

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECLARATION OF THESIS AND COPYRIGHT

Author's Full Name : ZENAS CHUNG HAN YUAN
Identification Card No : 920906-01-6319
Title : IMPLEMENTATION OF LASER SIMULATOR IN
PATH DETERMINATION
Academic Session : 2015/2016

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | CONFIDENTIAL | (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | RESTRICTED | (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)* |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | OPEN ACCESS | I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (Full text) |

I acknowledge that Universiti Malaysia Pahang reserve the right as follows:

1. The Thesis is the Property of University Malaysia Pahang.
2. The Library of University Malaysia Pahang has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The Library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Certified by:



(Author's Signature)

ZENAS CHUNG HAN YUAN

Date: 16 JUNE 2016

(Supervisor's Signature)

DR. MOHAMMED ABDO HASHEM

Date: 16 JUNE 2016

IMPLEMENTATION OF LASER SIMULATOR IN ROBOT PATH DETERMINATION

ZENAS CHUNG HAN YUAN

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Bachelor of Engineering (Hons.) in Mechatronic Engineering

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

June 2016

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I/We* hereby declare that I/We have* checked this thesis/project* and in my/our* opinion, this thesis/project* is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Engineering in (Specialization).

Signature :

Name of supervisor : DR. MOHAMMED ABDO HASHEM

Position : SENIOR SLECTURER

Date : 16 JUNE 2016

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis/project* is my own except for quotation and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis/project* has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

Signature : 

Name : ZENAS CHUNG HAN YUAN

ID Number : 920906-01-6319

Date : 16 JUNE 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUPERVISOR DECLARATION	iii
STUDENT DECLARATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Objectives	2
1.4	Scopes of Research	2

CHAPTER2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	3
-----	--------------	---

2.1.1	Environment Representation	3
2.2	Path Planning Approaches	4
2.2.1	Roadmap Approach	5
2.2.2	Cell Decomposition Approach	6
2.2.3	Vertex Graph	8
2.2.4	Free Space	10
2.2.5	Superimposed Grid	11
2.2.6	Potential Field	17

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	20
3.2	Laser Simulator	22
3.2.1	Flow Chart of Laser Simulator Algorithm	22
3.2.2	Algorithm of Laser Simulator	25
3.3	A* Algorithm	32

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	34
4.2	Simulation Result	36
4.2.1	Simulation Result in First Environment	37

4.2.2	Simulation Result in Second Environment	40
4.2.3	Simulation Result in Third Environment	42
4.2.4	Simulation Result in Forth Environment	44
4.2.5	Comparison of Laser Simulator and A* Algorithm	45
CHAPTER 5 CONCLSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
5.1	Conclusion	56
5.2	Recommendation	57
REFERENCES		58
APPENDICES		
A	Gantt chart for FYP 1	63
B	Gantt chart for FYP 2	64
C	MATLAB Code	65

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
3.1	Type of Functions for Laser Simulator Algorithm	25
3.2	Type of Functions for A* Algorithm	33
4.1	Simulation Result in the First Environment	39
4.2	Simulation Result in the Second Environment	41
4.3	Simulation Result in the Third Environment	43
4.4	Simulation Result in the Fourth Environment	44
4.5	Computational Time for Laser Simulator and A* Algorithm	49
4.6	Path Cost for Laser Simulator and A* Algorithm	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.1	Environment representation approaches	4
2.2	Roadmap approach. (a) initial robot environment, (b) nodes as generated using trapezoidal map, (c) connectivity graphs connecting each adjacent nodes, and (d) search algorithm is applied to find a free path	5
2.3	Exact cell decomposition. (a) initial environment (b) composing the Cfree into trapezoidal and triangular cells (c) construction of the connectivity graph (d) path in the connectivity graph determines the channel in the Cfree.	7
2.4	Approximate cell decomposition. (a) Cspace, (b) approximate decomposition and the free path	8
2.5	Vertex Graph Path Planning	9
2.6	Free Space Path Planning	10
2.7	Superimposed Grid Path Planning	11
2.8	Distance Transform Path Planning	12
2.9	Distance Transform Path Planning with multiple goals	13
2.10	Distance Transform Optimal Path Planning	14

2.11	Distance Transform Adventurous Path Planning	14
2.12	Distance Transform Conservative Path Planning	15
2.13	Distance Transform Visit All Path Planning	16
2.14	The Ambiguity of Optimum Paths	17
2.15	Potential Fields Path Planning	18
3.1	Research Methodology Flow Chart	21
3.2	Flow Chart of Laser Simulator Algorithm	24
4.1	First Environment for Simulation	34
4.2	Second Environment for Simulation	35
4.3	Third Environment for Simulation	35
4.4	Forth Environment For simulation	36
4.5	Path Planned by Laser Simulator in First environment	38
4.6	Path Planned by Laser Simulator in Second Environment	40
4.7	Path Planned by Laser Simulator in Third Environment	43

4.8	Combined Result of First Environment	46
4.9	Combined Result of Second Environment	47
4.10	Combined Result of Third Environment	48
4.11	Combined Result of Fourth Environment	48
4.12	Chart of Computation Time for Simulations First Environment	50
4.13	Chart of Computation Time for Simulations Second Environment	51
4.14	Chart of Computation Time for Simulations Third Environment	51
4.15	Chart of Computation Time for Simulations Fourth Environment	52
4.16	Chart of Path Cost for Simulations in First Environment	53
4.17	Chart of Path Cost for Simulations in Second Environment	54
4.18	Chart of Path Cost for Simulations in Third Environment	54
4.19	Chart of Path Cost for Simulations in Fourth Environment	55

IMPLEMENTATION OF LASER SIMULATOR IN ROBOT PATH DETERMINATION

ZENAS CHUNG HAN YUAN

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Bachelor of Engineering (Hons.) in Mechatronic Engineering

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

June 2016

ABSTACT

The most important aspect for autonomous mobile robot to achieve its tasks is path planning. The path planning of autonomous robot is used to search a collision free path that a robot must take in order to pass over each point in an environment. The path is a plan of geometric locus points in a given space and the robot has to pass through it. In this research, the laser simulator search graph is a novel path planning approach which is proposed by Mohhammed A.H.Ali and the working principle of this approach is that the laser simulator is model as principle of real laser range finder. The environment of the robot is assume to be captured by camera and the laser range finder is used to measure the distance between robot and border. By using the measurement of distances, point in front of robot can be generated and this process repeat until the robot reach goal position. The developed laser simulator is then implemented by testing its performance in few different environments. In addition, one of the classical path planning approach which is A* algorithm will be used to compare the result generated by laser simulator. From this research, the laser simulator has advantages in computational time and low collision possibility compare with A* algorithm while the path cost of laser simulator is always greater than path cost of A* algorithm. Dari kajian ini, simulator laser mempunyai kelebihan dalam masa pengiraan dan kemungkinan perlanggaran rendah berbanding dengan A* algorithm manakala kos jalan laser simulator sentiasa lebih besar daripada kos jalan A* algorithm.

ABSTRAK

Aspek yang paling penting untuk robot mudah alih autonomi untuk mencapai tugas-tugas adalah perancangan laluan. Perancangan jalan robot autonomi digunakan untuk mencari jalan yang menghindari daripada pelanggaran dan robot mesti melalui atas setiap titik oleh perancangan jalan dalam persekitaran. Jalan dirancang adalah pelan mata locus geometri dalam ruang yang diberikan dan robot perlu melaluinya. Dalam kajian ini, laser carian graf simulator adalah satu pendekatan perancangan laluan novel yang dicadangkan oleh Mohammed A.H.Ali dan prinsip kerja pendekatan ini adalah bahawa simulator laser adalah model sebagai prinsip laser sebenar pencari julat. Persekitaran robot diandaikan akan ditangkap oleh kamera dan laser pelbagai pencari yang digunakan untuk mengukur jarak antara robot dan sempadan. Dengan menggunakan ukuran jarak, titik di hadapan robot boleh dihasilkan dan proses ini berulang sehingga kedudukan matlamat robot jangkauan. The laser simulator dibangunkan kemudiannya dilaksanakan dengan menguji prestasinya dalam beberapa persekitaran yang berbeza. Di samping itu, salah satu daripada pendekatan perancangan laluan klasik yang A* Algorith akan digunakan untuk membandingkan keputusan yang dihasilkan oleh laser simulator.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The most important elements for autonomous mobile robot to achieve its tasks is path planning. The path planning of autonomous robot is used to search a collision free path that a robot must follow in order to pass over each point in an environment. The path is a plan of geometric locus points in a given space where the robot has to pass through. There are two methods of path planning of a mobile robot which are global planning and local planning. For global planning, the surrounding terrain of a mobile robot is known totally and the collision free paths are determined off-line. The surrounding of mobile robot is partially or totally unknown and sensor with feedback need to be implemented for real-time plan of path through environment step by step in local planning.

In general, the problems of path planning for mobile robots are modeling the robot's environment in the useful way, foundation of collision-free path from start to the goal of robot's motion [4], and seeking of goal. Most of the path planning approaches have been used to search for shortest path or optimal path to reach goal, avoiding static and dynamic obstacles and navigate in a complex environment. However, most of the existed approaches of path planning have drawbacks such as each of these approaches are only suitable to specific environment and condition. This study innovates a novel path planning algorithm to determine the most optimum travelling path in a complex environment with applying multiple constraints for the motion.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Classical path planning approaches have been used to search for shortest and optimal path to reach goal while avoiding static and dynamic obstacles and navigate in a complex environment. However, the classical path planning approaches have several disadvantages such as computational complexity and disability to solve the problem in static /dynamic environments. Therefore, a novel path planning algorithm needs to be developed to determine the most optimum traveling path in complex environments with applying multiple constraints for the motion.

1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. Model the laser simulator as a principle of real laser range finder.
2. Develop laser simulator search graph approach algorithm using MATLAB.
3. Simulate the laser simulator method in different environments.
4. Compare the outcome of laser simulator with a classical path planning.

1.4 SCOPES OF RESEARCH

1. The environments of mobile robot should be represented in two dimension grid map $gm = f(x, y)$.
2. The borders of environments and obstacles are represented as polygonal (straight, tangent and circular lines) in the map.
3. Start and goal locations are totally known.
4. The Laser Simulator is working as laser range finder behavior.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION

2.1.1 ENVIRONMENT REPRESENTATION

Before a robot can plan a collision-free path, the robot needs a completed model of the objects in its environment. There are different ways for object representation in robotic environments [Choset 97, Warren 89], such as the grid, the cell tree, and the polyhedral. Figure 2.1 reflects a simple environment representation using these approaches. In the grid representation shown in Figure 2.1b an array of identical cells is setup, and the cells are marked according to the occupancy (usually 1 (dark), if occupied; 0 (white) otherwise). This type of representation simplifies the computation, but requires a large amount of memory [Warren 89]. The cell tree method overcomes this disadvantage by using a smaller number of cells. Cells that are completely inside or outside an objects have a fixed size, while the cells which are partially occupied by object(s) are further divided into smaller cells. The process is repeated until all cells are completely inside or outside the objects or the maximum resolution is reached. The 2D quadtree (Figure 2.1c) is the most widely used representation of the Cell tree class. This class representation is particularly efficient in environments that contain large objects; however, when the environment is occupied by small objects, this representation is wasteful due to the overhead of computing the adjacency of the cells.

REFERENCES

This thesis is prepared based on the following references;

A.M. Thompson, "The Navigation System of the JPL Robot", Proceedings of 5th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp749-757, August 1977.

B.H. Krogh and C.E. Thorpe, "Integrated Path Planning and Dynamic Steering Control for Autonomous Vehicles", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp1664-1669, April 1986.

C.E. Thorpe, "FIDO: Vision and Navigation for a Robot Rover", Phd dissertation, Carnegie Mellon University, Department of Computer Science, December 1984.

Choset, H. and P. Pignon. Coverage Path Planning: The Boustrophedon Decomposition, Int. Conf. on Field and Service Robotics, 1997.

C.W. Warren, "Global Path Planning using Artificial Potential Fields", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp316-321, May 1989.

D.F. Cahn and S.R. Phillips, "ROBNAV: A Range Based Robot Navigation and Obstacle Avoidance Algorithm", IEEE Trans. On Systems, Man and Cybernetics, SMC-55, pp138-145, September 1975.

D.M. Keirse, E.Koch, J.McKisson et. Al., "An Algorithm of navigation for a Mobile Robot", Proceedings of 1984 IEEE International Conference on Robotics, pp 574-58, May 1984

- D.T. Kuan, J.C. Zamiska and R.A. Brooks, "Natural Decomposition of Free Space for Path Planning", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference of Robotics and Automation, pp168-173, March 1985.
- D.P. Miller, "Planning by Search Through Simulations" Phd dissertation, Yale University, Department of Computer Science, October 1984.
- E. Rimon and D.E. Koditschek, "Exact Robot Navigation using Cost Functions", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp1791-1796, May 1988.
- E.W. Dijkstra, "A Note on Two Problems in Connection with Graph Numerische Mathematik,1, pp269-271, 1959.
- G.H. Krogh, "A Generalised Potential Field Approach to Obstacle Avoidance Control", First World Conference on Robotic Research, August 1984.
- Hakhdar, M. and I. Tasdelen. Modeling and Simulation Of An Anthropomorphic Robot Arm by Using Dymola, 5th Int. Symp. On Intelligent Manufacturing Systems, 2006.
- H.P. Moravec, "Obstacle Avoidance and Navigation in the Real World by a Seeing Rover", Phd dissertation, Stanford University, September 1980.
- J. Hopcroft, J. Schwartz, and M.Sharir. "Planning, geometry, and complexity of robot motion", Ablex Publishing, Norwood NJ, 1987.
- J.-C. Latombe, Robot Motion Planning, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 1991.
- J.L. Crowley, "Navigation for an Intelligent Mobile Robot", IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation, Vol. RA-1 No. 1, pp31-41, March 1985.

- J. Ilari, C. Torras, "2D Path Planning: A Configuration Space Heuristic Approach", *International Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol.9 No.1, pp75-91, February 1990.
- Kavraki L.E., Svestka P., J-C. Latombe, Overmars, M.H. "Probabilistic roadmaps for path planning in high-dimensional configuration spaces." *IEEE transactions on Robotics and Automation*, Vol. 12, No. 4, P. 566 -580, 1996.
- M.D. Adams, H. Hu and P.J. Probert, "Towards a Real-Time Architecture for Obstacle Avoidance and Path Planning in Mobile Robots", *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp584-589, May 1990.
- M. de Berg, M. vanKreveld, M. Overmars, and O. Schwarzkopf, *Computational geometry: algorithms and applications*. Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- M. Sharir, "Efficient algorithms for planning purely translational collision-free motion in two and three dimensions", *Proc. of the IEEE Internat. Onf. On Robotics and Automation.*, Vol. 4, P. 1326-1331, 1987.
- N.J. Nilsson. "A Mobile Automation: An Application of Artificial Intelligence Techniques." *Proc. of the 1st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, P. 509-520, 1969.
- N. Sariff, Buniyamin N., *An Overview of Autonomous Robot Path Planning Algorithms*, 4th Student Conference on Research and Development (Scored 2006), 2006, pp 184-188.
- O. Khatib, "Real-Time Obstacle Avoidance for Manipulators and Mobile Robots", *International Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp90-98, 1986.
- P.E. Hart, N.J. Nilsson and B. Raphael, "A Formal Basis for the Heuristic Determination of Minimum Cost Paths", *IEEE Trans. Of System Science and Cybernetics*, SSC-4 No. 2, pp100-107, July 1968.

P. Khosla and R. Volpe, "Superquadric Artificial Potential for Obstacle Avoidance and Approach", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp1778-1784, May 1988.

R.A. Brooks, "A Robust Layered Control System for a Mobile Robot", IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation, Vol, RA-2 No.1, pp14-23, March 1986.

R.A. Jarvis and J.C. Byrne and K. Ajay, "An Intelligent Autonomous Guided Vehicle: Localisation, n Modelling and Collision-Free Path Finding", Proceedings of 19th-ISIR The International Symposium and Exposition on Robots, pp767-792 November 1988.

R. Chatila and J-P Laumond, "Position Referencing and Consistent World Modelling for Mobile Robots", Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation pp138-145, March 1985.

R.A. Jarvis and J.C. Byrne, "Robot Navigation: Touching, Seeing and Knowing", Proceedings of 1st Australian Conference on Artificial Intelligence, November 1986.

R.C. Arkin, "Motor Schema – Based Mobile Robot Navigation", International Journal of Robotic Research, Vol. 8 No. 4, pp92-112, 1989.

R. Chattergy, "Some Heuristics for the Navigation of a Robot", International Journal of Robotics Research, Vol. 4 No.1, pp59-66, 1985.

R. Tarjan, "Fast Algorithms for Solving Path Problems", Journal of ACM, Vol.28 No.3, July 1981.

S Saripali, G. S. Sukhatama, and J.F. Montgomery, an Experimental Study Of The Autonomous Helicopter Landing Problem, the Eight International Symposium on Experimental Robotics, July 2002, pp. 8-11.

T. Lozano-Perez, and M.A, Wesley, "An Algorithm for Planning Collision-Free Paths Among Polyhedral Obstacles", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 22, No. 10; P. 560-570, 1979.

T. Lozano-Perez, "Spatial Planning: A Configuration Space Approach", IEEE Trans. On Computers, C-32 No. 2, pp108-120, February 1983.

T. Lozano-Perez and M.A. Wesley, "An Algorithm for Planning Collision Free Paths among Polyhedral Obstacles", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 22 No. 10, pp560-570, October 1979.

Y.K. Hwang and N. Ahuja, Gross Motion Planning - A Survey, ACM Coumputing Surveys, Vol. 24, No. 3, P. 219-291. 1992.