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Abstract. Recombinant deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) technologies play a pivotal role in attempts to recombine sets of double-stranded DNA molecules when acted on restriction enzymes and a ligase. It is money and time consuming if to conduct a laboratory experiment in predicting the number of resulted molecules. Hence, Yusof-Goode (Y-G) Splicing System, a formal Mathematics characterization of the generative capacity of specified enzymatic activities acting on DNA molecules with new symbolization of representing rule is used to formulate theorems in this paper. In addition, the number pattern of single stage splicing languages with respect to two strings and at most two rules with one cutting site are predicted with biological examples.
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INTRODUCTION

Recombinant double stranded deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules are a sequence of molecules that does not exists in nature which has been created by laboratory methods [1]. Through gene manipulation, human can appreciate the result of higher yield crop, a lifestyle free of illness and the possibilities of living longer. In 1987 [2], Head has introduced the formalism of splicing system that describes the recombination behavior of DNA molecules via Formal Language Theory [3]. New extension in splicing system, Yusof-Goode (Y-G) splicing system, was presented in [4] to illustrate the translucent behavior of the biological process in splicing DNA. To date, many laboratory experiments have been conducted to verify the model of splicing system in either one stage or two stages. Only single stage splicing languages are considered here in this paper since letting all the restriction enzymes, double stranded deoxyribonucleic acid strings and ligases act simultaneously in a single buffer can optimize the time and money during experiment with minimum difference in the resulted strings generated. In this paper, the production of Y-G splicing system namely splicing languages involving two strings with one cutting site are predicted based on three theorems formulated.

PRELIMINARIES

In this section, the fundamental definitions of this paper are given. First and foremost, the definition of Y-G splicing system that will be used all through this paper is reviewed.

**Definition 1 [5]: Y-G Splicing System.** If \( r \in R \), where \( r = (u,x,v,y,z) \) and \( s_1 = auv\beta \) and \( s_2 = \gamma xz\delta \) are elements of \( I \), then splicing \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) using \( r \) produces the initial string \( I \) together with \( aux\beta \) and \( \gamma xz\delta \), presented in either order where \( a,\beta,\gamma,\delta,u,x,v,y \) and \( z \in A \) are the free monoid generated by \( A \) with the concatenation operation and \( 1 \) as the identity element.

The set of molecules generated during the evolution of the splicing system are known as splicing language. Next, the existing definition of splicing language introduced by Head [2] is further defined as single stage splicing language to model the set of all molecule types that exists in a test-tube environment with restriction enzymes and ligases all act simultaneously.
Definition 2: Single stage splicing language is defined as

\[ L_r = L_r(S) \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{n} (R_r + I_r + l) \]  

- \( R_r \) is set of rules, 1 \( \leq r \leq n 
- \( I_r \) is set of initial strings, 1 \( \leq r \leq n 
- \( l \) is ligase

Let \( S = (A, I, R) \) be the Y-G splicing system. The set of single stage splicing language, \( L_r = L_r(S) \), models the set of all molecule types which appear when all the restriction enzymes, double stranded deoxyribonucleic acid strings and ligases act simultaneously in a single buffer.

Next, the definitions of the main characteristics of restriction enzymes, palindromic, are stated.

Definition 3 [3]: Palindromic Rule. A string \( I \) of double stranded DNA (dsDNA) is said to be palindromic if the sequence from the left side of the upper single strand is equal with the sequence from the right side of the lower single strand.

Besides palindromic rule, the inverse complement is a common behaviour of restriction enzyme as well, where the definition is presented as below.

Definition 4: Inverse Complement

A string \( x \) is an inverse complement to another string \( y \) if \( x = \overline{y} \), where \( x, y \in A^* \). Two strings \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) of dsDNA are said to be inverse complement to each other if the sequence from the left side of the upper single strand in \( I_1 \) is equal to the lower single strand with the sequence from the right side in \( I_2 \).

Based on the characteristics of the restriction enzyme above, in the next section, three theorems are formulated in order to predict the number pattern of single stage splicing languages generated using Y-G splicing system.

THE NUMBER PATTERNS OF SINGLE STAGE SPLICING LANGUAGES INVOLVING TWO STRINGS

In this section, four theorems are presented to discuss the number of single stage splicing languages generated involving two strings. The first and third theorem proved the number pattern of single stage splicing languages generated when the rule(s) are palindromic, while the second and forth theorems proved the production of single stage splicing language based on non palindromic rules. In biological point of view, the theorems are formulated to predict the number set of molecules that will present in the system during the biochemical reaction.

Theorem 1. If the crossing sites of rule(s) in a two strings splicing system with one recognition site each is itself (are themselves) same and palindromic, then \( \eta[N_r(S)] = \{10\} \).

Proof: Suppose \( S = (A, I, R) \) is a two strings Y-G splicing system, \( I = \{I_1, I_2\} \) and the crossing sites of rule(s), \( r \in R \) is same and palindromic. Assume that each string contains one recognition site and there will be at most two rules. Hence, three cases need to be considered:

I. One rule
II. Two rules
III. \( I_1 \subset I_2 \)

Case I. Let us consider a case where \( I_1 = \alpha \alpha a \alpha b \beta, I_2 = \gamma abaa \delta \) with a given rule \( r = (a, ba, b) \) for \( a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in A^* \) where \( a \) and \( b \) are complement of each other. The rule is then acting on both
strings producing four fragments with sticky ends. Due to the palindromic properties, all the fragments can relegate among each other. The number of splicing languages that can be formed by ligating any two fragments is $^4C_2 = 6$. Besides, the sticky-ends have a chance to relegate with the 180 degree rotation of itself to generate another four splicing languages. Thus, there will be ten single stage splicing languages in total. The splicing occurs as below,

$$aabab\beta, yahab\delta \xrightarrow{r} \{aabab\beta, yahab\delta, aabab\delta, yahab\beta, aababy', \beta'abab\delta, \alpha abab', yababy', \beta'abab\beta, \delta'abab\delta\}$$

Case II. Let us consider a case where $I_1 = ababb\beta, I_2 = ycabc\delta$ with a given rule $r = \{h, ab, b : c, ab, c\}$ for $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{A}^*$ where $a$ and $b$ are complement of each other. These rules are then acting on both strings producing four fragments with sticky ends. Due to the palindromic properties, all the fragments can relegate among each other. The number of splicing languages that can be formed by ligating any two fragments is $^4C_2 = 6$. Besides, the sticky-ends have a chance to relegate with the 180 degree rotation of itself to generate another four splicing languages. Thus, there will be ten single stage splicing languages in total. The splicing occurs as below,

$$abbbab, ychbac\delta \xrightarrow{r} \{abbbab\beta, ychbac\delta, aabac\delta, ychbac\beta, abac'\gamma', \beta'abac\delta, abbaa'c', ychbac'\gamma', \beta'abac\beta, \delta'c'babc\delta\}$$

Case III. Let us consider a case where $I_1 = ababb\beta, I_2 = yabab\beta\delta$ with a given rule $r = \{h, ab, b : b, ab, b\}$ for $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{A}^*$ where $a$ and $b$ are complement of each other. Note that the first string is the subset of second string. These rules are then acting on both strings producing four fragments with sticky ends. Due to the palindromic properties, all the fragments can relegate among each other. The number of splicing languages that can be formed by ligating any two fragments is $^4C_2 = 6$. Besides, the sticky-ends have a chance to relegate with the 180 degree rotation of itself to generate another four splicing languages. Thus, there will be ten single stage splicing languages in total. The splicing occurs as below,

$$abbbab, yabbbab\beta\delta \xrightarrow{r} \{abbbab\beta, yabbbab\beta\delta, abbbab\beta\delta, yabbbab\beta, abbaa'c', \beta'abbbab\beta\delta, abbaa'c', yabbbab\beta, \delta'b'abbbab\beta\delta\}$$

The above three cases lead to the desired results.

Theorem 2: Let $S = (A, I, R)$ be a Y-G splicing system such that $I = \{I_1, I_2\}$ and $R = \{(r_1, r_2) : (r)\}$. If elements of $R$ have the same or inverse complement in non palindromic crossing with one recognition site in each string, then $n[L_1(S)] = \{4\}$.

Proof: Let $S = (A, I, R)$ be a Y-G splicing system. Suppose $I_1$ and $I_2$ are two strings that contains only one cutting site can be spliced by using non palindromic rules. The rule(s) must fulfill the conditions below:

i. Two same crossing site.
ii. Two Inverse complement crossing site
iii. One rule

Condition i: Assume that Y-G splicing system contains two non palindromic rules with same crossing sites. Since two different strings are involved, two cases need to be considered:
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Case I: $I_1 \neq I_2$
Assume that $(u,v,w:r,v,t) \in R$ and $I_i = auvw\beta$ and $I_j = \gamma rvt\delta$ for some $I_i$ and $I_j \in A^*$. Applying the rules to $I_i$ and $I_j$ in $S$, four splicing languages are obtained as follows:

$$(auvw\beta, \gamma rvt\delta) \rightarrow_{\eta,\gamma} \{auvw\beta, \gamma rvt\delta, auv\beta, \gamma r\}$$

Case II: $I_1 \subset I_2$
Assume that $(u,v,w:u,v,w) \in R$ and $I_i = auvw\beta, I_j = \gamma auvw\beta\delta$ for $I_i$ and $I_j \in A^*$. Applying the rules to $I_i$ and $I_j$ in $S$, four splicing languages are obtained as follows:

$$(auvw\beta, \gamma auvw\beta\delta) \rightarrow_{\eta,\gamma} \{auvw\beta, \gamma auvw\beta\delta, auvw\beta, \gamma auvw\beta\delta\}$$

Condition ii: Assume that Y-G splicing system contains two non palindromic rules with inverse complement crossing sites. Since two different strings are involved, two cases need to be considered.

Case I: $I_1 \neq I_2$
Assume that $(u,v,w:r,s,t) \in R$ where the crossing sites are inverse complement to each other, $v' = s$. That means $I_i = auvw\beta$ and $I_j = \gamma rst\delta$ for some $I_i$ and $I_j \in A^*$. Applying the rules to $I_i$ and $I_j$ in $S$, four splicing languages are obtained as follow:

$$(auvw\beta, \gamma rst\delta) \rightarrow_{\eta,\gamma} \{auvw\beta, \gamma rst\delta, auvw\beta, \gamma r\}$$

Case II: $I_1 \subset I_2$
Assume that $(u,v,w:r,s,t) \in R$ and $I_i = auvw\beta, I_j = \gamma auvwrst\delta$ for $I_i$ and $I_j \in A^*$. Note that $I_j$ contains recognition sites from both rules, which is contradicting the one recognition site condition. Hence, this case cannot be considered.

Condition iii: Assume that Y-G splicing system contains one non palindromic rule, $(u,v,w) \in R$. Since two different strings are involved, two cases need to be considered.

Case I: $I_1 \neq I_2$
Assume there exist $I_i = auvw\beta$ and $I_j = \gamma uvw\delta$ for some $I_i$ and $I_j \in A^*$. Applying the rules to $I_i$ and $I_j$ in $S$, four splicing languages are obtained as follow:

$$(auvw\beta, \gamma uvw\delta) \rightarrow_{\eta,\gamma} \{auvw\beta, \gamma uvw\delta, auvw\delta, \gamma uvw\beta\}$$

Case II: $I_1 \subset I_2$
Assume there exist $I_i = auvw\beta$ and $I_j = \gamma auvw\beta\delta$ for some $I_i$ and $I_j \in A^*$. Applying the rules to $I_i$ and $I_j$ in $S$, four splicing languages are obtained as follow:

$$(auvw\beta, \gamma auvw\beta\delta) \rightarrow_{\eta,\gamma} \{auvw\beta, \gamma auvw\beta\delta, auvw\beta, \gamma auvw\beta\}$$

All of the above cases lead to desired result. ■

**Theorem 3:** If two initial strings and two different palindromic crossing site rule is involved in a splicing system, then there exist $|L(\alpha)| = |\eta|$. □

**Proof:** Suppose $S = (A,L,R)$ is a two strings Y-G splicing system and the crossing site of two rules, $r \in R$ are different and palindromic. Assume that each string contains one recognition site. Consider $I_i = a ab a \beta, I_j = y ab a \beta \delta$ with a given rule $r = (a, b a; b, a, b \beta, b \delta)$ for $a, b \in A$ where $a$ and $b$ are complement of each other. The rule is then acting on both strings producing four fragments with sticky ends. Since the crossing sites of two rules are different, the strings $I_i$ and $I_j$ themselves are obtained. Besides, the sticky-ends have a chance t o
religate with the 180 degree rotation of itself to generate another four splicing languages due to the palindromic properties. The splicing occurs as below,

\[ \alpha \text{aabb}, \beta \text{yaabb}\delta \xrightarrow{\pi} \{\alpha \text{aabb}, \beta \text{yaabb}\delta, \alpha \text{abbb}\delta\}; \]

\[ \alpha \text{abba}, \beta \text{yaabyy}, \delta \text{aabb}\delta. \]

Thus, there will be six single stage splicing languages in total. \[ \square \]

**Theorem 4:** If two initial strings and two non inverse complement crossing site non palindromic rule is involved in a splicing system, then \( n[L_1(S)] = 2 \).

**Proof:** Suppose \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are again two strings that can be spliced by using non palindromic rules with different crossing sites, \((u,v,w;r,s,t) \in R\) where the crossing sites are non inverse complement to each other, \( v \neq s \). That means \( m_1 = \text{auvw}\beta \) and \( m_2 = \text{yrst}\delta \) for some \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \in A^* \). Applying the rules to \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) in \( S \), the languages are obtained as follow:

\[ (\text{auvw}\beta, \text{yrst}\delta) \rightarrow_{\pi,\rho} I \]

Two single stage splicing languages, which are the strings \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) themselves, are obtained. \[ \square \]

**EXAMPLES OF SINGLE STAGE SPLICING LANGUAGE IN TWO STRINGS SPLICING SYSTEM**

In this section, two examples of single stage splicing language in two strings are provided. The first example shows that ten splicing languages are produced with the presence of two initial strand of double stranded DNA (dsDNA) and two same palindromic crossing site restriction enzymes in which both rules are being cut at the single stage. The second example shows a splicing system which consists of two initial strand of dsDNA and a restriction enzyme.

In the first example, two initial dsDNA and two restriction enzymes from actual DNA process are chosen to explain the theorem one. The restriction enzymes are selected from [6].

**Example 1.** Let \( S = \{A, I, R\} \) be a Y-G splicing system consisting of two restriction enzymes namely MboI and AccI, where \( A = \{a,c,g,t\}, I = \{\text{acgc}\beta, \text{ygate}\delta\} \) such that \( \alpha, \beta \in A^* \) and \( R = \{r_1 : r_2\} \) where \( r_1 = \{1; \text{gate}, 1\} \) and \( r_2 = \{c; \text{cg}, c\} \). Let PCR generates thousands copies of \( \alpha - \beta \) and \( \gamma - \delta \) strands. \( I \). One Taq GC Reaction Buffer and OneTag Standard Reaction Buffer are chosen to be used as the reaction buffer for DNA strands based on the percentage of G and C bases in the forward and reverse primer. By theorem 3, six splicing languages should be generated. By calculation, when splicing occurs, the following splicing languages are generated:

\[ L_1 = \alpha \text{GATC}\beta \]

\[ L_2 = \alpha' \text{CTAG}\beta' \]

\[ L_3 = \alpha \text{GATCa} \]

\[ L_4 = \beta' \text{GATCa} \]

\[ L_5 = \beta \text{CTAG}\beta' \]

\[ L_6 = \gamma \text{CCGC}\delta \]

\[ L_7 = \gamma' \text{GCGG}\delta' \]

\[ L_8 = \gamma \text{CCG}\gamma' \]

\[ L_9 = \gamma' \text{GCGC}\gamma \]

\[ L_{10} = \delta' \text{GCCG}\delta' \]
Six types of resulted molecules are produced, as stated in the theorem 3.

In the next example, a splicing system consists of two initial strand of dsDNA and a restriction enzyme is illustrated.

**Example 2.** Let $S = (A, I, R)$ be a Y-G splicing system consisting of a restriction enzyme namely $Hpy99I$, where $A = \{a, c, g, t\}$, $I = \{\alpha cgwgc, \gamma cgwgc\}$ which each consists of one recognition site of the restriction enzyme and $R = \{1, cgwgc; 1\}$. The nucleotide represented by $w$ can be $a$ or $t$, $W = (a, t)$. By Theorem 2, four splicing languages should be generated. When splicing occurs in the presence of ligase and NEBuffer4, the following splicing languages are generated:

For the case $w = a$,

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1 &= \alpha CGACG\beta \\
L_2 &= \gamma CGACG\delta \\
L_3 &= \alpha CGACG\delta \\
L_4 &= \gamma CGACG\beta \\
\end{align*}
\]

For the case $w = t$,

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1 &= \alpha GCTGC\beta \\
L_2 &= \gamma GCTGC\delta \\
L_3 &= \alpha GCTGC\delta \\
L_4 &= \gamma GCTGC\beta \\
\end{align*}
\]

Four types of splicing languages are produced for both cases, as stated in theorem 2.

**CONCLUSION**

Four theorems are presented to predict the number pattern of single stage Y-G splicing languages. This research can be extended to predict the outcomes of mixing unbounded DNA string with unbounded number of restriction enzymes for unbounded stages in order to reduce the number of experiment conducted.
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