THE EARTHQUAKE EFFECT OF DOUBLE STOREY STEEL STRUCTURE DUE TO ACEH EARTHQUAKE

SITI HAJAR BINTI SA'ADIN

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING (HONS) IN CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

| DECLARATION OF | PROJECT RE | PORT AND COPYRIGHT | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Author's full name Date of birth Title | : <u>SITI HAJAR</u> : <u>4 DECEMB</u> : <u>THE EARTH</u> <u>STRUCTURE</u> | <u>R BINTI SA'ADIN</u> <u>ER 1993</u> HQUAKE EFFECT OF DOUBLE STOREY STEEL E DUE TO ACEH EARTHQUAKE | | |
| Academic Session | : <u>2015/2016</u> | | | |
| I declare that this project | ct report is classi | ified as: | | |
| CONFIDENTI | AL | (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)* | | |
| RESTRICTED | | (Contains restricted information as specified by the Organization where research was done)* | | |
| / OPEN ACCES | S | I agree that my project report to be published as online open Access (Full text) | | |
| I acknowledge that Uni | versiti Malaysia | Pahang reserve the right as follows: | | |
| The Thesis is the Property of University Malaysia Pahang The Library of University Malaysia Pahang has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange. | | | | |
| Certified By: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SIGNATURE | <u>i</u> | SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR | | |
| 931204-07-51 | 66 | IR SAFFUAN BIN WAN AHMAD | | |
| (NEW IC NO. /PASSP | ORT NO.) | NAME OF SUPERVISOR | | |
| Date: 22 JUNE 2016 Date: 22 JUNE 2016 | | | | |

NOTES:* If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach with the letter from the organization with period and reasons for confidentiality or restriction.

EARTHQUAKE EFFECT OF DOUBLE STOREY STEEL STRUCTURE DUE TO ACEH EARTHQUAKE

SITI HAJAR BINTI SA'ADIN

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for award of the degree of Bachelor of Civil Engineering (Hons)

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Civil Engineering (Hons).

| Signature | : | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Name of Supervisor | : | IR SAFFUAN WAN AHMAD |
| Position | : | SUPERVISOR |
| Date | : | 22 JUNE 2016 |

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

| Signature | : | |
|-----------|---|--------------------------|
| Name | : | SITI HAJAR BINTI SA'ADIN |
| ID Number | : | AA12063 |
| Date | : | 22 JUNE 2016 |

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | 1 ag |
|--------------------------|------|
| SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION | ii |
| STUDENT'S DECLARATION | iii |
| DEDICATION | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | V |
| ABSTRACT | vi |
| ABSTRAK | vii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| LIST OF FIGURE | xii |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xix |

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 | Background of Study | 1 |
|-----|----------------------|---|
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.4 | Scope of Study | 5 |
| 1.5 | Research Significant | 5 |

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.1 | Introd | uction of Earthquake | 6 |
|-----|---------|--------------------------|----|
| 2.2 | Plate 7 | Tectonics | 6 |
| | 2.2.1 | Type of Plate Boundaries | 7 |
| 2.3 | Seism | ic Waves | 8 |
| | 2.3.1 | Body Waves | 9 |
| | 2.3.2 | Surface Waves | 11 |
| 2.4 | Magn | itude and Intensity | 13 |
| | 2.4.1 | Magnitude Scale | 13 |

| | 2.4.2 Intensity Scale | 14 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.5 | Earthquake in Indonesia | 15 |
| 2.6 | Steel Structure | 16 |
| 2.7 | Mechanism of Steel Structure | 17 |
| | 2.7.1 Stress-Strain Behavior | 18 |
| 2.8 | Tolerance of Steel Frames | 18 |
| 2.9 | Seismic Design Code | 19 |
| | 2.9.1 Performance Requirements and Compliance Criteria | 19 |
| | 2.9.2 Ground Conditions | 19 |
| 2.10 | SAP Program | 20 |

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 | Introd | luction | 22 |
|-----|--------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 3.2 | Work | Procedure | 23 |
| 3.3 | Data (| Collection and Information Gathering | 23 |
| | 3.3.1 | Structural Description | 24 |
| | 3.3.2 | Material Properties | 26 |
| | 3.3.3 | Loading | 26 |
| | | 3.3.3.1 No Earthquake Load | 27 |
| | | 3.3.3.3 Earthquake Load | 27 |
| 3.4 | Litera | ture Review | 27 |
| 3.5 | Data A | Analysis | 28 |
| 3.6 | SAP 2 | 2000 | 28 |
| 3.7 | Mode | lling | 29 |
| | 3.7.1 | Steps in Sap2000 Program | 30 |

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

| 4.1 | Introd | luction | 43 |
|-----|--------|---|----|
| | 4.1.1 | Design Basis | 43 |
| | 4.1.2 | Code of Practice | 43 |
| 4.2 | Analy | sis of Steel Structure | 43 |
| | 4.2.1 | Free Vibration Analysis | 44 |
| | 4.2.2 | Dead Load + Live Load | 51 |
| | 4.2.3 | Dead Load + Live Load + Earthquake Load | 53 |
| | 4.2.4 | Response Spectrum Analysis | 56 |
| | | 4.2.4.1 Response Spectrum Analysis U1 | 56 |
| | | 4.2.4.2 Response Spectrum Analysis U2 | 59 |
| | 4.2.5 | Time History Analysis | 61 |
| | | 4.2.5.1 Time History Analysis U1 | 62 |
| | | 4.2.5.2 Time History Analysis U2 | 67 |
| 4.3 | Sumn | nary of Analysis | 73 |
| | 4.3.1 | Shear Force | 73 |
| | 4.3.2 | Bending Moment | 74 |
| | 4.3.3 | Joint Displacement | 75 |

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

| 5.1 | Conclusion | 78 |
|-----|--------------------------------|----|
| 5.2 | Recommendation for Future Work | 79 |

REFERENCE

84

LIST OF TABLE

| Table No. | Title | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Type of Ground | 20 |
| 3.1 | Material Properties of Steel Structure | 26 |
| 3.2 | Scale Factor for Static Analysis | 28 |
| 3.3 | Scale Factor for Dynamic Analysis | 28 |
| 3.4 | Load Assigned for each Case | 37 |
| 4.1 | Shear Force, Bending Moment and Displacement for Dead Load + Live Load | 53 |
| 4.2 | Shear Force, Bending Moment and Displacement for Dead Load + Live Load + Earthquake Load | 56 |
| 4.3 | Shear Force, Bending Moment and Displacement for Response Spectrum Analysis U1 | 59 |
| 4.4 | Shear Force, Bending Moment and Displacement for Response Spectrum Analysis U2 | 61 |
| 4.5 | Shear Force, Bending Moment and Displacement for Time History Analysis U1 | 67 |
| 4.6 | Shear Force, Bending Moment and Displacement for Time History Analysis U2 | 72 |
| 4.7 | Shear Force for Each Load Combination Cases. | 73 |
| 4.8 | Bending Moment for each load combination cases. | 74 |
| 4.9 | Joint Displacement for Each Load Combination Case | 75 |

LIST OF FIGURE

| Figure No. | Title | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| 1.1 | Seismic Shock Wave | 2 |
| 1.2 | Plate Tectonics Model | 2 |
| 1.2 | The Movement Of Plate Tectonics Around Malaysia. | 4 |
| 2.1 | The Major Tectonic Plates On Earth. | 7 |
| 2.2 | Plate Tectonics Model | 8 |
| 2.3 | Primary (P) Waves | 10 |
| 2.4 | Secondary (S) Waves | 11 |
| 2.5 | Rayleigh Waves | 12 |
| 2.6 | Love Waves | 13 |
| 2.7 | Richter Magnitude and Modified Mercalli Intensity | |
| | Relationship | 15 |
| 2.8 | Earthquake in Aceh Details | 16 |
| 2.9 | Stress Strain Diagram for Mild Steel | 17 |
| 2.10 | SAP 2000 Program Version 15 | 21 |
| 3.1 | Methodology Procedure | 23 |
| 3.2 | Column Layout Plan of Steel Structure | 24 |
| 3.3 | First Floor Layout Plan of Steel Structure | 25 |
| 3.4 | First Floor Layout Plan of Steel Structure | 25 |
| 3.5 | Roof Layout of Steel Structure | 26 |
| 3.6 | Structure Model Type | 30 |
| 3.7 | Grid Data System | 31 |
| 3.8 | Type of Material | 31 |

| 3.9 | Frame Properties | 32 |
|------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Load Pattern | 32 |
| 3.11 | Response Spectrum Function | 33 |
| 3.12 | Raw Earthquake Data | 34 |
| 3.13 | Time History Function | 34 |
| 3.14 | Response Spectrum Load Case | 35 |
| 3.15 | Time History Load Case | 36 |
| 3.16 | Load Cases | 36 |
| 3.17 | Load Combination | 37 |
| 3.18 | The Structure Drawn | 38 |
| 3.19 | Joint Restraint | 39 |
| 3.2 | Steel Frame Design Preference | 39 |
| 3.21 | Modal Load Case Analysis | 40 |
| 3.22 | Dead Load + Live Load Case Analysis | 40 |
| 3.23 | Dead + Live + Earthquake Load Case Analysis | 41 |
| 3.24 | Response Spectrum Load Case Analysis | 42 |
| 3.25 | Time History Load Case Analysis | 42 |
| 4.1 | Mode Shape 1 | 44 |
| 4.2 | Mode Shape 2 | 45 |
| 4.3 | Mode Shape 3 | 45 |
| 4.4 | Mode Shape 4 | 46 |
| 4.5 | Mode Shape 5 | 46 |
| 4.6 | Mode Shape 6 | 47 |
| 4.7 | Mode Shape 7 | 47 |

| 4.8 | Mode Shape 8 | 48 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.9 | Mode Shape 9 | 48 |
| 4.1 | Mode Shape 10 | 49 |
| 4.11 | Mode Shape 11 | 49 |
| 4.12 | Mode Shape 12 | 50 |
| 4.13 | Modal Periods and Frequencies | 50 |
| 4.14 | Shear Force Model Display | 51 |
| 4.15 | Bending Moment Model Display | 52 |
| 4.16 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 731 | 52 |
| 4.17 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 1123 | 53 |
| 4.18 | Shear Force Model Display | 54 |
| 4.19 | Bending Moment Model Display | 54 |
| 4.2 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 731 | 55 |
| 4.21 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 1363 | 55 |
| 4.22 | Shear Force Model Display | 57 |
| 4.23 | Bending Moment Model Display | 57 |
| 4.24 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 731 | 58 |
| 4.25 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 1363 | 58 |
| 4.26 | Shear Force Model Display | 59 |
| 4.27 | Bending Moment Model Display | 60 |
| 4.28 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 731 | 60 |
| 4.29 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 779 | 61 |
| 4.30 | Joint Displacement Plot Function Traces | 62 |
| 4.31 | Shear Force Model Display | 62 |
| 4.32 | Bending Moment Model Display | 63 |

| 4.33 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 4 | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.34 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 778 | 64 |
| 4 35 | Shear Force Model Display | 64 |
| 1.36 | Bending Moment Model Display | 65 |
| 4.30 | Laint Dianla compart Madel Dianlay for Laint 721 | 65 |
| 4.37 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 731 | 66 |
| 4.38 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 779 | 66 |
| 4.39 | Pseudo Speed Acceleration for Time History in U1 Direction | 67 |
| 4.4 | Joint Displacement Plot Function Traces | 68 |
| 4.41 | Shear Force Model Display | 68 |
| 4.42 | Bending Moment Model Display | 60 |
| 4.43 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 981 | 60 |
| 4.44 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 1269 | 09 |
| 4.45 | Shear Force Model Display | /0 |
| 4.46 | Bending Moment Model Display | 70 |
| 4.47 | Joint Displacement Model Display for Joint 731 | 71 |
| 4.48 | Shear Force and Bending Moment Diagram For Frame 1363 | 71 |
| 4.49 | Pseudo Speed Acceleration for Time History in U2 Direction | 72 |
| 4.5 | Shear Force Versus Each Load Combination Case | 73 |
| 4.51 | Bending Moment versus Each Load Combination Case | 74 |
| 4 52 | Joint Displacement III versus Each Load Combination Case | 75 |
| 4.52 | Joint Displacement U2 versus Each Load Combination Case | 76 |
| 4.55 | Joint Displacement U2 versus Each Load Combination Case | 76 |
| 4.54 | Joint Displacement U3 versus Each Load Combination Case | 77 |
| 5.1 | Recent Earthquakes near Malaysia (Indonesia) | 80 |
| 5.2 | Recent Earthquakes near Malaysia (Sabah) | 81 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| km | Kilometer |
|-------|--|
| S | Second |
| ML | Local Magnitude |
| А | Maximum Trace Amplitude for a Given Earthquake at a Given Distance |
| A_0 | Amplitude for a Particular Earthquake Selected as Reference |
| Fr | Residual Stress |
| Fy | Axial Tensile Yield Stress |
| kg | Kilogram |
| kN | KiloNewton |
| mm | Millimeter |
| Е | Young Modulus |
| G | Shear Modulus |
| V | Poisson's Ration |
| α | Coefficient of Thermal Expansion |
| С | Celsius |
| Gk | Dead Load |
| Qk | Live Load |
| EQk | Earthquake Load |
| RS1k | Response Spectrum U1 Load |
| RS2k | Response Spectrum U2 Load |
| TH1k | Time History U1 Load |
| TH2k | Time History U2 Load |
| Т | Period |

xviii

f Frequency

V_{Ed} Design Shear Force

M_{Ed} Maximum External Design Moment

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| CEN | European Committee for Standardization |
|------|--|
| EN | European Standard |
| ULS | Ultimate Limit State |
| DLS | Damage Limitation State |
| RF | Rossi-Forel |
| MMI | Modified Mercalli Intensity |
| Р | Primary |
| S | Secondary |
| USGS | United State Geology Survey |
| MRF | Moment Resisting Frames |
| CBF | Frames With Concentric Bracings |
| EBF | Frames With Eccentric Bracings |
| 2D | Two Dimensional |
| 3D | Three Dimensional |
| MMD | Malaysian Meteorological Department |
| U1 | Unit Translational Acceleration in X Direction |
| U2 | Unit Translational Acceleration in Y Direction |
| U3 | Unit Translational Acceleration in Z Direction |
| TH | Time History |
| RS | Response Spectrum |
| DL | Dead Load |
| LL | Live Load |
| EL | Earthquake Load |

N North

E East

EARTHQUAKE EFFECT OF DOUBLE STOREY STEEL STRUCTURE DUE TO ACEH EARTHQUAKE

SITI HAJAR BINTI SA'ADIN

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for award of the degree of Bachelor of Civil Engineering (Hons)

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2016

ABSTRACT

This research refers to the seismic behaviour of double storey steel structure due to Aceh Earthquake. Nowadays, steel structures are widely used all around the world. However, seismic design were not concerned by Civil Engineers in Malaysia almost in all of the building. Recently, few earthquake start occurring in few regions in Malaysia and neighbouring country like Indonesia and Philippines. The tremors occur in this neighbouring country affect the steel structure in Malaysia which the steel structural in Malaysia are not design to resist seismic loading. The objective of this study is to model and analyses the steel structure subjected to different earthquake loads in SAP 2000 program and to determine the best mode shape of free vibration analysis. Besides that, this research also to study the behaviour of double storey steel structure that subjected to different earthquake loading and to determine the performance of double storey steel structure under different earthquake loadings. The earthquake load are analysed accordance to Eurocode 8 and the steel structure are analysed accordance to Eurocode 3. There are four types of analysis that will be carry out which is Free Vibration Analysis, Linear Static Analysis, Response Spectrum Analysis and Time History Analysis. The 12 mode shape with the period and frequency are obtained from Free Vibration Analysis. From Static Analysis, Response Spectrum Analysis and Time History Analysis, the displacement, shear force and bending moment is obtained. The Response Spectrum Analysis provides insight into dynamic behaviour by measuring pseudo-spectral acceleration, velocity, or displacement as a function of structural period for a given time history and level of damping. The percentage of damping used in Malaysia is a damping of 0.05 (5%). Time history analyses the structural response which is computed at a number of subsequent time instants. Time History analysis are performed using the data of earthquake that occur in Aceh in 2004. The software program SAP 2000 is chosen to analyses this steel structure. Several items are studied and analysed by comparing the results generated by the models using a computer program, SAP 2000 in each analysis. However, the simulation of the model of the steel structure is not similar to the condition of the actual structure which is there is no consideration of pile-soil interaction and connection of the steel structure.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini merujuk kepada tingkah laku seismik ke atas struktur keluli dua tingkat akibat Gempa Bumi dari Aceh. Pada masa kini, struktur keluli digunakan secara meluas di seluruh dunia. Walau bagaimanapun, reka bentuk seismik tidak diambil berat oleh Jurutera Awam di Malaysia hampir di semua bangunan. Baru-baru ini, beberapa gempa bumi mula berlaku di beberapa kawasan di Malaysia dan negara jiran seperti Indonesia dan Filipina. Gegaran berlaku di negara jiran ini memberi kesan kepada struktur keluli di Malaysia di mana struktur keluli di Malaysia tidak direka bentuk untuk menentang beban seismik. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk memodel dan menganalisis struktur keluli tertakluk kepada beban gempa bumi yang berbeza dalam program SAP 2000 dan untuk menentukan bentuk mod yang terbaik dalam analisis getaran bebas. Selain daripada itu, kajian ini juga untuk mengkaji tingkah laku struktur keluli dua tingkat yang dikenakan bebanan gempa bumi yang berbeza dan untuk menentukan prestasi struktur keluli dua tingkat di bawah beban gempa bumi yang berbeza. Beban gempa bumi dianalisis mengikut Eurocode 8 dan struktur keluli dianalisis mengikut Eurocode 3. Terdapat empat jenis analisis yang akan dijalankan iaitu yang Analisis Getaran Bebas, Analisis Statik Lurus, Analisis Tindakbalas Spektra dan Analisis Masa Sejarah. 12 bentuk mod dengan tempoh dan kekerapan diperolehi daripada Analisis Getaran Bebas. Dari Analisis Statik, Analisis Tindakbalas Spektra dan Analisis Masa Sejarah, anjakan, daya ricih dan momen lentur diperolehi. Analisis Tindakbalas Spektra memberikan pandangan ke dalam tingkah laku dinamik dengan mengukur pecutan pseudo-spektra, halaju, atau anjakan sebagai fungsi tempoh struktur dalam satu masa sejarah yang diberikan dan tahap redaman. Peratusan redaman yang digunakan di Malaysia ialah redaman 0.05 (5%). Masa sejarah menganalisis tindak balas struktur yang dikira di beberapa masa segera berikutnya. Analisis Sejarah Masa dijalankan dengan menggunakan data gempa bumi yang berlaku di Aceh pada tahun 2004. Program perisian SAP 2000 dipilih untuk menganalisis struktur keluli ini. Beberapa item dikaji dan dianalisis dengan membandingkan keputusan yang dihasilkan oleh model menggunakan program komputer, SAP 2000 dalam setiap analisis. Walau bagaimanapun, simulasi model struktur keluli tidak sama dengan keadaan struktur sebenar iaitu tiada pertimbangan mengenai interaksi antara tanah dan cerucuk dan sambungan antara struktur keluli.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Earthquake is a movement of the surface of earth due to internal energy from the core of earth at a sudden that may cause the building to collapse and the death of thousands of people. The main cause of earthquake is the orogenic movements such as mountain building and valley farming, subduction and plate convection followed by geothermal and mechanical disturbances and volcanic activities and land erosion.

On past few years, Malaysia was only having a small earthquake in some place which does not has much effect on the building. However, Malaysia is affected by the earthquake from another country in seismically active plate boundaries from Indonesia and Philippines. On 2015, Malaysia had face the biggest damage due to earthquake in Ranau, Sabah with magnitude of 6.0 Richter scale followed by smaller magnitude for several times. The earthquakes in Sabah are reportedly occurring due to plate convection which Sabah before was away from the boundary.



Figure 1.1: Seismic Shock Wave

(http://www.docbrown.info/page21/GeoChangesANS07.htm)

The core of the Earth is very hot. The heat source is thought to be left over from the formation of this planet a few billion years ago. Heat is also generated by tidal forces between the Earth, Moon, and Sun, the decay of radioactive elements, and other resources may not yet be determined. Figure below is about plate tectonics model explains many aspects of geometry continents and ocean basins and the process of creating new oceanic and continental crust.



Figure 1.2: Plate Tectonics Model

(http://pubs.usgs.gov/gip/earthq1/plate.html)

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In Malaysia, almost all building was not design with seismic criteria design which might affect the future of this building. Lately in Malaysia a small value of Richter scale already occur in some place for example in Bukit Tinggi in 2007 with 3 magnitude which there is not much of damage. The latest one occurs in Ranau, Sabah with highest magnitude of 6.0 that cause a big damage and death.

In this research, the problem statement is to determine the necessary of the seismic hazard consideration for steel structure in Malaysia region due to earthquake in Aceh. Although the building structures in Malaysia region is safe but it won't ensure that the structure is safe from the large magnitude scale of Earthquake that might occur anytime. There it is necessary to consider the seismic design code in structure to increase safety in encounter the seismic load.

There are four sorts of plate boundaries which is divergent, convergent, transform, and plate boundary zone. Divergent boundaries are the place new outside layer is delivered as plates draw far from one another. Convergent boundaries are where hull is pulverized as one plate jumps under another. Transform boundaries where outside is not made or pulverized as the plates slide evenly past one another. Plate boundary zones the zone of the belt where the limits are not very much characterized and the impacts of plate interaction is not clear.

Country around Malaysia is having convergent boundaries also known as a very small collision that which occurred between the lithosphere plates depending on the type involved. Convergence can occur between the ocean and continental plates substantially, or between two plates largely ocean, or between two plates largely continents.

Indonesia is a meeting purpose of a few tectonic plates, situated between two mainland plates: the Eurasian Plate and Australian Plate; and between two maritime plates: the Indian Plate and Pacific Plate. These plates move in connection to each other and much of the time make impacts and are moving 40 - 110 mm consistently as