

**STUDY OF SAND BRICK RATIO 1:3 FOR PARTIAL  
REPLACEMENT OF SAND WITH PALM KERNEL SHELLS  
(0%, 10% AND 20%)**

**MAISARAH BINTI ABDULLAH**

**B.ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG**

STUDY OF SAND BRICK RATIO 1:3 FOR PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF SAND  
WITH PALM KERNEL SHELLS (0%, 10% AND 20%)

MAISARAH BINTI ABDULLAH

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree  
of Bachelor Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources  
Universiti Malaysia Pahang

JANUARY 2017

### **SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor (Hons.) of Civil Engineering

Signature :  
Name of Supervisor : SHARIZA BINTI MAT ARIS  
Position : SENIOR LECTURER  
Date : 10 JANUARY 2017

## STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award for other degree.

Signature :  
Name : MAISARAH BINTI ABDULLAH  
ID Number : AA13011  
Date : 10 JANUARY 2017

This hard work is dedicated to my beloved family and my precious friends who love me and support me during my whole journey of education at University of Malaysia Pahang.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah rabbi 'alamin, first of all, I would like to express my highest gratitude to God; Allah SWT for the blessing, love, health, strength, mercy as well as giving me the opportunity to complete this final year project even though there were tons of works that I need to do at the same time. I am very thankful to God once again because I was given a good health along the journey I had been through in completing this final year project. Not to forget, Selawat is also sent to Prophet Muhammad SAW who had delivered the truth to human beings in general and particularly to Muslims.

Besides that, I would like to thank my supervisor; Mdm. Shariza Binti Mat Aris for the endless support and guidance she had lend along the way to complete this final year project. I am very grateful and appreciate all of her kindness as well as her commitment in helping me with this task. Besides that, I also want to express my deepest gratitude to my family especially my father, Abdullah bin Chik and my mother, Zainon binti Abdullah for endless prayers and supports.

On top of that, I would like to thank my classmates and my partner for the ideas they had given and shared with me in order to help me with this coursework. They were very happy to help me and for that, I really want to say thank you. Thank you for helping me patiently in completing this final year project with your endless suggestions and ideas. There are nothing much for me to give but thank you as a token of appreciation. It might seems like little thing but it did helped me a lot. I will keep this beautiful experience in my mind and cherish this forever. Only God can repay all your kindness. Thank you.

.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

| <b>Titles</b>                   | <b>Page</b>                |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>SUPERVISOR’S DECLARATION</b> | ii                         |
| <b>STUDENT’S DECLARATION</b>    | iii                        |
| <b>DEDICATION</b>               | iv                         |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>          | v                          |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>                 | vi                         |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>                  | vii                        |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>         | viii                       |
| <b>LISTS OF TABLE</b>           | xi                         |
| <b>LISTS OF FIGURE</b>          | xii                        |
| <b>LISTS OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>   | xiv                        |
| <br>                            |                            |
| <b>CHAPTER 1</b>                | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>        |
| 1.1                             | Background of Study 1      |
| 1.2                             | Problem Statement 2        |
| 1.3                             | Objectives Study 3         |
| 1.4                             | Scope of Study 3           |
| <br>                            |                            |
| <b>CHAPTER 2</b>                | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>   |
| 2.1                             | Introduction 5             |
| 2.2                             | General 6                  |
|                                 | 2.2.1 Sand Brick 6         |
|                                 | 2.2.2 Palm Kernel Shells 7 |
| 2.3                             | Types of Brick 8           |
|                                 | 2.3.1 Clay Brick 8         |
|                                 | 2.3.1.1 Common Brick 8     |

|     |                                 |    |
|-----|---------------------------------|----|
|     | 2.3.1.2 Facing Brick            | 9  |
|     | 2.3.1.3 Engineering Brick       | 9  |
| 2.4 | Materials                       | 10 |
|     | 2.4.1 Cement                    | 10 |
|     | 2.4.2 Water                     | 10 |
|     | 2.4.3 Sand                      | 11 |
|     | 2.4.4 Palm Kernel Shells        | 11 |
| 2.5 | Methods                         | 12 |
|     | 2.5.1 Compressive Strength Test | 12 |
|     | 2.5.2 Water Absorption Test     | 14 |

### **CHAPTER 3                    METHODOLOGY**

|     |                                 |    |
|-----|---------------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction                    | 15 |
| 3.2 | Research Design                 | 15 |
| 3.3 | Preparation of Materials        | 17 |
|     | 3.3.1 Sand                      | 17 |
|     | 3.3.2 Water                     | 18 |
|     | 3.3.3 Cement                    | 18 |
|     | 3.3.4 Palm Kernel Shells        | 19 |
| 3.4 | Specimen Preparation            | 21 |
| 3.5 | Method of Testing               | 24 |
|     | 3.5.1 Curing Process            | 24 |
|     | 3.5.2 Compressive Strength Test | 25 |
|     | 3.5.3 Water Absorption Test     | 26 |

### **CHAPTER4                    RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

|     |                                 |    |
|-----|---------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction                    | 28 |
| 4.2 | Sand Brick Tests                | 28 |
|     | 4.2.1 Compressive Strength Test | 29 |
|     | 4.2.2 Water Absorption Test     | 40 |
| 4.3 | Discussion                      | 43 |



|                   |  |    |
|-------------------|--|----|
| <b>CHAPTER</b>    | <b>5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>    |    |
| 5.1               | Introduction                               | 44 |
| 5.2               | Conclusion                                 | 44 |
| 5.3               | Recommendations                            | 45 |
| <b>REFERENCES</b> |  | 47 |
| <b>APPENDIX</b>   |  |    |
| A                 | Control Sample for Air Curing at 7 days    | 49 |
| B                 | Control Sample for Air Curing at 28 days   | 50 |
| C                 | Control Sample for Water Curing at 7 days  | 51 |
| D                 | Control Sample for Water Curing at 28 days | 52 |
| E                 | Ratio 10% for Air Curing at 7 days         | 53 |
| F                 | Ratio 10% for Air Curing at 28 days        | 54 |
| G                 | Ratio 10% for Water Curing at 7 days       | 55 |
| H                 | Ratio 10% for Water Curing at 28 days      | 56 |
| I                 | Ratio 20% for Air Curing at 7days          | 57 |
| J                 | Ratio 20% for Air Curing at 28 days        | 58 |
| K                 | Ratio 20% for Water Curing at 7 day        | 59 |
| L                 | Ratio 20% for Water Curing at 28 days      | 60 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>Table No.</b> | <b>Title</b>   | <b>Page</b> |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1              | Size of sand brick follows the JKR standard                                      | 7           |
| 2.2              | Chemical Composition of Palm Kernel Shells<br>(Chaiyaomporn & Chavalparit, 2010) | 11          |
| 2.3              | Chemical Composite in Palm Kernel Shells<br>(Chaiyaomporn & Chavalparit, 2010)   | 12          |
| 2.4              | Brick Classification follow the BS 3921:1985                                     | 13          |
| 3.1              | Ratio of Mix Design Sand Brick   | 22          |
| 3.2              | Type of test in Curing Process   | 24          |
| 4.1              | Average of control samples at 7 days and at 28 days                              | 34          |
| 4.2              | Average of 10% ratio of PKS at 7 days and at 28 days                             | 35          |
| 4.3              | Average of 20% ratio of PKS at 7 days and at 28 days                             | 36          |
| 4.4              | Compressive Strength for air curing  | 37          |
| 4.5              | Compressive Strength for water curing  | 38          |
| 4.6              | Percentage of water absorption at 7 days   | 40          |
| 4.7              | Percentage of water absorption at 28 days  | 41          |
| 4.8              | Percentage of water absorption at 7 days and at 28 days                          | 42          |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>Figure No.</b> | <b>Title</b>   | <b>Page</b> |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1               | Sand Bricks  | 6           |
| 2.2               | Palm Kernel Shells   | 7           |
| 2.3               | Clay Bricks  | 8           |
| 2.4               | Common Brick   | 8           |
| 2.5               | Facing Brick   | 9           |
| 2.6               | General Composition Limits of Portland Cement (Lim, 2012)  | 10          |
| 2.7               | Mean compressive strength of walls against strength for 102 mm thick brickwork in various mortars Hendry, (1990) | 13          |
| 2.8               | Physical requirement for building bricks (ASTM C62-89a, 1990)  | 14          |
| 3.1               | Flow chart of Final Year Project   | 16          |
| 3.2               | Sands  | 17          |
| 3.3               | Sieve Shaker   | 17          |
| 3.4               | Tap Water  | 18          |
| 3.5               | Portland Composite Cement  | 19          |
| 3.6               | Taking Palm Kernel Shells at factory of palm oil   | 19          |
| 3.7               | Process of washing palm kernel shells  | 20          |
| 3.8               | Process of cleaning PKS  | 20          |
| 3.9               | Sundried for two days  | 20          |
| 3.10              | Crushing using hammer to get passing 4.75mm  | 21          |
| 3.11              | Sieve palm kernel shells to get passing 4.75mm   | 21          |
| 3.12              | Marked the dimension of brick  | 22          |
| 3.13              | Cut the plywood following the right size   | 22          |
| 3.14              | Installed all the cut plywood to form mould of brick   | 23          |
| 3.15              | This oil to paint on to plywood to avoid sample of mixture stick at the mould                                    | 23          |
| 3.16              | Sample mixing process  | 23          |
| 3.17              | Process of putting the mixture into mould  | 24          |

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 3.18 | Process of air curing                                | 25 |
| 3.19 | Process of water curing                              | 25 |
| 3.20 | Process of compressive strength test                 | 26 |
| 3.21 | Bricks had been crushed                              | 26 |
| 3.22 | Brick on the digital scale                           | 27 |
| 4.1  | Control sample for air curing                        | 29 |
| 4.2  | Control sample for water curing                      | 30 |
| 4.3  | 10% of PKS for air curing                            | 31 |
| 4.4  | 10% of PKS for water curing                          | 32 |
| 4.5  | 20% of PKS for air curing                            | 33 |
| 4.6  | 20% of PKS for air curing                            | 34 |
| 4.7  | Average of control samples at 7 days and at 28 days  | 35 |
| 4.8  | Average of 10% ratio of PKS at 7 days and at 28 days | 36 |
| 4.9  | Average of 20% ratio of PKS at 7 days and at 28 days | 37 |
| 4.10 | Compressive Strength against Days for air curing     | 38 |
| 4.11 | Compressive Strength against Days for water curing   | 39 |
| 4.12 | Average water absorption for 7 days                  | 40 |
| 4.13 | Average water absorption for 28 days                 | 41 |
| 4.14 | Average water absorption for 7 and 28 days           | 42 |

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

|       |   |
|-------|---|
| PKS   | Palm Kernel Shells                        |
| JKR   | Jabatan Kerja Raya                        |
| FKASA | Fakulti Kejuruteraan Awam dan Sumber Alam |
| OPC   | Ordinary Portland Cement                  |
| BS    | British Standard                          |
| ASTM  | American Standard Test and Method         |

STUDY OF SAND BRICK RATIO 1:3 FOR PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF SAND  
WITH PALM KERNEL SHELLS (0%, 10% AND 20%)

MAISARAH BINTI ABDULLAH

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree  
of Bachelor Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources  
Universiti Malaysia Pahang

JANUARY 2017

## ABSTRACT

In this study, samples of sand brick that added with palm kernel shells (PKS) are produced to determine the best compressive strength of sand brick and to identify the best water absorption of sand brick with different percentages. There are 3 different percentages which are 0%, 10% and 20% will be replaced with the sand. The size of sand brick is 225mm x 113mm x 75mm. There are 120 bricks with three different percentage of ratio palm kernel shells are produced 20 samples for each percentage for 7 days and 28 days to get the average results of the compressive strength and water absorption. The outcome of these results is to compare the compressive strength and water absorption of sand brick are replaced with palm kernel shells and control samples. From the average of compressive strength by using air curing is decrease from 34.06 N/mm<sup>2</sup> until 22.66 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 7 days and from 36.04 N/mm<sup>2</sup> until 24.86 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 28 days while using water curing method also decrease from 33.00 N/mm<sup>2</sup> until 22.32 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for 7 days and from 34.63 N/mm<sup>2</sup> until 23.52 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Then, the average for water absorption by using air curing is increase from 2.41% until 5.35% for 7 days and from 2.64% until 6.33% for 28 days while by using water curing also increase from 2.67% until 6.24% for 7 days and from 2.94% until 6.63% for 28 days. This study will be useful to improve the strength of the sand brick by using smaller percentage from this study.

## ABSTRAK

Dalam kajian ini, sampel bata pasir yang ditambah dengan sisa agrikultur iaitu tempurung kelapa sawit akan dihasilkan. Penghasilan ini dibuat bagi mengetahui kekuatan bata pasir yang mempunyai kandungan bahan tambah. Tempurung kelapa sawit dengan setiap peratusan penambahan didalam bancuhan rekabentuk telah ditetapkan iaitu sebanyak 0%, 10%, dan 20%. Saiz bata pasir adalah 225mm x 113mm x 75mm. Sebanyak 120 sampel dihasilkan dimana setiap bancuhan yang berbeza peratusan akan menghasilkan 20 biji bata. Separuh daripada jumlah sampel akan diuji pada usia ke 7 dan separuh lagi diuji pada usia ke 28 hari. Sampel bata ini diuji dengan dua ujian iaitu ujian kekuatan mampatan dan peratus penyerapan air menggunakan dua kaedah pengawetan iaitu pengawetan udara dan pengawetan air. Hasil daripada data yang diperolehi, nilai purata kekuatan mampatan bata menggunakan kaedah pengawetan udara adalah menurun daripada 34.06 N/mm<sup>2</sup> sehingga 22.66 N/mm<sup>2</sup> untuk hari ke 7 dan daripada 36.04 N/mm<sup>2</sup> sehingga 24.86 N/mm<sup>2</sup> pada hari ke 28 manakala menggunakan kaedah pengawetan air adalah menurun daripada 33.00 N/mm<sup>2</sup> sehingga 22.32 N/mm<sup>2</sup> untuk hari ke 7 dan daripada 34.63 N/mm<sup>2</sup> sehingga 23.52 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Berdasarkan peratus penyerapan air pula, nilai yang diperolehi menggunakan kaedah pengawetan air adalah menaik daripada 2.67% sehingga 6.24% untuk hari ke 7 dan daripada 2.94% sehingga 6.63% untuk hari 28 manakala menggunakan kaedah pengawetan udara adalah menaik daripada 2.41% sehingga 5.35% untuk hari ke 7 dan daripada 2.64% sehingga 6.33% untuk hari ke 28. Disebalik data penurunan untuk kekuatan mampatan ini, peratusan terbaik yang sesuai digunakan dalam kajian ialah sebanyak 10% menggunakan kaedah pengawetan udara memandangkan jumlah kekuatan mampatan tersebut pada hari ke 7 dan 28 menunjukkan penurunan yang paling sedikit berbanding bata kawalan dan data penaikan untuk peratus penyerapan air yang terbaik adalah 2.93% menggunakan kaedah pengawetan udara. Kesimpulannya, tempurung kelapa sawit berpotensi digunakan dalam penghasilan bata, namun jumlah peratusan bahan tambah yang lebih kecil dari 10% perlu dikaji pada kajian yang akan datang.



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY**

Construction field is one of the areas that give to the development of the country, not only in Malaysia but also abroad. The construction sector can be seen in terms of the demand for construction of residential houses, office buildings, premises of business and so are constantly increasing for the country to build and strengthen the economy. From the demand, the use of materials such as bricks in the construction field is greatly needed to develop.

Bricks are probably the oldest industrialized building material known to man. Brick is in a rectangle-shaped, made of inorganic materials that are hard and tough. There are various types and forms of brick, depending on the type and source of raw material, the method of manufacture and use. There are four main stages in the manufacturing process. There are preparations of bricks, the manufacturing stage, drying and heating stages. The earliest bricks are made from clay, taken from close to the surface of the ground, or from river banks, moulded into shape by hand and dried in the sun. Clay bricks over the centuries were traditionally made locally and not transported very far, so that they had widely differing characteristics depending on the material available and the way the bricks will be treated by the maker.

Therefore, the sand brick which acts as the main character has been selected in this study which added with palm kernel shells (PKS) in the mixture of the sand brick. In Malaysia, the palm oil industry is important because of the production and exportation the palm oil all around the world. So, the waste material of the palm oil will be producing many wastes in this country. From that waste, we can save our earth from

environmental pollution. Furthermore, in the construction industry, building materials brick is particularly important to interpret walls, roads, car parks and many more.

## **1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Generally, the use of brick in construction is widespread, especially in the construction of buildings and infrastructure. Various studies will be conducted to produce variety methods that can add the strength of the brick. However, since the brick was exposed to the environment, it's a bit of a weakness (He et al., 2012). To overcome these weaknesses and to improve the brick strength, we will replacing the original composite brick with palm kernel shells based on the earlier studies that palm kernel shells was used in the making of bricks (Zakaria, 1987).

Palm kernel shell is one of the solid wastes from the process of agricultural production and palm kernel shells (Mamat, 2006) who mostly processed in the tropics (Shafigh et al., 2010). According to a statement issued in Berita Harian, seven percent palm kernel shells was produced for every tonne of palm oil bunches processed (Michael, 2006). By the way, if the waste is not reused indirectly may lead to increased problems of waste disposal of waste in landfills.

Detailed studies should be made to make sure that additional palm kernel shells can improve the strength of the brick itself. In addition, these studies rely on laboratory tests to get the right result. All data and processes of experiments conducted in the laboratory in addition to getting help from factories that operate brick manufacture or processing plant palm.

## **1.3 OBJECTIVES STUDY**

The main objective of this study is to determine the effectiveness of sand brick and palm kernel shell as feedstock in the manufacture of brick.

The objectives of this research are:

- i. To identify the best compressive strength of sand brick with the different percentage of palm kernel shell.
- ii. To identify the best water absorption of sand brick with the different percentage of palm kernel shell.

#### **1.4 SCOPE OF STUDY**

This research is mainly focused on the compressive strength of sand brick with additional of palm kernel shells on the investigated samples. The control samples are designed with cement, sand, and water without any admixture. To ensure that the study can meet the proposed objectives, the scope of this study will include a review of the potential ability of bricks containing the admixture of palm kernel shells whether it seeks to achieve and exceed the standards of the brick strength or vice versa. Size of the sand bricks will be followed the Malaysia Public Works Department or *Jabatan Kerja Raya* (JKR) standard which is length ( $225 \pm 3.2$  mm), width ( $113 \pm 1.6$  mm) and height ( $75 \pm 1.6$ mm). All the tests on the samples will be carried out on brick samples in the laboratory of the Faculty of Civil Engineering (FKASA).

The scope of work mainly focuses on:

- i. The experiments that conducted are Compressive Strength Test and Water Absorption Test.
- ii. The compression strength test conducting at 7 days and 28 days to get the strength of brick.
- iii. The size of sand brick are follow JKR standard which is 225mm x 113mm x 75mm.
- iv. The ratio of sand brick is 1:3, which are one part of cement and 3 part of sand.
- v. The percentage of palm kernel shell were replacing sand at 10% and 20% replacement by volume of sand.

## REFERENCES

- Shafigh. P., Jumaat, M. Z., & Mahmud, H. (2010). "Mix design and mechanical properties of oil palm shell lightweight aggregate concrete: A review". *International Journal of the Physical Sciences* 5(14), pp. 2127-2134.
- Mamat C.J (2013, Februari 2). Nilai Sisa Sawit. *Akhbar Agrobiz*. p. 2-3.
- Mamat R. (2014, Julai 5). Teknologi Nanomas hasilkan serbuk tempurung sawit. *Berita Sawit untuk Berita Harian*. p. 6.
- Mat Hussin, F. *Kekuatan Mampatan dan Serapan Air Batu Bata Tanah Liat Tanpa Bakar yang Mengandungi Abu Dasar Sebagai Bahan Gantian Pasir*. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia; 2014.
- Mat Lazim, Z. *Bahan Dan Binaan*. 1st ed. Malaysia: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka. 1987.
- Zakaria, M. S. *Penggunaan Bahan Buangan Bottom Ash Kilang Sawit Dan Bottom Ash Loji Janakuasa Sebagai Bahan Gantian Dalam Penghasilan Bata Tanah Liat*. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia; 2014.
- Zhang, T., Yu, Q., Wei, J., & Zhang, P. (2011). "Effects of size fraction on composition and fundamental properties of Portland cement". *Construction and building materials*. pp. 3038-3043.
- Mansor, M. *Penggunaan Batu Bata Tanah Liat Dalam Industri Pembinaan*. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2010.
- Mat Amin, K. N., Tumiran, D. M., & Johari, I. *C1001 Bahan Dan Binaan Kejuruteraan*. Malaysia: Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia. 2006.

- Abd Kadir, A & Maasom, N. (2010). "Possible utilization of cigarette butts in light weight fired clay bricks". *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 2(3), pp. 118-122.
- Ahmad, A. *Bata Tanpa Bakar*. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2006.
- British Standard Institution (1990). *Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes*. London: BS 1377: Part 2: 1990.
- British Standard Institution (2006). *Advanced Technical Ceramics- method of test for ceramics powder*. London: BS EN 725: Part 4: 2006.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (2005), *Standard Specifications for Building Works*, JKR MS 20800:2005