

International Journal of Civil Engineering and Geo-Environment

Journal homepage:http://ijceg.ump.edu.my ISSN:21802742

Bio-filtration Performance of Petrochemical Wastewater using Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor

Md. Nurul Islam Siddique¹, Ideris Zakaria¹, Zularisam Ab Wahid²*

¹Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia ²Faculty of Engineering Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords:
Anaerobic digestion
Petrochemical wastewater
CSTR
Methane.

Continuous stirred tank reactors (CSTR) operated at 35"C, were efficaciously used for the anaerobic digestion of a petrochemical effluent. Bio-filtration of petrochemical wastewater was carried out and the results were evaluated in terms of basic water quality parameters. The effects of organic loadings, solid retention time were also investigated. COD reductions of 93-98% were found at an optimum retention time of 2.3 days and a loading rate of 4.7 kg COD/m3/d. The amount of biogas produced was 0.88 m3/m3/d (STP), with a methane content of 90-96%. Volatile fatty acid removal was also achieved up to 97% after performing bio-filtration process. Therefore, the current work revils that bio-filtration of petrochemical wastewater using CSTR might be an effective solution to the prevailing treatment limitation.

1. Introduction

In today's industrial society, it has become increasingly important to prevent the pollution of our limited water resources by providing adequate treatment of effluents from industrial sources (Patel et al., 2002). Anaerobic waste treatment is one of the major biological waste treatment processes in use, and has been employed for many years in municipal sewage treatment units (Latif et al., 2011). The search for greater efficiency and better economy as well as the interest in methane as a renewable energy source, has led to the study of new types of anaerobic reactors (Michaud et al 2002). In recent years considerable attention has been

focused on a new range of reactors for the treatment of high strength industrial waste water (Ghanimeh et al., 2012). One of the most successful of this range is the continuous stirred tank reactors (Gargouri et al., 2011). The continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) is equivalent to a closed-tank digester equipped with mixture facility (Md. Nurul Islam Siddique and A.W. Zularisam, 2012). Over and above basic reactor design, the filling of most anaerobic digesters are mixed to assure competent transfer of organic material for the active microbial biomass, to discharge gas bubbles trapped in the medium and to prevent sedimentation of denser particulate material

^{*}corresponding author. Tel: 095493006; fax: 609-5492998

^{*}Email address: zularisam@ump.edu.my

(Md. Nurul Islam Siddique and A.W. Zularisam, 2012).

In Malaysia a unique high strength petrochemical effluent is produced by the crude petroleum refining process. This high strength effluent contains approximately 93-95 g/L volatile fatty acids. Large amounts of these fatty acids are produced per annum and have to be disposed of by other means. This paper reports on the use of continuous stirred tank reactors for the anaerobic treatment of high strength petrochemical effluent.

2. Methodology

2.1 Sample collection and characterization

A 100 L of PWW sample was collected in plastic containers at the point of discharge in to the main stream and from the receiving stream. Then, transported to the laboratory and preserved at 4°C for further study were physicochemical analysis and treatment. Effluent pH was maintained at 6.5, using 5N NaOH solution. Alkalinity was maintained between 1400-1800 mg CaCO₃/l by NaHCO₃. Complementary nutrients like nitrogen (NH₄Cl) and phosphorous (KH₂PO₄) were employed to maintain a COD: N: P ratio of 250:5:1. Table1 explains composition and characteristics of PWW. With a view to eliminate trash materials, the prepared sludge was initially passed through a screen.

Table 1: Composition and Characteristics of PWW

Parameters	CPW
pH	6.5-8.5
BOD	8-32
COD	15-60
TOC	6-9
Total solids	0.02-0.30
Acetic acid	46.60
Phenol	0.36
Total Nitrogen	0.05-0.212
Total Phosphate	0.102-0.227
Volatile fatty acids	93-95

^{*}Except pH and Acetic acid, all parameters in gL^{-1}

2.2 CSTR Construction and Operation

Details of the continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) are given in Figure 1. The reactors consisted of 1 m glass columns, each with a working volume of 3.5 liter. The operational temperature was 35°C (Choorit et al., 2007). Inside each reactor an inert cylindrical, polyethylene bacterial carrier was placed. The surface area of the support material was 3,500 cm2. The substrate was continuously pumped in at the top of the reactor at the required rate while the reactor effluent was removed from the bottom. The reactor liquid level volume was electronically controlled (Britz et al., 1982). Gas exited at the top of the reactor and volumes were determined by a means of a brine displacement system. At the start-up, the reactors were filled with sludge from a local municipal plant and slowly fed with a diluted fatty acid solution, which corresponded to the fatty composition of the factory effluent. With the start of gas production, the dilution was gradually reduced until the fatty acid solution corresponded to 50% of the effluent fatty acid concentration. Approximately 8 weeks were required, at a hydraulic retention time of 4.0 days, to obtain a 90% COD removal.

2.3 Analytical Methods

The COD was measured by direct digestion method, using HACH apparatus LR (3–150 COD), HR (20-1500 mg/L COD), and HR plus (20-15,000 mg/L COD and above). Total organic carbon was measured by direct method of low, medium, and high range tests (N tube reagent set), using HACH DBR 200 TOC program in HACH apparatus. Day-to-day gas yield was determined, by a revocable device, having liquid displacement technology. Biogas configuration was determined by a Perkin Elmer chromatograph having a conductivity detector. A GC column packed with supelco 100/120 mesh was employed to distinct CH₄ and CO₂. Helium was employed as carrier gas maintaining flow rate 30 mL/ min. The columns sustained at 50°C. Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) were analyzed using that similar GC, having a flame ionization detector connected to a supelco capillary column. Helium was employed as carrier gas maintaining flow rate 50 mL/ min. Injector and detector temperatures were 200 and 220°C, individually. The kiln temperature was fixed at 150°C for 3 min and subsequently amplified to 175°C. The recognition limit for VFA investigation was 5.0 mg/L (APHA 1995).




Figure 1: CSTR experimental setup

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed with Microsoft EXCEL 2010. Regression coefficient (R²) was calculated to analyze the effect of OLR on COD removal efficiency, biogas, and methane.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Chemical Oxygen Demand, Hydraulic retention time, Organic loading rate and Methane

After adjusting the pH of the petrochemical effluent, a red-brown precipitate formed. This was ascribed to the precipitation of iron and other trace metals. With continuous agitation on a magnetic stirrer this was used as substrate to feed a preconditioned reactor. The proficiency of this reactor was found to deteriorate with time and stabilized at a COD reduction of approximately 39% (Table 2). This low performance was ascribed to the precipitation of the minerals which possibly caused a growth limitation for the microbial population. A second reactor was fed with effluent that had been filtered through a Whatman no. 1 filter to

remove the precipitate and to which a mineral solution had been added, to prevent any growth limitations to the microbial population. This reactor operated satisfactorily and for a period of 120 days a COD reduction of more than 98% was found (Table 2). These results show that the petrochemical effluent can be effectively treated by the anaerobic digestion process using a continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR). The data, shown in Table 2, was obtained at the hydraulic retention time (HRT) with the highest COD reduction. With the shortening of the HRT beyond this point a sharp decrease in COD reduction and corresponding increase in volatile fatty acids, especially propionic acid, was found. Thus the HRT with the highest COD reduction was considered as the optimum for the system under the described conditions. It is possible that with a bigger microbial surface area or different film supports, shorter HRT's could be obtained as shown by Van den Berg and Kennedy (1981). The results also showed that the microbial population, especially the methanogens, was successfully retained on the support material. The reactor effluent COD was found to consist of mainly soluble COD with the difference in soluble and total COD being less than 2.0%. The methane content of the gas produced by this type of reactor was very high compared with the results of other workers (Bohn et al., 2000). The collected gas contained approximately 90-96% methane. Since the petrochemical effluent was initially neutralized, the fatty acids were thus in the salt form. During the digestion process the acetate ions are assimilated by the microbial population and the sodium ions are set free into surrounding medium where sodium hydroxide is regenerated. The pH of the reactor effluent was found to be around 7.1. This could be the result of the regenerated sodium hydroxide. The carbon dioxide formed in the reactor, and not used by the methanogens, will react to form sodium carbonate (Bernd et al., 2007). The excess carbon dioxide is thus removed from the reactor and mostly only methane is set free explaining the high methane to carbon dioxide ratio (Edgar et al., 2006). That's how this experiment reviles a clear concept of producing much more biogas with the help of co-digestion technology. However, biofiltration with continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) appears to be effective treating petrochemical wastewater.

Table 2: CSTR performance via filtration

Parameter		Effluent	
	Unfiltered	Filtered	
Hydraulic retention time (d)	3.4	2.6	
Loading rate (kg COD/m3/d)	3.17	4.7	
COD removal (%)	39	98	
Biogas production rate (m³/m³/d)	0.13	0.90	
Methane content (%)	57%	92%	
Volatile fatty acid removal (%)	40%	97%	
pH reactor effluent	6±0.3	7.1±0.2	

3.2 Petroleum Hydrocarbon, Microbial cell production & MLSS:

Figure 2 explains that the total petroleum hydrocarbon concentration was gradually decreased with the increase of SRT. Under these testing conditions, the MLSS concentrations are proportional to petroleum hydrocarbon effluent concentrations over the entire range of SRT Values. Moreover, as SRT values exceed beyond 10 days, relatively small incremental changes formed for both petroleum hydrocarbons and MLSS concentrations. During AD, it is a must to measure the quantity of sludge produced per day due to its effect on process stability and disposal facilities. In order to prevent excess accumulation of microbial cell in the system, the quantity of sludge produced/ day must be wasted (Gerardi, 2003). As AD incorporates cell recycle, the additional importance of cell decay and sludge production at increasing SRT can be seen by examining SRT with respect to MLSS and microbial cell production, as illustrated by Fig. 3. This plot illustrates the net synthesis in the system broadly, the mass of cells formed less those lost by decay. The highest daily production of 110 g/d was found at 3 d SRT. It reflects the necessary time frame and accumulative production at which a great deal of the dissolved petroleum substrate has been degraded and transformed to cells while decay is not yet a major factor. Nevertheless, sludge production started to turn down steadily through the remaining SRT values with the extended SRT values. A shift from cell synthesis to cell decay due to the increasing SRT values and the attainment of complete stabilization of the petroleum hydrocarbons might be suggested by the declination of the curve. The regression values (R²) of fig 2 and 3 were determined 0.96 and 0.97 which implies that the results have obvious consistency.




Figure 2: Effluent petroleum concentration vs. solid retention time for co-digestion process




Figure 3: Effluent microbial cell concentration vs. solid retention time for co-digestion process.

4. Conclusion

- 1. The results show that effluent from a petrochemical industry is amendable to treatment by an anaerobic digestion process. The HRT's could probably be shortened by the increase in microbial surface area.
- 2. The precipitation of iron and trace metals caused a growth limitation for the microbial population. This limitation could be removed by filtering the effluent and adding a mineral solution.
- 3. The methane content was high (90-96%) due to the self-scrubbing effect of this type of reactor.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank faculty of civil engineering and earth resources, university Malaysia Pahang, for providing continuous laboratory facility. The present research was made possible availing facility provided by RDU-0903113.

References

- APHA, (1995). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 19 th ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.
- Berg, L., and Kennedy, K.J., (1981) "Support material for stationary fixed film reactors" Biotechnol. Lett. 3:165 170.
- Bernd, L. (2007) "Kinetic study of thermophilic anaerobic digestion of solid wastes from potatoes processing" J. Biomas.Energ, 30: 892–896
- Bohn, I., Bjornsson, L., and Mattiasson, B. (2000) "The energy balance in farm scale anaerobic digestion of crop residues at 11–378C" J. Process Biochem, 42: 57–64
- Britz,, T-J., De Witt, B., Hugo, A.B., and Meyer, L.C., (1982) "The performance and mixing characteristics of an anaerobic hybrid reactor treating a synthetic fatty acid containing substrate" Lab.Practice, In Press.

- Choorit, W. and Wisarnwan, P. (2007) "Effect of temperature on the anaerobic digestion of palm oil mill effluent" E. J. Biotechnol, 10: 376–385
- Edgar, F.C.M., Diego, E.C., and Victor, A.A. (2006) "Study of the operational conditions for anaerobic digestion of urban solid wastes" J. Waste Manag, 26: 546–556
- Gargouri, B., Karray, F., Mhiri, N., Aloui, F., Sayadi S. (2011) "Application of a continuously stirred tank bioreactor (CSTR) for bioremediation of hydrocarbon-rich industrial wastewater effluents" J. Hazar. Mate. 189: 427–434.
- Gerardi, M.H. 2003. "The microbiology of anaerobic digesters", 5th ed. USA: Wiley.
- Ghanimeh, S. Fadel, M.E., Saikaly, P., (2012) "Mixing effect on thermophilic anaerobic digestion of source-sorted organic fraction of municipal solid waste" Bioresour. Technolo. 117:63–71.
- Latif, A.F., Ghufran, R., Zularisam, A.W., Ahmad, A. (2011) "Integrated application of UASBR for the treatment of waste waters" Wat. Res., 45: 2683-4699.
- Michaud, S., Bernet, N., Buffiere, P., Roustan, M. and Moletta, R. (2002) "Methane yield as a monitoring parameter for the start-up of anaerobic fixed film reactors" Wat. Rese., 36: 1385–1391.
- Nadzri, Y. (2008) "Overview of solid waste management in Malaysia" Workshop on carbon finance and municipal solid waste management in Malaysia (p. 4.).
- Petal, H, Madamwar, D., (2002) "Effect of temperature and organic loading rates on biomethanation of petrochemical wastewater using an anaerobic upflow fixed film reactor" Bioresour. Technol. 2002; 82: 65-71.
- Siddique, N.I., and Zularisam, A.W., (2012) "Cycle time minimization during renewable methane production from H2O2 enhanced anaerobic Co-digestion of Petrochemical wastewater in CSTR" Asian J. Chem. 25:1140-1148.