



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Information Sciences

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ins



An experimental study of hyper-heuristic selection and acceptance mechanism for combinatorial t -way test suite generation



Kamal Z. Zamli^{a,*}, Fakhrud Din^a, Graham Kendall^b, Bestoun S. Ahmed^c

^a IBM Centre of Excellence, Faculty of Computer Systems and Software Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Kuantan, Pahang Darul Makmur, Malaysia

^b School of Computer Science, University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Jalan Broga, 43500 Semenyih, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

^c Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University Karlovo n'am 13, 121 35 Praha 2, Czechia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 July 2016

Revised 1 March 2017

Accepted 3 March 2017

Available online 6 March 2017

Keywords:

Software testing

t -way testing

Hyper-heuristics

Meta-heuristics

Fuzzy Inference Selection

ABSTRACT

Recently, many meta-heuristic algorithms have been proposed to serve as the basis of a t -way test generation strategy (where t indicates the interaction strength) including Genetic Algorithms (GA), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), Simulated Annealing (SA), Cuckoo Search (CS), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Harmony Search (HS). Although useful, meta-heuristic algorithms that make up these strategies often require specific domain knowledge in order to allow effective tuning before good quality solutions can be obtained. Hyper-heuristics provide an alternative methodology to meta-heuristics which permit adaptive selection and/or generation of meta-heuristics automatically during the search process. This paper describes our experience with four hyper-heuristic selection and acceptance mechanisms namely Exponential Monte Carlo with counter (EMCQ), Choice Function (CF), Improvement Selection Rules (ISR), and newly developed Fuzzy Inference Selection (FIS), using the t -way test generation problem as a case study. Based on the experimental results, we offer insights on why each strategy differs in terms of its performance.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.