# THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTENSITY DURATION FREQUENCY CURVES FITTING CONSTANT AT KUANTAN RIVER BASIN

NUR SALBIAH BINTI SHAMSUDIN

B.ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTENSITY DURATION FREQUENCY CURVES FITTING CONSTANT AT KUANTAN RIVER BASIN

#### NUR SALBIAH BINTI SHAMSUDIN

Report submitted in partial fulfilment of requirements for the award of the degree of B. Eng. (Hons) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

#### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

| DECLARATION                     | DECLARATION OF THESIS / UNDERGRADUATE PROJECT PAPER AND COPYRIGHT |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
|                                 |   |  |  |
| Author's full name              | : <u>NUR SALBIAH BINTI SHAMSUDIN</u>                              |  |  |
| Date of birth                   | : <u>7<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER 1993</u>                              |  |  |
| Title                           | <u>: THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTENSITY DURATION FREQUENCY CURVES</u>   |  |  |
|                                 | FITTING CONSTANT AT KUANTAN RIVER BASIN                           |  |  |
| Academic Session                | : <u>2015/2016</u>  |  |  |
| I declare that this thesis is c | lassified as  |  |  |
| CONFIDENT                       |   |  |  |
|                                 | Secret Act 1972)*   |  |  |
| RESTRICTE                       | <b>D</b> (Contains restricted information as specified by the     |  |  |
|                                 | organization where research was done)*                            |  |  |
| OPEN ACCE                       | <b>SS</b> I agree that my thesis to be published as online open   |  |  |
| V                               | access (full text)  |  |  |
| I acknowledged that Univer      | rsity Malaysia Pahang reserves the right as follows:              |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |  |
| 1. The thesis is the            | property of University Malaysia Pahang.                           |  |  |
| 2. The Library of U             | University Malaysia Pahang has the right to make copies for the   |  |  |
| purpose of research             | h only.   |  |  |
| 3. The Library has              | the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.     |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |  |
| Certified by:                   |   |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |  |
| SIGNATURE                       | SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR   |  |  |
| (931007-02-5768)                | (PN SHAIRUL ROHAZIAWATI BINTI SAMAT)                              |  |  |
| Date: JUNE 2016                 | Date: JUNE 2016   |  |  |

**NOTES:** \*If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or Restriction

## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor (Hons.) of Civil Engineering.

| Signature          | : |                               |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Name of Supervisor | : | PN. SHAIRUL ROHAZIAWATI BINTI |
|                    |   | SAMAT                         |
| Position           | : | LECTURER                      |
| Date               | : | JUNE 2016                     |

## STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award for other degree.

| Signature | : |                             |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------|
| Name      | : | NUR SALBIAH BINTI SHAMSUDIN |
| ID Number | : | AA12186                     |
| Date      | : | JUNE 2016                   |

#### **TABLE OF CONTENT**

| SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION | i   |
|--------------------------|-----|
| STUDENT'S DECLARATION    | ii  |
| DEDICATION               | iii |
| ACKNOLEWDGEMENT          | iv  |
| ABSTRACT                 | v   |
| ABSTRAK                  | vi  |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS        | Х   |
| LIST OF TABLES           | xii |
| LIST OF FIGURES          | xiv |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS          | XV  |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATION     | xvi |

# CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 | Background Study     | 1 |
|-----|----------------------|---|
| 1.2 | Problem Statement    | 3 |
| 1.3 | Objectives           | 4 |
| 1.4 | Scope of Study       | 4 |
| 1.5 | Significant of Study | 5 |

# CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.1 | Introduction  |                                    |    |  |
|-----|---------------|------------------------------------|----|--|
| 2.2 | Intensity Dur | Intensity Duration Frequency Curve |    |  |
| 2.3 | Developmen    | t of Intensity Duration Frequency  | 9  |  |
|     | (IDF) Curve   |                                    |    |  |
|     | 2.3.1 Gum     | bel Theory Distribution            | 11 |  |
|     | 2.3.2 Log I   | Pearson Type III                   | 13 |  |
|     | 2.3.3 Log I   | Normal Distribution                | 14 |  |
|     | 2.3.4 Gene    | ralized Extreme Value              | 14 |  |
|     | Distr         | ibution (GEV)                      |    |  |
|     | 2.3.5 Norm    | nal Distribution Function          | 15 |  |

Page

| 2.4 | Development of Intensity Duration Frequency   |    |  |  |
|-----|---|----|--|--|
|     | Curve (IDF) Fittings Constants  |    |  |  |
|     | <ul><li>2.4.1 Method of Strom Occurrence Interval</li><li>2.4.2 Method of Developing Intensity Duration</li></ul> | 18 |  |  |
|     | Frequency (IDF) Fittings  | 19 |  |  |
|     | 2.4.3 Estimation of Fittings Constant   | 21 |  |  |
|     | 2.4.4 Empirical IDF Formula Derivation  | 22 |  |  |
| 2.5 | Development of Intensity Duration Frequency   | 23 |  |  |
|     | Curve in Malaysia   |    |  |  |
|     | 2.5.1 Probability Distribution  | 23 |  |  |
|     | 2.5.2 Goodness of Fits  | 24 |  |  |
|     | 2.5.3 Estimation Methods  | 24 |  |  |

#### CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 | Introdu            | action  | 25 |
|-----|--------------------|---|----|
| 3.2 | Flow Chart         |   |    |
| 3.3 | Study              | Area  | 27 |
| 3.4 | Data Collection    |   |    |
| 3.5 | Method of Analysis |   |    |
|     | 3.5.1              | Developing The Intensity Duration<br>Frequency Curve      | 30 |
|     | 3.5.2              | 1 0   | 32 |
|     | 3.5.3              | The Comparison between MSMA 2<br>with Empirical Equations | 32 |

# CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

| 4.1 | Introduction                       |    |  |
|-----|------------------------------------|----|--|
| 4.2 | Mean and Standard Deviation        | 36 |  |
|     | 4.2.1 Log Normal Distribution      | 36 |  |
|     | 4.2.2 Normal Distribution Function | 37 |  |
|     |                                    |    |  |
| 4.3 | Frequency Factor                   | 38 |  |
| 4.4 | Intensity                          | 39 |  |
|     | 4.4.1 Log Normal Distribution      | 39 |  |

|            |       | 4.4.2 Normal Distribution Function   | 41       |
|------------|-------|--|----------|
|            | 4.5   | Intensity Duration Frequency Curve for   | 43       |
|            |       | Every Station  |          |
|            |       | <ul><li>4.5.1 Log Normal Distribution</li><li>4.5.2 Normal Distribution Function</li></ul> | 43<br>53 |
|            | 4.6   | Intensity Duration Frequency   | 63       |
|            |       | <ul><li>4.6.1 Sherman Equation</li><li>4.6.2 Bernard Equation</li></ul>                    | 63<br>66 |
|            | 4.7   | Different of Intensity Values Between  | 68       |
|            |       | MSMA 2, Sherman Equations and  |          |
|            |       | Bernard Equations  |          |
|            |       | <ul><li>4.7.1 Log Normal Distribution</li><li>4.7.2 Normal Distribution Function</li></ul> | 69<br>79 |
| CHAPTER 5  | CON   | ICLUSION   |          |
|            | 5.1   | Background   | 89       |
|            | 5.2   | Conclusion   | 90       |
|            | 5.3   | Recommendation   | 91       |
| REFERENCE  |       |  | 92       |
| APPENDICES |       |  | 95       |
| APPENDIX A | Rain  | fall Data from DID   | 96       |
| APPENDIX B | Raint | fall Depth in mm   | 122      |

Rainfall Intensity in mm/hr

APPENDIX C

134

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title  | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1       | The example parameters obtained by Abha region                     | 17   |
| 2.2       | The example of Gumbel Correction Factor                            | 18   |
| 3.1       | List of Station Number with corresponding name                     | 29   |
| 4.1       | Rainfall Data Sungai Lembing (3930012)                             | 34   |
| 4.2       | Descriptive Statistic for Station Sungai Lembing (3930012)         | 36   |
| 4.3       | Descriptive Statistic for Station Sungai Lembing (3930012))        | 37   |
| 4.4       | Frequency Factor based on Return Period                            | 38   |
| 4.5       | Design Rainfall Precipitation for Station Sungai Lembing (3930012) | 40   |
| 4.6       | Design Rainfall Intensity for Station Sungai Lembing (3930012)     | 40   |
| 4.7       | Design Rainfall Precipitation for Station Sungai Lembing (3930012) | 42   |
| 4.8       | Design Rainfall Intensity for Station Sungai Lembing (3930012)     | 42   |
| 4.9       | Slope and y-intercept from IDF curve                               | 64   |
| 4.10      | Stations and locality constants using Log Normal Distribution      | 65   |
| 4.11      | Stations and locality constants using Normal Distribution Function | 65   |
| 4.12      | Stations and locality constants using Log Normal Distribution      | 67   |
| 4.13      | Stations and locality constants using Normal Distribution Function | 67   |
| 4.14      | Equations to calculate percentage different of intensity           | 68   |
| 4.15      | The locality constant from MSMA 2                                  | 68   |
| 4.16      | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3631001      | 69   |
| 4.17      | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3731018      | 70   |
| 4.18      | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3732020      | 71   |
| 4.19      | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3732021      | 72   |
| 4.20      | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3832015      | 73   |

| 4.21 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3833002 | 74 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.22 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3930012 | 75 |
| 4.23 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3930013 | 76 |
| 4.24 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3931014 | 77 |
| 4.25 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3933003 | 78 |
| 4.26 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3631001 | 79 |
| 4.27 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3731018 | 80 |
| 4.28 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3732020 | 81 |
| 4.29 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3732021 | 82 |
| 4.30 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3832015 | 83 |
| 4.31 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3833002 | 84 |
| 4.32 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3930012 | 85 |
| 4.33 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3931013 | 86 |
| 4.34 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3931014 | 87 |
| 4.35 | The percentage different between methods for Stations 3933003 | 88 |

#### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Title                                | Page |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------|
|            |                                      |      |
| 2.1        | Flood occurs at Kuantan in Year 2014 | 7    |
| 2.2        | An example of IDF curve              | 8    |
| 3.1        | The Flow Chart of the study          | 26   |
| 3.2        | The example of IDF curve             | 27   |
| 3.3        | The location of Pahang, Malaysia.    | 27   |
| 3.4        | The location of Kuantan River Basin  | 28   |
| 4.1        | IDF curve for Station 3631001        | 43   |
| 4.2        | IDF Curve for Station 3731018        | 44   |
| 4.3        | IDF Curve for Station 3732020        | 45   |
| 4.4        | IDF Curve for Station 3732021        | 46   |
| 4.5        | IDF Curve for Station 3832015        | 47   |
| 4.6        | IDF Curve for Station 3833002        | 48   |
| 4.7        | IDF Curve for Station 3930012        | 49   |
| 4.8        | IDF Curve for Station 3931013        | 50   |
| 4.9        | IDF Curve for Station 3931014        | 51   |
| 4.10       | IDF Curve for Station 3933003        | 52   |
| 4.11       | IDF Curve for Station 3631001        | 53   |
| 4.12       | IDF Curve for Station 3731018        | 54   |
| 4.13       | IDF Curve for Station 3732020        | 55   |
| 4.14       | IDF Curve for Station 3732021        | 56   |
| 4.15       | IDF Curve for Station 3832015        | 57   |
| 4.16       | IDF Curve for Station 3833002        | 58   |
| 4.17       | IDF Curve for Station 3930012        | 59   |
| 4.18       | IDF Curve for Station 3931013        | 60   |

| 4.19 | IDF Curve for Station 3931014 | 61 |
|------|-------------------------------|----|
| 4.20 | IDF Curve for Station 3933003 | 62 |
| 4.21 | Graph of log K versus Log T   | 64 |

# LIST OF SYMBOL

| Р           | maximum precipitation   |
|-------------|---|
| $N_x$       | Annual rainfall at missing data station                         |
| $N_i$       | Annual rainfall at neighbor station                             |
| n           | The number neighbor station whose data are used                 |
| $P_x$       | The missing precipitation                                       |
| $P_n$       | The precipitation value at <i>n</i> station                     |
| Ν           | The total number of record                                      |
| $\bar{x}_i$ | Mean of the sample  |
| $P_T$       | The frequency precipitation                                     |
| Κ           | Gumbel frequency  |
| S           | Standard deviation of P value                                   |
| Pave        | The average of the maximum precipitation in a specific duration |
| $T_d$       | Duration in hours   |
| $P*_T$      | The frequency precipitation                                     |
| $ar{P}^*$   | Mean Precipitation  |
| Ι           | Intensity   |
| <i>S</i> *  | Standard deviation of <i>P</i> * value                          |
| $K_T$       | The Pearson frequency factor                                    |
| T           | Deturn period (veens)   |

*T* Return period (years)

# LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| DID    | Department of Irrigation and Drainage             |
|--------|---|
| MSMA 2 | Urban Stormwater Management Manual Second Edition |
| LP3    | Log-Pearson Type III                              |
| LN     | Log-Normal  |
| IDF    | Intensity-Duration-Frequency                      |
| GEV    | Generalized Extreme Value Distribution            |
| IPCC   | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change         |

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTENSITY DURATION FREQUENCY CURVES FITTING CONSTANT AT KUANTAN RIVER BASIN

#### NUR SALBIAH BINTI SHAMSUDIN

Report submitted in partial fulfilment of requirements for the award of the degree of B. Eng. (Hons) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

#### ABSTRACT

Changing in climate is one of the main parameter that affecting the water resources as it affects the whole hydrologic cycle thus cause variation in rainfall intensity, duration and frequency of precipitation. The rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) relationship is one of the tools that is commonly used in water resources engineering, either for planning, designing and operating the water resources project. The aims of this research is to develop the fittings constants based on the intensity duration frequency (IDF) curves for Kuantan River Basin. Two frequency analysis were used to develop the IDF curve from rainfall data along Kuantan River Basin. These techniques are: Log Normal Distribution and the Normal Distribution Function. These method is used to obtain the IDF curve for twelve durations (5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 180, 360, 720, 1440, 2880, 4320and 7200) minutes. This study used Annual Maximum Series data obtained from Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID). It consists of 10 stations along Kuantan River Basin. Derived equations for calculating rainfall intensity for Kuantan River Basin was obtain by using two technique based on result obtained from IDF data. The estimation for fittings constants of IDF curve for different return periods was performed using empirical formula. The equations are Sherman Equation and Bernard Equation. These equations are used to computed the locality constants (a, b, c). The rainfall intensity value obtain from Sherman Equation is larger compare to the rainfall intensity from Bernard Equation which is the percentage different of Sherman Equation is 10% until 700% while the percentage different of Bernard Equation is 10% until 300%. This is because the reference station that is Station 3930012 (Sg. Lembing PCCL Mill) is located at the upstream and other station were located at the downstream of the river. Thus the intensity of downstream is lower compare to the intensity at the upper stream of the river.

#### ABSTRAK

Perubahan iklim merupakan salah satu faktor yang memberi kesan kepada sumber air kerana ia boleh menjejaskan kitaran hidrologi keseluruhan dan seterusnya menyebabkan perbezaan kepada kuantiti hujan, tempoh dan kekerapan hujan. Keamatan Tempoh Frekuansi (IDF) adalah salah satu alat yang biasa digunakan di untuk merancang, mereka bentuk dan mengendalikan projek sumber air. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan parameter berdasarkan Keamatan Tempoh Frekuansi (IDF) di Sungai Kuantan. Dua analisis frekuansi digunakan untuk membangunkan Keamatan Tempoh Frekuansi (IDF) di sepanjang Sungai Kuantan. Tenik – teknik ini adalah: Log Taburan Normal dan Taburan Normal. Kaedah ini digunakan untuk mendapatkan Keamatan Tempoh Frekuansi (IDF) sebanyak dua belas jangka masa (5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 180, 360, 720, 1440, 2880, 4320 dan 7200) minit. Kajian ini mengunakan data Siri Maksimum Tahunan yang diperoleh daripada Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran (JPS). Ia terdiri daripada 10 stesen di sepanjang Sungai Kuantan. Persamaan yang diperolehi untuk mengira keamatan hujan untuk Sungai Kuantan adalah diperoleh mengunakan dua teknik berdasarkan keputusan yang diperoleh daripada data IDF. Anggaran untuk parameter IDF bagi tempoh kala kembali yang berbeza telah dilakukan dengan mengunakan formula empirik. Persamaan tersebut adalah Persamaan Sherman dan Persamaan Bernard. Persamaan ini digunakan untuk mengira pemalar a, b, c dan e yang terdapat dalam formula empirik. Perbandingan yang diperoleh menunjukkan nilai keamatan bagi Persamaan Sherman lebih tinggi dari Persamaan Bernard dengan kadar peratus bagi Persamaan Sherman adalah 10 peratus sehingga 700 peratus dan Persamaan Bernard adalah 10 peratus sehingga 300 peratus. Hal ini kerana stesten rujukan iaitu Stesen 3930012 (Sg. Lembing PCCL Mill) terletak di hulu sungai manakala stesen lain terletak di hilir sungai. Oleh itu, kadar hujan yang diterima di hulu sungai tinggi berbanding dengan kadar hujan yang diterima di hilir sungai.

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND STUDY

Malaysia is a Southeast Asian nation that is 4.1936°N on the latitude and 103.7249°E on the longitude. Malaysia is the 67th largest country by total land area, with a land area of 329,847 km<sup>2</sup>. Malaysia was a developing country which is the construction ways has become new and modern as the infrastructure had increase. Many rural areas have become an urban area throughout the year. As Malaysia is located near the equator, its climate is being categorized as hot and humid throughout the year.

According to Meteorological Department Malaysian, there is two monsoon winds seasons. The Southwest Monsoon is happening at late May to September where the November to March is called Northeast Monsoon. The Northeast Monsoon brings more rainfall as it is originating in China and the North Pacific where the Southwest Monsoon originating from the deserts of Australia. Thus, Malaysia has extreme variations in rainfall that are linked with the monsoons.

From the Meteorology Department Malaysian, the highest rainfall in a year was recorded at Sandakan, Sabah in 2006 with 5687 mm of precipitation. The lowest rainfall in a year with precipitation 1151 mm was recorded at Tawau, Sabah on 1997. The highest average annual rainfall is 4159 mm recorded at Kuching, Sarawak while the lowest average

annual rainfall was recorded at Sitiawan, Perak with 1781 mm precipitation. Besides that, the Meteorology Department Malaysian also state that the weather in Peninsular in July 2015 is wetter than in June 2015 but some areas around Terengganu, interior Pahang, Selangor and South Perak is recorded to be drier. On December 2014, the reading of Kuantan, Pahang is 1806.0 mm since last time in year 2001 and the monthly highest rainfall amount recorded was 1471.1 mm.

Meanwhile in Malaysia, Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) have been using the Urban Stormwater Management Manual Second Edition (MSMA 2) which is the latest urban stormwater management manual for Malaysia. MSMA 2 has been used to provide guidance for all regulators, planners, engineers, designers, developers and contractors who were involve in stormwater management.

An Intensity –Duration- Frequency curve (IDF) is a graphical representation of the probability that a given average rainfall intensity will occur. It is a form design rainfall data required for estimation peak discharges. (IDF) curve is used as tools in water resources engineering for planning, design and operation of water resources project by engineers. Rainfall intensity (mm/hr), rainfall duration and rainfall frequency are the parameters that make up the axes of the graph of IDF curve. An IDF curve is created with long term rainfall records collected at a rainfall monitoring station. It helps the engineer designing the drainage works safe and economically. In the study, the attempt has been made to find the difference between the observed data and derived data by taking the rainfall data available for 15 minutes of time interval (Zameer Ahmed, 2012).

#### **1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

An engineer used Urban Stormwater Management Manual Second Edition (MSMA 2) as a guideline to make design. The data of IDF curves in MSMA 2 was updated until 2009 only The data in IDF curve is not consistence for every year because of Malaysia climate always change (MSMA, 2012).

As the change of climate effect the data, a new data is needed. It is very important to keep the efficiency of the drainage for a long time as the ARI is for about 100 years. Besides, the provided data in MSMA 2 is already out to date due to the climate change. During existing data of year 2009 to the new data of year 2015, a lot of thing can happen to change the consistency of the data such as the instrument and human errors, changes in surroundings while taking the data and change in the method of exposure.

Besides that, the current data was only collect based on the state and major town only. For the district that is not covered in the data collection area, the data to be used while designing is nearest district data. It will affect the value that supposed to be used while designing that current place. Thus, it is not efficient to be used at nearest district as the place is different. So, the design will be not very effective to be used. It can lead to uneconomically drain design and overloading water in the drain that can caused flooding.