

Study of Air Fuel Ratio on Engine Performance of Direct Injection Hydrogen Fueled Engine

M.M. Rahman, M.M. Noor, M.R.M. Rejab, M.S.M. Sani and K. Kadirgama

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Malaysia Pahang
Tun Abdul Razak Highway, 26300 Gambang,
Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia
Phone: +6095492207; Fax: +6095492244
(E-mail: mustafizur@ump.edu.my)

Abstract The present study focuses on the effect of air-fuel ratio on the performance of four cylinder hydrogen fueled direct injection internal combustion engine. GT-Power was utilized to develop the model for direct injection engine. Air-fuel ratio was varied from rich to a lean limit. The rotational speed of the engine was varied from 1250 to 4500 rpm. The acquired results shown that the air fuel ratio are greatly influence on the brake mean effective pressure (BMEP), brake efficiency (BE), brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) as well as the maximum cylinder temperature. It can be seen that the decreases of BMEP, BE and maximum cylinder temperature with increases of air fuel ratio and speed, however, increases the brake specific fuel consumption. For rich mixtures (low AFR), BMEP decreases almost linearly, then BMEP falls with a non-linear behavior. It can be observed that the brake thermal efficiency is increases nearby the richest condition ($AFR \cong 35$) and then decreases with increases of air fuel ratio. Maximum η_b of 35.4% at speed 2500 rpm can be seen compared with 26.3% at speed 4500 rpm. The optimum minimum value of BSFC occurred within a range of AFR from 38.144 ($\theta = 0.9$) to 49.0428 ($\theta = 0.7$) for the selected range of speed. The present contribution suggests the direct injection fuel supply system as a strong candidate for solving the power and abnormal combustion problems.

Keywords: Hydrogen fueled engine, air fuel ratio, engine performance, direct injection, engine speed

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen induction techniques play a very dominant and sensitive role in determining the performance characteristics of the hydrogen fueled internal combustion engine (H_2ICE) [1]. Hydrogen fuel delivery system can be broken down into three main types including the carbureted injection, port fuel injection and direct injection (DI) [2].

In direct injection, the intake valve is closed when the fuel is injected into the combustion cylinder during the compression stroke [2]. Like PFI, direct injection has long been viewed as one of the most attractive choices for supplying hydrogen fuel to combustion chamber [3-5]. This

view is based on: its prevention for abnormal combustion: pre-ignition, backfire and knock; and the high volumetric efficiency, (since hydrogen is injected after intake valve closing). The improved volumetric efficiency and the higher heat of combustion of hydrogen compared to gasoline, provides the potential for power density to be approximately 115% that of the identical engine operated on gasoline [3]. However, it is worthy to emphasize that while direct injection solves the problem of pre-ignition in the intake manifold, it does not necessarily prevent pre-ignition within the combustion chamber [2]. Metal hydrides can only provide low pressure hydrogen, compressed hydrogen could be used but this limits the

effective tank contents as the tank can only be emptied down to the fuel injection pressure. Compressing gaseous hydrogen on board would mean an extra compressor and a substantial energy demand [6]. The high pressure was defined by White et al. [3] as greater than 80 bar to ensure sonic injection velocities and high enough mass flow rates for start of injection throughout the compression stroke. The need for rapid mixing necessitates the use of critical flow injectors and the short time duration with late injection requires high mass flow rates. The valve leakage at the valve seat and the losses associated with the injection system are another issues [7-8]. Another important challenge for DI is the extremely short time for hydrogen-air mixing. For early injection (i.e., coincident with inlet valve closure (IVC)) maximum available mixing times range from approximately 20–4 ms across the speed range 1000–5000 rpm, respectively [3]. This insufficient time leads to unstable engine operation at low hydrogen-air equivalence ratios due to insufficient mixing between hydrogen and air [9]. Late injection, as a solution, was investigated by Mohammadi et al. [4]. However, this measure is insufficient and the system will be susceptible for pre-ignition as stated above. Therefore, additional transactions like utilization of other techniques such as EGR and after-treatment methods are required to bring the NOx emission to acceptable level [4]. The present contribution introduces a model for a four cylinders, direct injection H₂ICE. GT-Power software code is used to build this model. The objective of this study is to investigate the effect of air fuel ratio on the engine performance of the direct inject engine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Engine Performance Parameters

The brake mean effective pressure (*BMEP*) can be defined as the ratio of the brake work per cycle W_b to the cylinder volume displaced per cycle V_b , and expressed as in Eq. (1):

$$BMEP = \frac{W_b}{V_b} \quad (1)$$

This equation can be extended for the present four stroke engine to:

$$BMEP = \frac{2P_b}{NV_b} \quad (2)$$

where P_b is the brake power, and N is the rotational speed.

Brake efficiency (η_b) can be defined as the ratio of the brake power P_b to the engine fuel energy as in Eq. (3):

$$\eta_b = \frac{P_b}{\dot{m}_f(LHV)} \quad (3)$$

where \dot{m}_f is the fuel mass flow rate; and *LHV* is the lower heating value of hydrogen.

The brake specific fuel consumption (*BSFC*) represents the fuel flow rate \dot{m}_f per unit brake power output P_b and can be expressed as in Eq. (4):

$$BSFC = \frac{\dot{m}_f}{P_b} \quad (4)$$

The volumetric efficiency (η_v) of the engine defines the mass of air supplied through the intake valve during the intake period, \dot{m}_a , by comparison with a reference mass, which is that mass required to perfectly fill the swept volume under the prevailing atmospheric conditions, and can be expressed as in Eq. (5):

$$\eta_v = \frac{\dot{m}_a}{\rho_{ai}V_d} \quad (5)$$

where ρ_{ai} is the inlet air density.

Engine Modeling

A four cylinder, four stroke, direct injection hydrogen fueled engine was modeled utilizing the GT-Power software. The computational model of four cylinders, four stroke direct injection hydrogen fuel engine is shown in Figure 1. The specific engine parameters used to make the model are listed in Table 1. It is important to indicate that the intake and exhaust ports of engine cylinders are modeled geometrically with pipes.




Figure 1. Computational model of four cylinders, four stroke, and direct injection hydrogen fueled engine

Table 1. Hydrogen Fueled Engine Parameters

Engine Parameter (Unit)	Value
Bore (mm)	100
Stroke (mm)	100
Connecting rod length (mm)	220
Piston pin offset (mm)	1.00
Total Displacement (liter)	3.142
Compression ratio	9.5
Inlet valve close IVC ($^{\circ}$ CA)	-96
Exhaust valve open EVO ($^{\circ}$ CA)	125
Inlet valve open IVO ($^{\circ}$ CA)	351
Exhaust valve close EVC ($^{\circ}$ CA)	398

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A lean mixture is one in which the amount of fuel is less than stoichiometric mixture. This leads to fairly easy to get an engine start. Furthermore, the combustion reaction will be more complete. Additionally, the final combustion temperature is lower reducing the amount of pollutants. Figure 2 shows the effect of air-fuel ratio on the brake mean effective pressure. The air-fuel ratio AFR was varied from

rich limit (AFR = 27.464:1 based on mass where the equivalence ratio $\phi = 1.2$) to a very lean limit (AFR =171.65 where $\phi = 0.2$) and engine speed varied from 2500 rpm to 4500 rpm. *BMEP* is a good parameter for comparing engines with regard to design due to its independent on the engine size and speed.

It can be seen that *BMEP* decreases with increases of AFR and speed. This decrease happens with two different behaviors. For rich mixtures (low AFR), *BMEP* decreases almost linearly, then *BMEP* falls with a non-linear behavior. Higher linear range can be recognized for higher speeds. For 4500 rpm, the linear range is continuing until AFR of 42.9125 ($\phi=0.8$). The non-linear region becomes more predominant at lower speeds and the linear region cannot be specified there. The total drop of *BMEP* within the studied range of AFR was 8.08 bar for 4500 rpm compared with 10.91 bar for 2500 rpm. At lean operating conditions (AFR = 171.65, $\phi=0.2$ the engine gives maximum power (*BMEP* = 1.635 bar) at lower speed 2500 rpm) compared with the power (*BMEP* = 0.24 bar) at speed 4500 rpm. Due to dissociation at high temperatures following

combustion, molecular oxygen is present in the burned gases under stoichiometric conditions. Thus some additional fuel can be added and partially burned. This increases the temperature and the number of moles of the burned gases in the cylinder. These effects increase the pressure and give rise to increased power and mean effective pressure.




Figure 2. Variation of brake mean effective pressure with air fuel ratio for various engine speeds

Figure 3 shows the variation of the brake thermal efficiency with the air fuel ratio for the selected speeds. Brake power is the useful part as a percentage from the intake fuel energy. The fuel energy is also covered the friction losses and heat losses (heat loss to surroundings, exhaust, enthalpy and coolant load). Therefore lower values of η_b can be seen in the Figure 3. It can be observed that the brake thermal efficiency is increases nearby the richest condition (AFR \cong 35) and then decreases with increases of AFR and speed. The operation within a range of AFR from 38.144 to 42.91250 ($\phi = 0.9$ to 0.8) gives the maximum values for η_b for all speeds. Maximum η_b of 35.4% at speed 2500 rpm can be seen compared with 26.3% at speed 4500 rpm. Unaccepted efficiency η_b of 3.7% can be seen at very lean conditions with AFR of 171.65 ($\phi=0.2$ for speed of 4500 rpm while a

value of 23.86% was recorded at the same conditions with speed of 2500 rpm. Clearly, rotational speed has a major effect in the behavior of η_b with AFR. Higher speeds lead to higher friction losses.




Figure 3. Variation of brake thermal efficiency with air fuel ratio

Figure 4 depicts the behavior of the brake specific fuel consumption *BSFC* with AFR. It is clearly seen that the higher fuel is consumed at higher speeds due to the greater friction losses that can occur at high speeds. It is easy to perceive from the figure that there is an optimum minimum value of *BSFC* occurred within a range of AFR from 38.144 ($\phi=0.9$) to 49.0428 ($\phi=0.7$) for the selected range of speed. At very lean conditions, higher fuel consumption can be noticed. After AFR of 114.433 ($\phi=0.3$) the *BSFC* rises up rapidly, especially for high speeds. At very lean conditions with AFR of 171.65 ($\phi=0.2$), a *BSFC* of 125.87 g/kW-h was observed for the speed of 2500 rpm; while it was 809 g/kW-h for 4500 rpm. The value *BSFC* at speed of 2500 rpm was doubled around 2 times at speed of 4000 rpm; however the same value was doubled around 5 times at speed of 4500 rpm. This is because of very lean operation conditions can lead to unstable combustion and more lost power due to a reduction in the volumetric heating value of the air/hydrogen mixture.




Figure 4. Variation of brake specific fuel consumption with air fuel ratio for different engine speeds

Figure 5 shows how the AFR can affect the maximum temperature inside the cylinder. In general, lower temperatures are required due to the reduction of pollutants. It is clearly demonstrated how the increase in the AFR can decrease the maximum cylinder temperature with a severe steeped curve. But for rich mixtures, the maximum cylinder temperature drops down with a linear manner. The effect of the engine speed on the relationship between maximum cylinder temperatures with AFR seems to be minor. At rich operating conditions (AFR= 27.464, $\phi=1.2$) and a speed of 3000 rpm, a maximum cylinder temperature of 2767 K was recorded. This temperature dropped down to 1345 K at AFR of 171.65 ($\phi=0.2$). This lower temperature inhibits the formation of NO_x pollutants. In fact this feature is one of the major motivations toward hydrogen fuel.




Figure 5. Variation of maximum cylinder temperature with air fuel ratio

CONCLUSION

The present study considered the performance characteristics of a four cylinders hydrogen fueled internal combustion engine with hydrogen being injected directly in the cylinder. The following conclusions are drawn:

- (i) At very lean conditions with low engine speeds, acceptable *BMEP* can be reached, while it is unacceptable for higher speeds. Lean operation leads to small values of *BMEP* compared with rich conditions.
- (ii) Maximum brake thermal efficiency can be reached at mixture composition in the range of ($\phi = 0.9$ to 0.8) and it decreases dramatically at leaner conditions.
- (iii) The desired minimum *BSFC* occurs within a mixture composition range of ($\phi = 0.7$ to 0.9). The operation with very lean condition ($\phi < 0.2$) and high engine speeds (> 4500) consumes unacceptable amounts of fuel.

Acknowledgment The authors would like to express their deep gratitude to Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) for provided the laboratory facilities and financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Suwanchotchoung, N. (2003). *Performance of a spark ignition dual-fueled engine using split-injection timing*. Ph.D. thesis, Vanderbilt University, Mechanical Engineering.
2. COD (College of the Desert). (2001). *Hydrogen fuel cell engines and related technologies, module 3: Hydrogen use in internal combustion engines*. Rev. 0, pp. 1-29.
3. White, C.M., Steeper, R.R. and Lutz, A.E. (2006). The hydrogen-fueled internal combustion engine: a technical review. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 31(10): 1292–1305.
4. Mohammadi, A., Shioji, M., Nakai, Y., Ishikura, W. and Tabo, E. (2007). Performance and combustion characteristics of a direct injection SI hydrogen engine. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 32: 296-304.
5. Guo, L.S., Lu, H.B. and Li, J.D. (1999). A hydrogen injection system with solenoid valves for a four cylinder hydrogen-fueled engine. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 24: 377-382.
6. Verhelst, S. (2005). *A Study of the Combustion in Hydrogen-Fuelled Internal Combustion Engines*. Ph.D. Thesis, Ghent University - UGent, Engineering, Mechanical.
7. Tsujimura, T., Mikami, A. and Achiha, N. (2003). A Study of Direct Injection Diesel Engine Fueled with Hydrogen. SAE Technical Paper No. 2003-01-0761.
8. Kim, Y.Y., Lee, J.T. and Caton, J.A. (2006). The development of a dual-Injection hydrogen-fueled engine with high power and high efficiency. *J. Eng. Gas Turbines and Power*, ASME 128: 203-212.
9. Rottengruber, H., Berckmüller, M., Elsässer, G., Brehm, N. and Schwarz, C. (2004). Direct-injection hydrogen SI-engine operation strategy and power density potentials. *SAE Technical Paper No. 2004-01-2927*.