Production of CO-rich Hydrogen Gas from Methane Dry Reforming over Co/CeO$_2$ Catalyst
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Abstract

Production of CO-rich hydrogen gas from methane dry reforming was investigated over CeO$_2$-supported Co catalyst. The catalyst was synthesized by wet impregnation and subsequently characterized by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), liquid N$_2$ adsorption-desorption, X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for the structure, surface and thermal properties. The catalytic activity test of the Co/CeO$_2$ was investigated between 923-1023 K under reaction conditions in a stainless steel fixed bed reactor. The composition of the products (CO and H$_2$) from the methane dry reforming reaction was measured by gas chromatography (GC) coupled with thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The effects of feed ratios and reaction temperatures were investigated on the catalytic activity toward product selectivity, yield, and syngas ratio. Significantly, the selectivity and yield of both H$_2$ and CO increases with feed ratio and temperature. However, the catalyst shows higher activity towards CO selectivity. The highest H$_2$ and CO selectivity of 19.56% and 20.95% respectively were obtained at 1023 K while the highest yield of 41.98% and 38.05% were recorded for H$_2$ and CO under the same condition. Copyright © 2016 BCREC GROUP. All rights reserved
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1. Introduction

In the past three decades, there has been an increasing trend in the global hydrogen production [1] due to its wide applications as an energy carrier [2]. Hydrogen gas is widely used for different industrial processes such as fertilizer and methanol production, crude oil refining, metal refining, food processing and electronics manufacturing [3-4]. Recently, attention of researchers have shifted to the use of hydrogen as fuel source due to its high calorific value [5-6]. This has resulted into breakthrough in the application of hydrogen fuel cells as source of energy for propelling spacecraft, powering remote weather stations and submarines as well as electric vehicles [7-8].
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The mixture of H\textsubscript{2} and CO otherwise known as synthesis (syngas) can also be employed as chemical intermediate for the production of synthetic fuel either through Fischer-Tropsch synthesis or Mobil Methanol-To-Gasoline process [9-10].

Hydrogen gas can be produced using different technologies such as natural gas reforming [11], gasification (biomass or coal) [12] and through biological process [13]. Coal gasification is one of the early technologies employed in the production of H\textsubscript{2} and it is being used by SASOL for commercial production of hydrogen [14]. However, the process has raised a lot of environmental concerns due to CO\textsubscript{2} and carcinogen emissions that often come with the process [15]. Presently, about 50% of the world consumption of hydrogen is commercially produced from natural gas reforming otherwise known as steam reforming of methane (Equation (1)) [16]. Besides steam methane reforming, hydrogen can also be produced from partial oxidation of methane [17] (Equation (2)) which involves the partial combustion of methane in air. These two processes (steam methane reforming and partial oxidation) produce syngas which can further be converted to higher content of hydrogen through water gas shift reaction represented in Equation (3).

\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_4 + H_2O & \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2 & \Delta H_r^\circ = 206 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} \\
\text{CH}_4 + \frac{3}{2}O_2 & \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 & \Delta H_r^\circ = 165 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} \\
CO + H_2O & \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2 & \Delta H_r^\circ = -41.2 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}
\end{align*}

Although, methane steam reforming and coal gasification are well established technologies for \( \text{H}_2 \) production, nevertheless, the process does not mitigate CO\textsubscript{2} emission into the atmosphere [18]. Moreover, catalyst deactivation from sulfur poisoning, sintering and carbon deposition are also major constraints associated with \( \text{H}_2 \) production using methane steam reforming [19].

A more environmental friendly way of producing \( \text{H}_2 \) is through the reaction of CO\textsubscript{2} with natural gas (methane) otherwise known as methane dry reforming (Equation (4)) [20]. Methane dry reforming has the advantage of utilizing the two principal components of greenhouse gases for \( \text{H}_2 \) or syngas production compared to gasification and steam reforming process [21]. Besides, the process produces \( \text{H}_2/\text{CO} \) ratio < 2, suitable for the production of synthetic fuel via Fischer-Tropsch synthesis [22].

\[ \text{CH}_4 + CO \leftrightarrow 2\text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 \]
\[ \Delta H_r^\circ = 247 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} \]

Nonetheless, the process is also prone to catalysts deactivation from sintering and carbon deposition due to the high temperature requirement of the reaction [23]. In an attempt to design and develop more stable catalysts, metal catalysts, such as: Ru, Pt, Co, Pd, Ir, dispersed on different supports (\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{ZrO}_2, \text{SiO}_2, \text{MgO} \) and \( \text{CeO}_2 \)) have been investigated for methane dry reforming [24]. However, very few literatures have reported hydrogen production over Co/\( \text{CeO}_2 \) catalyst.

Luisetto et al. [25] investigated the catalytic properties of Co-Ni bimetallic catalyst supported on \( \text{CeO}_2 \) in methane dry reforming and compared the catalytic activity with \( \text{CeO}_2 \) supported Co and Ni monometallic catalysts. The findings show that the Co-Ni bimetallic catalyst displayed higher activity compared to the supported Co and Ni monometallic catalysts. Recently, Abasaeeed et al. [26] investigated \( \text{H}_2 \) production from methane dry reforming over nano-oxides (\( \text{CeO}_2 \) and \( \text{ZrO}_2 \)) supported Co catalysts. The effects of calcinations temperature ranged from 773-1173 K on the catalysts activities were evaluated. The results of the study show that the catalysts calcined at 773 and 873 K exhibited higher \( \text{H}_2 \) yield compared to those calcined at higher temperature.

In the present study, production of CO-rich \( \text{H}_2 \) from methane dry reforming over \( \text{CeO}_2 \) supported Co catalyst is reported. The \( \text{CeO}_2 \) support was synthesized by thermal decomposition of Cerium(II) nitrate hexahydrate. The main objective of this study is to investigate the catalytic activity of \( \text{CeO}_2 \) supported Co catalyst in methane dry reforming for CO-rich \( \text{H}_2 \) production.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Catalyst synthesis

First, the \( \text{CeO}_2 \) support was prepared by
thermal decomposition of cerium(II) nitrate hexanitrate (99.99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) in a furnace at 773 K for 2 hours [27]. The 20 wt% Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst was prepared by impregnating the CeO$_2$ support with aqueous solution of cobalt(III) nitrate hexanitrate (99.99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) to produce 20 wt% Co loading. The mixture was continuously stirred for 3 hours, dried in the oven for 24 h at 393 K and then calcined at 873 K for 5 h.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

Temperature programmed calcination of the fresh catalysts was performed by Thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) (TA instrument) in the temperature range from 298-1173 K under compressed air in order to determine the thermal stability of the catalyst. The crystallinity of the catalysts was measured by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD). The XRD was carried out using a RIGAKU miniX’pert X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα X-ray source at wavelength (λ) of 0.154 nm radiation.

The catalysts surface morphology and the elemental composition were analyzed by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) coupled with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy. Information on the textural properties of the catalyst was obtained from N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms data by Thermo Scientific Surfer analyzer. The sample was degassed at 523 K for 4 h prior to the

Figure 1. Schematic representation of experimental set up for CO-rich H$_2$ production from methane dry reforming over Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst
measurement of the $N_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm at 77 K. The pore size distribution and the average pore diameter were determined from desorption section of the isotherm by Barret-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method. The nature of the chemical bonding of the catalyst was determined by Fourier transform infra-red spectroscopy (FTIR) (Thermo Scientific, Nicolet iS-50). The spectra were obtained using Thermo-Scientific IR spectrometer at room temperature with accumulation of 16 scans at a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$.

2.3. Catalytic activity for Co-rich H$_2$ production

The experimental set up for CO-rich H$_2$ production over Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst is depicted in Figure 1. The methane dry reforming was performed at atmospheric pressure in tubular stainless fixed bed reactor containing 200 mg of the catalysts supported with quartz wool. The tubular fixed bed reactor (internal diameter: 10 mm; Height 35 cm) was placed vertically in a furnace with four heating zones equipped with a type thermocouple to measure the temperature of the catalyst bed. The catalyst was reduced in-situ under the flow of 60 mL/min of $H_2/N_2$ (ratio 1:5) at 873 K for 1 h. The reactant gases (CO$_2$ and CH$_4$) were fed into the fixed bed reactor at feed ratios (CO$_2$:CH$_4$) ranged from 0.1 to 1.0. The methane dry reforming was performed at reaction temperatures 923-1023 K. The products and reactants were analyzed by gas chromatography instrument (GC-Agilent 6890 N series) equipped with thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The catalyst performances were evaluated by yields and selectivity defined in Equations (5-8) [4-5].

$$H_2 \text{ Yield} \% = \frac{\text{moles of } H_2 \text{ produced}}{2 \text{ moles of } CH_4 \text{ feed}} \times 100\%$$  
(5)

$$\text{CO \ yield} \% = \frac{\text{moles of CO produced}}{\text{(moles of CH4 + moles of CO$_2$)$_{feed}$}} \times 100\%$$  
(6)

$$\text{Selectivity of } H_2 \% = \frac{\text{mole of } H_2}{(\text{mole of } H_2 + \text{total mole } C - \text{ containing products})_{outlet}} \times 100\%$$  
(7)

$$\text{Selectivity of CO}\% = \frac{\text{mole of CO}}{\text{(moles of CO + total mole } C - \text{ containing products})_{outlet}} \times 100\%$$  
(8)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalysts characterization

The thermal behavior of the catalyst under temperature programmed calcination from 298 to 1173 K is represented by the thermogravimetry (TG) and the differential thermogravimetry (DTG) curves in Figure 2. Significantly, there are four different weight loses represented by peaks I-IV on the DTG curve. The weight changes could be attributed to sequential loss of physical and hydrated water represented by peak I-III and then decomposition of Co(NO$_3$)$_2$ (Equation (9)) [30].

It is noteworthy that the XRD pattern of the as-synthesized Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst shows different peaks with varying intensity (cf. Figure 3). The XRD pattern show the existence of CeO$_2$ with a distinct fluorite-type oxide structure [31]. The diffraction peaks of 28.8º, 31.5º, 33.3º, 37.1º, 45.1º, 47.7º, 56.7º, 59.6º, 65.5º, 69.8º and 77.1º can be ascribed to (111), (220), (200), (311), (400), (220), (311), (222), (440), (400), and (331) of the face-centered cubic (fcc) structure, respectively. Moreover, weak diffraction peak at 20 of 31.5º, 45.1º, 59.6º, 65.5º, 69.8º and 77.1º can be ascribed to cubic Co$_3$O$_4$ crystallites in an unreduced state [32]. The diffraction peaks of CoO or Co could not be detected from the XRD pattern.

The BET measurement of the specific surface area of the catalyst from $N_2$ adsorption-
desorption isotherms is shown in Figure 4. The Co/CeO$_2$ catalysts exhibited type-IV isotherm behavior signifying the presence of mesopores in the catalyst sample. The specific surface area of the catalysts was calculated to be 39.89 cm$^2$/g which is consistent with [26]. The catalysts average pore diameter and the pore volume of 1.157 nm and 0.014 cm$^3$/g respectively was estimated from the adsorption data using the BJH method.

The FTIR spectra for the Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst are depicted in Figure 5. Prior to the analysis of the sample, background spectra were collected and subsequently subtracted from the test spectra. This is to ensure that there is no interference with the spectra of the catalysts sample. The bands at 3277, 1489, 658, and 608 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to OH, CO$_3^{2-}$ and metal oxide (M–O), respectively. The tiny bands before 608 cm$^{-1}$ could be attributed to metal oxide (M–O) bonds (Ce–O and Co–O). The presence of OH and CO$_3^{2-}$ could be assigned to water moisture and dissolved atmospheric carbon dioxide.

The FESEM micrographs and EDX dot mapping of the Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst are depicted in Figure 6. The topographical and elemental information at magnifications 20000× and 80000× of the Co/CeO$_2$ sample shows that the catalyst particles agglomerated with irregular shapes in large ensembles and have comparatively rough surfaces. The EDX analysis (cf. Figure 6 (c)) shows that the elemental compositions of the catalyst are mainly made up of Co, Ce and O in the right proportions stipulated during the catalyst preparation. The 20 wt% Co obtained from the EDX confirms the efficacy of employing wet-impregnation method for the catalyst preparation.

### 3.2. Catalyst activity

The effects of feed ratios and reaction temperature on the products (H$_2$ and CO) selectivity are depicted in Figures 7 (a) and (b) respectively. Temperature ranged from 923 to 1023 K was investigated for the methane dry reforming over Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst. Significantly, the catalyst selectivity for H$_2$ production increases with feed ratio and temperatures. This trend is consistent with the findings of Xenophon [33] who investigated H$_2$ production from methane dry reforming over Ni/La$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. The Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst recorded highest H$_2$ selectivity of 19.56% at unity feed ratio and 1023 K. Thermodynamically, H$_2$ selectivity is favoured between temperatures ranged 923 to 1023 K. The increase selectivity of the catalyst towards H$_2$ selectivity is perhaps due to the fact that the Co active site enhances the dissociation of adsorbed CH$_4$. The selectivity of the Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst towards CO production is slightly higher compared to that of H$_2$ (Figure 7(b)). The CO selectivity increases with feed ratio and temperature. The highest CO selectivity of 20.95% at unity feed ratio and temperature of 1023 K was observed for the Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst. This trend could be as a result of increase in adsorption of CO$_2$ on the CeO$_2$ site which gives corresponding CO. Shi et al. [34] reported similar trend in their study on methane dry reforming over Ni/Mo$_2$C catalyst. The authors’ findings show that CO$_2$ activation took place on Mo$_2$C support site producing CO and O radical.

Hydrogen and CO are desired products of methane dry reforming; hence the catalytic performance in the production process could be evaluated as a function of the product yields. The effects of feed ratios and reaction temperature on H$_2$ and CO yield are depicted in Figure

---

**Figure 4.** BET surface area determination from N$_2$-physisorption isotherm

**Figure 5.** FTIR spectra of the fresh Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst
8. Significantly, the CO yield increases with feed ratio and temperature (Figure 8(a)). The highest CO yield of 38.05% was obtained at 0.9 feed ratios and 1023 K. It is noteworthy that H₂ yield also increases with feed ratio and temperature. The CeO₂ supported Co catalyst however has a higher activity toward H₂ with yield of 41.98% for at unity feed ratio and 1023 K compared to CO. This trend is in agreement with the work of [35] in their studies on methane dry reforming over MgO promoted Ni–Co/Al₂O₃–ZrO₂ nanocatalyst. However, their findings show a higher yield of CO compared to H₂. This variance could be as result of catalytic performance under different conditions.

The production of synthetic fuels via Fischer-Tropsch process requires syngas ratio >2. Methane dry reforming as an important method for syngas production has the advantages of producing syngas ratio close to unity [22]. The effects of feed ratios and temperature on the syngas yield (H₂ + CO) and syngas ratio (H₂/CO) are depicted in Figure 9. The syngas yield and ratios increase with increase in feed ratio and temperature. The highest syngas yield and ratio of 78.54% and 1.28 were obtained at unity feed ratio and 1023 K. The production of syngas ratio close to unity is favoured at feed ratio equals 0.8 and temperature of 1023 K. The effect of reverse water gas

Figure 6. FESEM micrographs and EDX spectrum of the Co/CeO₂ catalyst (a) ×10000, (b) ×80000, (c) EDX image
Figure 7. Effect of feed ratios and reaction temperature on product selectivity (a) H₂, (b) CO

Figure 8. Effects of feed ratios and reaction temperature on product yield (a) H₂, (b) CO

Figure 9. Effect of feed ratios and reaction temperature on (a) Syngas yield, (b) Syngas ratio
reaction is noticeable with increase in the feed ratio, hence leads to the reduction in CO yield [36]. Consequently, the syngas ratio tends to increase above unity. Findings by Serrano-Lotina and Daza [37] shows that production of syngas ratio close to unity is favoured at feed ratio between 0.6 and 0.9. This trend is also corroborated by the work of [38] and [39] who obtained syngas ratio close to unity at feed ratio of 1.

4. Conclusions

In this work, CO-rich hydrogen production via methane dry reforming over Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst has been investigated. The catalytic performance of the Co/CeO$_2$ catalyst which was prepared by wet impregnation was studied at reaction temperature ranged 923-1023 K and feed ratios between 0.1-1.0. The catalyst show good activity towards H$_2$ and CO selectivity and yield with highest H$_2$ and CO selectivity of 19.56% and 20.95% respectively, while the highest yield of 41.98% and 38.05% were obtained for H$_2$ and CO respectively. Syngas ratio close to unity was produced, which further confirm the suitability of the methane dry reforming over Co/CeO$_2$ for the production of syngas for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. This study has reiterated the potential of Co/CeO$_2$ which exhibited promising catalytic properties for the production of hydrogen and syngas.
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