

OPTIMIZATION OF PID PARAMETERS FOR
HYDRAULIC POSITIONING SYSTEM UTILIZING
VARIABLE WEIGHT GREY-TAGUCHI AND
PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

NUR IFFAH BINTI MOHAMED AZMI

MASTER

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECLARATION OF THESIS AND COPYRIGHT

Author's full name : Nur Iffah binti Mohamed Azmi

Date of birth : 29 April 1987

Title : Optimization of PID Parameters for Hydraulic Positioning System utilizing Particle Swarm Optimization

Academic Session : Semester 2 2013/2014

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

CONFIDENTIAL

(Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*

RESTRICTED

(Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*

OPEN ACCESS

I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (Full text)

I acknowledge that University Malaysia Pahang reserves the right as follows::

1. The Thesis is the Property of University Malaysia Pahang
2. The Library of University Malaysia Pahang has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Certified By:

(Student's Signature)

870429-06-6010

New IC / Passport Number
Date:

(Signature of Supervisor)

PROF. DR WAN AZHAR BIN
WAN YUSOFF

Name of Supervisor
Date:

OPTIMIZATION OF PID PARAMETERS FOR HYDRAULIC POSITIONING
SYSTEM UTILIZING VARIABLE WEIGHT GREY-TAGUCHI AND PARTICLE
SWARM OPTIMIZATION

NUR IFFAH BINTI MOHAMED AZMI

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
For the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering in Manufacturing

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

2014

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality of the award of the degree of Master of Engineering in Manufacturing.

Signature

Name of Supervisor: PROF. DR. WAN AZHAR WAN YUSOFF

Position: PROFESOR

Date:

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of another degree.

Signature :

Name : NUR IFFAH BINTI MOHAMED AZMI

ID Number : MMF11004

Date :

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION		ii
STUDENT'S DECLARATION		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		iv
ABSTRACT		vi
ABSTRAK		vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS		viii
LIST OF TABLES		xi
LIST OF FIGURES		xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS		xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Research Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Objective	3
1.4	Research Scope	3
1.5	Research Approach	4
1.6	Thesis Organization	4
CHAPTER 2 PROPORTIONAL-INTEGRAL-DERIVATIVE CONTROLLER AND PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION REVIEW		
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Hydraulic System	6
2.3	Servo Control of Hydraulic System	13
2.4	Classical Feedback Control	15
	2.4.1 Proportional (P) Controller	15
	2.4.2 Proportional-Integral (PI) Controller	17
	2.4.3 Proportional-Derivative (PD) Controller	18
	2.4.4 Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) Controller	20
2.5	PID Controller Tuning Method	22

2.5.1	PID Controller Tuning Rules	23
2.5.2	Tuning Rules Based on Minimizing Performance Criteria	24
2.5.3	Techniques of Optimizing PID Controller Parameters	27
2.6	Parameters Optimization Techniques	29
2.6.1	Conventional PID Controller Tuning Approach	29
2.6.2	Alternative PID Controller Tuning Approach	33
2.7	Swarm Intelligence	34
2.7.1	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	36
2.7.2	PSO Algorithm	37
2.7.3	Parameters of PSO Algorithm	40
2.8	Parameters and Tuning of Parameters in PSO	43
2.9	Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi Method	45
2.10	Summary	48

CHAPTER 3 THE PROPOSED PSO-PID AND THE VARIABLE WEIGHT GREY-TAGUCHI TUNING ALGORITHM

3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	PSO-PID Tuning Algorithm Developed by Gaing (2004)	49
3.3	The Proposed PSO-PID Tuning Algorithm with the Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi Method	56
3.4	The Objectives and Physical Experiments Setup	64
3.5	Computer Simulation and Physical Experiments on the Hydraulic Positioning System	65
3.6	Parameters Optimization of PID Controller	70
3.7	Ziegler Nichols (Reaction-Curve) Method	72
3.8	Summary	73

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	74
4.1.1	Modelling Process	74
4.1.2	PID Parameter Optimization Process	83
4.2	Data Analysis	89
4.3	Comparison of Performance Response	99

4.4	Summary	104
-----	---------	-----

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	105
5.2	Research Summary and Conclusions	105
5.3	Recommendations for Future Works	106

REFERENCE

APPENDIX

A	PSO Algorithm MATLAB Program	114
B	Variable Weight Grey Taguchi Method Program	118

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
2.1	Characteristics difference between servo valve and proportional valve	8
2.2	PID parameter values based on Ziegler-Nichols reaction-curve method	32
3.1	L_{27} Orthogonal Array (OA) for four-factor and three-level	61
3.2	Control and response factors on L_9	62
3.3	Control electronics specifications	68
3.4	Electrical specifications	69
4.1	Speed for each input	77
4.2	PSO parameters and their respective levels	83
4.3	PSO parameters respective levels selection using standard L_{27} orthogonal	84
4.4	Performance response from PSO algorithm based on OA structures for 1 st set	87
4.5	Performance response from PSO algorithm based on OA structures for 2 nd set data	88
4.6	The normalized value, Grey relational coefficients (GRC) and Grey relational grade (GRG) for 10%, 1% and 0.1% of the variable dynamic range on each dimension using Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi Algorithm	90
4.7	PSO parameters from trial with highest GRG	92
4.8	Performance characteristics from trail with highest GRG	92
4.9	The average values of GRG for PSO parameters and their respective levels	92
4.10	PSO parameters from optimum PSO parameters	93
4.11	Performance characteristics from optimum PSO parameters	94
4.12	The normalized value, Grey relational coefficients (GRC) and Grey relational grade (GRG) for 20%, 2% and 0.2% of the variable dynamic range on each dimension using Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi Algorithm	95
4.13	PSO parameters from trial with highest GRG	97

4.14	Performance characteristics from trail with highest GRG	97
4.15	The average values of GRG for PSO parameters and their respective levels	97
4.16	PSO parameters from optimum PSO parameters	98
4.17	Performance characteristics of the optimum PSO parameters	99
4.18	Comparison of performance response between 1 st data set and 2 nd set data	99
4.19	PID Parameters values	101
4.20	Comparison efficiency performance response of step response	101
4.21	Performance response for different set point of step response	104

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.1	Proportional valve	9
2.2	Proportional solenoid	10
2.3	Basic proportional valve configuration	11
2.4	Hydraulic circuit diagram of a hydraulic system using proportional valves	12
2.5	Components in an electro-hydraulic servomechanism	14
2.6	P controller block diagram	16
2.7	PI controller block diagram	18
2.8	Proportional-derivative (PD) controller block diagram	20
2.9	Basic PID controller block diagram	22
2.10	Second-order step response plot together with the control performance criteria	25
2.11	The reaction-curve method for a block diagram of test setup	30
2.12	Step response of the second-order system process	31
2.13	Artificial intelligence paradigms	34
2.14	A scheme of PSO algorithm	38
2.15	Social Network Topology PSO	39
3.1	PSO-PID tuning algorithm flowchart	55
3.2	The proposed PSO-PID tuning algorithm flow chart	57
3.3	National instruments platform (NI ELVIS II)	66
3.4	Experiment Block Diagram	67
3.5	Front panel of LabVIEW	68
3.6	Physical experiment setup of hydraulic positioning control	69
3.7	Amplifier circuit	70

3.8	Process flow for overall optimization procedure	71
3.9	Ziegler-Nichols' open loop method: The equivalent dead-time L and rate R read off from the process step response.	72
4.1	Block diagram of open-loop system	75
4.2	Comparison of time response with different input voltage	76
4.3	Graph speed vs input voltage	78
4.4	Simulation results of open-loop system model; (a) 4V input (b) 5V input (c) 6V input (d) 7V input (e) 8V input and (f) 9V input.	80
4.5	Closed-loop MATLAB Simulink model	81
4.6	Comparison simulation and experiment results of closed-loop system model	82
4.7	Graph of GRG from Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi algorithm	91
4.8	Graph of average GRG by Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi for 1 st data set	93
4.9	Graph of GRG from Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi algorithm	96
4.10	Graph of average GRG by Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi for 2 nd data set	98
4.11	Step response of the hydraulic positioning system	100
4.12	Step response of the physical experiment for the hydraulic positioning system PID position control – 10 cm set point	102
4.13	Step response of the physical experiment for the hydraulic positioning system PID position control – 9 cm set point	102
4.14	Step response of the physical experiment for the hydraulic positioning system PID position control – 8 cm set point	103
4.15	Step response of the physical experiment for the hydraulic positioning system PID position control – 7 cm set point	103

LIST OF SYMBOLS

\bar{x}	Square of mean
β	Weight distribution factor
ΔCV	Percent step change of control variable
ΔPV	Change in the process variable
ζ	Damping ratio
η	S/N value
ξ_c	Distinguishing coefficient
σ^2	Variance
ω	Inertia weight factor
ω_d	Damped natural frequency
ω_{\max}	Maximum inertia weight
ω_{\min}	Minimum inertia weight
ω_n	Natural frequency
c_{final}	The steady-state condition
$C(s)$	Transfer function of the controller
e	Error
E_{ss}	Steady-state error
$E(s)$	Laplace transform of the error signal
$e(t)$	Error signal at time t
$iter$	Current number of iterations

$iter_{\max}$	Maximum number of iterations
K	$[K_p, K_i, K_d]$
K_d	Derivative gain constant
K_i	Integral gain constant
K_p	Proportional gain constant
L	Lag time
M_p	Maximum overshoot
n	Number of particles in a group
N	Slope of the system response curve
N_f	Number of factor
$r(t)$	Desired output signal
s	Laplace domain
t	Time
T_p	Peak time
T_r	Rise time
T_s	Settling time
$U(s)$	Laplace transform of the corresponding control input
$u(t)$	Control signal at time t
V_{\max}	Limit of change in particle velocity
$W(K)$	Performance criterion
$y(t)$	Measured output signal

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACO	Ant Colony Optimization
AI	Artificial Intelligence
AVR	Automatic Voltage Regulator
CLF	Control Lyapunov Function
DC	Direct Current
DOE	Design of Experiment
DOF	Degree of Freedom
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GASA	Genetic Algorithm Simulated Annealing
GRA	Grey Relational Analysis
GRC	Grey Relational Coefficient
GRG	Grey Relational Grade
IAE	Integral of Absolute Error
IMC	Internal Model Control
ISE	Integral Square Error
ITAE	Integral Time of Absolute Error
LQR	Linear Quadratic Regulator
MSE	Mean Square Error
OA	Orthogonal Array
P	Proportional
PD	Proportional-Derivative
PI	Proportional-Integral
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivative

PSO Particle Swarm Optimization

SI Swarm Intelligence

ABSTRACT

Controller that uses PID parameters requires a good tuning method in order to improve the control system performance. Especially on hydraulic positioning system that is highly nonlinear and difficult to be controlled whereby PID parameters needs to be tuned to obtain optimum performance criteria. Tuning PID control method is divided into two namely the classical methods and the methods of artificial intelligence. Particle swarm optimization algorithm (PSO) is one of the artificial intelligence methods. Previously, researchers had integrated PSO algorithms in the PID parameter tuning process. This research aims to improve the PSO-PID tuning algorithms by integrating the tuning process with the Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi Design of Experiment (DOE) method. This is done by conducting the DOE on the two PSO optimizing parameters: the limit of change in particle velocity and the weight distribution factor. Computer simulations and physical experiments were conducted by using the proposed PSO-PID with the Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi DOE and the classical Ziegler-Nichols methods. They are implemented on the hydraulic positioning system. Simulation results show that the proposed PSO-PID with the Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi DOE has reduced the rise time by 48.13% and settling time by 48.57% compared to the Ziegler-Nichols method. Physical experiment results also show that the proposed PSO-PID with the Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi DOE tuning responds better than Ziegler-Nichols tuning. In conclusion, this research has improved the PSO-PID parameter by applying the PSO-PID algorithm together with the Variable Weight Grey-Taguchi DOE method as a good tuning method in the hydraulic positioning system.

ABSTRAK

Pengawal yang menggunakan parameter PID memerlukan kaedah penalaan yang baik untuk meningkatkan prestasi sistem kawalan. Terutamanya pada sistem kedudukan hidraulik yang tidak linear dan sukar untuk dikawal, di mana parameter PID perlu ditala untuk mendapatkan kriteria prestasi optimum. Kaedah penalaan kawalan PID dibahagikan kepada dua kaedah iaitu kaedah klasik dan kaedah kecerdasan buatan. Algoritma Pengoptimuman Kawanan Partikel (PSO) adalah salah satu kaedah kecerdasan buatan. Sebelum ini, penyelidik telah menyatupadukan algoritma PSO dengan parameter PID untuk tujuan proses penalaan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk meningkatkan algoritma penalaan PSO-PID dengan mengintegrasikan proses penalaan dengan kaedah Rekabentuk Eksperimen (DOE) Pembolehubah Berat Grey-Taguchi. Ini dilakukan dengan melaksanakan DOE pada dua PSO optimum parameter: iaitu had halaju partikel dan faktor pengagihan berat. Simulasi komputer dan eksperimen fizikal telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan cadangan PSO-PID bersama DOE Pembolehubah Berat Grey-Taguchi dan kaedah klasik Ziegler-Nichols. Ia dilaksanakan pada sistem kedudukan hidraulik. Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan bahawa PSO-PID yang dicadangkan dengan DOE Pembolehubah Berat Grey-Taguchi telah mengurangkan masa naik sebanyak 48.13% dan 48.57% oleh masa penetapan berbanding dengan kaedah Ziegler-Nichols. Tambahan pula, ralat keadaan mantap juga diminimumkan. Keputusan eksperimen fizikal juga menunjukkan bahawa algoritma penalaan PSO-PID yang dicadangkan dengan DOE Pembolehubah Berat Grey-Taguchi bertindak balas dengan lebih baik daripada algoritma penalaan Ziegler-Nichols. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini telah menambah baik pengoptimuman parameter PSO-PID dengan menggunakan algoritma PSO-PID bersama kaedah DOE Pembolehubah Berat Grey-Taguchi sebagai kaedah penalaan yang baik dalam sistem kedudukan hidraulik.

REFERENCE

- Abo Absa, A.H. and Alhanjouri, M.A. 2012. PID parameters optimization using bacteria foraging algorithm and particle swarm optimization techniques for electrohydraulic servo control system. *Proceedings of the International Engineering Conference Islamic University of Gaza*, 1-15.
- Allaoua, B., Gasbaoui, B. and Mebarki, B. 2009. Setting up PID DC motor speed control alteration parameters using particle swarm optimization strategy. *Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies*. (14): 19-32.
- Amrita, M. and Jajimoggala, S. 2012. Design optimization by using particle swarm optimization in MATLAB and APDL in ANSYS. *International Journal of Engineering Science*. 4(05): 1876-1885.
- Andruch, J. P. III. 2010. *The development, modelling, and testing of a hydraulic topography with integrated energy recovery (TIER)*. M.S.A.B.E. Thesis. Purdue University.
- Ang, K.H., Chong, G. and Li, Y. 2005. PID control system analysis, design, and technology. *Proceedings of the IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 13(4): 559-576.
- Åström, K.J. and Hägglund, T. 2001. The future of PID control. *Control Engineering Practice*. 9(11): 1163-1175.
- Åström, K.J. and Hägglund, T. 2004. Revisiting the Ziegler–Nichols step response method for PID control. *Journal of Process Control*. 14: 635-650.
- Åström, K.J. and Hägglund, T. 2006. *Advanced PID Control*. North Carolina: ISA-Instrumentation, Systems, and Automation Society.
- Bauer, G. 1998. *Olhydraulik*. 7th ed. Teubner Studienskripten.
- Besch, B., 2012. Introduction to Electro-hydraulic Proportional and Servo Valves. Parker Hannifin Corporation: 1-96.
- Bonabeau, E., Dorigo, M. and Theraulaz, G. 1999. *Swarm intelligence from natural to artificial systems*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bonyan Tadbir Pars (BTP). 2012. Hydraulic: Proportional Valves (online). <http://www.iranfluidpower.com/pdf/All%20hydraulics/Proportional%20Valves.pdf> (16 January 2015)
- Cetinkunt, S. 2007. *Mechatronics*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

- Chang, W.-D., Hwang, R.-C. and Hsieh, J.-G. 2003. A multivariable on-line adaptive PID controller using auto-tuning neurons. *Proceedings of the Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. **16**: 57-63.
- Chen, D. and Zhang, G. 2011. PSO algorithm based PID parameters optimization of hydraulic screwdown system of cold strip mill. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference Fluid Power and Mechatronics*: 113-116.
- Cominos, P. and Munro, N. 2002. PID controllers : recent tuning methods and design to specification. *Proceedings of the IEEE Control Theory and Applications*, **149**(1): 46-53.
- Das, S., Chakraborty, A., Ray, J.K., Bhattacharjee, S. and Neogi, B. 2012. Study on different tuning approach with incorporation. *Proceedings of the International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, **2**(8): 1-5.
- de Castro, L.N. 2002. Immune, swarm, and evolutionary algorithms part i: basic models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Neural Information Proceeding*, **3**: 1464-1468.
- Demirci, M T., Samancı, A., Tarakçıoğlu, N. and Asiltürk, I. 2011. Optimization of Fatigue Life Parameters with Taguchi Method. *International Advanced Technologies Symposium*, **6**: 16-18.
- Eberhart, R.C. and Shi, Y. 2000. Comparing inertia weights and constriction factors in particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the Congress on Evolutionary Computation*: 84-88.
- Eberhart, R.C. and Shi, Y. 2001. Particle swarm optimization: developments, applications and resources. *Proceedings of the Congress on Evolutionary Computation*: 81-86.
- Eldin, A., Awouda, A. and Mamat, R. 2010. New PID Tuning Rule Using ITAE Criteria. *Proceedings of the International Journal of Engineering*. **3**(6): 597-608.
- Ender, B.D.B. 1993. Process control performance : Not as good as you think. *Control Engineering*, **40**(10): 180-190.
- Engelbrecht, A.P. 2005. *Fundamentals of computational swarm intelligence*. West Sussex: John Wiley and Sons.
- Gaing, Z.L. 2004. A particle swarm optimization approach for optimum design of PID controller in AVR System. *Proceedings of the IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*. **19**(2): 384-391.
- Gharghory, S. and Kamal, H. 2013. Modified PSO for optimal tuning of fuzzy PID controller. *Proceedings of the International Journal of Computer Science Issues*, **10**(2): 462-471.

- Ghoshal, S.P. 2004. Optimizations of PID gains by particle swarm optimizations in fuzzy based automatic generation control. *Electric Power Systems Research*. **72**(3): 203-212.
- GirirajKumar, S.M., Jayaraj, D. and Kishan, A.R. 2010. PSO based tuning of a PID controller for a high performance drilling machine. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, **1**(19): 12-18.
- Govender, P. and Bajić, V.B. 1997. *Advances in intelligent systems*. The Netherlands: IOS Press Amsterdam: 474-478.
- Harris, C.J. and Billings, S.A. 1985. *Self-tuning and adaptive control: theory and applications*. 2nd ed(revised). London: Peter Peregrinus Ltd.
- Hassan, R., Cohanım, B., de Weck, O. and Venter, G. 2005. A comparison of particle swarm optimization and the genetic algorithm. *Proceedings of the 46th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference*, 1-13.
- Haugen, F. 2010. Ziegler-Nichols' open-loop method. *TechTeach*, July: 1-7.
- Hu, X. 2006. Particle swarm optimization (PSO) tutorial (online). <http://www.swarmintelligence.org/tutorials.php/index.php> (10 Sept. 2012).
- Jelali, M. and Kroll, A. 2002. *Hydraulic servo-system: modelling, identification and control*. Springer.
- Jian-jun, Y., Duo-tao, J. Di and Sheng, L. 2012. High precision position control of electro-hydraulic servo system based on feed-forward compensation. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences*. **4**(4): 289-298.
- Johnson, M.A. and Moradi, M.H. 2005. *PID control: new identification and design methods*. London: Springer-Verlag London Limited
- Kalogirou, S.A. 2003. Artificial intelligence for the modelling and control of combustion processes : a review. *Proceedings of the Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. **29**: 515-566.
- Kao, C.-C., Chuang, C.-W. and Fung, R.-F. 2006. The self-tuning PID control in a slider-crank mechanism system by applying particle swarm optimization approach. *Mechatronics*. **16**(8): 513-522.
- Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R.C. 1995. Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Neural Networks*: 1942-1948.
- Kennedy, J., Eberhart, R.C. and Shi, Y. 2001. *Swarm intelligence*. San Francisco: Morgan Kaufmann.

- Kilian, C.T. 2001. *Modern control technology: components and systems*. 2nd ed. New York: Thomson Delmar Learning.
- Levine, W.S. 1995. *The control handbook*. Florida: CRC Press LCC.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, J. and Wang, S. 2004. Optimization design based on PSO algorithm for PID controller. *Proceedings of the 5th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*, **3**: 2419-2422.
- Lo, C.C. 1992. *Cross-coupling control of multi-axis manufacturing systems*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.
- Luger, G. 2002. *Artificial intelligence: structures and strategies for complex problem solving*. 4th ed. London: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Lunge, S.P., Kurode, S. and Chhibbeer, B., 2013. Proportional Actuator from On Off Solenoid Valve using Sliding Modes. *Proceedings of 1st International and 16th National Conference on Machines and Mechanism*: 1020-1027.
- Magoulas, G.D., Chen, S.Y. and Papanikolaou, K.A. 2003. Integrating Layered and Heuristic Evaluation for Adaptive Learning Environments. *Proceedings of the International Conference on User Modelling*: 5-14.
- Maneetham, D. and Afzulpurkar, N. 2010. Modelling, simulation and control of high speed nonlinear hydraulic servo system. *World Journal of Modelling and Simulation*, **6**(1): 27-39.
- Maskrey, R.H. and Thayer, W.J. 1978. A brief history of electrohydraulic servomechanisms. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*. **100**(2): 110-116.
- Mat Yahya, N. 2009. *Peningkatan prestasi sistem pemacu suap balik dengan mengoptimalkan parameter pengawal PID menggunakan algoritma pengoptimuman kawanan partikel*. Master Thesis. Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Kuantan, Malaysia.
- Mekhamer, S.F., El-Hawary, M.E., Mansour, M.M, Moustafa, M.A. and Soliman, S.A. 2002. Fuzzy and heuristic techniques for reactive power compensation of radial distribution feeders: a comparative study. *Proceedings of the IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, **1**: 112-121.
- Merkle, D. and Middendorf, M. 2005. *Search methodologies*. United States: Springer US.
- Merritt, H. E. 1967. *Hydraulic control systems*. New York: Wiley.
- Morriss, S.B. 1995. *Automated manufacturing systems : Actuators, controls, sensors, and robotics*. Ohio: Glencoe/McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

- Muhamad, K.F. 2011. *Optimization of cutting parameters for CO₂ laser beam cutting using variable weight grey-taguchi method*. Master Thesis. Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Kuantan, Malaysia.
- Najim, K. 2006. *Control of continuous linear systems*. London: ISTE Ltd.
- Nasri, M., Nezamabadi-pour, H. and Maghfoori, M. 2007. A PSO-based optimum design of PID controller for a linear brushless DC motor. *Proceedings of World Academy of Science: Engineering and Technology*, **20**: 211-215.
- Nise, N.S. 2004. *Control system engineering*. 4th ed. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- O'Dwyer, A. 2000. PI and PID controller tuning rules for time delay processes: a summary. Technical Report. Dublin Institute of Technology, AOD-00-01, Edition 1 A.
- O'Dwyer, A. 2006. PI and PID controller tuning rules: an overview and personal perspective. *Proceedings of the IET Irish Signals and Systems Conference*: 161-166.
- O'Dwyer, A. 2009. Handbook of PI and PID controller tuning rules. Imperial College Press.
- Ogata, K. 2010. *Modern control engineering*. 5th ed. Prentice Hall.
- Overschee, Van P., Moons, C., Brempt, Van W., Vanvuchelen, P. and De Moor, B. 1997. RaPID: The end of heuristic PID tuning. *Journal A, Special Issue on CACSD (Computer Aided Control Systems Design)*. **38**(3): 6-10.
- Patki, V., Sonawane, D.N. and Ingole, D. 2013. Design and Implementation of Discrete Augmented Ziegler-Nichols PID Control. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 262–268.
- Pedret, C., Vilanova, R., Moreno, R. and Serra, I. 2002. A refinement procedure for PID controller tuning. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, **26**(6): 903-908.
- Prakash, C.B. and Naik, R.S. 2014. Tuning of PID controller by Ziegler-Nichols algorithm for position control of DC motor. *Proceedings of the International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering and Technology*, **1**(3): 379-382.
- Rahimian, M.S. and Raahemifar, K. 2011. Optimal PID controller design for AVR system using particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Proceedings of the 24th Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*: 337-340.
- Ramesh, R., Mannan, M.A. and Poo, A.N. 2005. Tracking and contour error control in CNC servo systems. *Proceedings of the International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, **45**(3): 301-326.

- Rao, R. and Yadava, V. 2009. Multi-objective optimization of Nd:YAG laser cutting of thin superalloy sheet using grey relational analysis with entropy measurement. *Optics and Laser Technology*. **41**(8): 22-930.
- Roy, R.K. 1990. *A Primer on the Taguchi Method*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Rydberg, K. 2008. Hydraulic servo systems. *IEI/Fluid and Mechanical Engineering Systems*. October: 15.
- Rydberg, K. 2009. Energy efficient hydraulic hybrid drives. *Proceedings of the 11th Scandinavian International Conference on Fluid Power*: 1-14.
- Scholz, D. 2002. Proportional Hydraulics Basic Level. In Textbook TP 701. Denkendorf/Germany: Festo Didactic Gmbh & Co.: 1-14.
- Selvan, S.E., Subramanian, S. and Solomon, S.T. 2003. Novel technique for PID tuning by particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the 7th Annual Swarm Users/Researchers Meeting 2003*: 1-10.
- Shahrokhi, M. and Zomorodi, A. 2012. Comparison of PID controller tuning methods. *Proceedings of the 8th National Iranian Chemical Engineering Congress*: 1-12.
- Shi, Y. and Eberhart, R.C. 1998. A modified particle swarm optimizer. *Proceedings of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*: 69-73.
- Silva, G.J., Datta, A. and Bhattacharyya, S.P. 2005. *PID Controllers for Time-Delay Systems*. Birkhäuser, Boston: Springer.
- Solihin, M.I., Tack, L.F. and Kean, M.L. 2011. Tuning of PID controller using particle swarm optimization (PSO). *Proceeding of the International Conference on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology 2011*: 458-461.
- Sumathi, S. and Surekha P. 2010. *Computational intelligence paradigms: theory and applications using MATLAB*. United States: CRC Press Taylor and Francis.
- Tao, S., Rui-yin, T. and Zhi-kun, C. 2009. Studying and realization of hydraulic servo system based on IMC, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Measuring Technology and Mechatronics Automation*, **3**: 404-407.
- Valli, D.M. and Jindal, T.K. 2014. Application of Taguchi Method for Optimization of Physical Parameters Affecting the Performance of Pulse Detonation Engine, *Journal of Basic and Applied Engineering Research*, **1**(1):.18-23.
- Vaughan, N.D. and Gamble, J.B. 1996. The Modeling and Simulation of a Proportional Solenoid Valve, *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, **118**: 120-125.
- Visioli, A. 2006. *Practical PID control*. London: Springer-Verlag.

- Webster, J.G. 1999. *Wiley encyclopedia of electrical and electronics engineering*. 16th Ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Wu, H. and Handroos, H. 2004. Hybrid fuzzy self-tuning PID controller for a parallel manipulator. *Proceedings of the 5th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*, **3**: 2545-2549.
- Yuan, X., Fang, Z. and Li, H. 2010. Research on fuzzy control for the electro-hydraulic servo system. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD)*, **2**: 918-921.
- Zheng, J., Zhao, S. and Wei, S. 2009. Control engineering practice application of self-tuning fuzzy PID controller for a SRM direct drive volume control hydraulic press. *Control Engineering Practice*. **17**(12): 1398-1404.
- Zhuang, M. and Atherton, D.P. 1993. Automatic tuning of optimum PID controllers. *Proceedings of the IEEE on Control Theory and Applications*, **140**(3): 216-224.
- Ziegler, J.G., Nichols, N.B. and Rochester, N.Y. 1942. Optimum settings for automatic controllers. *Transactions of the ASME*, **64**: 759-768.