



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND
Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences

**The Applications of Near Infra-Red Fibre Bragg
Grating Sensors for Wave Propagation Based
Structural Health Monitoring of Thin
Laminated Composite Plates**

A thesis submitted by

Mohd Hafizi Bin Zohari

in fulfilment of the requirements of

Doctor of Philosophy

2014

Abstract

This thesis contributes to the research and development towards achieving better structural health monitoring (SHM) system for composite structures. Composites are widely used in critical engineering applications due to the advantage of higher specific strength and stiffness compared to other conventional materials. However, composite laminates have a very high probability of unexpected damage development during service. This study uses fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensor to create a practical and robust SHM tool based on monitoring the acoustic emission, in order to provide continuous information of the structure's condition. The remarkable capability of using the FBG sensors for dynamic sensing has been demonstrated, in particular for the wave propagation based SHM. Combined with FBG sensor technologies, the wave propagation based SHM such as acoustic emission (AE), ultrasonic evaluation and acousto-ultrasonics becomes more exciting. The FBG sensor has the ability of acquiring both static and dynamic strains with a single sensor. Besides, the physical size of FBG sensor provides greater access to embed them in composite structures without significantly affecting its structural properties. This study also emphasizes some drawbacks in the use of piezoelectric sensors in the wave propagation based SHM of composite structures, specifically in the AE applications. In most optical fiber based SHM applications to date, people have used only FBG sensors with wavelength 1550 nm. The FBG sensors with this wavelength are commonly used in industries such as telecommunications and health. However, there is an option of using near infra-red (NIR) FBG range which is comparably cheap in terms of total system design, yet offers the same performance of a conventional 1550 nm range FBGs. This research work presents the NIR FBG dynamic sensing system, as a wave propagation-based SHM system for monitoring the damages in thin glass fiber reinforced composite plates. The NIR-FBG sensor system has been validated successfully, in particular for thin composite plate's applications. The sensor system has shown its unique capability whereby it can be applied in the area which cannot be accessed by standard piezoelectric based system. The developed NIR FBG sensor system has shown its competitiveness and ability to replace the piezoelectric sensors in the 'wave propagation based SHM' of laminated composite plates.

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG	
No. Perolehan 096932	No. Panggilan TK 6553 1434 2015 14.11.15
Tarikh 05 MAR 2015	

Contents

Abstract	i
List of Publications Arising from this Study	ii
Acknowledgments	v
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xxii
Notation	xxiv
Acronyms & Abbreviations	xxvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.1.1 Structural Health Monitoring (SHM)	1
1.1.2 Wave propagation based SHM	3
1.1.3 Active and passive monitoring in the SHM system	4
1.1.4 Issues on piezoelectric sensors for SHM of composite structures and the rise of FBG sensor technologies	6

CONTENTS	vii
1.2 Research Concept and Objectives	8
1.3 Overview of the Dissertation	9
Chapter 2 Acoustic Wave Propagation on Thin Laminated Composite Plates	11
2.1 Chapter overview	11
2.2 Fibre Reinforced Composite Materials	12
2.2.1 Equation of anisotropic elasticity	12
2.2.2 <i>Orthotropic</i> materials	14
2.2.3 Specially plane-stress state of <i>orthotropic</i> plates	16
2.3 Wave Propagation Theory	17
2.4 Lamb's Wave Propagation in Composite Thin Plates	19
2.4.1 Theory and fundamentals of Lamb's Wave	20
2.4.2 First-Order Shear Deformation Theory (FSDT) for composite plate analysis	22
2.4.3 Case study - numerical results	29
2.5 Time-frequency Signal Analysis	33
2.5.1 Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT)	35
2.5.2 Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT)	38
2.6 Chapter Summary	41
Chapter 3 Use of MAE for Damage Detection in Composite Thin Laminates	42
3.1 Chapter Overview	42
3.2 Failure Mode in Fibre Reinforced Composite Material	43

3.3	Basic Principles of an AE System	43
3.4	Issues of AE in Composites	46
3.4.1	Source localizations	46
3.4.2	Failure characterizations	51
3.5	Case Study: Single-channel AE for Source Mapping	54
3.5.1	Results and discussion for the single-channel AE for source mapping case study	56
3.5.2	Conclusion for the single-channel AE for source mapping case study	60
3.6	Case Study: GFRP Plates Under Tensile Test	62
3.6.1	Hsu-Nielsen test results	64
3.6.2	Tensile test results	66
3.6.3	Conclusion for the GFRP plates under tensile test case study	68
3.7	Wave Energy Attenuation of AE signals	69
3.8	Case study: Non-velocity Based Source Mapping	70
3.8.1	Result and discussion for non-velocity based source mapping case study	72
3.8.2	Conclusion for non-velocity based source mapping case study	76
3.8.3	Experimentation for 'Effective Distance'	76
3.8.4	Result and discussion for the effective distance case study	78
3.9	Chapter Summary	79
Chapter 4 Use of FBG Dynamic Sensor System for Composite Structures		81
4.1	Chapter Overview	81

CONTENTS	ix
4.2 Introduction	81
4.3 Optical Components	83
4.3.1 Semiconductor light sources	84
4.3.2 Photodiodes	90
4.3.3 Optical filters: the Fibre Bragg Grating (FBG)	93
4.4 FBG Sensor System for Dynamic Measurement	96
4.4.1 General construction for FBG dynamic sensing	101
4.4.2 Noise in FBG dynamic sensing	102
4.4.3 FBG for acoustic emission detection	103
4.5 NIR-FBG System for Dynamic Measurement	106
4.5.1 Principle operation of the NIR-FBG system for dynamic measurement	108
4.5.2 Responsivity curve manipulation in the single NIR-FBG system	112
4.6 Chapter Summary	115
 Chapter 5 NIR-FBG sensors for Dynamic Response of Composite Plates	 116
5.1 Chapter Overview	116
5.2 The NIR-FBG System with Single-FBG	117
5.2.1 Case study: The validation single-FBG system	117
5.2.2 Results and discussion for the validation of single-FBG system	119
5.3 Signals' Denoising	125
5.3.1 Wavelet <i>denoising</i>	125

5.3.2	Case study: An application of 1D digital wavelet analysis for signal <i>denoising</i>	126
5.4	The NIR-FBG System with a Fixed FBG-Filter	130
5.4.1	Case study: The validation of a fixed FBG-filter system	130
5.4.2	Results and discussion for the validation of fixed FBG-filter system case study	131
5.5	Signal to Noise Ratio Analysis	137
5.5.1	Case study: The SNR analysis	138
5.5.2	Results and discussion for the SNR analysis	141
5.6	Applications Using NIR-FBG Systems	147
5.6.1	Impact location detection	147
5.6.2	Wave mode identification using an NIR-FBG system	152
5.7	Chapter Summary	159
Chapter 6 Conclusions and Further Work		161
6.1	Principle Achievements	161
6.1.1	Investigation of AE SHM in composites	162
6.1.2	The development of the NIR-FBG dynamic sensor system	163
6.1.3	Implementation of the NIR-FBG system in SHM of thin composite structures	164
6.2	Summary	164
6.3	Further Work and Recommendations	165
References		167

CONTENTS	xi
Appendix A Some Supporting Information	178
Appendix B Some Supporting Information	181

List of Figures

1.1	Active monitoring system (Balageas 2010).	5
1.2	Passive monitoring system (Balageas 2010).	5
1.3	Example of piezoelectric layer (SMART) and FBG sensors for composite structures	7
1.4	Research concept overview	9
2.1	Notation used for the stress components in Cartesian rectangular coordinates.	13
2.2	Different between an <i>isotropic</i> and an <i>orthotropic</i> plate.	15
2.3	Asymmetric wave mode	20
2.4	Symmetric wave mode	20
2.5	Source orientation due to failure mechanisms.	20
2.6	CPT vs FSDT prediction for flexural waves velocity (Prosser & Gorman 1994).	22
2.7	Displacements of a plate.	23
2.8	Definition of stress resultant.	24
2.9	Force and moment resultants on a plate.	24
2.10	Comparison between theoretical calculation and experimental measurements for the dispersion of flexural waves (Jeong & Jang 2000).	29

LIST OF FIGURES**xiii**

2.11 The polar plot of normalized phase velocity surfaces vs the propagation angle (degrees)	30
2.12 The slowness profile for the sample.	31
2.13 Phase velocity curves of the sample.	32
2.14 Group velocity curves of the sample.	32
2.15 The fundamental curves for antisymmetric mode A_0 and symmetric mode S_0 for phase velocity.	33
2.16 The fundamental curves for antisymmetric mode A_0 and symmetric mode S_0 for group velocity.	33
2.17 The demonstration of how STFT mapping a time domain signal into a time-frequency result.	35
2.18 The shape of the Morlet wavelet	36
2.19 AE burst signal.	37
2.20 FFT of the signal shown in 2.19	37
2.21 STFT representation.	38
2.22 CWT representation.	38
2.23 Discrete wavelet decomposition tree or filter bank (Altmann 1996).	39
2.24 The db20 wavelet	40
2.25 Analysis and synthesis filters for db20 wavelet.	40
2.26 The db4 wavelet	41
2.27 Analysis and synthesis filters for db4 wavelet.	41
3.1 Typical AE system (Huang et al. 1998).	44
3.2 The causal chain of AE signal analysis (Eitzen & Wadley 1984).	45
3.3 Extensional and flexural waves (Surgeon & Wevers 1999).	47

3.4	Possible time arrival errors caused by the usual threshold method.	49
3.5	CWT of AE signals that show the different time arrival of extensional and flexural wave modes.	50
3.6	Setup for one dimensional source localization.	50
3.7	Summary of some frequency ranges defined by several researchers (Gutkin et al. 2011).	53
3.8	Set-up of the test for case study 3.5.	55
3.9	Phase velocity dispersion curves.	55
3.10	Group velocity dispersion curves.	55
3.11	Stress vs. strain of the specimens	56
3.12	Group velocity predicted by FSDT	57
3.13	AE hit numbers and cumulative hits versus time.	57
3.14	One dimensional source localization with two AE sensors.	58
3.15	AE events at crack location; near notch.	58
3.16	AE waveform and its spectrum.	59
3.17	STFT analysis.	60
3.18	CWT analysis of the waveform; scale vs time.	61
3.19	CWT analysis of the waveform; frequency vs time.	61
3.20	Set-up for AE wave mode determination	62
3.21	Experimental set-up for case study 3.6. Note that the specimen's dimensions is 250 mm × 25 mm × 2.9 mm.	63
3.22	Sample under testing.	63
3.23	Signal waveform from extensional AE source.	64

LIST OF FIGURES**xv**

3.24	Signal waveform from flexural AE source.	64
3.25	FFT comparison. The dotted blue line indicates the signal from extensional source and the solid green line shows the signal from flexural source.	64
3.26	Wavelet analysis of AE signals emitted by different source orientation.	65
3.27	Composite specimen during tensile test.	66
3.28	: All AE data in 16 seconds of recording time.	67
3.29	AE events at the notch area.	67
3.30	Signal analysis of AE data from matrix crack.	68
3.31	Diagram for first experiment	71
3.32	Diagram for second experiment	71
3.33	Comparison of AE signals captured by broadband and resonance frequency sensor.	72
3.34	Energy attenuation of AE signals using (a) broadband sensor and (b) resonance frequency sensor for 0° test angle.	73
3.35	(a) Attenuation rate for 0° , (b) attenuation rate for 45° , (c) attenuation rate for 60° and (d) attenuation rate for 90° . The solid lines refer to exponential trend line while the dotted lines refer to logarithmic trend line.	73
3.36	Results comparison between exponential (exp) and logarithmic attenuation model (log).	74
3.37	Comparison between the logarithmic model (Ln), exponential model (e), actual location and commercial AE tool (velocity).	75
3.38	Diagram for effective distance experimentation.	76
3.39	Detailed analysis of AE signals received from five different distances from AE source at test angles 0°	77

3.40	AE signal from all sensors and their respective FFT analysis. . . .	78
3.41	Result with 100kHz vibration applied to the specimen	79
4.1	Basic block diagram of a long-distance lightwave link (Papannareddy 1997).	82
4.2	Modulation of an information signal (Papannareddy 1997).	83
4.3	The FBG sensor work as an optical modulator.	83
4.4	Basic optical components (Cvijetic 2004).	84
4.5	Absorption and spontaneous emission of light in semiconductor light sources.	86
4.6	Surface radiation of LED (Cvijetic 2004).	86
4.7	Edge emission of LED (Cvijetic 2004).	87
4.8	Population inversion in semiconductors (Cvijetic 2004).	88
4.9	A basic semiconductor laser. The letter s denotes the stripe width, d is the thickness of the active layer and L is the length of the cavity (Papannareddy 1997).	89
4.10	Semiconductor laser's structure in different view (Cvijetic 2004).	90
4.11	The structure of semiconductor photodiodes (Cvijetic 2004).	92
4.12	General responsivity for Si, Ge and InGaAs photodiodes as a function of wavelength (Papannareddy 1997).	93
4.13	Transmission and reflected light from an FBG.	95
4.14	Schematic diagram of a FBG.	95
4.15	The Bragg wavelength shift as a result of grating period changes.	96
4.16	Variations of the grating period due to strain or temperature change.	96
4.17	Schematic of the FBG dynamic sensing by (Cusano et al. 2004)	97

4.18	Matched edge filter arrangement for dynamic sensing.	98
4.19	The resultant of optical signals after passing through an FBG filter as configuration in Figure 4.18 (Tsuda 2006).	99
4.20	Principle of operation for a detection system using a tunable laser source (Tsuda 2006).	100
4.21	Fast FBG interrogation by (Frieden et al. 2010)	100
4.22	FBG dynamic strain measurement by (Ling et al. 2006)	101
4.23	The basic schematic diagram of FBG dynamic sensing.	101
4.24	Thermal noise existence in an FBG dynamic system.	103
4.25	Signal sources setup with respect to FBG's position.	104
4.26	Directional dependencies of the FBG sensor positioning to the direction of the signal sources (Perez et al. 2001).	105
4.27	Physical comparison between FBG-AE sensor head with PZT sensor (Lee & Tsuda 2005).	105
4.28	Attenuation curve of an optical fiber (Papannareddy 1997).	107
4.29	Schematic diagram of the optical components arrangement for the fixed NIR-FBG filter system.	108
4.30	Laminated plate under applied force.	109
4.31	Optical spectrum variations from matched FBGs system.	110
4.32	Demodulation of the NIR-FBG spectral shift for the fixed NIR-FBG filter system.	110
4.33	The second arrangement for NIR FBGs dynamic sensing; the single-FBG system.	111
4.34	Power spectrum of the broadband laser source. The red line shows the spectrum of the NIR-FBG.	111
4.35	The SLED lights source.	112

4.36	Variations of reflected light from FBG sensor viewed during simple static three-point bending of the composite sample. The dotted line indicates the original plot before loading.	113
4.37	Responsivity of the photodetector; model PD10CS (InGaAs) detector.	113
4.38	The PD10CS (InGaAs) detector.	113
4.39	Demodulation of the NIR-FBG spectral shift for the single NIR-FBG system.	114
5.1	Specimens and the system arrangement for the experiment.	118
5.2	Sensors position on the composite sample.	119
5.3	The shaker used for the experiment.	119
5.4	The first four natural frequencies of both samples.	121
5.5	NIR FBG sensor response due to an impact for both samples.	122
5.6	FFT comparison between NIR FBG sensor and piezoelectric sensor for both samples.	123
5.7	Waveform comparison for 250 Hz excitation.	123
5.8	FFT comparison for 250 Hz excitation.	124
5.9	Waveform comparison for 500 Hz excitation.	124
5.10	FFT comparison for 500 Hz excitation.	124
5.11	Waveform signals due to an impact, captured by FBG dynamic sensing.	125
5.12	Impact signal from sample 1 and its corresponding FFT, at three different decomposition levels.	127
5.13	Impact signal from sample 2 and its corresponding FFT, at three different decomposition levels.	128

5.14	Waveform comparison between <i>denoised</i> waveform from an FBG (solid line) and the waveform from a PZT (dotted line).	128
5.15	Original response from an NIR-FBG due to excitation and its denoised version; and the response from a PZT.	129
5.16	The real sample in the lab.	131
5.17	Schematic diagram of the sample set-up.	131
5.18	Model 086C04 impulse hammer response curves.	132
5.19	The comparison of impact's signal from two different sensors.	132
5.20	The FFT analyses of the impact's signals from the NIR-FBG sensor system.	133
5.21	The FFT analyses of the impact's signals from the piezoelectric sensor.	133
5.22	The FEA model of the thin composite sample.	134
5.23	Some example of FEA results.	134
5.24	Signal waveform (top) and its respective spectrums (bottom) for a 13 kHz input, captured by NIR-FBG sensor.	135
5.25	Signal waveform (top) and its respective spectrums (bottom) for a 20 kHz input, captured by NIR-FBG sensor.	136
5.26	NIR-FBG sensor's response to the 20 kHz shaker excitation, after signal filtering.	136
5.27	The response from a piezoelectric sensor at 20 kHz vibration.	137
5.28	Long composite thin plate.	138
5.29	Setup diagram for the testing.	139
5.30	The actual composite sample's set-up in the laboratory.	139
5.31	Schematic diagram of System C and System D.	140

5.32	Frequency spectrums of the impact signal.	140
5.33	FEA model of the composite sample.	141
5.34	The selected mode shapes which simulated by the Strand7 software.	142
5.35	Response of System A.	143
5.36	Response of System B.	143
5.37	Response of System C.	144
5.38	Response of System D.	145
5.39	Schematic diagram of the movement of light in the coupler-based system.	146
5.40	Schematic diagram of the movement of light in the circulator-based system.	146
5.41	Experimental setup for impact location detection.	148
5.42	Set-up diagram for the linear source location detection.	148
5.43	Group velocity curve for the flexural wave (A_0).	149
5.44	Example of signals from both channels due to an impact at point A.	150
5.45	FFT analysis of a signal due to an impact on the composite specimen.	150
5.46	Wavelet analysis of the impact's signal. The white arrow indicates the first frequency arrival.	151
5.47	Experimental setup for impact location detection.	152
5.48	Example of piezoelectric sensors' mounting.	153
5.49	The illustration of a piezoelectric sensor sensing mechanism for wave modes identification.	154
5.50	The illustration of NIR-FBG sensors' sensing mechanism for wave modes identification.	155

LIST OF FIGURES

xxi

5.51	The position of the NIR-FBG and piezoelectric sensors on the composite specimen.	155
5.52	The signals obtained by the pair of piezoelectric sensors (flexural source).	156
5.53	The signals obtained by the pair of piezoelectric sensors (extensional source).	157
5.54	The illustration of empty space between specimen's surface and the PZT.	157
5.55	The signals obtained by a pair of NIR-FBG sensors (flexural source).	158
5.56	The 'denoised' version of the NIR-FBG signals comparison.	158
5.57	The signals obtained by the NIR-FBG sensors (extensional source).	159
5.58	The waveforms' comparison for the signal due to 10 Hz excitation.	160
B.1	AE signal from matrix crack; second data.	182
B.2	AE signal from matrix crack; third data.	182
B.3	AE signal from matrix crack; fourth data.	183
B.4	AE signal from fiber breakage.	183

List of Tables

3.1	Failure modes and the respective AE amplitude ranges (Huguet et al. 2002).	52
3.2	Summary of tensile test result.	56
3.3	Material properties of the sample.	57
3.4	Error comparison between logarithmic and exponential source location model. Note: all values in millimeter (mm).	75
4.1	Semiconductor materials used for PD manufacturing (Cvijetic 2004).	90
4.2	The typical values of PD's parameters (Cvijetic 2004).	92
5.1	Detail geometry of the samples.	117
5.2	Material properties of the samples (Rajadurai et al. 2008).	118
5.3	Theoretical natural frequencies of the samples	120
5.4	DWT frequency domain representation.	127
5.5	Frequency content comparisons between the results from FEA, NIR-FBG and piezoelectric sensors.	135
5.6	Detail geometry of the samples.	139
5.7	List of selected frequencies as shown by the Figure 5.32.	141
5.8	SNR of the samples.	144

LIST OF TABLES

5.9	Linear impact source distances.	152
A.1	Important terminology to composite materials.	179
A.2	Engineering constant for several materials - part 1 (Reddy 2004).	180
A.3	Engineering constant for several materials - part 2 (Reddy 2004).	180

Notation

σ	Stress
ε	Strain
σ_{ij}	2×2 stress matrix
E	Young's modulus
C	Stiffness tensor
ν	Poisson's ratio
Q	Stress-reduced stiffness
τ	Shear stress
γ	Shear strain
G	Shear modulus
d	Plate thickness in Lamb's wave frequency equations
ω	Angular frequency
k	Wave number
c_p	Phase velocity
c_g	Group velocity
c_L	Longitudinal wave velocity
c_T	Transverse wave velocity
ρ	Density
u, v, w	Displacement components of a point on the midplane
ψ_i	rotations of the normal to the midplane about the i -axis. $i = x, y$
h	Thickness
N	Force on a plate

M	Moment on a plate
ϵ	Strains vector
K	Plate curvatures
A_{ij}	Extensional stiffness matrix
B_{ij}	Coupling stiffness matrix
D_{ij}	Bending stiffness matrix
r	Layer's of laminates
I_i	Moment of inertia
c_e	Extensional velocity
c_f	Flexural velocity
c_s	Shear wave velocity
$\kappa_{i,j}$	Shear correction factors
$f(t)$	Signal function in time domain
f, f_i	Frequency
t	Time
$\hat{\tau}$	Time shift (in Continuous Wavelet Transform)
$F(\omega, \hat{\tau})$	Magnitude of Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) in the function of ω and $\hat{\tau}$
WT_f	Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT) of a function
$\psi(t)$	Basic wavelet or mother wavelet
s	Scale
ω_0	Wavelet centre frequency
DWT_f	Discrete Wavelet Transform of a function
$b_i(f)$	Arrival time of a specific frequency, f
L, l_i	Length
A	Sound wave attenuation
α	Attenuation coefficient
$\hat{\beta}$	Decay constant
\hat{E}	Photon's energy

\hat{h}	Planck's constant
λ	Wavelength
c	Speed of light in vacuum
\hat{E}_c	Energy of the conduction band
\hat{E}_v	Energy of the valence band
I	Current
P	Power
q or e	Electron charge
η	Quantum efficiency
T	Temperature
R	Responsivity
n	Refractive index
Λ	Grating period
\hat{k}	Order of the grating
$\hat{\alpha}$	Thermal expansion
ξ	Thermo-optic coefficient
p_e	Effective photo-elastic constant
p_n	Poisson probability distribution
N	Mean number of photoelectrons detected at Δt
SNR	Signal to noise ration
\mathbf{k}	Wavespace vector
nm	nanometer
Hz	Hertz
kHz	kilohertz
MHz	megahertz

Acronyms and Abbreviations

SHM	Structural Health Monitoring
NDE	Nondestructive Evaluation
FBG	Fiber Bragg Grating
AE	Acoustic Emission
ELE	Elastic Emission
MAE	Modal Acoustic Emission
MEMS	Microelectromechanical System
NIR	Near Infra Red
PZT	Piezoelectric Transducer
GFRP	Glass Fibre Reinforced Plastic
CFRP	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic
NDT	Nondestructive Testing
FSDT	First-Order Shear Deformation Theory
CLPT	Classical Laminated Plate Theory
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
STFT	Short Time Fourier Transform
CWT	Continuous Wavelet Transform
DWT	Discrete Wavelet Transform
FRP	Fiber Reinforced Polymer
PAC	Physical Acoustic Corporation
FTC	First Threshold Crossing