

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECLARATION OF THESIS AND COPYRIGHT

Author's full name : CHEANG YEE
Date of birth : 13 JULY 1992
Title : STRENGTHENING OF SLAB WITH OPENINGS
LOCATED IN THE SHEAR ZONE WITH CFRP
SHEETS AND ANCHORS
Academic Session : 2015/2016

I declare that this thesis is classified as :

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)*
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (Full text)

I acknowledge that Universiti Malaysia Pahang reserve the right as follows:

1. The Thesis is the Property of University Malaysia Pahang
2. The Library of University Malaysia Pahang has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Certified By:

(Student's Signature)

920713-10-5315

New IC / ~~Passport~~ Number

Date : 21 JUNE 2016

(Signature of Supervisor)

DR. CHIN SIEW CHOO

Name of Supervisor

Date : 21 JUNE 2016

NOTES :

*If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

STRENGTHENING OF SLAB WITH OPENINGS LOCATED IN THE SHEAR
ZONE WITH CFRP SHEETS AND ANCHORS

CHEANG YEE

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Engineering (Hons) Civil Engineering.

Signature :
Name of Supervisor : DR. CHIN SIEW CHOO
Position : SENIOR LECTURER
Date : 21 JUNE 2016

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

Signature :
Name : CHEANG YEE
ID Number : AA12180
DATE : 21 JUNE 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUPERVISOR’S DECLARATION	ii
STUDENT’S DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of the Study	2
1.4 Scope of the Study	3
1.5 Research Significance	3
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Openings On Slab	4
2.3 Strengthening of Reinforced Concrete Structural Members	9
2.3.1 Traditional Strengthening Method	9
2.3.2 FRP Sheets	12
2.3.3 FRP Anchors	18
2.4 Finite Element Analysis Software	26
2.4.1 ANSYS	26
2.4.2 Abaqus	29
2.5 Summary	37

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Overview of Research	40
3.3	Overview of Finite Element Analysis by Abaqus	44
3.3.1	Modelling of Concrete	45
3.3.2	Modelling of Steel Reinforcements	48
3.3.3	Modelling of CFRP Laminates	50
3.3.4	Modelling of CFRP Anchors	53
3.3.5	Epoxy Adhesives for CFRP Laminates and Anchors	54
3.4	Overview of Laboratory Tests	55
3.4.1	Three-Point Bending Test	62
3.4.2	Compressive Strength Test	62

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Finite Element Analysis	63
4.2.1	Comparison between Slab without Opening, Slab with Opening, Slab with Opening Strengthened with CFRP, and Slab with Opening Strengthened with CFRP and Anchors	63
4.2.2	Comparison between Different Types of Anchor Configurations	66
4.3	Laboratory Test Result	67
4.3.1	Concrete Cube Test Results	67
4.3.2	Slab without Opening	68
4.3.3	Comparison between Slab with Opening, Slab with Opening Strengthened with CFRP, and Slab with Opening Strengthened with CFRP and Anchors (Best Configurations)	69
4.4	Comparison between Finite Element Analyses and Laboratory Test Results	71
4.4.1	Load Deflection Curve	71
4.4.2	Crack Pattern	74
4.4.3	Stress Distribution of Slab Models in Abaqus	75

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Conclusion	83
5.3	Recommendations for Future Works	85

REFERENCES

86

APPENDICES

90

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
2.1	Size of specimen and steel plate used in experiment	10
2.2	Result of experiment	12
2.3	Details of specimen	17
2.4	Summary of test results	20
2.5	Maximum load and deflection of slab S1 to S8	22
2.6	Details of optimal design of anchor	23
2.7	Maximum load and displacement of flexible and rigid anchors	25
2.8	Assumptions and parameter of finite element model	27
2.9	Result of simulation compared with experimental	28
2.10	Details of specimens	29
2.11	Model and assumptions in Abaqus	31
3.1	Parameters used for concrete material	46
3.2	Parameters used for steel material	49
3.3	Specifications of CFRP laminates, SikaWrap [®] -231 C	51
3.4	Parameters defined for CFRP material	51
3.5	Specifications of epoxy adhesive, Sikadur [®] -330	54
4.1	Summary of slab models in Abaqus	65
4.2	Increment of ultimate load among slab models (finite element)	65
4.3	Concrete cube test results	68
4.4	Summary of slab specimen from laboratory test	70
4.5	Increment of ultimate load among slab specimens (laboratory test)	70
4.6	Comparison of results between finite element and laboratory test	72

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.1	Effect of an opening to existing concrete slab	5
2.2	Recommendations on the position and sizes of openings for beamless slabs by ACI-318.	6
2.3	Recommendations on the position and orientation of cut-outs in one-way slabs.	7
2.4	Recommendations on the position of cut-outs in two-way slabs rested on contour beams	8
2.5	Recommendations on the position of cut-outs in flat slabs and flat plates.	8
2.6	Experiment setup, dial gauge and strain gauge arrangement	11
2.7	Load-deflection curve of the experiment	11
2.8	Top view and side view of test setup	13
2.9	Strengthening configuration	14
2.10	Strengthening schemes of RC arches: (I) Bonding method, including (a) I- style bonding and (b) intrados bonding; (II) Wrapping method, including (c) U-style bonding and (d) O-style bonding and (III) (e) Intrados bonding/anchoring method	15
2.11	Load capacities of: CFRP strengthened RC arches (a); CFRP concrete arches (b); GFRP concrete arches (c)	16
2.12	Effect of opening size, opening shape, length of CFRP strips, and width of CFRP strips on load deflection curve	17
2.13	(a) Beam geometry and reinforcement; (b) Cross section (dimensions in mm)	19
2.14	Strengthening configuration for the six beams tested	19
2.15	Horizontal anchors (left) and nearly vertical anchors (right)	20
2.16	Arrangement of the anchors for the test	21

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.17	Load–deflection responses of anchored slabs relative to control Slabs S1 and S2: (a) all anchored slabs; (b) anchored slabs with increasing strength and deflection (c) anchored slabs with predominantly increasing deflection.	22
2.18	Schematic of FRP-strengthened RC member and anchor	23
2.19	Sectional schematics of installed dry and impregnated anchors.	24
2.20	Test specimens and instrumentation. (L = LVDT, P = load) (units in mm)	25
2.21	FRP anchor details (units in mm)	25
2.22	Configuration and elements of the proposed model	28
2.23	Load versus strain curve of simulation and experimental	29
2.24	Class A slabs	30
2.25	Class B slabs	30
2.26	Load-displacement curves for typical Sc-90 obtained from model and experiment.	32
2.27	Load-displacement curves for typical Sc-90/45 obtained from model and experiment.	32
2.28	Load-displacement curves for Se and Sce slabs obtained from model.	33
2.29	Plot of damage distributions in failure state obtained from used F.E. model	33
2.30	Load-displacement curves for Sco slabs obtained from model.	34
2.31	Plot of damage distributions in failure state obtained from model.	34
2.32	Tension Stiffening Model & Compression stress-strain relationship for Abaqus	35
2.33	Experiment and FEM crack pattern under 3 point bending test	36

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.34	Experiment and FEM crack pattern under 4 point bending test	36
3.1	Flowchart of research	39
3.2	Typical dimension of slab with 6 mm steel reinforcement (units in mm)	40
3.3	Typical dimension of opening on slab (units in mm)	41
3.4	Typical strengthening scheme of CFRP strips without anchors (units in mm)	41
3.5	Configuration 1 (units in mm)	42
3.6	Configuration 2 (units in mm)	42
3.7	Configuration 3 (units in mm)	43
3.8	Configuration 4 (units in mm)	43
3.9	Defining boundary conditions and loading on the model	45
3.10	Defining parameters of concrete in Material Manager under Property Module	46
3.11	Sketched concrete slab without opening in the Part Module	47
3.12	Sketched concrete with opening of 200mm × 200mm in the Part Module	47
3.13	Defining cracks in Abaqus for slab with opening	48
3.14	Defining parameters of concrete in Material Manager under Property Module	49
3.15	Sketched rebar in the Part Module	50
3.16	Sketched CFRP laminates in the Part Module	52
3.17	Defining parameters of CFRP in Material Manager under Property Module	52

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure No.	Title	Page
3.18	Sketched CFRP Anchors in the Part module	53
3.19	Unassembled formworks	55
3.20	Finished formwork with concrete cover together with rebars (slab without opening)	56
3.21	Finished formwork with concrete cover together with rebars (slab with opening)	56
3.22	Formworks were applied with a layer of hydraulic oil to make demoulding works easier	57
3.23	Hardened slab specimen	57
3.24	Curing specimen with wet gunny bags for 28 days	57
3.25	Mixing epoxy (Part A is white coloured while Part B is grey coloured)	58
3.26	After mixing, the epoxy is a uniform grey colour mixture	58
3.27	Applying CFRP laminates to the surface of concrete slab	59
3.28	Slab sample with CFRP laminates epoxied to the surface	59
3.29	Rolling CFRP sheets to make anchors	60
3.30	Anchors made from CFRP sheets	60
3.31	Drilling holes on the slab specimen	61
3.32	Inserting anchors into the slab specimen	61
3.33	Loading arrangement of specimen (units in mm)	62
3.34	Compressive test equipment	62
4.1	Finite element analysis: comparison between slab without opening, slab with opening, slab with opening strengthened with CFRP, and slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors (best configuration)	65

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure No.	Title	Page
4.2	Finite element analysis: comparison between different types of anchor configurations	67
4.3	Laboratory test result: slab without opening	69
4.4	Laboratory test result: comparison between slab with opening, slab with opening strengthened with CFRP, and slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors (best configuration)	71
4.5	Laboratory result: slab with opening	73
4.6	Laboratory result: slab with opening strengthened with CFRP	73
4.7	Laboratory result: slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors (configuration 1)	73
4.8	Crack pattern of slab without opening	74
4.9	Crack pattern of slab with opening	74
4.10	Crack pattern of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP	74
4.11	Crack pattern of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors	75
4.12	Stress distribution of slab without opening	76
4.13	Stress distribution of slab with opening	77
4.14	Stress distribution of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP	78
4.15	Stress distribution of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors: configuration 1	79
4.16	Stress distribution of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors: configuration 2	80
4.17	Stress distribution of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors: configuration 3	81

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

Figure No.	Title	Page
4.18	Stress distribution of slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors: configuration 4	82

LIST OF SYMBOLS

P_{cr}	Initial cracking load
γ_{cr}	Relative increase against control sample
P_e	Experimental ultimate load
γ_e	Relative increase against control sample
E	Elastic modulus
f_y	Yield stress
μ	Poisson's ratio
f_c	Compressive strength of concrete
Ψ	Dilatation angle
η	Eccentricity

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACI	American Concrete Institute
BC	Brittle Cracking
CDP	Concrete-damaged Plasticity
CFRP	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer
EB	Externally bonded
FRP	Fibre Reinforced Polymer
GFRP	Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer
LVDT	Linear-Variable-Displacement-Transducers
NSM	Near-surface Mounted
RC	Reinforced Concrete
SI	Système Internationale

STRENGTHENING OF SLAB WITH OPENINGS LOCATED IN THE SHEAR
ZONE WITH CFRP SHEETS AND ANCHORS

CHEANG YEE

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

ABSTRACT

This thesis deals with strengthening of slab with openings located in the shear zone with CFRP sheets and anchors. The objective of this thesis is to determine the behavior of reinforced concrete slab with opening located in the shear zone in terms of load deflection behavior and crack pattern, to evaluate the performances of CFRP sheets and anchors in strengthening of reinforced concrete slabs with openings, and to validate finite element results with experimental results. The thesis uses finite element software, Abaqus version 6.14 from Dassault Systèmes to simulate various configuration of CFRP anchors. The best configuration of CFRP anchors that resulted in highest ultimate load was identified and validation of results was conducted. The material model employed during the finite element analysis was Brittle Cracking model. From the finite element analysis, the highest ultimate load was achieved on slab with opening strengthened with CFRP sheets and anchors. The ultimate load was 58.39 kN, about 202.07 % higher than unstrengthen slab with opening. In experiment, slab with opening strengthened with CFRP sheets had the highest ultimate load among all laboratory specimens. The ultimate load was 15.74 kN, about 10.79 % greater than unstrengthen slab with opening. In terms of crack patterns, for slab without opening, slab with opening and slab with opening strengthened with CFRP had exhibited similar crack pattern, with only a visible vertical crack at the mid-span. Meanwhile, for slab with opening strengthened with CFRP and anchors, two visible cracks were detected at the middle region of the slab. The locations of crack were as predicted in Abaqus, since the stress around these regions was the highest. Finite element and laboratory test results show that both CFRP sheets and CFRP anchors were able to increase the ultimate load of slab with opening. However, in finite element analysis, a combination of CFRP sheets and anchors were found more effective in strengthening than by only using CFRP sheets, however the laboratory test results showed otherwise. This is due to the assumptions of perfect bond between CFRP sheets and concrete surface in Abaqus, and excessive stress inducted to the sample during drilling works.

ABSTRAK

Tesis ini membentangkan penyelidikan pengukuhan papak dengan pembukaan lubang di zon ricih. Objektif tesis ini adalah untuk mengetahui sifat papak dengan pembukaan lubang di zon ricih dalam aspek sifat beban-anjakan serta dengan corak retakan, untuk mengetahui prestasi lampiran *CFRP* dengan *Anchor* pada pengukuhan terhadap papak dengan pembukaan lubang, dan untuk mengesahkan keputusan unsur terhingga dengan keputusan makmal. Tesis ini menggunakan perisian unsur terhingga, *Abaqus* versi 6.14 daripada syarikat *Dassault Systèmes* untuk menjalankan simulasi terhadap konfigurasi *CFRP Anchor* yang berlainan. Konfigurasi *CFRP Anchor* terbaik yang dapat mencapai beban muktamad yang tertinggi akan disahkan dengan keputusan makmal. Model bahan yang digunakan dalam proses penganalisa unsur terhingga adalah model *Brittle Cracking*. Daripada analisa unsur terhingga, papak dengan pembukaan lubang dikukuhkan dengan lampiran *CFRP* berserta *Anchor* mempunyai beban muktamad yang tertinggi. Beban muktamadnya adalah 58.39 kN, lebih kurang 202.07% lebih tinggi daripada papak dengan pembukaan lubang yang tiada pengukuhan. Dalam eksperimen, papak dengan pembukaan lubang dikukuhkan dengan lampiran *CFRP* mempunyai beban muktamad yang tertinggi. Beban muktamadnya adalah 15.74 kN, lebih kurang 10.79% lebih tinggi daripada papak dengan pembukaan lubang yang tiada pengukuhan. Corak retakan untuk papak tiada pembukaan lubang, papak dengan pembukaan lubang, dengan papak dengan pembukaan lubang dikukuhkan dengan lampiran *CFRP* adalah seiras, dengan hanya satu retakan yang boleh dilihat di tengah span. Untuk papak dengan pembukaan lubang dikukuhkan dengan lampiran *CFRP* berserta *Anchor*, terdapat dua retakan di kawasan di tengah-tengah papak. Tempat retakan dilihat adalah sama dengan jangkakan *Abaqus*, kerana tekanan sekitar tempat berkaitan adalah yang tertinggi. Analisa unsur terhingga dan keputusan makmal menunjukkan bahawa lampiran *CFRP* berserta *CFRP Anchor* dapat menambahkan beban muktamad papak dengan pembukaan lubang. Bagaimanapun, dalam analisa unsur terhingga, kombinasi lampiran *CFRP* berserta *CFRP Anchor* adalah lebih berkesan dalam pengukuhan daripada hanya menggunakan sahaja lampiran *CFRP*. Akan tetapi, keputusan makmal menunjukkan yang sebaliknya. Ini adalah disebabkan andaian ikatan mutlak di antara lampiran *CFRP* dengan permukaan konkrit dalam perisian *Abaqus*, serta tekanan berlebihan ke atas spesimen yang disebabkan oleh proses pengerudian.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Slabs are flat plate-shaped load bearing elements, usually in uniform thickness throughout the whole element, designed to carry lateral actions (Yassin & Abdullah, 2015). Sometimes, in order to accommodate several services such as staircases, elevators, escalators, electrical cables, heating and ventilation systems, openings will be entail to slabs (Enochsson, et. al., 2007). These openings leads to deterioration of strength as concrete and reinforcement that are meant to carry the loads are removed. In order to enable these slabs to regain their carrying capabilities, Fiber Reinforced Polymers (FRP) sheets can be used to strengthen them.

Depending on the material, Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymers (CFRP) or Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymers (GFRP) is a sheet-like material typically used for rehabilitation and strengthening works of reinforced concrete structure. FRP is light in terms of weight, easy to use and apply and gives significant increase in strength. These characteristics makes FRP an ideal material for rehabilitation and strengthening works. Usually, epoxy resin was used to bond FRP sheets to the surface of concrete, and loads applied on concrete will be transferred to FRP sheet. Unfortunately, bonded FRP sheets tends to suffers from debonding, whereby the FRP sheets detached from the concrete surface together with concrete substrates under loading before reaching the rupture strain of CFRP laminates. Debonding of CFRP sheets from concrete surface occurs as the strength of concrete substrate is lower than the bonding strength of epoxy to concrete surface and CFRP sheet. Anchors can be used to prevent debonding from occurring as

these anchors functions as an alternate force transfer mechanism for CFRP sheets to reach carrying capacity before debonding happens (Sun & Ghannoum, 2015).

Previously, some researchers showed that the strength and carrying capacity of reinforced concrete structures can be enhanced by using a combination of FRP sheets together with anchors (Koutas & Triantafillou, 2013; Smith, et al., 2011). Besides, finite element analysis on strengthening effect of FRP sheets on slabs with openings has been carried out by Enochsson, et al. (2007) and shows satisfactory results. However, not much finite element analysis was conducted on strengthening effect of CFRP sheets with CFRP anchors, especially on slabs with openings.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

A concrete slab with an opening usually will have decreased the strength and thus lower the load capacity than a same-sized slab without opening, whereby the larger the opening is, the larger the decrease in load capacity of the slab. Besides, the corners and edges of opening may act as crack initiators, making them more susceptible to cracking when loaded. Thus, slabs with openings need certain strengthening mechanism to enable the slab to carry the design load. Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) laminates are commonly used for strengthening works of reinforced concrete structural member. FRP sheets is bonded to the concrete surface by using epoxy specified by the sheet's respective manufacturer and anchor is installed to prevent debonding of sheets. As the strengthening effect of CFRP is better than GFRP (Zhang, et. al., 2012), hence this study uses CFRP as the material for strengthening. The target of this study is to identify the strengthening effect of CFRP sheets together with anchors on slab with opening at shear zone.

1.3 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- i) To determine the behavior of reinforced concrete slabs with opening located in the shear zone in terms of load deflection behavior and crack pattern.
- ii) To evaluate the performance of CFRP sheets and anchors in the strengthening of reinforced concrete slabs with openings.

- iii) To validate the finite element results with the experimental results.

1.4 SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The scope of the research is to carry out analysis using commercially available finite element analysis program and later to verify these results by experimental works. The finite element analysis of the slabs were conducted by using Abaqus version 6.14 with slab specimen of dimension 500 mm x 120 mm x 1500 mm with opening of size 200 mm x 200 mm at the shear zone of the slab. The CFRP sheet used is *SikaWrap®-231 C* and the epoxy used is *Sikadur®-330 Epoxy Adhesive*. Since the effect of the design of anchor on arresting debonding of CFRP sheets is not a part of the studies, the design of anchor will not be consider in this research. Previously, Zhang, et. al., (2012) experimented with several design of anchors on arresting debonding of CFRP sheets and had proposed an optimum design of anchor on arresting debonding of CFRP sheets. Hence, the design of anchor adopted the optimum design as researched by Zhang, et al. (2012), which is flexible anchor made from 200 mm wide fiber sheet. The research examines the effect of arrangement of anchors on increment of the strength of slab. Laboratory test were conducted on the optimum arrangement of anchors which gives the highest increment of strength at University Malaysia Pahang Concrete Lab. The result considered in this study were the load-deflection curve/behavior, the debonding behavior of CFRP sheets from the slab and the crack pattern of slab.

1.5 RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

This research will provide a suggested arrangement of anchors to prevent or delay debonding of CFRP sheets from occurring when reinforced concrete structural members is strengthen by CFRP sheets, especially on slab members with openings. By preventing debonding of CFRP sheets from the surface of reinforced concrete structural members, the maximum rupture strains of CFRP sheet can be achieve before they detach from the surface and thus increase the strength of the aforesaid reinforced concrete structural members. Besides, this research may also provide a suitable location of anchors to prevent the installation of anchors to become crack initiators, especially when the anchors is installed nearby openings.