FINAL EXAMINAT



**ISING MICROSOFT** 

ADRIAN YONG WENG HOONG

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE DEGREE OF COMPUTER SCIENCE (SOFTWARE ENGINEERING) WITH HONOURS

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SYSTEM & SOFTWARE ENGINEERING UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECEMBER 2014

### ABSTRACT

The Final Examination Question Paper Generator is developed to assists lecturers of Faculty of Computer System & Software Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang to be more efficient in producing a high quality final examination question paper, able to identify the Bloom's Taxonomy cognitive level of questions and producing a question paper that complies with Universiti Malaysia Pahang's final examination question paper format. This project is to develop a functional Final Examination Question Paper that complies with Universiti Maaysia Pahang's final examination question paper specifications. The system developed is an add-in to Microsoft Word 2010 which is developed using Rapid Application Development model. By using this model fast prototypes and various tests can be made. This system is developed using Visual Basic for Application which is sub-language of Visual Basic but is tailored to further extend the functionalities of Microsoft's application. In conclusion, this project is a Final Examination Question Paper Generator which is able to produce high quality final examination question paper in terms of formatting and questions

### ABSTRAK

Penjana Kertas Peperiksaan Akhir dibangunkan untuk membantu pensyarah Fakulti Sistem Komputer & Kejuruteraan Perisian, Universiti Malaysia Pahang untuk menjadi lebih effisen dalam menghasilkan kertas soalan peperiksaan akhir yang berkualiti tinggi, mengenalpasti tahap kognitif Taksonomi Bloom dalam soalan dan menghasilkan kertas soalan yang mematuhi format kertas soalan peperiksaan akhir Universiti Malaysia Pahang. Projek ini adalah untuk membangunkan satu penjana kertas soalan peperiksaan yang mematuhi spesifikasi kertas soalan peperiksaan akhir Universiti Maaysia Pahang. Sistem yang dibangunkan adalah "add-in" untuk Microsoft Word 2010 yang dibangunkan menggunakan model "Rapid Application Development". Dengan menggunakan model ini, prototaip pantas dan pelbagai ujian boleh dibuat. Sistem ini dibangunkan dengan menggunakan "Visual Basic untuk Application" yang merupakan sub-bahasa pengaturan Visual Basic tetapi disesuaikan untuk melanjutkan lagi fungsi permohonan Microsoft. Kesimpulannya, projek ini merupakan Peperiksaan Akhir Soalan Kertas Generator yang mampu untuk menghasilkan berkualiti tinggi kertas soalan peperiksaan akhir dari segi format dan soalan

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

Declaration	i
Supervisor declaration	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ABSTRAK	v
Table of Content	vi
List of Figure	viii
List of Tables	ix
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WORK	3
1.3 CURRENT SYSTEM AND ITS LIMITATION	5
1.4 TERMINOLOGY	5
1.5 METHODS OF APPROACH	6
1.6 SCOPE	8
1.7 OUTLINE	9
2 User Requirements	10
2.1 System functions	10
2.3 Data Flow Diagram Level-0	12
2.4 Data Flow Diagram Level-1	13
2.5 Use case diagram	19
2.7 Development environment	26
3 SYSTEM DESIGN	28
3.1 Modules	28
3.2 Dialogue diagram of Final Examination Question Generator	29
3.3 Entity Relation Data Model	29
3.4 Data Dictionary	30
3.5 User interface	32

3.5.1 Main menu	32
3.5.2 Front page create menu	33
3.5.3 New Question menu	34
3.5.4 Manage question menu	36
3.5.5 Review summary Menu	37
3.5.6 Manage Lecturer Data Menu	38
3.5.7 Manage course data menu	39
4 IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING	40
4.1 Implementation	40
4.1.1 Implementation of exam generator ribbon	40
4.1.2 Implementation of front page creator	41
4.1.3 Implementation of new question menu	42
4.1.4 Implementation of question manger	44
4.1.5 Implementation of review summary menu	45
4.1.7 Implementation of manage course data menu	47
4.2 Testing	48
5 CONCLUSION	53
5.1 Conclusions	53
5.2 Future works	53
REFERENCES	54
Appendix A: Gantt chart	55
Appendix B: User acceptance test form	57
APPENDIX C: SOURCE CODE	65

## LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1-1: Question designer in Exam-software test engine	4
Figure 2-1: Context diagram of Final Examination Question Paper Generator	11
Figure 2-2: Data flow diagram Level-0 of Final Examination Question	Paper
Generator	12
Figure 2-3: Data flow diagram level-1(create front page)	13
Figure 2-4: Data flow diagram level-1(manage questions)	14
Figure 2-5: Data flow diagram level-1(specify format)	15
Figure 2-6: Data flow diagram level-1(generate question summary)	16
Figure 2-7: Data flow diagram level-1 (manage lecturer database)	17
Figure 2-8: Data flow diagram level-1 (manage course database)	18
Figure 2-9: Use case diagram	19
Figure 3-1: Draft of Final Examination Question Paper Generator dialog diagram	29
Figure 3-2: Entity Relation Data Model for the databases used in Final Examin	nation
Question Generator	29
Figure 3-3: Location of Exam Generator in a typical Microsoft Word 2010 ribbon	32
Figure 3-4: Create front page menu	33
Figure 3-5: New question menu (objective question mode)	34
Figure 3-6: New question menu (subjective question mode)	34
Figure 3-7: Manage question menu	36
Figure 3-8: Review summary menu	37
Figure 3-9: Manage Lecturer Data menu	38
Figure 3-10: Manage course data menu	39
Figure 4-1: Exam generator ribbon	40
Figure 4-2: Create front page menu	41
Figure 4-3: new question menu (objective question mode)	42
Figure 4-4: new question menu (subjective question mode)	42
Figure 4-5: Question manager menu	44
Figure 4-6: Review summary menu	45
Figure 4-7: Manage lecturer data menu	46
Figure 4-8: Manage course data menu	47

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Software development lifecycle model	7
Table 2-1: Create Frontpage use case description	20
Table 2-2: Create questions use case description	22
Table 2-3: Manage lecturer use case description	23
Table 2-4: Update course database use case description	24
Table 2-5: Generate question paper use case description	25
Table 2-6: Update question bank use case description	26
Table 2-7: Review question paper use case description	26
Table 3-1: Data dictionary for table LecturerData	30
Table 3-2: Data dictionary for table CoursetData	30
Table 3-3: Data dictionary for table objQuestionBank	31
Table 3-4: Data dictionary for table subjQuestionBank	31
Table 3-5: Data dictionary for table minorQuestionBank	31

## **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

Examinations are one of the assessment methods to evaluate the knowledge of students. In preparing the students for examination lecturers have to prepare the examination paper by themselves. However the lecturers have other responsibilities other than to prepare examination question paper like prepare teaching material for students, research, administrative duties and also to teach students.

The current situation for lecturers in preparing the final examination question paperis to manually format after keying in the question paper. Even a proper template this process can be a lengthy process. The time taken for preparing question paper can be shortened so that lecturers can have more time for other responsibilities. The lecturers also have to determine whether the questions as defined in teaching plan follow Bloom's Taxonomy.

Bloom's taxonomy consists of cognitive, affective and psychomotor domains of a learning process. Cognitive domain of learning consists of 6 categories namely: knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis and evaluation. While psychomotor domain consists of 7 categories: perception, set, guided response, mechanism, complex overt response, adaptation, and origination. Evaluators commonly will evaluate students based these levels to grasp their level of learning.

With this system, lecturers can produce high quality format questions paper faster. This system will be implemented at a pilot stage which is at a faculty level of institution of higher learning.

### **1.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT AND OBJECTIVE**

A generator allows lecturer to be more efficient in producing high quality format question papers within a shorter time compare to the manual method.

A generator that complies with the university's question paper format specification allows question paper to be more presentable for reviewers.

This system will also allow lecturer to identify the level of difficulty of the questions and examination paper in general.

This project hopes to fulfil the following objectives:

a) To developer a functional examination paper generator that complies with Universiti Malaysia Pahang's exam question specification while able to evaluate question into its taxonomy category.

- b) To obtain the requirement to fulfil final examination paper specification.
- c) To test the system for future deployment within the faculty

### **1.2 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS WORK**

#### a) Problem-Attic

Problem-Attic was developed by EducAide Software. This proprietary software is able to access over 90,000 questions of various subjects and topics from a variety of sources. It allows user to manage question paper, format question paper according to type of questions (multiple choice question, essay, short answers) and also print question papers. (EduAid software, 2013)

This system is able to obtain questions from storage (question bank). This system also allows pictures to be added into the system.

#### b) Examview assessment suite

Examview assessment suite was developed by Turning Technologies. This proprietary software contains question banks and more than 10,000 textbooks from over 65 publishers. It also supports Optical Character Recognition for converting hardcopy to softcopy. It also can generate tests from random questions stored in its database. It also allows online test using their CPS student response system and formats test papers. This system also can dynamically change the values in a question but maintain its concept. (eInstruction, 2014)

This system does not have optical character recognition function. It also does not evaluate the Bloom taxonomy category of the questions made.

### c) Exam Software Test Engine

Exam Software Test Engine is a freeware test management software. It supports Multilanguage and is ideal for competitive exams whereby examinee have to answer multiple choice questions. Parents can keep track of their child's performance easily while teachers can conduct assessment easily. Exam Software test engine allows teachers to set the marking system of the assessment. It allows teachers to include graphics, audio and video in the question. It also has email notification, facility. It also encrypts its file in .exm format. (Exam-software.com, 2013)

(1) Question Designer				
Question No: 9 Question Type: Multiple Choice Multiple Answers Multiple Choice Single Answer Multiple Choice Single Answer	Points (Marks): 1 Negative Marking: 0 % Time Allocation: 120 <sub>Sec</sub>	ן <b>א</b>	Options: Arteries carry blood away from the heart, while veins carry it to the heart.	ج ج 1
The of False Fill in The Blanks True of False Yes or No <u>Essay Type Descriptive Answer</u> arteries. What is the difference betw	Topic1.ist	Γ2	Veins are much wider than arteries.	
(Select multiple)		<u>г з</u>	Veins carry only red blood cells, while arteries carry only white blood cells.	<   >
Explanation:	<u> </u>	Γ4	Arteries are permanent, but veins are constantly being broken down and replaced.	< >
Veins and arteries are connected by vessels called "capillaries", in which exchanged between the blood and	y much smaller blood <u>n</u> substances are the body's tissues.	় স	Veins have valves but arteries do not.	
	*	F 6		-
Security Settings Attach Image	Attach Audio Arideo	Spel	Check Save Delete Clear C	lose

Figure 1-1: Question designer in Exam-software test engine

This system does not have encryption function and the questions are not able to be evaluated automatically into its Bloom taxonomy category.

### 1.3 CURRENT SYSTEM AND ITS LIMITATION

There is no such system to format the examination papers in Microsoft Word; lecturers have to manually format the papers using Microsoft Word. Manually formatting the question according to the guidelines can be a tricky process as some configuration can affect whole paper's layout. With this system lecturers can optimise their time for other activities such as administrative work, research and also lecture preparation.

### 1.4 TERMINOLOGY

Abbreviation	Definition		
FSKKP	'Fakulti Sistem Komputer dan Kejuruteraan		
	Perisian'		
GB	Gigabyte		
GHz -	Giga Hertz		
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics		
	Engineering, Inc.		
RAD	Rapid Application Development		
SDD	Software Design Description		
SRS	Software Requirement Specification		
UML	Unified Modelling Language		

## 1.5 METHODS OF APPROACH

Source	Model	Stages	Scenario	Comments
	name			
Ritting	Rapid	1. Requirement	Small &	To provide a
house,	Applicatio	analysis	medium	prototype for
2004	n	2. Design	projects	the client. An
	Developm	3. prototyping		iterative
	et (RAD)	4. Development		development
		5. Integration		process.
		6. Testing		Continuous
				engagement
				with client
Boehm	Spiral	1. Determine	High risk	Allow for
1988	model	objective,	project	continuous risk
		2. Evaluate,		assessment for
		identify, resolve		lesser bugs.
		risks		Mainly for high
		3. Develop		risk projects
		4. Plan next		such as atm
		phase		system
Schaw	Scrum	1. Sprint	Complex	Uses an
aber &		- planning	but low	iterative,
Sutherl		2. Daily scrum	risk	incremental
and,		3. Sprint review	project	approach to
2013		4. Sprint		optimize
		retrospective		predictability
				and control risk
				in a software
				project
Royce,	. Waterfall	1. System	For large	Lack of

Table 1.1 shows the comparison of several software development lifecycle models.

1970	model	requirements	systems	backtracking
		2. Software		incases of errors
		requirement		in one of the
		3. Analysis		stages
		4. Program		
		design		
		5. Coding		
		6. Testing		
		7. operations		
	1		1	

Table 1-1: Software development lifecycle model

As mentioned above Rapid Application development is a methodology which allows client's to see a prototype of the system. This helps in requirements elicitation and also as a milestone for the project. Waterfall model when compared to RAD, waterfall does not allow the backtracking of one stage to the previous stage while RAD is a continuous iteration of the process till a finished product is produced. But when compared to spiral model, RAD lacks in the risk assessment management of the project. Spiral model allows bugs and errors to be continuously resolved. For scrum model, it is more suitable for project which progress is predictable. The scrum models does not cope to risk better than spiral model, it need scrum team member to communicate often to track progress and to solve problem that the team members could not solve individually.

For this project Rapid Application Development model will be used. This is because the project scale is within the small- medium range. The prototypes developed in this project can be used as a milestone to track its progress and be used as a next module requirement aid. By using prototypes it allows the developer to engage with the client continuously to obtain feedback mostly on usability. Tests are run at the end of each module production to ensure compatibility between modules and lower the risk of bugs and error. This model allows the progress to speed up the development process as the goals become clearer.

## 1.6 SCOPE

The scope of this project is defined as below:

## User

a) The users of this system will be the lecturers from FSKKP

## System

- a) A system of the Microsoft Word using visual basic for application (VBA) extension
- b) A system that allows Microsoft word user to produce a properly formatted final examination paper which complies with UMP examination guidelines.
- c) Contains a module to determine the category of questions based on Bloom's Taxonomy using brute force string matching.

### **1.7 OUTLINE**

This document consists of four (4) parts: introduction, system specifications, system design and conclusion. The specifications and system design description will be discussed in chapter 2 and chapter 3 respectively and the project's fulfilment condition will be stated in part 4, conclusion.

For requirements specification, it will specify what the system will do. This deliverable of this phase will be a Software Requirements (SRS). Interviews sessions will be held with the FSKKP lecturers to obtain the problem faced without this system and their functions they hope be included in this system. A survey is done to investigate the problems in formatting the final examinations paper and also additional requirements from the stakeholders (Lecturer) of this project. Also a document analysis will be done in order to study the examination format guideline set by the university. Once the requirement has been collected and compiled in the SRS, the client will need to sign an agreement as a proof of agreement on the contents stated in the SRS. In the SRS, flowcharts will be used to depict the functionality of the system. UML diagrams such as Use Case diagram and Use Case description will be used to represent the functionalities of the system graphically.

For design description, it will specify how the system will work. The deliverable of this phase will be a Software Design Document (SDD). The SDD will be the main reference used during the development phase of this project. In SDD, the data dictionary, variable and also equations of the system will be shown. The hardware and software used by this system will be shown.

Chapter 4 will include the condition of the project fulfilment, system results. The objective of this project will be revised and concluded. The limitations of this project will also be stated along with the possibilities future enhancement to the project

## **CHAPTER 2**

#### **USER REQUIREMENTS**

In this chapter, the user requirements for Final Examination Questions Generator will be discussed. The purpose of the chapter is to identify the functionalities, users and the environment where this system will be deployed.

### 2.1 System functions

This system shall:

- a) Allow users to create the front page of the final examination question paper
- b) Allow users to select existing questions from a question bank to be included the final examination question paper
- c) Allow users to insert/ create new examination questions into the question bank
- d) Evaluates the questions based on Bloom's Taxonomy(cognitive)
- e) Calculate the total marks of the created question paper
- f) Allow users to review the summary of the created final examination question paper
- g) Allow users to manage the lecturer database, course database

## 2.2 Context Diagram



Figure 2-1 shows the context diagram of the Final Examination Question Generator system.

Figure 2-1: Context diagram of Final Examination Question Paper Generator



Figure 2-2 shows the data flow diagram level-0 of Final Examination Question Generator.

Figure 2-2: Data flow diagram Level-0 of Final Examination Question Paper Generator

## 2.4 Data Flow Diagram Level-1

Create front page module will allow lecturers to create front page using data stored in lecturer and course database. Refer to Figure 2-3.



Figure 2-3: Data flow diagram level-1(create front page)

The generate question module allow users to select existing question from question bank or create a new question which will be store in the question bank later. The created/ selected question will then be evaluated based on taxonomy category and its summary which includes evaluation results and marks will be sent to review question summary module. The question details will then be sent to format specification module for draft creation later. Refer to Figure 2-4.



Figure 2-4: Data flow diagram level-1(manage questions)

The specify format module will generate the examination question paper based on the inputs from the manage question module and create front page module. Refer Figure 2-5.



Figure 2-5: Data flow diagram level-1(specify format)

The review question summary allows lecturers to view the final examination question paper draft and the question summary (taxonomy category and marks). Refer to Figure 2-6.



Figure 2-6: Data flow diagram level-1(generate question summary)

The manage lecturer database module allows lecturers to manage (add, delete, update) lecturer data in lecturer database for this system's use. Refer to Figure 2-7.



Figure 2-7: Data flow diagram level-1 (manage lecturer database)