

MONITORING OF THE SURFACE ROUGHNESS
BY USING ACOUSTIC EMISSION ANALYSIS
DURING END MILLING PROCESS

INTAN YASMIN BINTI ISMAIL

B.ENG (HONS.) MECHATRONICS ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

**MONITORING OF THE SURFACE ROUGHNESS BY
USING ACOUSTIC EMISSION ANALYSIS
DURING END MILLING PROCESS**

INTAN YASMIN BINTI ISMAIL

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Bachelor of Engineering in Mechatronics Engineering

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

June 2016

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Engineering in Mechatronics Engineering.

Signature :
Name of supervisor : DR MOHD AZMIR MOHD AZHARI
Position : LECTURER
Date : JUNE 2016

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotation and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

Signature :

Name : INTAN YASMIN BINTI ISMAIL

ID Number : FB12019

Date : JUNE 2016

TABLE OF CONTENT

SUPERVISOR’S DECLARATION	II
STUDENT’S DECLARATION	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
ABSTRACT	III
ABSTRAK	IV
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XIII

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.0 Project Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Statements	2
1.2 Project Objectives	2
1.3 Projects Scopes	2

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction	3
2.1 Machining Process	3
2.2 Machining Parameter	4
2.2.1 Cutting tool	4
2.2.2 Tool wear and tool life	5
2.2.3 Feed Rate and Cutting Speed	6

2.2.4	Depth of Cut	7
2.3	Response Parameter	8
2.3.1	Workpiece Surface Roughness	8
2.4	Acoustic Emission Method	10
2.4.1	Introduction / Theory	10
2.4.2	Principle of acoustic emission testing	10
2.4.3	Ae Signal Sources	11
2.4.4	Sensing and measurement of AE signal	12
2.4.6	Application of AE	14
2.5	Ae Signal Processing And Analyzing Method	14
2.6	Taguchi Method	15

CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	17
3.2	Project Flow Chart	18
3.3	Experimental Equipments / Materials	19
3.3.1	AE Sensors	19
3.3.2	Workpiece Material	22
3.3.3	Milling Machine	24
3.3.4	Type of cutting tool	25
3.3.5	Surface Roughness Measurement	26
3.4	Experimental Design	28
3.5	Methodology of Experimental Setup	29

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONs

4.1	Introduction	31
4.2	Sensor Calibration	31
4.3	Experimental Data And Analysis	32
4.3.1	Acoustic Emissions Signal Processing Analysis	32
4.3.2	Frequency analysis	33
4.3.2	Surface Roughness	38

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Introduction	45
5.2	Concluding remarks	45
5.3	Recommendations	46

REFERENCES 47

APPENDICES 51

A	Gantt Chart For FYP1 And FYP2	51
B	Sample Of Matlab Coding (Fourier Transform Method)	53
C	Command Window Output	54
D	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (a)	58
E	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (b)	59
F	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (c, g, k)	60
G	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (d)	61

H	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (e)	62
I	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (f)	63
J	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (h)	64
K	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (i)	65
L	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (j)	66
M	Table of Amplitude, Frequency and RMS For Cutting Parameter (l)	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table no	Title	Page
3.1	Chemical composition of 6061 aluminium alloy	21
3.2	Acoustic emissions parameters and their information about the event source.	22
3.3	MAKINO KE55 Specifications	23
3.4	Specifications of Surface Roughness Tester	25
3.5	Cutting Conditions for Each Experiments	29
4.1	Fequency and amplitude values	34
4.2	Roughness and AE rms Data	38

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure no	Title	Page
2.1	AE generated during metal cutting	4
2.2	Feed rate for turning operations	6
2.3	Depth of cut in end-milling operation	7
2.4	Surface roughness profile	8
2.5	Acoustic emission testing (AET)	10
2.6	AE signal features	12
2.7	Signal processing logical schematic	14
3.1	Experimental flowchart	18
3.2	AE sensor	19
3.3	Inside elements of AE sensor	20
3.4	Continuous type waveform	21
3.5	Burst-type waveform	21
3.6	6061 Aluminium block	23
3.7	KE55 Milling machine	24
3.8	20mm 4Flute high speed steel end mill	25
3.9	Surfcom130A Surface roughness tester	26
3.10	Surface roughness measurement parameter	27
3.11	Flow chart for the design of experiment	28
3.12	Setup of AE system	29
4.1	Data labels of waveforms signals	33
4.2	Normalized amplitude spectrum	34
4.3	Graph of frequency against cutting speed	35
4.4	Graph of amplitude against cutting speed	35
4.5	Graph of frequency against feed rate	36

4.6	Graph of amplitude against feed rate	36
4.7	Graph of frequency against depth of cut	37
4.8	Graph of amplitude against depth of cut	37
4.9	Graph of surface roughness against cutting speed	39
4.10	Graph of amplitude of rms value against cutting speed	39
4.11	Graph of surface roughness against feed rate	41
4.12	Graph of amplitude of rms value against feed rate	41
4.13	Graph of surface roughness against depth of cut	43
4.14	Graph of amplitude of rms value against depth of cut	43

LIST OF SYMBOLS

mm/min	millimeter per minutes
Ra	Average surface roughness
μm	micro meter
dB	Decibels
HP	horsepower
rpm	revolutions per minutes
Al	Aluminium
Cr	Chromium
Cu	Copper
Fe	Iron
Mg	Magnesium
Mn	Manganese
Si	Silicon
Ti	Titanium
Zn	Zinc

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AE	Acoustic Emissions
AET	Acoustic Emissions Technique
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
NDT	Non-Destructive Testing
RMS	Root Mean Square
FFT	Fast-Fourier Transform
DFT	Discrete Fourier Transform
AEwin	Advanced Embedded and Network Solution

**MONITORING OF THE SURFACE ROUGHNESS BY
USING ACOUSTIC EMISSION ANALYSIS
DURING END MILLING PROCESS**

INTAN YASMIN BINTI ISMAIL

Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Bachelor of Engineering in Mechatronics Engineering

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

June 2016

ABSTRACT

Surface roughness of Aluminium Alloy 6061 has been investigated under different cutting condition by using Acoustic Emission (AE) sensor in milling operation. The experiment has shown that AE components are effectively respond to the change of occurrences in milling process. Three controllable factors are used as machining parameter. They are cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut. The workpiece is clamped tightly on the workbench of milling machine and the AE sensor (PK15i) is mounted on top at the end of workpiece. The tool material used is 20mm 4 flute high speed steel cutting tool. All data collected from the AE sensor are displayed in AEWin and analysed in MATLAB software. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method is used to convert time domain into frequency domain signal and hence the rms, amplitude and frequency could be calculated. The surface roughness of the workpiece is measured by using Surfcom 130A. The results indicated that the most of the cutting parameter that influenced the surface roughness is feed rate, followed by cutting speed, and finally depth of cut.

ABSTRAK

kekasaran permukaan Aluminium 6061 telah dikaji berdasarkan kaedah pemotongan yang berbeza dengan menggunakan Acoustic Emission sensor (AE) dalam operasi pemotongan. Eksperimen telah menunjukkan bahawa komponen AE yang digunakan berkesan dan bertindak balas kepada perubahan kejadian dalam proses pemotongan. Tiga faktor yang boleh dikawal digunakan sebagai 'parameter'. Antaranya adalah kelajuan sewaktu pemotongan, kadar makan dan kedalaman pemotongan. Bahan kerja diapit pada bangku kerja mesin pemotongan dan sensor AE (PK15i) dipasang di atas pada akhir bahan kerja. Bahan alat yang digunakan ialah 20mm 4 seruling kelajuan tinggi alat pemotong besi. Semua data yang dikumpul daripada sensor AE yang dipaparkan dalam AEWin dianalisis dalam perisian MATLAB. 'Fast Fourier Transform' (FFT) merupakan kaedah yang digunakan untuk menukar domain masa kepada isyarat domain frekuensi dan dengan itu rms, amplitud dan frekuensi boleh dikira. Kekasaran permukaan bahan kerja diukur dengan menggunakan Surfcom 130A. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa sebahagian besar parameter pemotongan yang mempengaruhi kekasaran permukaan ialah kadar makan, diikuti dengan kelajuan pemotongan, dan akhirnya kedalaman pemotongan

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter describes the main idea of the project including the project background, problem statements, objectives, and project scopes. This chapter also provide some explanation and brief information about the project.

1.0 Project Introduction

In machining, there are two types of phenomena, which is avoidable and unavoidable events .Chip formation, tool failure, and flank wear can be categorized as the examples of unavoidable occurrences. Tool breakage and process interruption, though very common occurrences in machining, are classified as avoidable by proper monitoring.Tool breakage is also known as the tool failure that is usually happens in some cases when the cutting force applied on tool insert exceeds its strength limit. It also occurs in case when there are no corrective actions taken in the early stages of crater or notch wear development (Bhuiyan et al., 2014).

The different occurrences in machining is usually make a change that is accomplished in the dynamic process. Surface roughness is one of the changes that is essential in machining that represents the quality of products obtained. The different cutting condition has a considerable effects on the workpiece surface roughness.The use of sensor in tool condition monitoring has made the process become more efficient by watching the cutting tool and process without penetrating or interrupting the operation. With the advent of sensor application, the real-time information on cutting tool and process can be obtained, which helps to avoid catastrophic tool failure during machining.

In sensor based tool condition monitoring, the process of selecting the right sensor(s) among a number of available sensing techniques for the appropriate application is crucially important (Bhuiyan et al., 2014).

1.1 Problem Statements

Surface roughness of a material plays an important role in machining process. It is generally accepted that the surface finish will influence the function of the machine parts. Besides, it also affects the tool life, and resistance to wear. In manufacturing industry, an exact value of roughness is considerable essential because it will influence the capability of the parts and cost of producing quality products. Therefore, the most important parameter to describe the surface integrity is by measuring the surface roughness. This method is vital to control the quality of the machined workpiece. Hence, Acoustic Emission Technique (AET) will be used to investigate its correlation with the surface roughness by using different cutting conditions.

1.2 Project Objectives

The objectives of this project are as follows

- To investigate the relationship of different cutting parameters and surface roughness with the AE signal produced during end milling process.
- To apply Fourier Transform method in analyzing AE signal data
- To find frequency, rms and amplitude of AE signal generated.

1.3 Project Scopes

The project research will be focused on :

- 1) Understanding the concept of acoustic emissions
- 2) Measuring the surface roughness of the workpiece
- 3) Study the correlation of acoustic emission with degree of surface roughness
- 4) Using cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut parameter.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 INTRODUCTION

This chapter deals with the review from the previous research which is focus on acoustic emission (AE) method to monitor the cutting process under different cutting conditions. Some researchers found that AE has shown a very significant response to the surface roughness, chip formation and also the tool failure by applying various signal processing method. There are some features or parameters has been taken such as depth of cut, spindle speed, and also the state of cutting tool to make the dignostic system more universal. The literature review is an effective ways to increase the understanding on the project scopes.

2.1 MACHINING PROCESS

In industrial production, machining has been chosen as one of the processes which is responsible to transform raw material into a designed product to meet the demands of end users. Machining process is known as a method of removal or cutting a piece of raw material under several cutting conditions into a desired shape. Some manufacturer used metal as a machining part, but it also can be apply on materials such as composites, plastics, woods and ceramics. Cutting tool is used to remove excess material from the workpiece. It is made from material that is harder than the workpiece . Machining process principle is divided into three types which is turning,drilling, and milling. AE generated during machining process is illustrated as shown in Figure 2.1.

REFERENCES

1. Huaizhong Li and Yun Chen (2014). *Machining Process Monitoring* , Handbook of Manufacturing Engineering and Technology : School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, UNSW, Sydney, NSW, Australia.
2. Ginta, T.L. , Nurul Amin, A.K.M. , Lajis M.A. , Karim, A.N.M. and Sutjipto, A.G.E. (2008). “Tool life assessment in end milling titanium alloy (Ti–6Al–4V) using PCD inserts”, *International Journal of Science Engineering and Technology* , Vol. 1 No. 1, pp. 15-21
3. Palanisamy, P. , Rajendran, I. and Shanmugasundaram, S. (2008). “Prediction of tool wear using regression and ANN models in end milling operation”, *International Journal of Advance Manufacturing Technology* , Vol. 37 No 1-2, pp. 29-41
4. W.Y.H. Liew, B.K.A Ngoi, and Y.G.Lu, (2003). “Wear characteristics of PCBN tools in the ultra-precision machining of stainless steel at low speeds”, *Wear*, 254, pp. 265-277.
5. M.S.H Bhuiyan, I.A Choudhury and M.Dahari (2014). “ Monitoring the tool wear, surface roughness and chip formation occurrences using multiple sensors in turning”, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* , Vol. 33, pp. 476-487.
6. S.Thamizhmanii and S.Hasan (2010). “Relationship between flank wear and cutting force on the machining of hard martensitic stainless steel by super hard tools” , *Proceeding of the World Congress on Engineering* , Vol 3

7. O.Olufayo and K.Abou-El-Hossein (2015) .“Tool life estimation based on acoustic emission monitoring in end-milling of H13 mould-steel”. *International Journal Machining Tool Manufacturing* ,Vol 81, pp. 39-51

8. Y.B Guo and SC Ammula (2015). “Real time acoustic emission monitoring for surface damage in hard machining” .*International Journal of Machine tool & Manufacture*, Vol 45, pp. 1622-1627

9. Vikas Dhiman and Deepak Gupta (2015) “A Review on Optimizing of Process Parameters for Material Removal Rate and Surface Roughness For SS202 Material During Face Milling Operation” . *International Journal for Innovative Research in Science & Technology*, Vol 1, (Issue 11)

10. Prof Atul Dhale and Dhale (July,2013) “Acoustic Emission Method For Selection Of Optimum Cutting Parameters In Turning Using Different Fluids: A Review” .*International Journal of Innovative Research and Development* , Vol 2, (Issue 7)

11. Devesh Tripathi and Dhananjay Pradhan (2015) “Analysis of Cutting Parameters for Surface Roughness by Turning Process Using Taguchi Method” . *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing System*, Vol 15, (Issue 2)

12. Balaraju.J, Anup Kumar.J.,et al (2015) “Application of Taguchi Technique for Identifying Optimum Surface Roughness in CNC end Milling Process”. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, Vol 21 (Issue 2)

13. Arsalan Qasim, Salman Nisar, Aqueel Shah et al.,“Optimization of process parameters for machining of AISI-1045 steel using Taguchi design and ANOVA”. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory* 59 pp 36–51

14. Xiaoli Li, "A brief review : Acoustic emission method for tool wear monitoring during turning". *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture* 42 pp 157–165
15. Saurabh, J.S.Jassi, Dr.Aas Mohammad, et al., (2015) "Machining Parameter Optimization Using Taguchi Approach". *International Conference on Futuristic trend in Computational Analysis and Knowledge Management (ABLAZE-2015)*
16. Sanjay Kumar Singh, K. Srinivasan, and D. Chakraborty (2004) "Acoustic characterization and prediction of surface roughness". *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, pp 127-130
17. A.B Abdullah, L.Y Chia, and Z.samad (2008) "The Effect of Feed Rate and Cutting Speed to Surface Roughness". *Asian Journal of Scientific Research*, 1: 12-21.
18. Ojolo Sunday Joshua, Money Ochuko David, Ismail Oluwarotimi Sikiru, "Experimental Investigation of Cutting Parameters on Surface Roughness Prediction during End Milling of Aluminium 6061 under MQL (Minimum Quantity Lubrication)". *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Automation*, Vol. 5 No. 1, 2015, pp. 1-13. doi: 10.5923/j.jmea.20150501.01.
19. Mohammed T.Hayajneh, Montasser S.Tahat, and Joachim Bluhm (2007) "A Study Of The Effects Of Machining Parameters On The Surface Roughness In The End Milling Process". *Jourdan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 1 No1, pp 1-5
20. R. Arokiadass, K. Palaniradja, and N. Alagumoorthi (2011) "Predictive modeling of surface roughness in end milling of Al/SiC metal matrix composite", *Archive of Applied Science Research*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 228-236

21. Peng, Z. K, Chu, F. L. (2004) “Application of the Wavelet Transform in Machine Condition Monitoring and Fault Diagnostics: A Review” , *Bibliography. Mech. Syst. Signal Process*, 18 (2), 199–221.

22. Maria Strantiza, Dimitrios G.Aggelis, Dieter de Baere, Patrick Guillaume and Danny van Hemelrijck (2015) “Evaluation of SHM System Produced by Additive Manufacturing via Acoustic Emission and Other NDT Methods”, *Department of Mechanics of Materials and Constructions, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, Brussels 1050, Belgium* 15(10), 26709-26725

23. Lixin Gao, Fenlou Zai, Shanbin Su, Huaqing Wang, Peng Chen and Limei Liu (2011) “Study and Application of Acoustic Emission Testing in Fault Diagnosis of Low-Speed Heavy-Duty Gears”, *Laboratory of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Beijing University of Technology, Chao Yang District, Beijing.* Sensors 2011, 11(1), 599-611.

24. P.Y.Andoh, S.Davis, and J.antonio (2007) “Effects Of Cutting Parameters On Acoustic Emission Signal Response During Drilling Of Laminated Composites Using Factorial Design Method”, *Journal of Science and Technology* vol 27, no23.

25. Manindra Kaphle (2012) “Analysis of Acoustic Emission Data for Accurate Damage Assessment for Structural Health Monitoring Applications” Ph.D Thesis, Queensland University of Technology.