

OPTIMIZATION OF BIOETHANOL
PRODUCTION FROM OIL PALM TRUNK SAP

NORHAZIMAH BINTI ABDUL HALIM

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(BIO-PROCESS ENGINEERING)
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECLARATION OF THESIS AND COPYRIGHT

Author's full name : NORHAZIMAH BINTI ABDUL HALIM
Date of birth : 1st DECEMBER 1986
Title : OPTIMIZATION OF BIOETHANOL
PRODUCTION FROM OIL PALM TRUNK SAP
Academic Session : Semester II 2015/2016

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (Full text)

I acknowledge that Universiti Malaysia Pahang reserve the right as follows:


1. The Thesis is the Property of Universiti Malaysia Pahang.
2. The Library of Universiti Malaysia Pahang has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Certified By:

(Student's Signature)

861201-11-5168

New IC / Passport Number
Date : 17 June 2016



(Signature of Supervisor)

DR. CHE KU MOHAMMAD
FAIZAL BIN CHE KU YAHYA

Name of Supervisor
Date : 17 June 2016



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Bio-process Engineering.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Faizal', is written above a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Name of Supervisor : DR. CHE KU MOHAMMAD FAIZAL BIN CHE KU YAHYA
Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
TECHNOLOGY
Date : 17 JUNE 2016

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mi Ahmad', is written above a horizontal line.

(Co-Supervisor's Signature)

Name of Co-Supervisor : DR. MIOR AHMAD KHUSHAIRI BIN MOHD ZAHARI
Position : SENIOR LECTURER FACULTY OF CHEMICAL AND
NATURAL RESOURCES ENGINEERING
Date : 17 JUNE 2016



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

(Author's Signature)

Name : NORHAZIMAH BINTI ABDUL HALIM

ID Number : PKB12002

Date : 17 JUNE 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	i
DEDICATIONS	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ABSTRAK	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives and Scope	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Bioethanol Production	7
2.2 Biomass for Bioethanol Production	14
2.3 Microorganism for Bioethanol Production	17
2.4 Oil Palm Trunk	19
2.5 Kinetic Modelling	22
2.6 Statistical Method Design of Experiment	27
2.6.1 Plackett-Burman Design	30
2.6.2 Steepest-ascent Method	30
2.6.3 Box-Behnken Design	30
2.6.4 Analysis of Statistical Data	31
2.6.5 Validation of Model	31
2.7 Conclusion	32

CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Preparation and Characterization of Oil Palm Trunk Sap	35
3.3	Inoculum Preparation	38
3.4	Selection of Microorganism	39
3.5	Kinetic Modelling and Parameter Determination	42
3.6	Effects of Sterilization to Bioethanol Production	42
3.7	Identification of Important Parameter Using Plackett-Burman Design	43
3.8	Identification of Optimum Region by Path of Steepest-ascent Method	44
3.9	Identification of Optimum Condition by Box-Behnken Design	45
3.10	Validation and Fermentation in 20 liter Bioreactor	47
3.11	Repeated-Batch in 2 liter Bioreactor	48
3.12	Analysis Method	48

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Characterization of OPT Sap and Fermentation Broth	51
4.2	Effects of Different Microorganism on Bioethanol Production from OPT Sap at Different Temperature of Fermentation	52
4.2.1	Influence on Ethanol Yield	52
4.2.2	Influence on Cell Biomass	63
4.3	Comparison of Kinetic Parameter for Selected Microorganisms	70
4.3.1	Sugar Consumption Rate, Ethanol Consumption Rate and Biomass Consumption Rate	76
4.3.2	Effects of Substrate Concentration on Bioethanol Fermentation	78
4.4	Effects of Sterilization to Bioethanol Production	81
4.5	Identification of Important Parameter Using Plackett-Burman Design	82
4.6	Identification of Optimum Region by Path of Steepest-ascent Method	85
4.7	Identification of Optimum Condition by Box-Behnken Design	87
4.8	Validation and Fermentation in 20 liter Bioreactor	97
4.9	Repeated-Batch in 2 liter Bioreactor	99
4.11	Kinetic Analysis in 20 liter Bioreactor	101

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1	Conclusion	105
5.2	Improvement and Recommendation	106

LIST OF PUBLICATION	107
----------------------------	-----

REFERENCES	109
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES	116
-------------------	-----

A	Oil Palm Trunk Sap	116
---	--------------------	-----

B	Calibration Curve	117
---	-------------------	-----

C	Experimental data	121
---	-------------------	-----

D	Calculation	191
---	-------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
2.1	Characteristics and properties of ethanol	7
2.2	Identification of influential parameter for bioethanol production	9
2.3	Research on bioethanol from forest, food residue and agricultural waste	15
2.4	Selection of microorganism for bioethanol production	18
2.5	Selected fermentatable sugar in OPT sap	20
2.6	Amino acid and organics acid in OPT sap	21
2.7	Comparison of primary RSM experimental design	28
3.1	Type of microorganisms for fermentation for bioethanol production	39
3.2	Percentage of microorganisms in fermentation medium	40
3.3	Parameter and range selected for bioethanol fermentation from OPT sap	44
3.4	Experimental design layout for PBD	44
3.5	Path of steepest-ascent design for nutrient addition using one-factor-at-a-time method	45
3.6	Level of variables for BBD	45
3.7	The experimental design layout for BBD design	46
3.8	Summary of analysis parameter in UV-Vis spectrophotometer	49
3.9	Summary of analysis parameter in HPLC	49
3.10	Summary of analysis parameter in gas chromatography	50
4.1	Selected fermentation sugar composition in OPT sap at different part of trunk	51
4.2	Ethanol yield by using <i>Zymomonas mobilis</i> at 96 h	53
4.3	Ethanol yield by using <i>Zymobacter palmae</i> at 96 h	55
4.4	Ethanol yield by using <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 96 h	56

4.5	Ethanol yield by using <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (local) at 96 h	57
4.6	Ethanol yield by using <i>S. cerevisiae</i> JCM 2220 at 96 h	58
4.7	Ethanol yield by using <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no. 7 at 96 h	59
4.8	Ethanol yield by using <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no. 7 and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 96 h	61
4.9	Ethanol yield by using <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (local) and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 96 h	61
4.10	Parameters of different microorganisms performance in OPT sap at 30.0°C	77
4.11	Comparison between ethanol production with different substrate concentrations at 30°C	78
4.12	Summarized data for bioethanol production by <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 in a different medium of OPT sap	80
4.13	Result obtained for Plackett-Burman experimental design	82
4.14	Percentage contribution based on Plackett-Burman experimental design	82
4.15	Result for steepest-ascent	86
4.16	Experimental design and result for BBD	87
4.17	Lack of fit test	87
4.18	ANOVA for Response Surface Quadratic Model	88
4.19	Regression of coefficient and parameter	94
4.20	Diagnostics Case Statistics	95
4.21	Constraints set for optimization using numerical method	96
4.22	Solution suggested by the Design Expert software	96
4.23	Performance of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 in optimized condition during fermentation in 13 cycles	99
4.24	General assumption for bioethanol production from the fermentation of OPT sap	102

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures No.	Title	Page
2.1	Pilot-scale squeezing equipment for OPT sap	20
3.1	Summary for overall research framework	35
3.2	Preparation of OPT sap	36
3.3	Oil palm trunk from upper to lower part (A to F)	38
3.4	Procedure of fermentation	40
3.5	Design of experiment for selection of microbes	41
3.6	Experiment plan for kinetic modelling	42
3.8	Experiment plan for optimization	46
3.9	20 liter bioreactor (Biostat™)	47
4.1	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by <i>Zymomonas mobilis</i> at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	53
4.2	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by <i>Zymobacter palmae</i> at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	54
4.3	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	55
4.4	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by <i>S. cerevisiae</i> (local) a at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	56
4.5	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by <i>S. cerevisiae</i> JCM2220 at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°	57
4.6	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	58
4.7	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by mixed culture of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	59
4.8	Bioethanol production from OPT sap by mixed culture of <i>S.cerevisiae</i> (local) and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C.	60

4.9	Summary of bioethanol yield by different microorganism and mixed culture at 30.0 °C	62
4.10	Biomass production by <i>Zymomonas mobilis</i> at at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	63
4.11	Biomass production by <i>Zymobacter palmae</i> at at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	64
4.12	Biomass production by <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	65
4.13	Biomass production by <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> (local) at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	66
4.14	Biomass production by <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> JCM2220 at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	66
4.15	Biomass production by <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	67
4.16	Biomass production by mixed culture of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C.	68
4.17	Biomass production by mixed culture of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> (local) and <i>Pichia stipitis</i> at 25.0°C, 27.5°C, 30.0°C static, 30.0°C shaking, 32.5°C and 35.0°C	68
4.18	Summary of biomass production by different microorganism at 30 °C with agitation	69
4.19	The fermentation curve of microbes in 72 h of fermentation	71
4.20	The natural log of the biomass growth versus time	73
4.21	Comparison between actual and predicted data of bioethanol obtained using the Hinshelwood model	74
4.22	Sugar consumption rate for <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7, mixed culture and <i>S. cerevisiae</i> JCM2220 at 0 to 12 h of fermentation	76
4.23	Bioethanol productivity rate for <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7, mixed culture and <i>S. cerevisiae</i> JCM2220 at 0 to 12 h of fermentation	76
4.24	Glucose consumption for different substrate concentration	79
4.25	Ethanol production for different substrate concentration	79

4.26	Pareto chart	84
4.27	Result for steepest-ascent for CSL	85
4.28	Result for steepest-ascent for peptone	85
4.29	AB Interaction on ethanol yield by contour plot graph	89
4.30	AB Interaction on ethanol yield by response surface graph	89
4.31	AC Interaction on ethanol yield by contour plot graph	90
4.32	AC Interaction on ethanol yield by response surface graph	90
4.33	BC Interaction on ethanol yield by response surface graph	91
4.34	BC Interaction on ethanol yield by response surface graph	92
4.35	Perturbation graph at initial pH 6.20, CSL 7.0 and peptone 10 g/l.	93
4.36	Cube graph representing the effects of three factors at a time.	94
4.37	Desirability graph	97
4.38	Performance of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 during fermentation in 13 cycles (bioethanol)	98
4.39	Sugar consumption of <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 during fermentation in 13 cycles (sugar consumption)	99
4.40	Fermentation of bioethanol in 20 liter fermenter using enhanced medium	101
4.41	Relationship between bioethanol production and cell biomass growth	101
4.42	Process flow diagram for operation of bioethanol plant using OPT sap as raw material	104

LIST OF SYMBOLS

°C	degree celcius
g.g ⁻¹	gram per gram
g/l	gram per liter
g/l.h	gram per liter per hour
ha	hectare
h	hour
l	liter
min	minute
nm	nanometer
rpm	revolution per minute
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
BBD	Box-Behnken Design
CDW	Cell dry weight
DM	Dried matter
EFB	Empty fruit bunch
FFD	Full factorial design
GC-FID	Gas chromatography flame ionized detector
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
JCM	Japan Collection of Microorganism
KOS	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> Kyokai no.7 and <i>Pichia stipitis</i>
MAS	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> (local) and <i>Pichia stipitis</i>
OD	Optical density
OFAT	One-factor-at-a-time
OPT	Oil palm trunk
PBD	Plackett-Burman Design
RSM	Response surface methodology
TRS	Total reducing sugar

OPTIMIZATION OF BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION FROM OIL PALM TRUNK
SAP

NORHAZIMAH BINTI ABDUL HALIM

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Bio-process Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

ABSTRACT

Oil palm trunk (OPT) sap was generated from replantation of oil palm. As OPT was generally left at the oil palm plantation for nutrient recycling, research could be conducted to utilize OPT sap as the raw material for bioethanol production. OPT was chopped and pressed through a pressing machine to produce OPT sap, and then the sap was further characterized to determine its suitability as fermentation substrate.

The suitability of the OPT sap for bioethanol production was tested by investigating the relationship between temperature and shaking condition towards the fermentation of OPT sap using different microorganisms. At 96 h of fermentation in 30 °C, the highest ethanol yield was obtained by *S. cerevisiae* Kyokai no.7 in static condition which is 0.485 g/g and 94.92% of sugar conversion to bioethanol. This was followed by mixed culture of *S. cerevisiae* Kyokai no.7 and *Pichia stipitis* at 96 h (0.484 g/g and 94.69%).

Further investigation on the microorganism kinetic parameter was done. Monod equation and Hinshelwood model were used to relate the specific growth to the concentration of the limiting substrate and simulate bioethanol production rate. Among these three treatments, single *S. cerevisiae* Kyokai no. 7 microorganism produced the highest ethanol yield of 0.477 g/l.h within the shortest time (12 h).

Optimization of bioethanol production from OPT sap was performed using statistical methodology. Selection of the most important factor (10 factors) was done by using Plackett-Burman design (PBD) and it was found that initial pH, peptone and corn steep liquor (CSL) concentration have high influence towards bioethanol yield from OPT sap. Steepest-ascent experimental plan was performed to find suitable curvature of the experimental region. Selected factors were optimized using Box-Behnken design (BBD) at the identified region and it was predicted that the maximum ethanol yield of 0.5406 g/g can be obtained using OPT sap medium consisting of initial pH of 6.50, peptone 6.80 g/l and CSL 13.28 g/l. These conditions were validated experimentally. The predicted result after the optimization was in good agreement with the experimental data. The maximum bioethanol concentration of 0.480-0.500 g/g was obtained experimentally in the optimum condition which was 90.55-93% of the value predicted by *Design Expert* software.

Average bioethanol concentration of 30 g/l was obtained in repeated-batch. A kinetic analysis of bioethanol production showed that bioethanol yield and productivity were obtained from optimized OPT sap more stable compared to the corresponding values obtained by using non-optimized condition and by using pure glucose medium. The same condition was validated in 20 liter bioreactor and obtained 0.43-0.45 g/g of ethanol yield. It can be concluded that production of bioethanol from OPT sap as an alternative renewable energy in Malaysia is feasible.

ABSTRAK

Batang pokok kelapa sawit terhasil dari penanaman semula pokok kelapa sawit. Oleh sebab kebiasaannya ia ditinggalkan di kawasan penanaman untuk proses kitar semula nutrien, kajian menggunakan air sap sebagai bahan mentah bagi penghasilan bioetanol boleh dijalankan. Batang pokok kelapa sawit dipotong dan diperah menggunakan mesin pemerah untuk mendapatkan air sap batang pokok kelapa sawit, yang mana ia kemudiannya dikaji kesesuaiannya sebagai bahan fermentasi.

Kesesuaian air sap batang pokok kelapa sawit diuji dengan menyiasat hubungan di antara suhu dan keadaan goncangan terhadap fermentasi bioetanol menggunakan mikroorganisma yang berbeza. Pada tempoh 96 jam fermentasi dengan suhu 30 °C, hasil etanol yang tertinggi dicatatkan oleh *S. cerevisiae* Kyokai no.7 dalam keadaan statik iaitu 0.485 g/g dan 94.92% gula ditukar kepada bioethanol. Ini diikuti oleh campuran *S. cerevisiae* Kyokai no.7 dan *Pichia stipitis* pada 96 jam (0.484 g/g dan 94.69%).

Kajian mendalam terhadap kinetik parameter mikroorganisma juga telah dilakukan. Persamaan Monod dan model Hinshelwood telah digunakan untuk mengaitkan pertumbuhan spesifik terhadap had kepekatan substrat, dan juga untuk mensimulasikan kadar penghasilan bioetanol. Antara ketiga-tiga rawatan, mikroorganisma tunggal *S. cerevisiae* Kyokai no. 7 memperolehi keberhasilan etanol sebanyak 0.477 g/l.h dalam masa terpendek (12 h).

Pengoptimuman bioetanol daripada air sap dijalankan menggunakan kaedah statistik. Pemilihan faktor yang penting (10 faktor) menggunakan *Plackett-Burman design* (PBD) mendapati pH permulaan, kepekatan *peptone* dan *corn steep liquor* (CSL) mempunyai pengaruh yang paling besar terhadap penghasilan bioetanol dari sap. Pelan menuruni kecerunan telah digunakan untuk mengenalpasti lekuk yang sesuai sebagai kawasan eksperimen. Faktor-faktor terpilih telah dioptimumkan menggunakan *Box-Behnken design* (BBD) pada kawasan yang dikenalpasti dan diramalkan bahawa jumlah maksimum hasil bioetanol sebanyak 0.5406 g/g mampu diperolehi menggunakan sap yang mengandungi pH permulaan 6.50, kepekatan *peptone* 6.80 g/l dan CSL 13.28 g/l. Kondisi ini disahkan dengan eksperimen. Keputusan jangkaan adalah sejajar dengan keputusan eksperimen yang diperolehi melalui makmal. Kepekatan maksimum bioetanol sebanyak 0.480-0.500 g/g telah diperolehi melalui eksperimen pada kondisi optimum. Kepekatan bioetanol yang diperolehi ni adalah 90.55-93.00 % dari nilai yang telah dijangkakan oleh perisian *Design Expert*.

Purata kepekatan bioetanol sebanyak 30 g/l telah diperolehi dalam setiap kitaran. Analisis kinetik terhadap penghasilan bioetanol menunjukkan keberhasilan etanol dan produktiviti yang diperolehi daripada air sap yang telah dioptimumkan kondisinya adalah lebih stabil berbanding dengan daripada air sap yang tidak dioptimumkan kondisi, dan juga glukosa tulen. Berdasarkan kondisi yang sama yang dijalankan dalam bioreaktor 20 liter telah menghasilkan 0.43-0.45 g/g keberhasilan etanol. Ini dapat disimpulkan bahawa penghasilan bioetanol dari air sap batang kelapa sawit sebagai sumber alternatif tenaga yang boleh diperbaharui di Malaysia adalah realistic.