

THE WATER FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT OF WATER SUPPLY TREATMENT  
PROCESS (WSTP) – COMPARISON BETWEEN SEMAMBU, KUANTAN WSTP  
AND PERAMU, PEKAN WSTP

MELISSA BINTI MADSAHIK

BACHELOR (HONS) OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## **SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor (Hons) of Civil Engineering.

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. EDRIYANA BINTI A. AZIZ

Position :

Date :



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MELISSA BINTI MADSAHIK

ID Number : AA13282

Date :

THE WATER FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT OF WATER SUPPLY TREATMENT  
PROCESS (WSTP) – COMPARISON BETWEEN SEMAMBU, KUANTAN WSTP AND  
PERAMU, PEKAN WSTP

MELISSA BINTI MADSAHIK

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Bachelor (Hons) of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JANUARY 2017

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah, praises to Allah S.W.T for giving me strength and wisdom to finishes this thesis and complete my final year project.

First and foremost, I would like to give a special thanks to my final year project's supervisor; Dr. Edriyana binti A. Aziz as her kindness to supervised me for the completion of my final year project. I will never forget all the things that she had done for me.

My sincere appreciation I give to Dr. Nurul Nadrah Aqilah Tukimat as a coordinator program for this final year project subject and all lecturers that already involved on delivered and shared their knowledge and teach all final year project's students on how to do the best thesis of project by following the thesis guideline.

I would like to express my gratitude to En. Mohd Syazwan Nizam bin Mohd Moni, lecturers, staffs, and all my final year friends in the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources for their ideas, cooperation, and supports.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge my appreciation to my dearest family for their support, guidance, sacrifice, motivation, and inspiration along my completion of this thesis project.

Best regards and thank you in advanced. May Allah reward all of you.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	x
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xii
<b>CHAPTER 1            INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1            Background of Study	1
1.2            Problem Statement	2
1.3            Research Objectives	2
1.4            Scope of Study	2
1.5            Significance of Study	3
<b>CHAPTER 2            LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1            Introduction	4
2.2            Water Footprint	4
2.2.1    Water Footprint Accounting	5
2.2.2    Water Footprint Assessment (WFA)	6
2.2.3    Water Footprint and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)	6
2.3            Water Supply Treatment Plant (WSTP)	7
2.4            Water Resources Management	9
2.4.1    Water Resources Management in Malaysia	10
2.4.2    Water Availability	10
2.5            Water Scarcity	11
2.5.1    The Rising of Population	11
2.5.2    Land Use Development	12
2.5.3    Monsoonal Changes in Malaysia	12
2.6            Water Supply Sustainability	13

2.6.1	Water Consumption	14
2.6.2	Water Demand	15
2.7	Prediction of Water Footprint	16
2.7.1	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	16

### **CHAPTER 3            RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Study Area	17
3.1.1	Semambu Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP)	17
3.1.2	Peramu Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP)	18
3.2	Data Collection	19
3.3	Water Supply Treatment Process Design	20
3.3.1	Semambu Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP)	20
3.3.2	Peramu Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP)	22
3.3.3	Water Treatment Process at both WSTPs	24
3.4	Water Footprint Accounting	25
3.4.1	Affected by Population	28
3.4.2	Affected by Land Use	29
3.4.2.1	Water Demand	29
3.4.3	Affected by Monsoonal Changes	30
3.5	Prediction of Water Footprint at both WSTP	30
3.5.1	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	30

### **CHAPTER 4            RESULTS ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduction	36
4.1.1	Water Treatment Process at Semambu WSTP	36
4.1.2	Water Treatment Process at Peramu WSTP	37
4.1.3	The Comparison of Water Treatment Process at Semambu WSTP and Peramu WSTP	38
4.2	The Effect of Water Footprint	39
4.2.1	Water Treatment Process at Semambu WSTP	39
4.2.2	Water Treatment Process at Peramu WSTP	43
4.2.3	The Comparison of Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP and Peramu WSTP	48
4.3	Water Footprint Affected by Population	50
4.3.1	Water Footprint Affected by Population at Semambu WSTP	50
4.3.2	Water Footprint Affected by Population at Peramu WSTP	51
4.3.3	The Comparison of Water Footprint Affected by Population at Semambu WSTP and Peramu WSTP	52
4.3.4	Relationship of Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP and Peramu WSTP with Global Water Footprint	52

4.4	Water Footprint Affected by Land Use According to Water Demand	54
4.4.1	Water Footprint Affected by Land Use According to Water Demand at Semambu WSTP	54
4.4.2	Water Footprint Affected by Land Use According to Water Demand n at Peramu WSTP	55
4.4.3	The Comparison of Water Footprint Affected by Land Use According to Water Demand at Semambu WSTP and Peramu WSTP	56
4.5	Water Footprint Affected by Monsoonal Changes	58
4.5.1	Water Footprint by Inter Monsoon (April-May)	58
4.5.2	Water Footprint by Southwest Monsoon (June-August)	60
4.5.1	Water Footprint by Inter Monsoon (September-October)	62
4.5.2	Water Footprint by Northeast Monsoon (November-March)	64
4.5.3	The Comparison of Water Footprint Affected by Monsoonal Changes at Semambu WSTP and Peramu WSTP	65
4.6	The Prediction of Water Footprint for Both WSTP from Year 2016 Until 2020 by Using Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	71

## **CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

5.1	Conclusion	74
5.2	Recommendation	75

<b>REFERENCES</b>	76
-------------------	----

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Book of Kuantan District Local Plan.	80
Appendix B	Book of Pekan District Local Plan.	81
Appendix C	Table of Population by districts in the administrative district of Kuantan and Pekan, Pahang, 2005-2015.	82
Appendix D	One of As-Built Drawing for Process Flow Scheme Treatment Work.	83
Appendix E	One of Monthly Report Water Plant.	84
Appendix F	Water Footprint Analysis for Semambu WSTP.	85
Appendix G	Water Footprint Analysis for Peramu WSTP.	88



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 2.1.</b> Water Treatment Process.	9
<b>Figure 3.1.</b> Semambu, Kuantan location.	18
<b>Figure 3.2.</b> Peramu, Pekan location.	19
<b>Figure 3.3.</b> Semambu WSTP distribution system phase I.	21
<b>Figure 3.4.</b> Semambu WSTP distribution system phase II.	22
<b>Figure 3.5.</b> Peramu water treatment plant distribution system phase II.	23
<b>Figure 3.6.</b> Schematic diagram of Peramu water supply treatment process (WSTP).	23
<b>Figure 3.7.</b> Step 1 - Key in past values data.	31
<b>Figure 3.8.</b> Step 2 - Choose ANN type to be used.	32
<b>Figure 3.9.</b> Step 3 - Choose the Neural Network Time Series Tool to be used.	33
<b>Figure 3.10.</b> Step 4 - Select data to be used for prediction.	33
<b>Figure 3.11.</b> Step 5 - Choose the Train Network to be used.	34
<b>Figure 3.12.</b> Step 6 - Neural Network Training to obtain the result.	35
<b>Figure 4.1.</b> Schematic Flow Diagram of Semambu, Kuantan WSTP.	36
<b>Figure 4.2.</b> Schematic Flow Diagram of Peramu, Pekan WSTP.	37
<b>Figure 4.3.</b> Graph of Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP.	43
<b>Figure 4.4.</b> Graph of Water Footprint at Peramu WSTP.	47
<b>Figure 4.5.</b> Graph Comparison of Water Footprint.	48
<b>Figure 4.6.</b> Graph of Population against Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP.	50
<b>Figure 4.7.</b> Graph of Population against Water Footprint at Peramu WSTP.	51
<b>Figure 4.8.</b> Graph of Water Footprint for both WSTP against Global Water Footprint	53
<b>Figure 4.9.</b> Graph of water demand at Semambu WSTP.	54
<b>Figure 4.10.</b> Graph of water demand at Peramu WSTP.	55
<b>Figure 4.11.</b> Graph comparison of water demand for both WSTP.	56
<b>Figure 4.12.</b> Graph of Water Footprint for Inter Monsoon at both WSTP.	59
<b>Figure 4.13.</b> Graph of Water Footprint for Southwest Monsoon at both WSTP.	61
<b>Figure 4.14.</b> Graph of Water Footprint for Inter Monsoon (Sept-Oct) at both WSTP.	63
<b>Figure 4.15.</b> Graph of Water Footprint for Inter Monsoon (Nov-March) at both.	65
<b>Figure 4.16.</b> Graph of Water Footprint by Monsoonal Changes at Semambu WSTP.	67
<b>Figure 4.17.</b> Graph of Water Footprint by Monsoonal Changes at Peramu WSTP.	69

<b>Figure 4.18.</b> Graph of Water Footprint trend for Semambu WSTP by using ANN.	71
<b>Figure 4.19.</b> Graph of Water Footprint trend for Peramu WSTP by using ANN.	72

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 <i>List of department and data involved in the study.</i>	19
Table 3.2 <i>Table of Water Treatment Process.</i>	24
Table 3.3 <i>Value of “p” based on latitude.</i>	27
Table 4.1 <i>Water Treatment Process Design at both WSTP.</i>	38
Table 4.2 <i>Types of water footprint at each stages at Semambu WSTP.</i>	39
Table 4.3 <i>Water Footprint Accounting at Semambu WSTP.</i>	40
Table 4.4 <i>Table of Total Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP.</i>	42
Table 4.5 <i>Types of water footprint at each stages at Peramu WSTP.</i>	43
Table 4.6 <i>Types of water footprint at each stages at Peramu WSTP.</i>	44
Table 4.7 <i>Table of Total Water Footprint at Peramu WSTP.</i>	47
Table 4.8 <i>Table of Comparison Value of Water Footprint for both WSTPs.</i>	48
Table 4.9 <i>Table of Population against Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP.</i>	50
Table 4.10 <i>Table of Population against Water Footprint at Peramu WSTP.</i>	51
Table 4.11 <i>Table of the relationship between Water Footprint with Global WF.</i>	53
Table 4.12 <i>Table of water footprint affected by land use at Semambu WSTP.</i>	54
Table 4.13 <i>Table of water footprint affected by land use at Peramu WSTP.</i>	55
Table 4.14 <i>Table comparison of water demand for both WSTP.</i>	56
Table 4.15 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Inter Monsoon at Semambu WSTP.</i>	58
Table 4.16 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Inter Monsoon at Peramu WSTP.</i>	58
Table 4.17 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Southwest Monsoon at Semambu WSTP.</i>	60
Table 4.18 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Southwest Monsoon at Peramu WSTP.</i>	60
Table 4.19 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Inter Monsoon at Semambu WSTP.</i>	62
Table 4.20 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Inter Monsoon at Peramu WSTP.</i>	62
Table 4.21 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Northeast Monsoon at Semambu WSTP.</i>	64
Table 4.22 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Northeast Monsoon at Peramu WSTP.</i>	64
Table 4.23 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Monsoonal Changes at Semambu WSTP.</i>	66

Table 4.24 <i>Table of Water Footprint by Monsoonal Changes at Semambu WSTP.</i>	68
Table 4.25 <i>Table of Water Footprint at Semambu WSTP from year 2010 until 2020.</i>	71
Table 4.26 <i>Table of Water Footprint at Peramu WSTP from year 2010 until 2020.</i>	72

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

ANN	Artificial Neural Network
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
H <sub>2</sub> S	Hydrogen Sulfide
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
MLD	Million Litres per Day
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
PAIP	Pengurusan Air Pahang
PIs	Performance Indicators
TP	Total Phosphorus
TSS	Total Suspended Solid
UMP	Universiti Malaysia Pahang
WF	Water Footprint
WFA	Water Footprint Assessment
WHO	World Health Organization
WQI	Water Quality Index
WSTP	Water Supply Treatment Process

THE WATER FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT OF WATER SUPPLY TREATMENT  
PROCESS (WSTP) – COMPARISON BETWEEN SEMAMBU, KUANTAN WSTP AND  
PERAMU, PEKAN WSTP

MELISSA BINTI MADSAHIK

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Bachelor (Hons) of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JANUARY 2017

## **ABSTRACT**

The competition of water needs is increasing as the numbers of population increases as well as the increase demand of clear water, and the effect of climate change. This phenomenal will induce water scarcity if no precautions are taken. Thus, the sustainability of our water resources is in jeopardy. An application of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) has long been used to determine water sustainability. However, this application is limited to the impact of the products to the environment. In this study, Water Footprint (WF) approach was utilized to determine the sustainability of our water supply. The objectives of this study are to determine WF at Semambu, Kuantan Water Supply Treatment Process and Peramu, Pekan Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP). Besides that, this study also to investigate the effect of population, land use and monsoonal changes to the WF accounting for both WSTP. Another than that, this finding also to compare the efficiency of different types of WSTP in terms of WF. The last but not least, is to predict the WF for both WSTP from year 2016 until 2020 by using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) which is an artificial intelligence application so that the effective ways can be taken to ensure the sustainability of water at the end of findings.

## **ABSTRAK**

Serangan permintaan air semakin meningkat apabila kenaikan penduduk dan permintaan air yang semakin meningkat adalah jelas, dan akibat dari kesan perubahan iklim. Ini akan menggalakkan kekurangan air yang luar biasa jika tiada langkah berjaga-jaga diambil. Oleh itu, kelestarian sumber air dunia kita sejak kebelakangan ini dalam bahaya. Permohonan Penilaian Kitar Hayat (LCA) telah lama digunakan untuk menentukan kemampanan air. Walau bagaimanapun, permohonan ini adalah terhadap kepada kesan produk kepada alam sekitar. Dalam kajian ini, pendekatan Jejak Air (WF) telah digunakan untuk menentukan kemampanan bekalan air kita. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan WF di Semambu, Kuantan Proses Rawatan Air dan Peramu, Pekan Proses Rawatan Bekalan Air (WSTP). Di samping itu, kajian ini juga untuk mengkaji kesan penduduk, tujuan penggunaan tanah dan perubahan kepada monsoon yang perlu diambil kira dalam perakaunan WF untuk kedua-dua WSTP. Selain daripada itu, dapatan ini juga untuk membandingkan kecekapan WSTP WF. Yang terakhir tetapi tidak kurang pentingnya, adalah untuk meramalkan WF untuk kedua-dua WSTP dari 2016 sehingga tahun 2020 dengan menggunakan Rangkaian Neural Buatan (ANN), yang merupakan aplikasi kepintaran buatan dengan cara yang berkesan boleh diambil untuk memastikan kemampanan air pada akhir penemuan itu.



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY**

As move on towards a new modernization and millennium era in this world today, the competition of water needs is increasing along with the increasing of the technologies. Nowadays, the increasing of demand over the clean water resources lately which will be aggravated in the future and even today the competition of the freshwater needs is one of the issue that need to be concern about. This problem might due to the growing numbers of population, economic growth, increasing of water demand for agricultural products uses, and meat and sugar based products also (Ercin et al., 2011).

The main problem faced globally that related to water that covers about 71% of the Earth's surface is water scarcity. Water scarcity can happen when a large number of individual or community in an area are water insecure which is an individual does not have access to safe and affordable water to satisfy his or her needs for their daily use for a certain period of time. Gleick (2002) explored that a relationship between water availability and human population on a national scale which is water availability per capita per year that water use has been increasing more than twice than the rate of population growth. This water shortage issue may effect to the water that treated at water treatment process since total amount of water for each stages of water treatment process can be defined as Water Footprint (WF).

## **1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Previously, Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) has been conducted to assess water supply sustainability. However, LCA only assess the impact of pollution to the environment but does not cover the amount of water utilization and / or consumption during the whole process. Thus, it does not visualize the amount of water that has been wasted for the amount of water that has been wasted for each processes. An accounting of these wasted water is needed to be managed as Water Footprint Assessment (WFA). The WFA can visualize all the amount of wasted water for each processes by its different types that can be assess at Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP) which are blue WF, green WF, and grey WF.

## **1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

The focus of this study is the Water Footprint (WF) assessment that accounting for two Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP) in Pahang and also the prediction for WF for future WF. This study embarks on the following objectives:

1. To determine WF at Semambu, Kuantan Water Supply Treatment Process and Peramu, Pekan Water Supply Treatment Process.
2. To investigate the effect of population, land use and monsoonal changes to the Water Footprint accounting for both Water Supply Treatment Process (WSTP).
3. To compare the efficiency of different types of WSTP in terms of Water Footprint (WF).
4. To predict the WF for both WSTP from year 2016 until 2020 by using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) – an artificial intelligence application.

## **1.4 SCOPE OF STUDY**

The study is to evaluate WF for different types of water supply treatment process. In order to compare the efficiency of different types of water treatment process in terms of Water Footprint Assessment (WFA), this study has need to investigate the

effect of seasonal changes of Water Supply Treatment Plant (WSTP) at the research area that need to consider the effect of the WF by population, land use, and monsoonal changes. This study also is conducted to predict the WF for both WSTP for five years' prediction from year 2016 until 2020 by using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) which is an artificial intelligence application.

## **1.5 SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY**

In this study, amount of freshwater involves in the process of producing clean water to be supplied will be able to visualize by using Water Footprint Assessment (WFA). WFA is a four-phase process that includes quantifies and maps green, blue, and gray Water Footprint which to assess the sustainability, efficiency, and equitability of water use and also to identifies which strategic actions should be prioritized in order to get a footprint sustainable. Besides that, manage the uses of water resources in the effective, and specific ways especially to the local authorities. This research is useful for the prediction of water resources quantity and also to ensure the sustainability of water usage for future generation.

## REFERENCES

- Apipalakul, C., Wirojangud, W., & Ngang, T. K. (2015). Development of Community Participation on Water Resource Conflict Management. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 186, 325–330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.048>
- Bagatin, R., Klemeš, J. J., Reverberi, A. Pietro, & Huisinigh, D. (2014). Conservation and improvements in water resource management: A global challenge. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 77, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.04.027>
- Bellin, A., Majone, B., Cainelli, O., Alberici, D., & Villa, F. (2016). A continuous coupled hydrological and water resources management model. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 75, 176–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.10.013>
- Berger, M., & Finkbeiner, M. (2010). Water footprinting: How to address water use in life cycle assessment? *Sustainability*, 2(4), 919–944. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su2040919>
- Boulay, A., Hoekstra, A. Y., & Vionnet, S. (2013). T © 2013, 11926–11927.
- Castex, V., Tejeda, E. M., & Beniston, M. (2015). Water availability, use and governance in the wine producing region of Mendoza, Argentina. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 48, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2014.12.008>
- Chanya, A., Prachaak, B., & Ngang, T. K. (2014). Conflict Management on Use of Watershed Resources. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 136, 481–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.05.360>
- Collet, L., Ruelland, D., Estupina, V. B., Dezetter, A., & Servat, E. (2015). Water supply sustainability and adaptation strategies under anthropogenic and climatic changes of a meso-scale Mediterranean catchment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 536, 589–602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.093>
- Ding, J., Jiang, Y., Liu, Q., Hou, Z., Liao, J., Fu, L., & Peng, Q. (2016). Influences of the land use pattern on water quality in low-order streams of the Dongjiang River basin, China: A multi-scale analysis. *Science of the Total Environment*, 551–552(19), 205–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.162>
- Ercin, A. E., Aldaya, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2011). Corporate Water Footprint Accounting and Impact Assessment: The Case of the Water Footprint of a Sugar-Containing Carbonated Beverage. *Water Resources Management*, 25(2), 721–741. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-010-9723-8>
- Garcia, X., & Pargament, D. (2015). Reusing wastewater to cope with water scarcity: Economic, social and environmental considerations for decision-making. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 101, 154–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.015>

- Geissen, V., Mol, H., Klumpp, E., Umlauf, G., Nadal, M., van der Ploeg, M., ... Ritsema, C. J. (2015). Emerging pollutants in the environment: A challenge for water resource management. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 3(1), 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2015.03.002>
- Gleick, P. H. (2002). Dirty Water : Estimated Deaths from Water-Related Diseases 2000-2020. *Pacific Institute Research Report*, 1–12.
- Hassanzadeh, E., Elshorbagy, A., Wheeler, H., & Gober, P. (2016). A risk-based framework for water resource management under changing water availability, policy options, and irrigation expansion. *Advances in Water Resources*, 94, 291–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2016.05.018>
- Hoekstra, A. Y. (2016). A critique on the water-scarcity weighted water footprint in LCA. *Ecological Indicators*, 66, 564–573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.02.026>
- Homayounfar, M., Lai, S. H., Zomorodian, M., Sepaskhah, A. R., & Ganji, A. (2014). Optimal Crop Water Allocation in Case of Drought Occurrence, Imposing Deficit Irrigation with Proportional Cutback Constraint. *Water Resources Management*, 28(10), 3207–3225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-014-0669-0>
- Kounina, A., Margni, M., Bayart, J.-B., Boulay, A.-M., Berger, M., Bulle, C., ... Humbert, S. (2012). Review of methods addressing freshwater use in life cycle inventory and impact assessment. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 18(3), 707–721. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-012-0519-3>
- Lapidou, C., Papageorgiou, E., Kokkinos, K., Sahu, S., Gupta, A., & Tassiulas, L. (2015). Exploring patterns in water consumption by clustering. *Procedia Engineering*, 119(1), 1439–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.08.1004>
- Lee, K. E., Mokhtar, M., Mohd Hanafiah, M., Abdul Halim, A., & Badusah, J. (2015). Rainwater harvesting as an alternative water resource in Malaysia: Potential, policies and development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 126, 218–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.060>
- Loo, Y. Y., Billa, L., & Singh, A. (2015). Effect of climate change on seasonal monsoon in Asia and its impact on the variability of monsoon rainfall in Southeast Asia. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 6(6), 817–823. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2014.02.009>
- Mahmood, R., & Babel, M. S. (2014). Future changes in extreme temperature events using the statistical downscaling model (SDSM) in the trans-boundary region of the Jhelum river basin. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 5(1), 56–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2014.09.001>
- Mallinson, D. J., Culver, S. J., Corbett, D. R., Parham, P. R., Shazili, N. A. M., & Yaacob, R. (2014). Holocene coastal response to monsoons and relative sea-level changes in northeast peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 91, 194–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2014.05.005>

- Manzardo, A., Loss, A., Fialkiewicz, W., Rauch, W., & Scipioni, A. (2016). Methodological proposal to assess the water footprint accounting of direct water use at an urban level: A case study of the Municipality of Vicenza. *Ecological Indicators*, 69, 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.04.016>
- Marques, R. C., da Cruz, N. F., & Pires, J. (2015). Measuring the sustainability of urban water services. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 54, 142–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.07.003>
- Mekonnen, M. M., & Hoekstra, A. Y. (2011). The green, blue and grey water footprint of crops and derived crop products. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15(5), 1577–1600. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-1577-2011>
- Meneses, B. M., Reis, R., Vale, M. J., & Saraiva, R. (2015). Land use and land cover changes in Zêzere watershed (Portugal) - Water quality implications. *Science of the Total Environment*, 527–528, 439–447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.04.092>
- Molinos-Senante, M., Marques, R. C., Pérez, F., Gómez, T., Sala-Garrido, R., & Caballero, R. (2016). Assessing the sustainability of water companies: A synthetic indicator approach. *Ecological Indicators*, 61, 577–587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.009>
- Morera, S., Corominas, L., Poch, M., Aldaya, M. M., & Comas, J. (2016). Water footprint assessment in wastewater treatment plants. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 4741–4748. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.102>
- Munro, S. A., Fraser, G. C. G., Snowball, J. D., & Pahlow, M. (2016). Water footprint assessment of citrus production in South Africa: A case study of the lower Sundays River Valley. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, 668–678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.06.142>
- Palhares, J. C. P., & Pezzopane, J. R. M. (2015). Water footprint accounting and scarcity indicators of conventional and organic dairy production systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 93, 299–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.035>
- Parkinson, S. C., Johnson, N., Rao, N. D., Jones, B., van Vliet, M. T. H., Fricko, O., ... Flörke, M. (2016). Climate and human development impacts on municipal water demand: A spatially-explicit global modeling framework. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 85, 266–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.08.002>
- Pfister, S., Bayer, P., Koehler, A., & Hellweg, S. (2011). Projected water consumption in future global agriculture: Scenarios and related impacts. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(20), 4206–4216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.019>
- Rasul, G. (2014). Food, water, and energy security in South Asia: A nexus perspective from the Hindu Kush Himalayan region{star, open}. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 39, 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2014.01.010>
- Safavi, H. R., Golmohammadi, M. H., & Sandoval-Solis, S. (2015). Expert knowledge based modeling for integrated water resources planning and management in the

- Zayandehrud River Basin. *Journal of Hydrology*, 528, 773–789. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.07.014>
- Scarpare, F. V., Hernandez, T. A. D., Ruiz-Corrêa, S. T., Kolln, O. T., Gava, G. J. D. C., Dos Santos, L. N. S., & Victoria, R. L. (2016). Sugarcane water footprint under different management practices in Brazil: Tietê/Jacaré watershed assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 4576–4584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.05.107>
- Shao, L., & Chen, G. Q. (2013). Water footprint assessment for wastewater treatment: Method, indicator, and application. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47(14), 7787–7794. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es402013t>
- States, U. (2001). EPA National Air Quality and Emissions Trends Report , 1999, (March).
- Sun, N., Yearsley, J., Baptiste, M., Cao, Q., Lettenmaier, D. P., & Nijssen, B. (2016). A spatially distributed model for assessment of the effects of changing land use and climate on urban stream quality. *Hydrological Processes*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10964>
- Unit, E. P. (n.d.). Water resources management in Malaysia - The Way Forward Director General ,.
- Willis, R. M., Stewart, R. A., Panuwatwanich, K., Williams, P. R., & Hollingsworth, A. L. (2011). Quantifying the influence of environmental and water conservation attitudes on household end use water consumption. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(8), 1996–2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.03.023>
- Zhao, J., Lin, L., Yang, K., Liu, Q., & Qian, G. (2015). Influences of land use on water quality in a reticular river network area: A case study in Shanghai, China. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 137, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.12.010>