XRD parameters for impact modified and silane treated EFB/PLA composites.	158
4.28	Density of silane treated and impact modified EFB/PLA composites	159
4.29	Contact angle values for OUFPC, IMFPC, OUSFPC and SIMFPC	160
4.30	Summary of FTIR spectra for EFB/PLA composite before and after water uptake analysis	169
4.31	Summary of FTIR spectra for EFB/PLA composite before and after soil burial analysis	176

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	No. Title	Page
2.1	Classification of natural fibers	11
2.2	Structure of a single fiber cell	13
2.3	Structure of cellulose	15
2.4	Structure of hemicelluloses	16
2.5	Phenolic monomers: (a) p-coumaryl alcohol (H structure), (b) coniferyl alcohol (G structure), (c) sinapyl alcohol (S structure).	16
2.6	Schematic representation of wheat straw lignin	17
2.7	Pile up of EFB in palm oil industry	19
2.8	Synthesis routes for high molecular weight PLA	29
2.9	Classification of composites	33
2.10	General ultrasound treatment effect on natural fibers	54
3.1	Experimental flow of research methodology showing (i) fiber treatment and characterization and (ii) composite fabrication and characterization	66
4.1	Model graph showing predicted point for desirability	91
4.2	3D surface for desirability results from model graph: effect of time and temperature at 2% NaOH concentration	91
4.3	Model graph showing predicted point for EFB single fiber tensile strength (TS)	93
4.4	3D surface for single fiber tensile strength of EFB fibers: effect of time temperature at 2% NaOH concentration	94
4.5	Diagnostics of predicted versus actual plot of tensile strength (TS) of EFB fibers	94
4.6	Model graph showing predicted point for EFB fiber residual lignin content	96
4.7	3D surface for lignin content of EFB fibers from model graph: effect of time and temperature	97

4.8	Diagnostics of predicted versus actual plot of lignin content of EFB fibers.	97
4.9	Effect of fiber length on Single fiber tensile strength of treated EFB	99
4.10	Effect of ultrasound temperature on the characteristic strength of treated fiber at constant length (40 mm), exposure time (100 min) and alkali concentration (2 wt%).	100
4.11	SEM images of (a) RAW and (b) ultrasound alkali treated (ULSALK) EFB fibers	103
4.12	SEM images of ultrasound and alkaline treated EFB fiber (a) before and (b) after silane treatment.	104
4.13	Contact angle of untreated and treated EFB fibers	106
4.14	FTIR spectra for untreated and treated EFB fibers	108
4.15	TGA curves for RAW, ULSALK and ULSALKSIL EFB fibers	109
4.16	DTG curves for RAW, ULSALK and ULSALKSIL EFB fibers	111
4.17	ln[ln(1/y)] vs 1/T (K) for ULSALK EFB fiber	111
4.18	XRD diffractograms for RAW, ULSALK and ULSALKSIL EFB fibers	113
4.19	Density of untreated and treated EFB fibers	116
4.20	SEM images of tensile fractured surface of (i) untreated and (ii) ultrasound alkaline treated EFB/PLA composites.	118
4.21	Variation of tensile strength and tensile modulus with fiber content of raw EFB/PLA composites.	119
4.22	Variation of flexural strength and flexural modulus with fiber content of raw EFB/PLA composites.	120
4.23	Elongation at break of PLA and raw EFB/PLA composites	121
4.24	Effect of fiber content on impact strength of PLA and raw EFB/PLA composites.	122
4.25	Effect of combined Ultrasound and alkali treatment on tensile strength and tensile modulus of PLA and EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	124

4.26	Effect of combined Ultrasound and alkali treatment on flexural strength and flexural modulus of PLA and EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	124
4.27	Effect of combined Ultrasound and alkali treatment on elongation at break of PLA and EFB/PLA composites at 30 wt% EFB fiber content.	125
4.28	Effect of combined Ultrasound and alkali treatment on impact strength of PLA and EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	126
4.29	DSC thermograms of EFB/PLA composites with different fiber content.	127
4.30	XRD diffractograms of pure PLA, ORFPC and OUFPC	130
4.31	Variation of density with fiber content of untreated EFB/PLA composites	132
4.32	Effect of combined ultrasound and alkali treatment on density of EFB/PLA composites 30% EFB fiber content.	133
4.33	Variation of contact angle with fiber content of EFB/PLA composites	135
4.34	Effect of combined ultrasound and alkali treatment on contact angle of EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	136
4.35	SEM images of tensile fractured surface of (i) impact modified ultrasound alkali treated and (ii) impact modified ultrasound alkali and silane treated EFB/PLA composites.	137
4.36	Effect of Impact modifier content on tensile strength and tensile modulus of PLA and concurrent ultrasound and alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	139
4.37	Effect of Impact modifier content on flexural strength and flexural modulus of PLA and concurrent ultrasound and alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	139
4.38	Effect of Impact modifier content on impact strength of PLA and concurrent ultrasound and alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	140
4.39	Effect of impact modifier content on elongation at break of PLA and concurrent ultrasound and alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	141
4.40	DSC thermograms of PLA, ORFPC, OUFPC and IMFPC	142

4.41	Effect of impact modifier content on density of concurrent ultrasound and alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	
4.42	SEM images of tensile fractured surface of (i) ultrasound alkali treated and (ii) ultrasound alkali and silane treated EFB/PLA composites	146
4.43	FTIR spectra for PLA and EFB/PLA composites.	148
4.44	Effect of silane and impact modifier on tensile strength and tensile modulus of optimized ultrasound alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	149
4.45	Effect of silane and impact modifier on flexural strength and flexural modulus of optimized ultrasound alkali treated EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	150
4.46	Effect of Silane and impact modifier on impact strength of optimized	151
4.47	TGA curves for EFB/PLA composites	152
4.48	DTG curves for PLA and EFB/PLA composites	154
4.49	Plot of $\ln[\ln(1/y)]$ for PLA decomposition	155
4.50	XRD diffractograms for OUFPC, IMFPC, OUSFPC and SIMFPC	157
4.51	Effect of Silane and impact modifier on density of EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	159
4.52	Effect of Silane and impact modifier on contact angle of EFB/PLA composites at 30% EFB fiber content.	161
4.53	Variation of moisture content with soaking period for untreated	163
4.54	TS of untreated EFB/PLA composite before and after water uptake analysis.	164
4.55	TM of untreated EFB/PLA composite before and after water uptake analysis.	164
4.56	Variation of moisture content with soaking period for PLA and ultrasound treated EFB/PLA composites at 30 wt% fiber content.	165
4.57	Water absorption of OUFPC, IMFPC, OUSFPC and SIMFPC at 30 wt% EFB fiber content	166
4.58	Comparison of TS of EFB/PLA composites at 30 wt% EFB fiber content, after water uptake analysis.	168

4.59	Comparison of TM of EFB/PLA composites at 30 wt% EFB fiber content, after water uptake analysis.	168
4.60	FTIR spectra for OUFPC before and after water uptake analysis	170
4.61	SEM image of degraded surface of untreated EFB/PLA composite	171
4.62	Effect of fiber content on natural degradation of untreated EFB/PLA composites	172
4.63	TS of untreated EFB/PLA composites with different fiber content before and after soil burial analysis.	172
4.64	TM of untreated EFB/PLA composites with different fiber content before and after soil burial analysis	173
4.65	Effect of fiber treatment on natural degradation of EFB/PLA composites	174
4.66	Comparison of TS of EFB/PLA composite at 30 wt% for different treatment parameter before and after soil burial analysis.	175
4.67	Comparison of TM of EFB/PLA composite at 30 wt% for different treatment parameter before and after soil burial analysis.	175
4.68	FTIR spectra of EFB/PLA composite before and after soil burial analysis	177

LIST OF SYMBOLS

β	Heating Rate (°C/min)
Tg	Glass Transition Temperature (°C)
T _m	Melting Temperature (°C)
T _c	Crystallization Temperature (°C)
F_{max}	Maximum peak load (N)
σ_t	Tensile Strength (MPa)
$P_f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})_L$	Probability of failure at particular length
$\sigma_{ m o}$	Characteristic strength (MPa)
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{u}}$	Lowest strength value (MPa)
τ	Interfacial shear strength (MPa)
μl	Micro liter
у	Fraction of non-volatilized material yet undecomposed
T_{max}	Temperature of maximum reaction rate (°C)
Ζ	Frequency factor
E_a	Activation energy (J mol ⁻¹)
R	Gas constant
I _{DSC}	Degree of crystallinity (%)
ΔH	Heat of fusion of sample
ΔH_m	Heat of fusion of 100% crystalline reference material
W	Mass fraction of matrix in composite
L_o	Initial measured length (m)
L	Length at breaking point (m)
E_{f}	Flexural modulus (MPa)
d	Interplaner spacing

- θ Bragg angle
- λ X-ray wavelength.
- β Full width at half maximum (FWHM).
- *K* Scherrer's constant

LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

AIR	Acid-insoluble residue
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ASTM	American Standard Testing Method
EB	Elongation at break
EFB	Empty fruit bunch
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
DTG	Differential thermo gravimetry
ENR	Epoxidixed natural rubber
FM	Flexural modulus
FS	Flexural strength
FTIR	Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy
FWHM	Full width at half maximum
GPa	Giga pascals
HDPE	High density polyethylene
HMDI	Hexamethylene diisocyanate
IFSS	Interfacial shear strength
IMFPC	Impact modified fiber reinforced PLA composite
IS	Impact strength
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
MAPP	Maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene
MPa	Mega pascals
NDE	Non-destructive evaluation
NDT	Non-destructive testing
NR	Natural rubber

ORFPC	Optimum raw fiber reinforced PLA composite
OUFPC	Optimized ultrasound treated fiber reinforced PLA composite
OUSFPC	Optimized ultrasound treatment silane treated fiber reinforced PLA composite
PBS	Poly butylene succinate
PDMS	Poly (dimethylsiloxane), chlorine terminated
PE	Polyethylene
PEKK	Polyetherketoneketone
PF	Phenol formaldehyde
PLA	Poly lactic acid
PMPPIC	Polymethylene (polyphenyl isocyanate)
PP	Polypropylene
PS	Polystyrene
PU	Polyurethane
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RSM	Response surface methodology
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SIMFPC	Silane treated impact modified fiber reinforced PLA composite
TAPPI	Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry
TDI	Toluene diisocynate
TGA	Thermo gravimetric analysis
THF	Tetrahydrofuran
TM	Tensile modulus
TPM	(Trimethoxysilyl)-propylmethacrylate
TS	Tensile strength

xxvii

ULSALK U	Ultrasound al	lkali treated
----------	---------------	---------------

ULSALKSIL Ultrasound alkali silane treated

- UTS Universal tensile strength
- UV Ultra-violet
- WUFPC Water medium ultrasound treated fiber reinforced PLA composite
- XRD X-ray diffraction

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The use of natural fibers in more diversified fields came alongside the emergence of polymers in the 19th century. This followed the success of the German Chemist Hermann Staudinger's ability to prove his earlier proposed hypothesis about polymers to be true. At the same time, researchers also developed interest in synthetic fibers due to their superior dimensional properties, making it to slowly replace the natural fibers in several applications. However, fabrications of synthetic fiber reinforced polymer composites required a lot of energy, as well as pollute the environment especially during production and recycling (Mohanty et al., 2005).

This again brought attention back to the natural fibers based on their distinct advantages (Mohanty et al., 2005; Wambua et al., 2003). The renewed interest therefore opened grounds for a large number of modifications to bring the natural fibers at par, and where possible superior to the synthetic fibers. These modifications therefore made natural fibers suitable for such applications as packaging, medicine, furniture and automatic parts (Rijswijk & Brouwer, 2002, Nickel et al., 2003; Netravali et al., 2003; Marsh, 2003; Wambua et al., 2003; Suddell and Evans, 2003; Schloesser, 2004; Mathur, 2006). Hydrophilic character of natural fibers however led to composites with weak interface but pre-treatments were sought, which aims at improving the adhesion between fibers and polymer matrices.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The use of natural fibers in more diversified fields came alongside the emergence of polymers in the 19th century. This followed the success of the German Chemist Hermann Staudinger's ability to prove his earlier proposed hypothesis about polymers to be true. At the same time, researchers also developed interest in synthetic fibers due to their superior dimensional properties, making it to slowly replace the natural fibers in several applications. However, fabrications of synthetic fiber reinforced polymer composites required a lot of energy, as well as pollute the environment especially during production and recycling (Mohanty et al., 2005).

This again brought attention back to the natural fibers based on their distinct advantages (Mohanty et al., 2005; Wambua et al., 2003). The renewed interest therefore opened grounds for a large number of modifications to bring the natural fibers at par, and where possible superior to the synthetic fibers. These modifications therefore made natural fibers suitable for such applications as packaging, medicine, furniture and automatic parts (Rijswijk & Brouwer, 2002, Nickel et al., 2003; Netravali et al., 2003; Marsh, 2003; Wambua et al., 2003; Suddell and Evans, 2003; Schloesser, 2004; Mathur, 2006). Hydrophilic character of natural fibers however led to composites with weak interface but pre-treatments were sought, which aims at improving the adhesion between fibers and polymer matrices.

In pre-treatments, either the cellulose hydroxyl groups of the fiber get activated or new moieties are added that can effectively interlock with the matrix (Khalid et al., 2008; Cabedo et al., 2006). Despite this necessity for surface treatment in order to obtain desirable results from natural fiber reinforced polymer composites, the unique attribute of natural fibers, such as being less abrasive to processing equipment as well as reduced respiratory tract related problem for worker, makes them highly esteemed (Bledzki et al., 1999; Mohanty et al., 2000; Kandachar, 2002; Mohanty et al., 2002; Evans et al., 2002; Sanadi, 2004, Maya and Thomas, 2008). Moreover, they are less expensive and also possesses good load bearing potential which contributes to its wide spread application in several sectors such as aircraft, construction, storage facilities and even in foot wears. In countries where improved sustainability is legislation due to environmental consciousness, there is high priority to the incorporation of lignocellulosic fibers in polymer composites especially in automotive applications (Bledzki et al., 2002; Evans et al., 2002). Among the various natural fibers; flax, bamboo, sisal, hemp, ramie, jute, oil palm and wood fibers are of particular interest.

Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis Jacq.*) is one of the oil crops in the world that produces the largest quantity of edible oil. Cultivation of oil palm has an extension of over 42 countries with an estimated value of about 11 million hectare on a worldwide basis (Khalil et al., 2008). Some countries which possess the largest areas for oil palm cultivation includes West African countries like Nigeria, South East Asian countries like Indonesia and Malaysia, Latin America countries and India (Joseph et al., 2006). The estimated amount of dry matter produced from an annual oil palm plantation is about 55 ton per hectare, in form of fibrous biomass alongside an equivalent 5.5 ton of oil (Hasamudin and Soom, 2002).

Apart from palm oil which is the main product from palm oil industries, vast quantities of biomass from which fibers can be obtained are also produced. The fibers can be gotten either from the palm frond, palm trunk, fruit mesocarp and especially oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB). Oil palm empty fruit bunch is the fibrous mass which remains after palm fruits had been separated from the fruit bunches. Oil palm empty fruit bunch had been said to possess a yielding capacity of up to 73% fibers; higher than other sources in the oil palm industry (Wirjosentono et al., 2004). This led to the

preference of EFB both in terms of availability as well as cost (Rozman et al., 2000). Oil palm empty fruit bunch is a hard and tough fiber which is in many ways similar to coir fibers (Ibrahim et al., 2005). Surface of EFB fibers has many pores which offer it good interlocking properties with polymer matrix during composite fabrication. However the presence of porous surface morphology could lead to high water absorption by the action of capillary whenever it is exposed to water (Hill and Khalil 2000). Analysis of EFB would reveal some granules of starch on the interior of the vascular bundle (Law et al., 2007).

Report from several authors had shown that oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber, among several other natural fibers had been used to reinforce polymers in different applications and at varying degrees. A notable example is the incorporation of natural fibers into thermoplastics like PLA, wherein the issue of fiber matrix interaction is always contentious from one researcher to another (Bax & Müssig, 2008; Bledzki, et al., 2009; Huda et al., 2006; Huda et al., 2008; Huda et al., 2005; Mathew et al., 2003; Petersson et al., 2007; Petinakis et al., 2009; Plackett et al., 2003; Suryanegara et al., 2009; Sykacek et al., 2009; Van de Velde & Kiekens, 2002). However, most of these researches show that there is apparently poor adhesion between the fiber and the PLA matrix interface, hence the need for further modifications to improve the surface interaction of EFB fibers and PLA matrix. The essence of surface modification is to make the hydrophilic fiber become more susceptible to the hydrophobic polymer matrix through surface treatment of the fiber. This is to enhance fiber matrix interaction which is a major perquisite for composites in which mechanical, tensile, abrasive and other desirable properties are priorities. There is this possibility through surface treatment, in which case the hydroxyl groups get activated or through the addition of new moieties that can interlock effectively with the polymer matrix.

Several modifications have been made on fiber surface in times past, and their effect noted. These studies have been undertaken to modify the performance of natural fibers in varying degrees. Different surface treatment methods such as alkali treatment (Chang et al., 2009), isocyanate treatment (Maiti et al., 2004,) acrylation (Huda et al., 2008), benzoylation (Mohanty et al., 2001), latex coating (Sreekala, 2000), permanganate treatment (Joseph, 2000), acetylation (Larsson-Brelid et al., 2008), silane

CHAPTER 3

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

3.1 INTRODUCTION

In this research, composites were from oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber (EFB) and poly (lactic) acid (PLA) matrix. The selection of materials and experimental procedures were selected based on literature review as discussed in Chapter 2. Modifications were made to the surface of oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) through ultrasound treatment in both water and alkali medium. Composites were prepared from EFB fiber and PLA matrix. To further enhance the adhesion of fiber to the matrix, silane coupling agent; poly (dimethylsiloxane), chlorine terminated (PDMS) was used incorporated onto the fiber surface. Improvements were offered to make up for the brittleness of the poly (lactic) acid (PLA) matrix through the incorporation of impact modifier. Different characterization procedures were carried out in order to study the effect of surface treatment on performance of EFB fiber reinforced poly (lactic) acid composites, as well as the influence of impact modifier on the composite.

This chapter describes the various materials used for the research as well as the experimental procedures, the different treatment methods applied to the fiber surface, the composite fabrication steps, the characterization and standard testing methods such as ASTM, ISO, etc. as well as little details on the equipment and machines implored for the various testing. The flow process of the experimental design is as represented in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Experimental flow of research methodology showing (i) fiber treatment and characterization and (ii) composite fabrication and characterization

3.2 MATERIALS

3.2.1 Polymer Matrix

The polymer matrix used for this research is thermoplastic poly (lactic) acid resin. It is a Poly lactic acid of Natureworks IngeoTM Biopolymer 3051D grades supplied by Unic Technology Ltd, China. It has a density of 1.24 g/cm^3 , melt flow index of 30-40 g / 10 min. (190°C/2.16kg) and a melting temperature of 160-170°C.

3.2.2 Reinforcing Fiber

The fiber used is oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) fibers which were collected as waste materials from LKPP Corporation Sdn. Bhd., Kuantan, Malaysia.

3.2.3 Chemicals

The chemicals used for this research and the suppliers are listed in Table 3.1.

Chemical	Supplier	
Acetone	Merck	
Acetic acid	Sigma	
Sodium hydroxide (NaOH)	Merck	
Potassium Bromide (KBr)	Merck	
Tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid (H ₂ SO ₄)	Sigma	

Table 3.1: List of chemicals

3.2.4 Impact Modifier

The impact modifier used (Biomax[®] Strong 120) was collected for experimental purposes from Dupont, Switzerland. DupontTM Biomax[®] strong (biostrong) is an ethylene-epoxy based copolymer specially designed to be grafted on to modify PLA.