



A study of tyre cavity resonance noise mechanism and countermeasures using vibroacoustic analysis

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Zamri Mohamed

Bachelor of Science in Engineering (Mechanical Engineering and Applied
Mechanics), University of Pennsylvania, 2000

Master of Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 2007

School of Aerospace, Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering

College of Science, Engineering and Health

RMIT University

August 2014

Table of Contents

Author's Declaration	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	x
Nomenclature	x
Abbreviations	x

Abstract

Chapter 1. Introduction	
1.0 Background	
1.1 Research Motivation	
1.2 Research Scopes and Objectives	
1.3 Outline	
1.4 List of Publications	
Chapter 2. Literature Review	
2.0 Tyre Cavity Resonance	
2.0.1 Background	
2.0.2 Interior Noise and Vibration Effect	
2.0.2.1 Noise Transfer	

	2.0.2.2	Effect of Deformed Tyre	15
	2.1	Tyre Surface Vibration and Sound Radiation.....	16
ii	2.2	Tyre Acoustic Cavity Model	18
iii	2.2.1	Analytical Model	18
iv	2.2.1.1	Undeformed tyre model	18
x	2.2.1.2	Deformed tyre model	20
xi	2.2.1.3	Coupled tyre model.....	23
xii	2.3	Finite Element Model.....	24
xiv	2.3.1	History	24
	2.3.2	Benefits.....	25
	2.3.3	Other tyre model application	25
1	2.3.4	Tyre cavity model	26
	2.4	Tyre Cavity Experiment	27
.....4	2.4.1	Cavity resonance	27
.....4	2.5	Countermeasures.....	29
.....7	2.6	Other experiments.....	32
.....8	2.7	Conclusions	33
.....9	Chapter 3. Preliminary Investigation of Tyre-Wheel-Cavity Resonance Using		
.....12	FE Analysis and Experimental Modal Test.....		35
.....14	3.0	Introduction	35
.....14	3.1.	Tyre Cavity FE Model	37
.....14	3.1.1	Geometry	37
.....14	3.1.2	Mesh properties	39
.....14	3.1.3	Results	39

3.2	Wheel (rim) FE Model.....	41
3.3	Tyre FE Model.....	43
3.3.1	Tyre material properties	43
3.4	Frequency Response Function and Coherence.....	51
3.5	Accelerometer Response.....	53
3.5.1	Undeformed tyre	53
3.5.1.1	Rim.....	53
3.5.1.2	Tyre-rim	54
3.5.2	Deformed tyre.....	59
3.6	Sound Pressure Response under a Speaker Excitation	62
3.7	Conclusion.....	67
Chapter 4. Structural-Acoustic Analysis of Tyre-Cavity System		69
4.0	Introduction	69
4.1	Tyre-Cavity Resonance Theory.....	70
4.1.1	Cavity mode shape and natural frequency	70
4.1.2	Degenerate cavity modes	75
4.1.3	Cavity mode shape normalisation factors.....	75
4.2	Structure Natural Frequency and Mode Shape.....	77
4.3	Tyre Cavity Structural-Acoustic Coupling.....	81
4.3.1	Impedance mobility approach	81
4.4	Numerical Simulation	85
4.4.1	Software validation	86
4.4.2	Tyre-cavity model validation	87
4.4.3	Mode contour plot.....	93

4.4.4	Force transferred to the hub.....	94
4.4.5	Effect of air pressure	96
4.5	Deformed and Rolling Tyre.....	98
4.5.1	Numerical plot	100
4.6	Experiments using Physical Model.....	102
4.6.1	Coupled case.....	102
4.6.2	No coupling case.....	107
4.7	Conclusion.....	110
Chapter 5. Noise Mitigation Using Acoustic Absorbent Materials.....		111
5.0	Introduction	111
5.1	Sound Absorption Coefficient	113
5.1.1	Impedance tube experiment.....	113
5.1.2	Estimation using empirical model	115
5.2	Numerical Simulation	117
5.3	Experiment using Trim	119
5.3.1	Experimental modal test.....	120
5.3.1.1	Roving impact.....	120
5.3.1.2	Shaker excitation.....	121
5.4	Single Layer Trim Parameter	126
5.4.1	Effect of trim thickness and flow resistivity	126
5.4.2	New empirical model for flow resistivity	127
5.5	Multilayer Trim Parameter.....	129
5.5.1	Multilayer configuration design	133
5.6	Conclusion.....	141

Chapter 6.	Statistical Energy Analysis of Tyre-Cavity System.....	143
6.0	Introduction	143
6.1	Procedures	145
6.2	SEA Parameters	147
6.2.1	Modal Density	147
6.2.2	Internal loss factor.....	151
6.2.3	Coupling loss factor	152
6.2.4	Radiation efficiency	153
6.2.5	Power input.....	158
6.3	Calibration to Box-Plate System	159
6.3.1	AutoSEA background	159
6.3.2	SEA of box-plate system.....	160
6.4	Tyre-Cavity SEA Model Verification.....	163
6.5	SEA Coupling Indication	171
6.6	Application of Trim	172
6.7	Conclusion.....	175
Chapter 7.	Monte Carlo and Hybrid SEA-Deterministic Analysis.....	177
7.0	Introduction	177
7.1	Monte Carlo Analysis	178
7.2	Hybrid SEA-Deterministic Analysis Method.....	181
7.2.1	Theory	181
7.2.2	Coupling criteria	182
7.2.3	Analytical simulation	183
7.3	Trim Effect	187

7.4	Experiment on Physical Model.....	189
7.5	Conclusion.....	192
Chapter 8.	Conclusions and Recommendations	194
8.0	Research Contribution.....	194
8.1	Further Work	196
References.....		198

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Cabin sound pressure level at different engine speeds (Tandogan and Guney, 2010).....	4
Figure 1.2: Tyre-road noise and engine noise at different vehicle speed (Lelong, 1999).....	5
Figure 1.3: Speed and frequency ranges for the tyre-road noise generation mechanisms (Kuijpers and Blokland, 2002).....	6
Figure 2.1: TCR dominating modes (Yamauchi and Akiyoshi, 2002).....	15
Figure 2.2: Frequency response function for deformed and undeformed tyre (195/65/R15) (Yamauchi and Akiyoshi, 2002).....	16
Figure 2.3: Tyre acoustical mode in wave number-frequency plot (Jessop and Bolton, 2011).....	18
Figure 2.4: (a) Undeformed tyre model (b) Deformed tyre model (Thompson, 1995).....	20
Figure 2.5: Resonant frequency dependency to tyre rotation speed (Feng et al., 2009).....	22
Figure 2.6: (a) Coupled tyre cavity modal model (b) Axisymmetric tyre geometry (Gunda, Gau and Dohrmann, 2000).....	23
Figure 2.7: TCR dominating modes (Sakata, Morimura and Ide, 1990).....	27
Figure 2.8: Trial wheels with close and open end attachment (Yamauchi and Akiyoshi, 2002).....	30
Figure 2.9: Effect of oval wheel (Yamauchi and Akiyoshi, 2002).....	30
Figure 3.1: Tyre cavity cross section (actual shape).....	36
Figure 3.2: Tyre cavity cross section (simple rectangular shape).....	36
Figure 3.3: Tyre cut out.....	37
Figure 3.4: TCR mode shapes (undeformed tyre).....	39
Figure 3.5: Effect of mesh type on the degenerate modes.....	39
Figure 3.6: TCR mode shapes (deformed tyre).....	40
Figure 3.7: Rim FE model construction.....	41
Figure 3.8: Various steel rim mode shapes.....	41
Figure 3.9: Rim mode shapes and their frequencies.....	42

Figure 3.10: Radial, lateral and circumferential samples location.....	44
Figure 3.11: Radial, lateral and circumferential cut-outs from sample tyre....	45
Figure 3.12: Tyre tread and sidewall tensile test.....	45
Figure 3.13: Tyre tread and sidewall pre-conditioning, a) radial b) lateral....	46
Figure 3.14: Tyre FE model.....	47
Figure 3.15: Various tyre mode shapes.....	48
Figure 3.16: Comparison mode shapes and natural frequencies for lower radial mode numbers between full FE tyre model and treadband FE model.....	49
Figure 3.17: Steel rim FRF and coherence from impact test.....	53
Figure 3.18: Alloy rim FRF from impact test.....	53
Figure 3.19: Tyre-cavity-rim impact test setup (free-free).....	54
Figure 3.20: Tyre-cavity-rim impact test setup (fixed).....	54
Figure 3.21: Tyre-cavity-rim FRF and coherence from impact test (steel rim) 30 psi.....	55
Figure 3.22: Tyre-cavity-rim FRF and coherence from impact test (alloy rim) deflated.....	55
Figure 3.23: Tyre-cavity-rim diagram indicating the impact location and direction (undeformed).....	56
Figure 3.24: Tyre-cavity-rim FRF (80 impact locations - accelerometer at wheel hub centre).....	56
Figure 3.25: Tyre-cavity-rim FRF (80 impact locations - accelerometer on tyre tread).....	57
Figure 3.26: Mode shape at 226 Hz from MEScope.....	58
Figure 3.27: Tyre-cavity-rim modal test setup (fixed, deformed tyre - 20 cm deformation).....	59
Figure 3.28: Tyre-cavity-rim diagram of impact location and direction (deformed with 20 cm deformation).....	59
Figure 3.29: Frequency response and coherence for 15 points of tyre assembly using test setup from Figure 3.30, x-direction.....	60
Figure 3.30: Frequency response for 15 points of tyre assembly, y-direction..	60
Figure 3.31: Frequency response for 8 points of alloy rim fixed on stand on steel bed.....	61

Figure 3.32: Sound pressure prediction inside tyre cavity by VAOne simulation (deformation height 25 mm).....	62
Figure 3.33: Setup for sound pressure measurement under a speaker excitation.....	64
Figure 3.34: Sound pressure autospectrum (ref= 20×10^{-6} Pa) under a speaker excitation at 'a' for microphone position 2 (-----) and microphone position 1 (——) with tyre deformed (0-500 Hz).....	64
Figure 3.35: Sound pressure autospectrum (ref= 20×10^{-6} Pa) under a speaker excitation at 'a' for microphone position 2 (-----) and microphone position 1 (——) with tyre deformed (150-300 Hz).....	65
Figure 3.36: Sound pressure autospectrum (ref= 20×10^{-6} Pa) under a speaker excitation at 'b' for microphone position 2 (-----) and microphone position 1 (——) with tyre deformed (0-500 Hz).....	65
Figure 3.37: Sound pressure autospectrum (ref= 20×10^{-6} Pa) under a speaker excitation at 'b' for microphone position 2 (-----) and microphone position 1 (——) with tyre deformed (150-300 Hz).....	65
Figure 3.38: Sound pressure FRF under an impact hammer excitation for the vertical mode (-----) and fore-aft mode (——) with tyre deformed.....	66
Figure 4.1: Simplified tyre geometry.....	70
Figure 4.2: Circular cylinder shell.....	76
Figure 4.3: (a) FE model point excitation (b) sound excitation.....	84
Figure 4.4: Experimental (solid line) and analytical (dash line) results (sound pressure and flexible wall velocity) for a plate-cavity model from Kim and Brennan (1999) and VAOne FE model results (dotted line) for the plate-cavity model	85
Figure 4.5: Predicted sound pressure results of analytical and VAOne FE for the point force excited tyre-cavity model (strong coupling).....	87
Figure 4.6: Predicted tread velocity results of analytical and VAOne FE for	

the point force excited tyre-cavity model (strong coupling), ref=1 m/s.....	88
Figure 4.7: Predicted sound pressure results of analytical and VAOne FE for the sound-excited tyre-cavity (strong coupling) model.....	88
Figure 4.8: Predicted tread velocity results of analytical and VAOne FE for the sound-excited tyre-cavity (strong coupling) model, ref=1 m/s. m/s.....	89
Figure 4.9: Comparison of predicted SPL under the cases of strong and weak coupling for the point force excited tyre-cavity model.....	90
Figure 4.10: Comparison of predicted tyre tread velocity under the cases of strong and weak coupling for the point force excited tyre-cavity model, ref=1 m/s.....	91
Figure 4.11: Comparison of predicted SPL under the cases of strong and weak coupling for the sound-excited tyre-cavity model.....	91
Figure 4.12: Comparison of predicted tyre tread velocity under the cases of strong and weak coupling for the sound-excited tyre-cavity model, ref=1 m/s.....	92
Figure 4.13: Contour plot at 214 Hz and 255 Hz.....	93
Figure 4.14: Force acting on the hub.....	95
Figure 4.15: Tread natural frequency simulation for ‘in vacuo’ and ‘inflated’ cases using ANSYS.....	96
Figure 4.16: Predicted SPL of the inflated and deflated tyre (point excitation).....	97
Figure 4.17: Spectrum of pressure measurement from the cavity microphone (Feng, 2011).....	99
Figure 4.18: Tyre acoustic pressure amplitude curve for the deformed tyre using the IMCM method.....	99
Figure 4.19: Tyre acoustic pressure amplitude curve for the deformed tyre using the IMCM method (From the assumed deformation height from Feng (2011)).....	100
Figure 4.20: Physical model.....	101
Figure 4.21: Impact test set-up.....	102
Figure 4.22: FRF amplitude and coherence curves of the physical model	

(with cylinder shell / tyre tread removed) under the impact excitation for z-direction (-----) and y-direction (——).....	102
Figure 4.23: Sound autospectrum at $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$ for 0-500 Hz...	103
Figure 4.24: Sound pressure FRF amplitude curve at $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$ for 0-500 Hz.....	103
Figure 4.25: Sound pressure FRF coherence.....	104
Figure 4.26: Sound pressure autospectrum at $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$ for 0-1000 Hz.....	104
Figure 4.27: Predicted and measured PSD or autospectrum of the cavity sound pressure with tyre damping 3% (point force excitation case).....	105
Figure 4.28: Aluminum physical mode (half of the size from acrylic model) and its VAOne model.....	106
Figure 4.29: Aluminium sheet sample size for the tensile test.....	107
Figure 4.30: Stress-strain curve from the aluminum sheet tensile test.....	107
Figure 4.31: Sound pressure autospectrum at $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$, speaker at $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W$, $\theta=\pi/2$ from measurement (——) and VAOne (-----)	108
Figure 5.1: Impedance tube setup.....	112
Figure 5.2: Trim samples measured.....	113
Figure 5.3: Sound absorption coefficients measured for 6 types of trim.....	113
Figure 5.4: Comparison of measured and predicted sound absorption coefficients in the frequency range of 0-500 Hz (Trim 1).....	116
Figure 5.5: Calculated sound pressure at the toroid cavity point of $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$ (0-500 Hz).....	118
Figure 5.6: Calculated sound pressure at the toroid cavity point of $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$ (200-270 Hz).....	118
Figure 5.7: Calculated sound pressure at the toroid cavity point of $r=(R_o+R_i)/2$, $z=W/2$, $\theta=0$, with tread damping=3% and cavity with Trim 1 (0-500 Hz).....	119
Figure 5.8: Installation of the trim onto the rim and tyre.....	120
Figure 5.9: Tyre-cavity-rim FRF from impact test (with Trim 1).....	121

Figure 5.10: Shaker excitation set up (deformed tyre).....	122
Figure 5.11: Acceleration frequency response function amplitude curves at the hub with and without trim at the tyre pressure of 19 psi for the case of the undeformed tyre, a) Vertical direction b) Fore-aft direction.....	122
Figure 5.12: Acceleration frequency response function amplitude curves at the hub with and without trim at the tyre pressure of 30 psi for the case of the undeformed tyre, a) Vertical direction b)Fore-aft direction.....	123
Figure 5.13: Acceleration frequency response function amplitude curves at the hub for the case of the deformed tyre without trim at tyre pressure of 19 psi (-----) and 30 psi (———), a) Fore-aft direction b) Vertical direction.....	124
Figure 5.14: Acceleration frequency response function amplitude at the hub for deformed case without trim (-----) and with trim (———) at 30 psi tyre pressure, a) Vertical direction b) Fore-aft direction.....	125
Figure 5.15: Acceleration frequency response function amplitude curves at the hub for the case of the deformed tyre without trim at tyre pressure of 19 psi (-----) and 30 psi (———), a) Fore-aft direction b) Vertical direction.....	126
Figure 5.16: Absorption coefficients of Trim 1 versus various thickness.....	127
Figure 5.17: Absorption coefficients of 20 cm polyfelt oversus various flow resistivity.....	127
Figure 5.18 (a): Absorption coefficients for polyfelt trim with various thickness while density at 50 kg/m ³	128
Figure 5.18 (b): Absorption coefficients for polyfelt trim with thickness=2 cm and various densities.....	129
Figure 5.19: Example of a multi-layer trim arrangement with perforated plate.....	131
Figure 5.20: Analogy of multi-layer impedance to electrical impedance approach according to Figure 5.19.....	132
Figure 5.21: Calculated sound absorption coefficient for the case with Trim	

1 only and Trim 2 only.....	134
Figure 5.22: Calculated sound absorption coefficient of Trim 1 and Trim 2 for the case with and without 1 cm air gap.....	135
Figure 5.23: Multilayer configuration with perforated plate, trim and air gap.....	135
Figure 5.24: Calculated sound absorption coefficient for (Trim 1 + Trim 2 + 1 cm Air gap) with perforated plate (hole radius = 10 mm, hole pitch = 20 mm, plate thickness 0.1 to 1 mm by 0.1 mm increment).....	136
Figure 5.25: Calculated sound absorption coefficient for (Trim 1 + Trim 2 + 1 cm Air gap) with perforated plate (plate thickness=0.1 mm, hole radius=10 mm, hole pitch 20 to 50 mm by 5 mm increment).....	136
Figure 5.26: Calculated sound absorption coefficient for (Trim 1 + Trim 2 + 1 cm Air gap) with perforated plate (plate thickness=0.1 mm, hole pitch=60 mm, hole radius 5 to 30 mm by 5 mm increment).....	137
Figure 5.27: Calculated sound absorption coefficient for (Trim 1 + Trim 2 + Air gap) with air gap varied from 10 to 100 mm by 10 mm increment.....	137
Figure 5.28: The sound pressure PSD amplitude peak values (mean of 16 points inside the tyre cavity) at 214 and 255 Hz versus Trim 1 thickness.....	138
Figure 5.29: The sound pressure PSD amplitude peak values (mean of 16 points inside the tyre cavity) at 214 and 255 Hz versus the Trim1 mass density where Trim 1 thickness was held constant at 20 mm.....	139
Figure 5.30: The sound pressure PSD amplitude peak values (mean of 16 points inside the tyre cavity) at 214 and 255 Hz versus the air gap where Trim 1 thickness was held constant at 20 mm.....	139
Figure 6.1: Nominally identical beverage cans subjected to acoustic excitation (Fahy, 2000).....	144
Figure 6.2: SEA model for two subsystems, modified from (Norton and	

Karczub, 2003).....	145
Figure 6.3: Cylinder shell breathing mode.....	148
Figure 6.4: Modal densities of a thin-walled circular shell (Fahy and Gardonio, 2007).....	150
Figure 6.5: Cylinder shell segment.....	151
Figure 6.6: Radiation efficiencies of uniformly vibrating cylinders (Fahy and Gardonio, 2007).....	155
Figure 6.7: Modal-average radiation efficiency of thin-walled, large diameter, circular cylindrical shells based on acoustical modes only (Szechenyi, 1971).....	156
Figure 6.8: Comparison of measured and theoretical estimates of radiation efficiency of circular cylindrical shells (Fahy and Gardonio, 2007).....	156
Figure 6.9: Non-dimensional wave number for cylinders (Szechenyi, 1971).....	157
Figure 6.10: Plate-cavity AutoSEA model.....	160
Figure 6.11: Calculated modal density to flat plate and comparison to AutoSEA.....	161
Figure 6.12: Calculated power input to flat plate and comparison to the AutoSEA result.....	162
Figure 6.13: Calculated plate and cavity coupling loss factors using analytical method and their comparison with those from the AutoSEA model.....	162
Figure 6.14: Calculated mean energies of plate and cavity using analytical method and their comparison with those from the AutoSEA model	163
Figure 6.15: Shell and cavity dimension for the SEA model.....	164
Figure 6.16: AutoSEA model of tyre-cavity.....	164
Figure 6.17: Calculated modal densities of cylinder shell and annular cavity using analytical method and their comparison with the AutoSEA model results	165
Figure 6.18: Calculated power input to cylinder shell using analytical method and its comparison with the AutoSEA model result ...	165

Figure 6.19: Calculated radiation efficiency (0-500 Hz) using analytical method and its comparison with the AutoSEA model result ...	166
Figure 6.20: Radiation efficiency (0-10000 Hz), from the AutoSEA model...	167
Figure 6.21: Coupling loss factor calculated using the radiation efficiency from the AutoSEA model and the actual coupling loss factors from the AutoSEA model.....	168
Figure 6.22: Analytically calculated mean energies using radiation efficiency from the AutoSEA model and the simulated mean energy from the AutoSEA model	168
Figure 6.23: Analytically calculated coupling loss factor of tyre tread and cavity using radiation efficiency and the simulated coupling loss factors from the AutoSEA model	169
Figure 6.24: Analytically calculated mean energies of the tyre tread and cavity using radiation efficiency and the simulated mean energy from the AutoSEA model	169
Figure 6.25: Coupling loss factors of tyre tread and cavity for 2-sided tread radiation.....	170
Figure 6.26: Mean energies of tyre tread and cavity for 2-sided tread radiation.....	170
Figure 6.27: Cavity internal loss factor and coupling loss factor.....	171
Figure 6.28: Coupling quotient from Equation (6.34).....	172
Figure 6.29: Tyre cavity damping loss factor from Trim 1 inclusion.....	173
Figure 6.30: Mean energies of the tyre tread and cavity.....	174
Figure 6.31: Mean energies of the tyre tread with and without Trim1 (50-300 Hz).....	174
Figure 7.1: Tabulation of tyre cavity SPL, 10% standard deviation of tread thickness.....	179
Figure 7.2: Tyre cavity SPL (dB) for values above average (blue) and below average (red), 10% standard deviation of tread thickness.....	180
Figure 7.3: Tyre cavity SPL (dB) for values above average (blue) and below average (red), 1% standard deviation of tread thickness.....	180
Figure 7.4: Mean energies from hybrid deterministic-SEA, $F = 1$ N (rms).....	184
Figure 7.5: Mean energies from hybrid deterministic-SEA, $F = 10$ N (rms)...	185

Figure 7.6: Mean energies from hybrid deterministic-SEA, $F = 10$ N (rms), 200-260 Hz.....	186
Figure 7.7: Mean energies of the tyre tread and cavity calculated from hybrid deterministic-SEA method when various thickness of Trim 1 is applied onto the tyre tread inner surface, $F = 10$ N (rms).....	188
Figure 7.8: Mean energies of the tyre tread and cavity calculated from hybrid deterministic-SEA method when various thickness of Trim 1 is applied onto the tyre tread inner surface, $F = 10$ N (rms).....	188
Figure 7.9: Physical model.....	189
Figure 7.10: Calibration of force transducer and its autospectrum.....	190
Figure 7.11: Position setup of microphones in the physical model.....	191
Figure 7.12: Mean cavity energy from the measurement, calculated and AutoSEA simulation.....	191

List of Tables

Table 3-1: First 10 TCR frequencies (undeformed tyre).....	38
Table 3-2: First 10 TCR frequencies (deformed tyre).....	40
Table 3-3: First 10 steel rim resonance frequencies.....	41
Table 3-4: Tyre material properties.....	46
Table 3-5: Some tyre material properties in literatures.....	47
Table 3-6: First 18 tyre resonance frequencies.....	48
Table 4-1: Roots of the characteristics equation from Equation (4.4).....	72
Table 4-2: First three TCR frequencies.....	74
Table 4-3: Normalised mode shape coefficients.....	75
Table 4-4: Tread natural frequencies for 180-250 Hz.....	79
Table 4-5: Normalised plate mode shape coefficients.....	79
Table 4-6: Material properties of the FE model.....	84
Table 4-7: Geometric mode shape coupling coefficients using FE model tyre-cavity natural frequencies.....	86
Table 5-1: Taguchi method analysis of the effect of the trim thickness, trim mass density and air gap on the PSD amplitude peak value at 214 Hz.....	13
Table 5-1: Taguchi method analysis of the effect of the trim thickness, trim mass density and air gap on the PSD amplitude peak value at 255 Hz.....	14

Nomenclature

J_m	Bessel function of the first kind, order m
Y_m	Bessel function of the second kind, order m
f_i	i th modal frequency
f_V	the vertical mode of the tyre cavity frequency
f_H	the fore-aft mode of the tyre cavity frequency
f_{lm}	tyre tread / cylinder shell natural frequency
i	the order of cavity resonance mode
l	torus length
m, n, l	mode order integer
A_{mn}	coefficient for Bessel function of the first kind
B_{mn}	coefficient for Bessel function of the second kind
f	frequency in Hertz
t	time in second
A_r, A_θ, A_z	coefficients of cylinder shell mode shapes
R_m	tyre cavity mid-radius
R	cylinder shell mid-radius
R_i	the inner radius of the tyre cavity
R_o	the outer radius of the tyre cavity
h	cylinder shell thickness
ν	Poisson's ratio
Ω_f	cylinder shell natural frequency
ρ_s	cylinder shell density

ϕ	cylinder shell mode shape function
Φ	phase angle
\mathbf{a}	the acoustic modal amplitude vector (Nx1)
\mathbf{b}	the structural modal amplitude matrix (Mx1)
ϕ^T	transpose of acoustic mode shape vector
$a_n(\omega)$	n^{th} complex amplitudes of acoustic mode shape
C_{mn}	element of a coupling coefficient matrix (MxN)
ρ_o	air density
$\alpha_n(\omega)$	cavity resonance terms
T_{60}	60 dB reverberation time
\mathbf{Z}_a	acoustic impedance matrix (NxN);
\mathbf{q}	generalized modal acoustic source strength vector (1xN)
α	sound absorption coefficient
E_1	Mean vibrational energy of subsystem 1
η_1	modal densities of subsystem 1 (tyre)
η_{12}	coupling loss factor from subsystem 1 to 2
Π_1	power input to subsystem 1
\mathbf{I}	Identity matrix (MxN)
M_1	mass of subsystem 1
$\langle \overline{P_2^2} \rangle$	time and space-averaged pressure square of subsystem 2 or cavity
V	volume of the enclosure or cavity
P	total edge length or circumference
k_1	structural wave number in the axial direction
n_1	modal density of type 1
Π	sound power

$\langle \overline{v_1^2} \rangle$	time and space-averaged mean-square vibration velocity of the structure
f_R	'plane stress' ring frequency in Hertz
f_r	'plane strain' ring frequency in Hertz
F_0	magnitude of point force
n_n	normalised modal density
f_0	centre frequency of a frequency band
\mathbf{D}_d	dynamic matrix of the tyre cavity
\mathbf{F}_k	vector of forces arising from subsystem k
$\langle \rangle$	spatial average
χ	roots of the Bessel's characteristic equation
ω_l	upper limit of frequency band
$H(f)$	frequency response function
$X(f)$	input of the system in frequency domain
$H_2(f)$	estimator of the frequency response functions
$S_{xx}(f)$	auto spectral density in the frequency domain of $X(t)$
$\gamma(\omega)^2$	coherence function
$X(t)$	input of the system in time domain
η_s	loss factor due to structural damping loss
σ_m	air flow resistivity
h_m	polyfelt trim thickness
η_j	loss factor due to structural boundary damping
k	wave number
ω	circular frequency in radian/second
c	speed of sound at 343 m/s

p	sound pressure
φ	acoustic mode shape function
r, θ, z	cylindrical coordinate for tyre cavity and cylinder shell
u, v, w	cylinder shell displacement directions
w_r	radial cylinder shell deformation
u_z	axial cylinder shell deformation
W	toroid @ tyre cavity width
v_θ	circumferential cylinder shell deformation
L	cylinder shell @ tyre tread width
E	Young's modulus
B_{ml}	normalised cylinder shell mode shape coefficient
U_{mnl}	normalisation factor
V_{mnl}	normalised mode shape coefficient
N_θ, N_z	limit number of cylinder shell mode shape terms
\mathbf{I}	unit matrix (MxN)
ϕ^T	transpose of structural mode shape vector
$b_m(\omega)$	n^{th} complex amplitudes of structural mode shape
g_m	generalised modal force
q_n	generalised acoustic strength
$\beta_m(\omega)$	structural resonance terms
η	damping loss factor
\mathbf{Y}_s	structural mobility matrix (MxM)
\mathbf{g}	generalised modal force vector (1xM)
E_2	Mean sound pressure wave energy of subsystem 2
η_2	modal density of subsystem 2 or cavity

η_{21}	coupling loss factor from subsystem 2 to 1
S	cylinder shell or tyre tread surface area
S_l	circumferential length of the cylinder shell
M_2	mass of subsystem 2
$\langle v_1^2 \rangle$	time and space-averaged velocity square of subsystem 1
A	total surface area
k_2	structural wave number in the circumferential direction
n_{II}	modal density of type 2
σ	radiation efficiency
W	axial width of tyre cavity
f_c	critical frequency in Hertz
P_{in}	input power
L	axial width of tyre tread or cylinder shell
ω_r	'plane strain' ring frequency in radian
ω_R	'plane stress' ring frequency in radian
\mathbf{F}	vector of external forces applied directly to the cavity
$\mathbf{D}_{dir}^{(k)}$	direct field dynamic stiffness matrix for subsystem k
$\mathbf{F}_{rev}^{(k)}$	reverberant field force vector
ω_2	lower limit of frequency band
$Y(f)$	output of the system in frequency domain
$H(f)$	estimator of the frequency response functions
$S_{xy}(f)$	cross spectral density in the frequency domain of $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$
$S_{yy}(f)$	auto spectral density in the frequency domain of $Y(t)$
$S_{yx}(f)$	cross spectral density in the frequency domain of $Y(t)$ and $X(t)$
$Y(t)$	output of the system in time domain

η_{rad}	loss factor due to acoustic radiation damping
ρ_m	polyfelt trim density
κ, γ, d	air flow resistivity constants
Superscript	
*	complex conjugate
T	transpose
'	first derivative
-	time average

Abbreviations

SEA statistical energy analysis

FE finite element

@ also known as

EMA experimental modal analysis

rms root mean square