



## WASTE MINIMIZATION BY RECYCLING OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE

MOHAMAD SHARIFF BIN RAMLI



A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the undergraduate project Bachelor in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering

University of Malaysia Pahang

June 2012

## **ABSTRACT**

Growth in construction activities generates construction waste which is fast becoming a serious environmental problem with deadly consequences. Most of the construction and demolition waste in our country are not recycled but end up in landfills occupying valuable land not to mention the cost incurred in land filling. In line with this, a study on the benefits of waste minimization, the materials which could be recycled, methods used to dispose waste materials and the factors as to why recycling is not popular was carried out. The scope of study covers construction companies which are registered with either CIDB (Construction Industry Development Board) or Grade 5, 6, 7 or PKK (Pusat Khidmat Kontraktor) of Grade A,B, and C in Johor, Melaka, Selangor and Kuala Lumpur in the building construction industry, the study was carried out by interview and also questionnaire. A total of 20 respondents have submitted the completed questionnaire. Data was analyzed using average index. From the study, the benefits of waste minimization are conservation of natural resources, increase profit, improve company image and achieve a cleaner and safer construction site. The material which could be recycled are ferrous metal, non-ferrous metal, asphalt, brick, concrete, timber, glass, masonry, paper and cardboard, plastic and fibre-reinforced polymer (FRP). The methods of waste disposal are landfill, dumping, open air burning, recycling, reuse and incineration.

## ABSTRAK

Peningkatan industri pembangunan memberi kesan yang ketara terhadap penjanaaan sisa pembinaan yang merupakan suatu masalah serius pencemaran alam sekitar yang bakal membawa impak teruk. Kebanyakan sisa pepejal industri pembangunan dan perobohan di negara ini tidak dikitar semula tetapi dilupuskan di dalam tanah. Kaedah kambus tanah bukan sahaja menyumbangkan kos yang tinggi tetapi menggunakan kawasan tanah yang bernilai. Sehubungan dengan itu, satu kajian tentang faedah meminimumkan sisa pepejal, bahan-bahan yang dapat dikitar semula, kaedah-kaedah pelupusan sisa bahan dan factor-faktor mengapa kaedah kitar semula tidak popular telah dijalankan. Kajian tersebut difokuskan hanya untuk syarikat-syarikat pembinaan Gred 5, 6 dan 7 CIDB (Construction Industry Development Board) dan syarikat-syarikat pembinaan Gred A, B dan C dari PKK (Pusat Khidmat Kontraktor) di Johor, Melaka, Selangor dan Kuala Lumpur. Dua kaedah untuk menjayakan kajian ialah dengan cara menemubual dan boring soal selidik. Sebanyak 20 responden telah menjawab borang soal selidik. Data dianalisis menggunakan indeks purata. Daripada kajian, faedah meminimumkan sisa binaan ialah pemuliharaan alam, meningkatkan keuntungan, meningkatkan imej syarikat dan memastikan tapak pembinaan yang bersih dan selamat. Antara bahan yang dapat dikitar semula ialah logam ferus, logam bukan ferus, asphalt, bata, konkrit, kayu, gelas, masonri, kertas dan kadbod, plastic dan polimer gentian bertetulang (FRP). Bahan binaan dapat dilupuskan dengan cara kambus tanah, 'dumping', pembakaran terbuka, kitar semula dan incinerator.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Preface	1
	1.2 Background of Study	3

1.3	Problem Statement	4
1.4	Objectives	5
1.5	Scope of Study	6
1.6	Justification	6
1.7	Flowchart of Research Methodology	7
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Introduction	8
2.2	Definition of Construction Waste	10
2.3	Definition of Management	11
2.4	Definition Recycling of Construction Waste	12
2.5	Construction Waste Generation	12
2.5.1	Design	13
2.5.2	Procurement	14
2.5.3	Handling	14
2.5.4	Construction/Renovation	14
2.5.5	Demolition Works	16
2.6	Types of Construction Wastes	18
2.7	Management of Waste Materials	19
2.7.1	Source Reduction	19
2.7.1.1	Benefits of Waste	20
	Minimization	

2.7.2	Reuse	21
2.7.3	Recycling	21
2.7.4	Incineration	22
2.7.5	Landfill	23
2.8	On-site Recycling	24
2.9	Job-site Recycling	25
<b>3</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION WASTE RECYCLING</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1	Introduction	26
3.1.1	Asphalt	27
3.1.1.1	Processing of Asphalt	27
3.1.1.2	Asphalt Recycle	29
	Opportunity	
3.1.2	Brick	29
3.1.3	Concrete	30
3.1.3.1	Processing of Concrete	30
3.1.4	Ferrous Metal	31
3.1.5	Glass	32
3.1.6	Masonry	34
3.1.7	Non-ferrous Metal	34
3.1.8	Paper and Cardboard	35
3.1.9	Plastic	35

3.1.10	Timber	37
3.1.11	Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP)	38
3.2	Specification for Recovered Construction and Demolition Debris	39
3.3	General Issues Affecting Recycling	39
3.3.1	Contamination	39
3.3.2	Collection and Transport	39
3.3.3	Sorting, Transforming and Disposing	40
3.3.4	Standards	40
3.3.5	Size of Market	41
3.3.6	Requirement for Information	41
3.3.7	Time Penalty Clauses	41
3.3.8	Organizational Obstacles	42
3.4	Actions Required to Overcome the Constraints	42
3.4.1	Market Factors	43
3.4.2	Organizational Factors	43
3.4.3	Technical Factors	44
3.4.4	Economic Factors	45
3.5	Environmental Aspect of Utilization	45
3.6	Requirements for Recycling of C&D Waste	47

	3.7 Management of Debris from Natural and Human-made Disasters	47
	3.7.1 Natural Disasters	48
	3.7.2 Human-made Disasters	48
<b>4</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>49</b>
	4.1 Introduction	49
	4.2 Preliminary Stage of Study	50
	4.3 Literature Review	50
	4.4 Information and Data Collection	51
	4.5 Analysis Stage	51
	4.5.1 Average Index	52
	4.5.2 Mean Index	53
	4.5.3 Mean	53
	4.5.4 Median	54
	4.5.5 Mod	54
<b>5</b>	<b>RESULT AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	<b>56</b>
	5.1 Introduction	56
	5.2 Project and Respondents' Background Information	57
	5.3 Factors Which Cause Generation of Waste	60



5.4	Methods Used to Dispose Construction Waste	62
5.5	Materials Which Could Be Recycled	65
5.6	Problems in Recycling of Construction Wastes	68
5.7	Factors to Encourage Recycling of Wastes	70
5.8	Practice of Waste Minimization	71
5.8.1	Waste Minimization Methods	71
5.8.2	Benefits of Waste Minimization	73
5.9	Adequacy of EQA on Construction Wastes	74
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1	Introduction	75
6.2	Conclusion	76
6.2.1	Benefits of Waste Minimization	77
6.2.2	Commonly Recycled Materials	78
6.2.3	Methods Used to Dispose of Construction Waste Materials	79
6.2.4	Problems In Recycling Construction Wastes	80
6.3	Recommendations	81
6.4	Recommendations for Further Studies	83

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>84</b>
-------------------	-----------

<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>87</b>
-------------------	-----------

---

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Causes of waste in different project phase	13
2.2	Construction waste generated National Building- Related C&D Debris	17
3.1	Reuse of demolished concrete (Kawano, 1995)	31
5.1	Number of respondents and the success rate from walk in interview and by post	58
5.2	Average index for the factors which cause generation of waste	61
5.3	Average index for the types and frequency of methods used to dispose of construction waste	62
5.4	Number of respondents and the average index of the construction waste materials	66
5.5	Problems faced in recycling of construction wastes	69
5.6	Methods used to minimize construction wastages at sites	72

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	National GDP and Construction GDP of 1974 to 2000	1
1.2	Flowchart of Research Methodology	7
2.1	Percentage of solid waste in 1994 by Hassan et al. (1998)	9
2.2	Hierarchy of construction and demolition waste (Peng et al., 1997)	9
2.3	Stages in construction	15
2.4	Composition of wastes at site, R.A. Begum et al. / Resources, Conservation and Recycling 48 (2006) 86D	18
2.5	Structure of the integrated dismantling and recycling system	21
5.1	Project locations by state	58
5.2	Types of construction projects already carried out by the various respondents	59

5.3	Number of projects completed in the last five years by the respondents	59
5.4	Types and frequency of the factors which cause generation of waste	60
5.5	Types and frequency of methods commonly used to dispose of waste materials at construction site	62
5.6	Person in charge of waste disposal at construction sites	64
5.7	Frequency and the types of construction materials which could be recycled	65
5.8	Problems faced in recycling of construction wastes	68
5.9	Factors to encourage recycling of construction wastes	70
5.10	Methods which could be used to minimize construction wastages	71
5.11	Benefits of construction waste minimization	74
5.12	Adequacy of Environmental Quality Act on construction wastes	74

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Survey form	87
B1	Pictures of material which could be recycled	94
B2	Concrete recycling	95

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Preface

Under the 9<sup>th</sup> Malaysian Plan, our government has given a much needed boost to the flagging construction industry. A total of 880 projects worth RM15 billion will be tendered under the first rollout of the 9<sup>th</sup> Malaysian Plan. Among the projects are 450 primary and secondary schools, roads, bridges, water supply projects in Terengganu and the Integrated Transportation Terminal in Gombak. (The Star, 19 July 2006)

Chart 1: National GDP and the Construction GDP of 1974 to 2000




Figure 1.1: National GDP and Construction GDP of 1974 to 2000

As can be seen in Figure 1.1, construction projects are needed to give momentum the economy, increase the standard of living and provide jobs, construction by nature is not an environmentally-friendly industry. Air, water, noise and land pollution can all be linked to the construction industry. Natural disasters such as landslides and flooding could be caused by inappropriate construction management. Construction industry could also cause adverse health problems in humans. Lack of concern for the environmental consequences of large development projects has proved costly in developed countries.

In the United States construction industry alone, the EPA (USA's Environment Protection Agency) estimates the 136 million tons of construction and demolition waste are produced yearly (Recycling Today, 16 March 2004). The construction industry in our country is fast becoming a big waste generator. The extensive building and infrastructure development projects have led to a huge increase of construction and demolition waste recently.

With that in mind, the government introduced EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment) in 1987 within the framework of the Environmental Quality Act (EQA). Environmental impact assessment (EIA) is a legal measure to curb environmental pollution and ecological destruction at the source. Environmental review of projects prevents not only environmental degradation but also construction errors and faulty economic analysis.

Waste management is now no longer an option but a necessity. Recycling has been identified as one of the best options to convert the waste materials into recycled contents. Sadly though, our national domestic recycling rate still hovers around a mere five percent. (Bernama, 9 February 2006)



Wastages affect not only our environment but also incur extra costs to the contractors and developers. Many countries especially the developed countries have started to aggressively recycle the construction materials due to its many benefits. In Malaysia, there is a huge potential in recycling of construction waste and if implemented correctly will only bring about a construction resurgence.

## **1.2 Background of Study**

Construction waste is defined as the by-product generated and removed from construction, demolition and renovation workplaces or sites of building and civil engineering structure. (Cheung, 1993)

As concerns grow over the amount of wastes generated in the construction industry, recycling has been identified as one of the most feasible way to overcome construction wastes. In many cases, up to 90 percent of construction wastes are recyclable. Recycling of construction materials can be defined as the separation and recycling of recoverable waste materials generated during construction and remodeling. Packaging, new material scraps and old materials and debris all constitute potentially recoverable materials. In renovation, appliances, masonry materials, doors and windows are recyclable.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

The construction and demolition industries generate a lot of debris that can be reused and recycled. Most construction waste goes into landfills, increasing the burden on landfill loading and operation. Waste from sources such as solvents or chemically treated wood can result in soil and water pollution. With concerns over scarce landfills, construction waste has been identified as a potential source of waste reduction. (Environmental Protection Agency, 2001)

In recent years, the construction industry has depleted our natural resources and this is naturally followed by environmental degradation. Without environmental awareness, contractors have caused irreversible damage to the environment by disposing of waste materials blatantly. For instance the Sipadan coral reefs were damaged by the construction of a RM4.5 million clubhouse project on Pulau Sipadan (The Star, 28 July 2006). Beside this, our air and water sources are also being polluted and this will lead to health complications in the later future.

It is then obvious that waste management should be implemented. Reducing, reusing and recycling appear to be profitable alternatives that will increase the lifetime of landfills and reduce exploration of natural resources (Woollesy, 2000). Most importantly, our environment will not face more deterioration. In addition to the environmental benefits in reducing the demand of land for disposing the waste, the recycling of construction wastes can also help to conserve natural materials and reduce the cost of waste treatment prior to disposal (Poon, 2002).

The most important step for recycling of construction waste is on-site separation. Construction personnel must be trained in material sorting policy and bins must be monitored periodically to prevent waste mixing. The waste materials can then be sent to relevant companies which recycle construction wastes.

The public, businesses, developers, contractors, architects and engineers has to be educated on how to minimize waste and the importance of recycling construction wastes. Our authorities could play a part too by introducing adequate legislation, enforcing the laws and prosecute the guilty parties who do not follow the law. With the expansion of urban areas, all relevant parties should ensure that waste is minimized and there is a full implementation of recycling waste materials to steer the construction industry in the right direction.

#### **1.4 Objectives**

The purpose of my study is to minimize wastages at construction sites by recycling of construction wastes.

In order to ensure this study meets its purpose, the objectives are:

- a) To study the benefits of waste minimization
- b) To identify materials which could be recycled
- c) To identify the methods used to dispose of the waste materials in Malaysia
- d) To identify the factors why recycling is not a popular choice to dispose off

## **1.5 Scope of Study**

The study covers construction companies which are registered with either CIDB (Construction Industry Development Board) of Grade 5, 6, 7 or PKK (Pusat Khidmat Kontraktor) of a Grade A, B and C in Kelantan and Pahang.

## **1.6 Justification**


This study is done due to many valid reasons. The main reason is better environmental awareness is sorely needed in lieu of the numerous developments going on in our country. Once there is awareness, the contractors could be trained properly on environmental management and the benefits of waste minimization on construction sites. Other than that, this research hopes to convince the contractors, developers and everyone who is involved in the construction industry that recycling is the best option to dispose of the waste materials.

With recycling, the waste materials will not be dumped indiscriminately, sent to incinerators or burnt on-site or sent to landfills. Contractors could just send the materials to recycling centers. This way, our country can cut down on landfill sites and protect our natural surroundings from further degradation.

Recycling is an economically viable option. From recycling of construction wastes, contractors could recoup their losses and make a healthy profit out of it. In the United States, there is a big market for recycled construction wastes. In Malaysia,

recycling is still in its infancy and there is much potential still untapped in the recycling industry.

### 1.7 Flowchart of Research Methodology



**Figure 1.2:** Flowchart of Research Methodology


## CHAPTER 2

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction


Construction waste is becoming a serious environmental in many cities around the world (Chen et al., 2002; Ferguson et al., 1995; Shen et al., 2000, 2002; Smallwood, 2000; Wong and Tanner, 1997). Construction and demolition (C&D) debris frequently makes up 10% of the waste received at many landfill sites around the world (Fishbein, 1998). Construction and demolition waste according to the EU Waste Strategy is considered as one of the 'priority' waste streams. According to the Sixth Environment Action Program entitled 'Environment 2010: Our Future, Our Choice', recommendation actions need to be taken with respect to the stream of C&D waste, (EC, 2001).

In Malaysia, the construction industry generates a lot of construction waste which may cause significant damage to our environment. Waste minimization and effective and sustainable waste management is thus a most pressing issue nowadays. However, data is not readily available on the current structure of construction waste flows by the source of generation, type of waste, intermediate and final disposal.



**Figure 2.1** Percentage of solid waste in 1994 by Hassan et al. (1998)

From figure 2.1, it can be concluded that construction waste make up a large portion of solid waste in Malaysia. Construction and demolition waste is most often disposed of in landfills; however recent recognition of the potential for diversion from the landfills of more and more waste components has led to construction and demolition waste becoming a target of interest for recycling (Peng et al., 1997) (Trankler et al., 1996).



**Figure 2.2:** Hierarchy of construction and demolition waste (Peng et al., 1997)

Recycling being one of the strategies in minimization of waste, offers three benefits (Edwards, 1999):

- i. Reduce the demand upon new resources
- ii. Cut down on transport and production energy costs and
- iii. Use waste which would otherwise be lost to landfill sites

## **2.2 Definition of construction waste**

The waste building materials, packaging and rubble resulting from construction, remodeling, repair and demolition operations on pavements, houses, commercial buildings and other structures.

(U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, USEPA)

Waste is defined as the by-product generated and removed from construction, renovation and demolition workplaces or sites of building and civil engineering structure.

(Cheung, 1993)

Waste is defined as any material by-product of human and industrial activity that has no residual value.

(Serpell and Alarcon, 1998)