## DELIVERY CAPABILITIES IMPACT PROJECT PERFORMANCE

# ROZIELA BINTI HASSAN

## PB12013

Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the

Degree of Bachelor of Project Management (Hons)

Faculty of Industrial Management

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DISEMBER 2015

## SUPERVISORS'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality of the award of the degree of Bachelor of Project Management (honour).

Signature	:
Name of Supervisor	: SITI AIRIN BINTIABDUL GHANI
Position	: LECTURER
Date	:

## STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of another degree.

Signature :

Name : ROZIELA BINTI HASSAN

ID Number : PB12013

Date :

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	i
STUDENT'S DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	Х
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii

## CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1	INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.2	BACKGROUND OF STUDY	.1
1.3	PROBLEM STATEMENT	. 3
1.4	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	.4
1.5	RESEARCH QUESTION	. 5
1.6	SCOPE OF STUDY	. 5
1.7	SIGNIFICANT OF STUDY	. 5
1.8	OPERATIONAL DEFINITION	.6
1.8	3.1 Project Delivery Capabilities	. 6
1.8	3.2 Project Performance	.6
1.9	EXPECTED RESULT	. 6

## CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW

Page

2.2	2 DEFINITION OF PROJECT DELIVERY CAPABILITIES		
2.3	3 PREVIOUS STUDY ON DELIVERY CAPABILITIES		8
2.4	PR	OJECT DELIVERY CAPABILITIES IMPROVE PROJECT	
PERF	FOR	MANCE	12
2.4	.1	Process	13
2.4	.2	Organisations	13
2.4.3 Methods		Methods	13
2.4.4 Metrics		14	
2.4	.5	Leadership	14
2.4	PR	OJECT PERFORMANCE	14
2.4	.1	Performance measures	16
2.5	PR	OPOSED RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	16
2.6	2.6 SUMMARY		

## CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	INT	RODUCTION	. 18
3.2	RES	SEARCH DESIGN	. 18
3.3	POI	PULATION AND SAMPLING	. 19
3.3	3.1	Population	19
3.3	3.2	Determining of Sample Size	20
3.4	DE	VELOPMENT OF INSTRUMENT	21
3.5	ME	ASUREMENT OF SCALE	21
3.6	DA	TA ANALYSIS	. 22
3.0	5.1	Descriptive Analysis	.22
3.6	5.2	Reliability Analysis	23
3.7	DA	TA COLLETION TECHNIQUES	23

## CHAPTER FOUR DATA ANALYSIS

4,1	INTRODUCTION	24
4.2	RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHIC	24
4.3	REALIBILITY ANALYSIS	31
4.4	SCALE ANALYSIS OF PROJECT DELIVERY CAPABLITIES IN	
PROJ	IECT PERFORMANCE	32
4.5	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS	51
4.6	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	56

## CHAPTER FIVE RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION

5.1	INTRC	DUCTION	57
5.2	MAIN	FINDING	
	5.2.1	To Investigate Prooject Delivery Capabilities Practice in P	roject
Man	agement		58
	5.2.2	To Rank the Project Delivery Capabilities According to	
Prio	rities in P	Project Performance	58
5.3	CONTH	RIBUTION	
	5.3.1	Contribution to Provide Knowledge	59
	5.3.2	Contibution to Provide Management Paractice	59
	5.3.3	Contribution to Provide Human Capital Development	59
5.4	LIMIT	ATIONS	60
5.5	RECO	MMENDATION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	61
5.6	CONC	LUSION	61
REI	FERENC	'ES	63
APF	PENDIC	E <b>S</b>	66
App	endix A (	Gantt Chart	67
App	endix B (	Questionnaire	69

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	TITLE	Page
Table 1.1: Statistics on	abandoned housing projects	3
Table 2.1: Hypotheses	research from Rungi, 2014	10
Table 2.2: The Referen	ces of PDC Factors to a guide to the Project	
Management Body of l	Knowledge, Fifth Edition	11
Table 2.3 Hypotheses f	from Milosevic and Ozbay (2001)	11
Table 4.1: Statistic of I	Demographic	25
Table 4.2: Frequency a	nalysis Position in the organization	26
Table 4.3: Frequency A	Analysis on Academic qualification	27
Table 4.4: Frequency	of Working experience	27
Table 4.5: Frequency a	nalysis of project management team	28
Table 4.6: Frequency a	nalysis of managed construction project	28
Table 4.7: Frequency a	nalysis of Gender	29
Table 4.8: Frequency a	nalysis of age interval	29
Table 4.9: Reliability S	Statistics analysis of Project Delivery Capabilities	31
Table 4.10 developing	several project phases in managing project help	
to improve manageme	ant control of the performance project on time	32
Table 4.11: Does the p	roject phase is generally marked by deliverable	
and importance for you	ar project's time performance?	33
Table 4.12: Does proje	ct life cycle will determine which transitional actions	
at the beginning and th	ne end of the project?	34
Table 4.13: Does proje	ct life cycle can be used to link the project to	
the ongoing operations	of the time performing organization?	35
Table 4.14: Project ma	nagement process contains processes of initiating,	
planning, executing, co	ontrolling and closing that are linked by the outcome	
and have superior impa	act in the project for time?	36
Table 4.15: Does the pa	rocesses, tools and technique used to integrate	

project management process are very important in to make sure project's	
time performance is good?	38
Table 14.16: Does the project's time performance will be influenced by the	
organization?	39
Table 14.17: Does the structure of the performing organization constraints	
on the availability resources to the project especially related with time?	40
Table 4.18: Does the organizational planning give the major impact in	
project's time performance?	41
Table 4.19: Does the organizational planning is often tightly linked	
communications planning and entirely affect your project performance?	42
Table 4.20: Does non project-based organization lack of management	
systems designed to support project needs efficiently and effectively in	
managing time of project?	43
Table 4.21: Does the present of project-oriented systems make	
project management easier for deliverable project on time?	44
Table 4.22: Does the optimization tools can be used to search for the optimal	
combination of decision variables to deliver project on time?	45
Table 4.23: In selection methods for tools and techniques	
can be difficult part and very crucial because it will affect	
the time performance of project?	46
Table 4.24: Does are measurement baseline for technical scope,	
schedule and cost are important part in assess the magnitude	
of any variations that do occur for time performance?	47
Table 4.25: Do general management skills provide much of the	
foundation for building project management skills	48
Table 4.26: Does key general management skills are likely to affect most project	ets
performance?	49
Table 4.27: Establishing the direction of the project by project manager	
affect the project's time performance?	50
Table 4.28: Level of mean	51
Table 4.29: Descriptive Statistic of Project Delivery Capabilities	

Impact Performance	52
Table 4.30: Calculation of means under each factor	55
Table 4.31: Ranking of Project Deliveries Capabilities	56

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
Figure 2.1: Theoretica	al framework Delivery capability model (Rungi, 2014)	9
Figure 2.2 Source: Tra	aditional view of how project success is measured	
(de Bakker et al., 2010	0)	16
Figure 2.3: Proposed 1	research framework	17
Figure 3.1: Formula for	or determining sample size by Krejcie & Morgan (1970	) 20
Figure 3.2: Formula o	of Mean	23
Figure 4.1: Position in	n the organization	26
Figure 4.2: Academic	qualification	27
Figure 4.3: Working e	experience	27
Figure 4.4: Project ma	anagement team	28
Figure 4.5: Managed	construction project	28
Figure 4.6: Gender		29
Figure 4.7: Age interv	val	30
Figure 4.8: Develop	ing several project phases in managing project hel	lp to improve
management control of	of the performance project on time	32
Figure 4.9: Project p	phase is generally marked by deliverable and import	tance for your
project's time perform	nance	33
Figure 4.10: Project	life cycle will determine which transitional actions at	the beginning
and the end of the pro	vject	34
Figure 4.11: Project 1	life cycle can be used to link the project to the ongoing	g operations of
the time performing o	organization	35
Figure 4.12: Project	t management process contains processes of initiat	ing, planning,
executing, controlling	g and closing that are linked by the outcome and have s	uperior impact
in the project for time	;	37

Figure 4.13: Processes, tools and technique used to integrate project management process are very important in to make sure project's time performance is good 38 Figure 4.14: The project's time performance will be influenced by the organization 39 Figure 4.15: The structure of the performing organization constraints on the availability 40 resources to the project especially related with time Figure 4.16: The organizational planning give the major impact in project's time performance 41 Figure 4.17: Organizational planning is often tightly linked communications planning and 42 entirely affect your project performance Figure 4.18: Non project-based organization lack of management systems designed to support project needs efficiently and effectively in managing time of project 43 Figure 4.19: Present of project-oriented systems make project management easier for 44 deliverable project on time Figure 4.20: Optimization tools can be used to search for the optimal combination of 45 decision variables to deliver project on time Figure 4.21: Selection methods for tools and techniques can be difficult part and very crucial because it will affect the time performance of project 46 Figure 4.22: Measurement baseline for technical scope, schedule and cost are important part in assess the magnitude of any variations that do occur for time performance 47 Figure 4.23: General management skills provide much of the foundation for building 48 project management skills. Figure 4.24: Key general management skills are likely to affect most 49 projects performance Figure 4.25: Establishing the direction of the project by project manager affect the project's 50 time performance

## DELIVERY CAPABILITIES IMPACT PROJECT PERFORMANCE

# ROZIELA BINTI HASSAN

## PB12013

Report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the

Degree of Bachelor of Project Management (Hons)

Faculty of Industrial Management

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DISEMBER 2015

#### ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to study project delivery capabilities impact project performance. If the companies know the factors that influencing the project's performance, they will focus on that and can deliver the project successfully. For the factors of project delivery capabilities is a set as independent variable. The factors that have been use in this research are process, organizations, methods, metric and leaderships because these are the top five factors that have impact on project performance. Then, the dependent variable of project performance is time. Sixty respondents from the construction industry in Kuantan, Pahang that are registered under CIDB were surveyed by questionnaire. The questionnaires were distributed using mail, google doc, and face to face. Software SPSS was use to analyse the data to get the results. The objectives of this research are to investigate project delivery capabilities practices in project management and to rank the project delivery capabilities according to priorities in project performance Results show that project delivery capabilities impact project performance and the finding are parallel accordance with previous researches but had different ranking of factors.

#### ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji keupayaan penyampaian projek memberi impak kepada prestasi projek. Jika syarikat-syarikat mengetahui tentang faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi prestasi projek, mereka akan memberi tumpuan terhadap faktor-faktor tersebut and boleh menyampaikan projek dengan jayanya. Untuk faktor keupayaan peyampaian projek ditetapkan sebagai pembolehubah bebas. Faktor-faktor yang digunakan di dalam kajian ini ialah proses, organisasi, cara, metrik dan kepimpinan kerana ini adalah lima faktor yang paling tinggi yang mempunyai kesan terhadap prestasi projek. Kemudian, pembolehubah bersandar bagi prestasi projek ialah masa. Enam puluh responden daripada industri pembinaan di Kuantan, Pahang yang telah berdaftar dengan pihak CIDB telah dikaji selidik. Boring soal selidik telah diedar menggunakan e-mel, google doc dan tatap muka. Perisian SPSS digunakan untuk mendapatkan keputusan. Objektif dalam kajian ini untuk menyiasat amalan keupayaan penyampaian projek dan menyusun kedudukan faktor keupayaan penyampaian projek. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa keupayaan peyampaian projek memberi kesan kepada prestasi projek dan dapatan itu adalah selaras dengan kajian terdahulu dan cuma mempunyai perbezaan kedudukan faktor.

## **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

This chapter covered the general summarization of the study. The outlines of chapter are background of study, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, scope of study, significant of study and operational.

### **1.2 BACKGROUND OF STUDY**

Improving the project performance is necessary for organization to keep the project schedule on track and deliver timely. Barney et al. (2011) stated that improving the organization's performance and competitive advantage can be done by assessing the resource or capability of organization. Another researcher, Salaman and Asch (2003) show evidence by presenting a model considered three variables which are organizational environment, business strategy, and organizational capability, that demonstrating five ways to improve organizational performance, but capability differentials are not specifically

analysed. Delivery capabilities can give major impact for project performance. Milosevic & Ozbay (2001) traces the project management (PM) community is familiar to project delivery capability. Hence, project manager should be aware with the issues related with project deliveries capabilities because it will influence the triple constraint of project management. Toney and Powers (1997) used example, in a report from magazine of Fortune 500 Project Management Benchmarking Forum declares that 85% of its members using project management's standardized procedures and approaches in order to deliver the project performance in a better way.

Besides that, Firms with performance on a capability describe as 'high' performers when in position of upper quartile and the number of capability elements represent by level number of their firm's times have achieved (Corbett & Claridge, 2002). Successful project usually defined as on-time, on-budget and fulfil the scope requirement of project. Ability to deliver the project is a significant interest for organizations (Marnewick & Labuschagne, 2010). Delivered project on time is one of strategic objective organization must achieve in order to get customers satisfaction. Delivery capabilities are an increasingly important area in business strategy. If organization always practices a good project delivery capability gaining that value should be routine, while for others it will appear a more difficult exercise.

The way for project management strategies drive organization success in essence when realized the success of projects is through the delivery of business outcomes. Recent developments in delivery capabilities have heightened the need for organization allows the delivery of viable successful projects, will emergent the reputation and attaining new business. This contribution provides the delivery capabilities that will permit the improvement project management practices of firms. A major frequently seen for construction project is timely completion on project performance by contractors, clients and consultant alike. The fact that limiting us is not looking on beyond a narrow factors of project delivery for project performance (Milosevic & Ozbay, 2001).

### **1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Nowadays, when we are going to city many construction projects were pending for a long time and lots of project abandoned everywhere. Why can situation like this happen in Malaysia as developing country? Abdullah et al. (2009) mentioned that since 1984, 90% of large MARA construction project were agonized suspension with major effect of cost and time overrun. This matter deserves more attention because troubling long enough at a scale. There were 514 abandoned housing projects in Malaysia with an estimated value of RM 7.5 billion (see Table 1.1) in 2000. Even though the number has been gradually declining, but in September 2012 have been reported there are still a total of 95 abandoned housing projects comprising 26,170 house buyers and 37,316 units of houses by Ministry of Housing and Local Government (2012). In advance of the figure, non-housing projects also being abandoned throughout the years. For example, the Plaza Rakyat, a RM 1.5 billion mix use project, remains abandoned even though it was scheduled to be completed in 1998 (Jayaraj, 2009). Some of the problems of abandoned construction projects harassing the construction industry in Malaysia.

Year	Total number of abandoned housing projects (Peninsular Malaysia)			
	No. of projects	No. of houses	No. of buyers	Estimated Value (RM million)
2000	514	107702	68340	7524.41
2001	544	125649	80070	9496.68
2002	52	-	070	-
2003	2 	-	(H)	-
2004	227	75356	50813	7033.08
2005	261	88410	58685	8043.00
2006	0. 	-	0.00	18
2007	-	4	14-1	14
2008	270 <sup>a</sup>	87725 <sup>a</sup>	60159 <sup>a</sup>	2
2009/12/03	136 <sup>b</sup>	-	30567 <sup>b</sup>	-
2010/06/30	151 <sup>c</sup>	-	(-)	-
2011/02/06	104 <sup>d</sup>	34309 <sup>d</sup>	22558 <sup>d</sup>	14
2012/09/30	95 <sup>e</sup>	37316 <sup>e</sup>	26170 <sup>e</sup>	-
Source	Unmarked - Ministry of Housing and Local Government (HBA 2006) <sup>a</sup> Ministry of Housing and Local Government (MHLG 2008) <sup>b</sup> Minister of Housing and Local Government (Kong 2009) <sup>c</sup> Kabit (2010) <sup>d</sup> Heng (2011) <sup>e</sup> Ministry of Housing and Local Government (MHLG 2012)			

Table 1.1: Statistics on abandoned housing projects