

HYBRID-FUZZY TECHNIQUES WITH
FLEXIBILITY AND ATTITUDINAL
PARAMETERS FOR SUPPORTING EARLY
PRODUCT DESIGN AND RELIABILITY
MANAGEMENT

DANIEL OSEZUA AIKHUELE

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Manufacturing Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name: Ir. Dr. Faiz Mohd Turan

Position: Senior Lecturer

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : DANIEL OSEZUA AIKHUELE

ID Number : PMF14005

Date : 24 May 2017

HYBRID-FUZZY TECHNIQUES WITH FLEXIBILITY AND ATTITUDINAL
PARAMETERS FOR SUPPORTING EARLY PRODUCT DESIGN AND
RELIABILITY MANAGEMENT

DANIEL OSEZUA AIKHUELE

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I would want to thank the Almighty God for his Grace and Guidance throughout my PhD program. Special thanks also to my Supervisor Dr. Faiz Mohd Turan for his patient guidance and tireless support. Without your help and encouragement, I would not have come this far.

I am indebted to my progress report examiners for their support, encouragement, and immense knowledge. Their guidance and critics helped me throughout the process of researching and writing this thesis. I shall never forget the help, inspiration, and motivation they offered when my steps faltered. They taught me not only the meaning of conducting research and gaining academic knowledge but also many lessons learned from life.

I am most grateful to my immediate family for their support and love. Also special thanks to my childhood friends and PhD colleagues may the Good Lord bless you all.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMET	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiii
DEFINITION OF SOME KEY WORDS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background and problem statement	1
1.2 Product Reliability Concerns	3
1.3 Product design	4
1.4 Motivation and Research Gaps	6
1.5 Research Question	11
1.6 Research Objective	11
1.7 Structure of the thesis	12
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.1 Product Development Engineering Evolution	15
2.2 Source of reliability and design information for new product development	17
2.3 Reliability at the early phase of product design	19
2.3.1. Assessment of Root Cause of Failure (RCF)	20
2.4 Fuzzy TOPSIS method	22
2.5 Product design concept	23
2.5.1. Review of product design concept numerical method	26
2.6 Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS)	29
2.6.1. Concept and definition of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS)	31
2.6.2. Comparison of preference information expressed in IFS for MADM	33

2.6.3. Definitions and concepts of the matrix methods	34
2.7 The Basic Concept of Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number (TIFN)	34
2.7.1. Aggregation operators	37
2.7.2. Some weighted geometric aggregation operators on TIFNs	38
2.8 Summary	39
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	42
3.1 Introduction	42
3.2 Building of the IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model	45
3.2.1. The Exponential Related Function (ER)	45
3.3 Fuzzy TOPSIS Methodology and the Proposed IF-TOPSIS _{EF} Algorithm	50
3.3.1. The proposed IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model	51
3.4 Application of the IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model for solving the dependent attributes issue normally associated with traditional TOPSIS model.	56
3.4.1. Remarks on solving the dependent and independent Attributes issue	58
3.4.2. Theoretical comparison of proposed method with the traditional fuzzy TOPSIS method	59
3.5 Development of the Generalized ordered weighted geometric operator of TIFNs	60
3.5.1. Mathematical properties of the GTIFOWGA operator	64
3.6 MAGDM with the generalized geometric operators for TIFN	70
3.6.1. Algorithm of the proposed approach for solving the MAGDM problems	71
3.7 Data collection and analysis	73
3.8 Case study methodology	74
3.9 Expert's (DMs) Opinion approach	76
3.10 Reliability and Validity	77
3.11 Summary	78
CHAPTER 4 APPLICATION OF THE MODELS FOR PRODUCT RELIABILITY AND DESIGN CONCEPT ASSESSMENT	80
4.1 Introduction product reliability assessment	80
4.2 Pilot case study for reliability assessment	81
4.2.1. Comparisons and discussion of pilot case example 1	83

4.2.2.	Comparisons and discussion of pilot case example 2	87
4.2.3.	Comparisons and discussion of pilot case example 3	90
4.3	Application of the IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model for Root Cause of Failure in a Crawler Crane Machine	91
4.3.1	The computation of the IF-TOPSIS _{EF} for the Crawler Crane	93
4.4	Application of the IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model for Troubleshooting Forklift Truck	97
4.4.1	The computation of the IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model for the Forklift	99
4.5	Discussion of the results of the real-life case studies	102
4.6	General discussion and analysis of IF-TOPSIS _{EF} model for reliability assessment	103
4.7	Introduction to product design concept assessment using the MAGDM method which is based on a GTIFGA operator	104
4.8	Pilot case study for product design concept assessment	105
4.8.1.	Comparison analysis and discussion for pilot example 1	107
4.8.2.	Comparison analysis and discussion for pilot example 2	115
4.9	Application of the proposed method for the assessment of a new concept design for a Forklift truck	117
4.9.1	Computation of the model for concept design selection of Forklift truck	118
4.9.2.	Discussion of result for the concept design assessment	121
4.10	General discussion and analysis of model for design concept assessment	122
4.10.1.	Key observation on its future application	123
	CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	124
5.1	Introduction	124
5.2	The main contributions of the study	125
5.3	Suggestions for future work	129
	REFERENCES	128
	APPENDIX 1 : PUBLICATIONS DERIVED FROM THIS THESIS	146
	APPENDIX 2 : THE COMPUTATION OF THE IF-TOPSIS_{EF} FOR THE CRAWLER CRANE	148

APPENDIX 3 :COMPUTATION OF THE PROPOSED MODEL FOR CONCEPT DESIGN SELECTION OF THE FORKLIFT TRUCK	154
APPENDIX 4 : DETAILS OF THE APPLICATION OF THE IF-TOPSIS_{EF} MODEL FOR SOLVING THE DEPENDENT ATTRIBUTES ISSUES	156
APPENDIX 5: QUESTIONNAIRE FOR EXPERTS ASSESSMENT	166
APPENDIX 6: BASIC CONCEPT OF FUZZY SET (FS) THEORY	180
APPENDIX 7 : ACCEPTANCE LETTERS FOR PAPERS YET TO BE PUBLISHED	189

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Sources of product reliability and design information	18
Table 2.2: Review of product design concept evaluation methods (artificial intelligence based techniques)	27
Table 3.1: Assessment of alternatives with different values for the attitudinal parameter	49
Table 3.2: The main implementation steps	50
Table 3.3: Fuzzy numbers for approximating the linguistic variable	51
Table 3.4: Decision matrix for Example 2	56
Table 3.5: Traditional TOPSIS model with various normalization modes	58
Table 3.6: The proposed model with various normalization modes	58
Table 3.7: Theoretical comparison of the methods	59
Table 3.8: Linguistic and TIFNs scale	70
Table 3.9: Background of Expert Panel	77
Table 4.1: The expert's individual preference judgments	82
Table 4.2: Comprehensive group assessment matrix	82
Table 4.3: The overall computations including the ranking order for each of the alternatives	83
Table 4.4: Comparison of ranking results for the case 1	84
Table 4.5: The Linguistic evaluations on failure modes by the DMs	85
Table 4.6: The comprehensive group assessment matrix	85
Table 4.7: Normalized comprehensive group assessment matrix	86
Table 4.8: The overall computations including the ranking order for each of the alternatives in example 2	87
Table 4.9: The overall computations including the ranking order for each of the alternatives compared with existing methods	88
Table 4.10: Comprehensive group assessment matrix for example 3	89
Table 4.11: The overall computations including the ranking order for each of the alternatives for example 3	90
Table 4.12: Comparison of ranking results for the case example 3	90
Table 4.13: The machine's components of the operational parts	92
Table 4.14: Failure modes of each of the components	93
Table 4.15: The comprehensive group assessment matrix for the Crawler Crane Machine	94
Table 4.16: The relative closeness coefficients for the failure modes ranking for the Crawler Crane Machine	96

Table 4.17: The different ranking order for the alternatives under the different attitudinal scenario	97
Table 4.18: Failure criteria weight	100
Table 4.19: The relative closeness coefficients for the Forklift systems	101
Table 4.20: The different ranking order for the alternatives under the different attitudinal scenario	101
Table 4.21: Aggregation of all the Experts assessment	105
Table 4.22: Comprehensive evaluations for four alternatives	106
Table 4.23: The ranking of all design alternatives	106
Table 4.24: The ranking of all design alternatives	108
Table 4.25: The comprehensive evaluations of the four alternatives	109
Table 4.26: The ranking of all the four design alternatives	110
Table 4.27: TIFN decision matrix by E1	111
Table 4.28: TIFN decision matrix by E2	111
Table 4.29: TIFN decision matrix by E3	112
Table 4.30: TIFN decision matrix by E4	112
Table 4.31: Comprehensive aggregated expert's decision matrix	113
Table 4.32: Comprehensive evaluations for four alternatives	113
Table 4.33: The score function and accuracy function with the different parameter λ_c	114
Table 4.34: The ranking of all design alternatives	114
Table 4.35: The DMs preference information with the alternating the parameter	116
Table 4.36: The ranking of all design alternatives	116
Table 4.37: Aggregation of all the Experts assessment	119
Table 4.38: Comprehensive evaluation of the four design alternatives	120
Table 4.39: The ranking of the four design concept alternatives	121

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: A Generative Model of Design	5
Figure 2.1: Product development evolution stages	15
Figure 2.2: The difference between numerical and non-numerical methods	26
Figure 2.3: Triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number	35
Figure 3.1: Framework for the Research study	44
Figure 3.2: Risk-aversion (dark blue) contrasted to risk-neutrality (light blue) and risk loving (red)	49
Figure 3.3: The schematic flow diagram of the proposed model	55
Figure 3.4: The schematic framework of the GTIFOWGA operator considered for MAGDM	73
Figure 3.5: The schematic diagram of the Crawler Crane machine	75
Figure 3.6: The schematic diagram of the forklift machine	76
Figure 4.1: The considered operational parts of the crawler cranes machine	92
Figure 4.2: Concept designs for a Forklift Truck	118

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ω	Attribute weight
μ_A	Membership function of the fuzzy set A
ν_A	Non-membership function of the fuzzy set A
$S(\acute{\alpha})$	Score function
$H(\acute{\alpha})$	Accuracy function
$S_e(A)$	Exponential score function
$ER(A)$	Exponential related function
λ	Attitudinal parameter
λ_c	Flexibility and adjustability feature
γ	Experts (DMs) associated weights vector
$R_{mxn}(a_{ij})$	Intuitionistic fuzzy decision matrix
ERM_{mxn}	Exponential related matrix
Ω^n	The set of real numbers
\otimes	Circled time operator (multiplication sign)
$\forall i$	For all

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

- AHP** Analytic Hierarchy Process
- AI** Artificial Intelligence
- CC** Closeness Coefficient
- DEMATEL** Decision-making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory
- DMs** Decision Makers
- ER** Exponential Related function
- FMEA** Failure mode and effect analysis
- GTIFOWGA** Generalized Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ordered Weighted Geometric Averaging operator
- GTIFGA** Generalized Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Geometric Averaging operator
- IFE** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Entropy
- IFS** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set
- IFN** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number
- IFWG** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Weighted Geometric operator
- IF-TOPSIS_{EF}** Intuitionistic Fuzzy TOPSIS model based on Exponential Related function
- IFPIS** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Positive Ideal Solutions
- IFNIS** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Negative Ideal Solutions
- MADM** Multi-Attribute Decision Making
- MAGDM** Multi-Attribute Group Decision Making
- NIFIGOWA** Normal Intuitionistic Fuzzy Induced Generalized Ordered Weighted Averaging operator
- TOPSIS** Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to the Ideal Solution
- TIFN** Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number
- TIFWGA** Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Weighted Geometric Averaging operator
- TIFOWGA** Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy ordered weighted geometric averaging operator
- TIFHWGA** Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hybrid Weighted Geometric Averaging operator
- RPN** Risk Priority Number
- RCF** Root Cause of Failure
- VIKOR** VlseKriterijumska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje

DEFINITION OF SOME KEY WORDS

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: are sets whose elements have degrees of membership and non-membership. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets have been introduced by Krassimir Atanassov in 1986 as an extension of Lotfi Zadeh's notion of fuzzy set, which itself extends the classical notion of a set. See details of the fuzzy set theory in APPENDIX 5.

Reliability: can be described as the probability that an item will continue to perform its intended function without failure for a specified period of time under stated conditions.

Product Reliability or Design for Reliability: describes the entire set of tools that support product and process design (typically from early in the concept stage all the way through to product obsolescence) to ensure that customer expectations for reliability are fully met throughout the life of the product with low overall life-cycle costs.

Multiple attribute decision making (MADM): MADM which is a sub-discipline of operation research, is concerned with problems of prioritizing, screening, ranking or selecting alternative(s) from among a finite set of candidates with multiple attributes, usually conflicting, by considering them simultaneously to select the best candidate (Braglia et al., 2003). Many of our everyday decision-making problems involve the consideration of multiple criteria or attributes. See details of the MADM in APPENDIX

Group decision-making (GDM): GDM is a situation where individuals are tasked to collectively make a choice from a list of alternatives with respect to some attributes. The GDM, for the purpose of this thesis, will be regarded as Multi-Attribute Group Decision Making (MAGDM).

TOPSIS: TOPSIS is a multi-attribute technique which is based on obtaining the alternative that approaches an ideal alternative, by considering the positive ideal alternative and the negative ideal alternative.

Reliability and validity in Research: Reliability and validity in research are two concepts that are important for defining and measuring bias and distortion, where *Reliability* refers to the extent to which research assessments are consistent, *Validity* refers to the accuracy of the research assessment.

Attitudinal Parameter: Attitudinal Parameter is the mathematic symbol used in this research study, to describe the emotional disposition of design stakeholders (decision-makers) when making decisions.

Product design: Product design is the translation of intellectual wisdom, requirements of the entrepreneurs, or needs of the consumers, into a specific product.

HYBRID-FUZZY TECHNIQUES WITH FLEXIBILITY AND ATTITUDINAL
PARAMETERS FOR SUPPORTING EARLY PRODUCT DESIGN AND
RELIABILITY MANAGEMENT

DANIEL OSEZUA AIKHUELE

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2017

ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama kerja-kerja penyelidikan yang dibentangkan dalam karya ini adalah untuk menentukan dan membangunkan teknik berasaskan novel AL lebih kepada aspek sokongan kejuruteraan pembangunan produk, khususnya kebolehpercayaan produk pada peringkat awal reka bentuk produk di bawah reka bentuk untuk falsafah kebolehpercayaan dan konsep reka bentuk masalah penilaian apabila maklumat yang diperlukan adalah kasar dan tidak lengkap. Oleh itu, untuk mencapai matlamat yang disebut di atas, yang telah digubal dalam usaha untuk mengisi jurang yang dikenal pasti dalam kesusasteraan yang terdiri daripada keperluan untuk kaedah holistik, fleksibel dan boleh laras untuk memudahkan dan menyokong penilaian konsep reka bentuk produk dan kebolehpercayaan produk di produk fasa reka bentuk awal. Keperluan untuk pemerbadanan watak sikap daripada DMS ke dalam penilaian kebolehpercayaan produk dan konsep reka bentuk dan akhirnya, keperluan untuk mengambil kira beberapa ciri-ciri kompleks saling berkaitan dalam kebolehpercayaan produk dan konsep reka bentuk proses penilaian. Gabungan kaedah penyelidikan telah digunakan yang merangkumi kajian meluas kesusasteraan, pelbagai pendekatan kajian kes, dan temu duga peribadi pakar, di mana data itu, dikumpulkan yang menyediakan maklumat bagi kajian kes sebenar. Dengan teknik yang baru berasaskan AI (iaitu model TOPSIS kabur intuitionistic yang berasaskan fungsi eksponen yang berkaitan (IF-TOPSIS_{EF}) dan kumpulan Multi-atribut (MAGDM) kaedah membuat keputusan yang berdasarkan pada umum segi tiga intuitionistic kabur pemurataan geometri (GTIFGA) operator), kaedah yang lebih mantap untuk penilaian kebolehpercayaan produk dan konsep reka bentuk masing-masing telah dicapai seperti yang dipaparkan dalam analisis perbandingan dalam tesis. Kaedah baru telah menyediakan yang lebih lengkap dan pandangan holistik proses penilaian, dengan melihat penilaian kebolehpercayaan produk dan konsep reka bentuk dari senario yang berbeza bergantung kepada kepentingan DMS. Dengan menggunakan kaedah di atas, tesis telah dapat dinilai sesetengah sistem mekanikal kompleks dalam kesusasteraan dan dalam kehidupan sebenar termasuk peyarp Mesin Crane dan Forklift Truck untuk perubahan reka bentuk dengan tujuan mendapatkan pengetahuan kebolehpercayaan yang sesuai dan maklumat yang diperlukan pada fasa reka bentuk produk baru yang , dan yang kemudiannya boleh membantu dan meningkatkan konsep reka bentuk produk selepas semua maklumat yang berguna seperti telah ditambah ke dalam reka bentuk baru. Dengan pelaksanaan kaedah baru, dan kemungkinan terbukti dan rasional seperti yang dipaparkan dalam hasil penilaian terhadap sistem mekanikal kompleks dalam kesusasteraan dan kajian kes yang sebenar, tesis ini, oleh itu, boleh membuat kesimpulan bahawa teknik berasaskan-AI dicadangkan, telah menyediakan satu alternatif baru untuk kebolehpercayaan produk dan konsep reka bentuk kaedah penilaian sedia ada yang lebih baik dan.

ABSTRACT

The main aim of the research work presented in this thesis is to define and develop novel Hybrid Fuzzy-based techniques for supporting aspects of product development engineering, specifically product reliability at the early phase of product design under the design for reliability philosophy and concept designs assessment problems when the required information is rough and incomplete. Thus, to achieve the above-stated aim, which has been formulated in the effort to filling the identified gaps in the literature which comprise of the need for a holistic, flexible and adjustable method to facilitate and support product design concept assessment and product reliability at the early product design phase. The need for the incorporation of the attitudinal character of the DMs into the product reliability and design concept assessment and finally, the need to account for the several interrelated complex attributes in the product reliability and design concept assessment process. A combination of research methods has been employed which includes an extensive literature review, multiple case study approach, and personal interview of experts, through which data were, collected that provided information for the real-life case study. With the new Hybrid Fuzzy-based techniques (i.e. the intuitionistic fuzzy TOPSIS model which is based on an exponential-related function (IF-TOPSIS_{EF}) and the Multi-attribute group decision-making (MAGDM) method which is based on a generalized triangular intuitionistic fuzzy geometric averaging (GTIFGA) operator), a more robust method for the product reliability and design concepts assessment respectively have been achieved as displayed in the comparative analysis in the thesis. The new methods have provided a more complete and a holistic view of the assessment process, by looking at the product reliability and design concept assessment from different scenario depending on the interest of the DMs. Using the above methods, the thesis has been able to evaluated some complex mechanical systems in literature and in real-life including Crawler Crane Machine and Forklift Truck for design change with the purpose of gaining appropriate reliability knowledge and information needed at the early product design phase, and that can subsequently aid and improve the product design concepts after all such useful information have been added into the new design. With the application of the new methods, and their proven feasibility and rationality as displayed in the assessment results of the complex mechanical systems in literature and that of the real-life case studies, this thesis, therefore, can conclude that the Hybrid Fuzzy-based techniques proposed, has provided a better and a novel alternative to existing product reliability and design concepts assessment methods.

REFERENCES

- Achanga Coxwell. (2007). Development of an Impact Assessment Framework for Lean Manufacturing within SMEs. *PhD Thesis*.
- Adhikary, D. D., Bose, G. K., Bose, D., & Mitra, S. (2014). Multi criteria FMECA for coal-fired thermal power plants using COPRAS-G. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 31(5), 601–614. <http://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-04-2013-0068>
- Afsordegan, A. (2015). *A Contribution to Multi-Criteria Decision Making in Sustainable Energy Management based on Fuzzy and Qualitative Reasoning*.
- Agnes Olszewski. (1987). Evolution of New Product Development Theory and Practice in the United State's Post-War Economy. In *Proceedings Index "Marketing in Three Eras" - Quinnipiac University* (pp. 302–313). <http://doi.org/faculty.quinnipiac.edu/charm/.../302%20olszewski.pdf>
- Aguarón-Joven, J., Escobar-Urmeneta, M. T., García-Alcaraz, J. L., Moreno-Jiménez, J. M., & Vega-Bonilla, A. (2015). A new synthesis procedure for TOPSIS based on AHP. *Dyna*, 82(191), 11–19. <http://doi.org/10.15446/dyna.v82n191.51140>
- Aikhuele, D. O., & Turan, F. B. M. (2016). An Improved Methodology for Multi-criteria Evaluations in the Shipping Industry. *Brodogradnja/Shipbuilding*, 67(3), 59–72.
- Aikhuele, D. O., & Turan, F. B. M. (2016). Intuitionistic fuzzy-based model for failure detection. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 1938. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3446-0>
- Aikhuele, D. O., Turan, F. M., Odofin, S. M., & Ansah, R. H. (2016). Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy TOPSIS-based model for troubleshooting marine diesel engine auxiliary system. *International Journal of Maritime Engineering-Part A*.
- Akay, D., Kulak, O., & Henson, B. (2011). Conceptual design evaluation using interval type-2 fuzzy information axiom. *Computers in Industry*, 62(2), 138–146. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2010.10.007>
- Alarcin, F., Balin, A., & Demirel, H. (2014). Fuzzy AHP and Fuzzy TOPSIS integrated hybrid method for auxiliary systems of ship main engines. *Journal of Marine Engineering & Technology*, 13(1), 3–11. <http://doi.org/10.1080/20464177.2014.11020288>
- Andrew, T. (2012). Design for Reliability: Concepts, Causes and Identification. <http://doi.org/10.1002/9781118310052>
- Arif-uz-zaman, K. (2012). *A Fuzzy TOPSIS Based Multi Criteria Performance Measurement Model for Lean Supply Chain*.
- Atanassov, K. T. (1986). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20(1), 87–96. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(86\)80034-3](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3)
- Ayağ, Z. (2014). *Concept Evaluation through MCDM Methods : A Review and New Approaches*.
- Ayağ, Z., & Özdemir, R. G. (2007). An analytic network process-based approach to concept evaluation in a new product development environment. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 18(3), 209–226. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09544820600752740>

- Bai, Z. (2013). An Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy TOPSIS Method Based on an Improved Score Function. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013, 1–9. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2013/879089>
- Balbontin, a., Yazdani, B. B., Cooper, R., & Souder, W. E. (2000). New product development practices in American and British firms. *Technovation*, 20(5), 257–274. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972\(99\)00136-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4972(99)00136-4)
- Baldwin, T. (2015). Decision Making and Creativity. *The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.*, 1–7.
- Bamrungsetthapong, W., & Pongpullponasak, A. (2014). Parameter interval estimation of system reliability for repairable multistate series-parallel system with fuzzy data. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2014/275374>
- Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). *Qualitative Case Study Methodology: Study Design and Implementation for Novice Researchers*. *The Qualitative Report* (Vol. 13).
- Behzadian, M., Khanmohammadi Otaghsara, S., Yazdani, M., & Ignatius, J. (2012). A state-of-the-art survey of TOPSIS applications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(17), 13051–13069. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.05.056>
- Beliakov, G., Pradera, A., & Calvo, T. (2007). *Aggregation Functions: A Guide for Practitioners*. *Soft Computing* (Vol. 18). http://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-32367-8_3
- Berends, H., Reymen, I., Stultiëns, R. G. L., & Peutz, M. (2011). External designers in product design processes of small manufacturing firms. *Design Studies*, 32(1), 86–108. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2010.06.001>
- Bergvall-Kåreborn, B., & Howcroft, D. (2013). Crowdsourcing and Open Innovation : A Study of Amazon Mechanical Turk and Apple iOS Debra Howcroft. *Ispim*, (December). Retrieved from [http://www.ltu.se/cms_fs/1.115874!/file/Crowdsourcing and Open Innovation- A Study of Amazon Mechanical Turk and Apple iOS.pdf](http://www.ltu.se/cms_fs/1.115874!/file/Crowdsourcing%20and%20Open%20Innovation-%20A%20Study%20of%20Amazon%20Mechanical%20Turk%20and%20Apple%20iOS.pdf)
- Bernstein, J. B. (2014). *Reliability Prediction from Burn-In Data Fit to Reliability Models*. *Academic Press Elsevier Inc., London*. <http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800747-1.00005-9>
- Bian, T., Zheng, H., Yin, L., & Deng, Y. (2016). Failure mode and effects analysis based on D numbers and TOPSIS. *Knowledge-Based Systems, Preprint*, 1–36.
- Booz, Allen, & Hamilton. (1982). New Product Management for the 1980's. *New York: Booz, Allen & Hamilton, Inc*, 11–18.
- Bowles, J. B., & Pelaez, C. E. (1995). Fuzzy logic prioritization of failures in a system failure mode, effects and criticality analysis. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 50(2), 203–213. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0951-8320\(95\)00068-D](http://doi.org/10.1016/0951-8320(95)00068-D)
- Braglia1, M., Frosolini, M., & Montanari, R. (2003). Fuzzy TOPSIS approach for failure mode, effects and criticality analysis. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 19(5), 425–443.
- Buonanno, M., & Mavris, D. (2005). A Method for Aircraft Concept Selection Using Multicriteria Interactive Genetic Algorithms. *In Final Report for GSRP Grant NGT-1-02006*. 2005, *Aerospace Systems Design Laboratory (ASDL), Georgia Institute of Technology*, pp. 1–14.

- Cables, E., García-Cascales, M. S., & Lamata, M. T. (2012). The LTOPSIS: An alternative to TOPSIS decision-making approach for linguistic variables. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(2), 2119–2126. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.07.119>
- Cai, T. (2011). *A conceptual framework for value creation management in the lean product development process*.
- Chang, K. H., & Cheng, C. H. (2011). Evaluating the risk of failure using the fuzzy OWA and DEMATEL method. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 22(2), 113–129. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-009-0266-x>
- Chen, S. M., & Chiou, C. H. (2015). A new method for multiattribute decision making based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, PSO techniques and evidential reasoning methodology. *Proceedings - International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 1(6), 403–409. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICMLC.2014.7009149>
- Chen, S.-M., & Tan, J.-M. (1994). Handling multicriteria fuzzy decision-making problems based on vague set theory. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 67(2), 163–172.
- Chen, W., Wang, L., & Lin, M. (2014). A Hybrid MCDM Model for New Product Development : Applied on the Taiwanese LiFePO 4 Industry. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2015. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2015/462929>
- Chen, Y., & Li, B. (2011). Dynamic multi-attribute decision making model based on triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. *Scientia Iranica*, 18(2 B), 268–274. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2011.03.022>
- Chin, K. S., Chan, A., & Yang, J. B. (2008). Development of a fuzzy FMEA based product design system. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 36(7-8), 633–649. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-006-0898-3>
- Chin, K.-S., Yang, J.-B., Guo, M., & Lam, J. P.-K. (2009). An evidential-reasoning-interval-based method for new product design assessment. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 56(1), 142–156. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2008.2009792>
- Chwastyk, P., & Kołosowski, M. (2014). Estimating the cost of the new product in development process. *Procedia Engineering*, 69, 351–360. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.02.243>
- Das, D. (2014). A Study on Ranking of Trapezoidal Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers. *International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications*, 6, 437–444.
- Deschrijver, G., & Kerre, E. E. (2007). On the position of intuitionistic fuzzy set theory in the framework of theories modelling imprecision. *Information Sciences*, 177(8), 1860–1866. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2006.11.005>
- Despi, I., Opris, D., & Yalcin, E. (2013). Generalised Atanassov Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *eKNOW 2013, The Fifth International Conference on Information, Process, and Knowledge Management*, (c), 51–56.
- Despic, O., & Simonovic, S. P. (2000). Aggregation operators for soft decision making in water resources. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 115(1), 11–33. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(99\)00030-5](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(99)00030-5)

- Dietrich, C. (2010). Decision Making: Factors that Influence Decision Making, Heuristics Used, and Decision Outcomes. *Student Pulse*, 2(2010), 1/3. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0012772.Sagi>
- Dietrich, D. L. (2006). Reliability from Design Inception to Product Retirement. In *IEEE PROCEEDINGS of Annual RELIABILITY and MAINTAINABILITY Symposium, Newport Beach, California, USA, January 23-26, 2006* (p. Tutorial page 1–Tutorial page 33). Retrieved from [http://www.doktorautza.com/artikuluak/bai/RAMS2006/2006rm Lessons Learned for Effective FMEAs.pdf](http://www.doktorautza.com/artikuluak/bai/RAMS2006/2006rm%20Lessons%20Learned%20for%20Effective%20FMEAs.pdf)
- Dong, J., Yang, D. Y., & Wan, S. P. (2015). Trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy prioritized aggregation operators and application to multi-attribute decision making. *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 12(4), 1–32.
- Dubois, D., & Prade, H. (2004). On the use of aggregation operations in information fusion processes. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 142(1), 143–161. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2003.10.038>
- Fader, P. S., Hardie, B. G. S., Stevens, R., & Findley, J. (2003). *Forecasting New Product Sales in a Controlled Test Market Environment*.
- Fang, Y.-C., & Chyu, C.-C. (2014). Evaluation of New Product Development Alternatives Considering Interrelationships among Decision Criteria. *Journal of Multimedia*, 9(4), 611–617. <http://doi.org/10.4304/jmm.9.4.611-617>
- Fargnoli, M. (2009). Design Process Optimization for EcoDesign. *Int. J. of Automation Technology*, 3(1), 33–39.
- Fink, A. (1998). *Conducting a Literature Review*. Sage Publishers, UK, 1–280.
- Fox, M. S. (1986). Industrial Applications of Artificial Intelligence. *Robotics*, 2(4), 301–311. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8493\(86\)90003-3](http://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8493(86)90003-3)
- Fuat Alarcin, Abit Balin & Hakan DemirelFuat Alarcin, A. B. & H. D. (2014). Fuzzy AHP and Fuzzy TOPSIS integrated hybrid method for auxiliary systems of ship main engines. *Journal of Marine Engineering & Technology*, 13(1), 3–11. <http://doi.org/10.1080/20464177.2014.11020288>
- Fumian, W. (2013). Hoisting machinery accident statistics analysis and prevention from 2006 to 2011. *China Speical Equipment Safety*, 29(2), 49–51.
- Ganga, G. M. D., & Carpinetti, L. C. R. (2011). A fuzzy logic approach to supply chain performance management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 134, 177–187. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.06.011>
- Garg, H. (2016a). Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy interactive geometric interaction operators using Einstein t-norm and t-conorm and their application to decision making. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 101, 53–69. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.08.017>
- Garg, H. (2016b). Generalized Pythagorean fuzzy Geometric aggregation operators using Einstein t-norm and t-conorm for multicriteria decision-making process. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 00, 1–34. <http://doi.org/doi:10.1002/int.21860>
- Garg, H. (2016c). Some series of intuitionistic fuzzy interactive averaging aggregation operators. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 999. <http://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2591-9>

- Gargama, H. (IIT K.), & Chaturvedi, S. K. (IIT K.). (2011). Criticality Assessment Models for Failure Mode Effects and Criticality Analysis Using Fuzzy Logic. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 60(1), 102–110. Retrieved from <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=5688217>
- Geng, X., Chu, X., & Zhang, Z. (2010). A new integrated design concept evaluation approach based on vague sets. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37(9), 6629–6638. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.03.058>
- Gerber, J., Arms, H., Wiecher, M., & Danner, C. (2014). *Make precise strategic decisions when the unexpected happens*.
- Geum, Y., Cho, Y., & Park, Y. (2011). A systematic approach for diagnosing service failure: Service-specific FMEA and grey relational analysis approach. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 54(11-12), 3126–3142. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2011.07.042>
- Ghazanfari, M., Rouhani, S., & Jafari, M. (2014). A fuzzy TOPSIS model to evaluate the Business Intelligence competencies of Port Community Systems. *Polish Maritime Research*, 21(2), 86–96. <http://doi.org/10.2478/pomr-2014-0023>
- Gill, P., Stewart, K., Treasure, E., & Chadwick, B. (2008). Methods of data collection in qualitative research: interviews and focus groups. *British Dental Journal*, 204, 291–295. <http://doi.org/10.1038/bdj.2008.192>
- Gopalakrishnan, B., & Pandiarajan, V. (1991). Materials and Manufacturing Processes Selection System for Product Designs in Concurrent Engineering. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 28, 93–103.
- Group, W. M. (2007). *Failure Modes, Effects & Criticality Analysis*. University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK (Vol. section 12).
- Hahn, K. (2016). Applications of Design Thinking in Enterprise Business Analytics.
- He, Y.-H., Wang, L.-B., He, Z.-Z., & Xie, M. (2015). A fuzzy TOPSIS and Rough Set based approach for mechanism analysis of product infant failure. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 47, 1–13. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.06.002>
- Hemetsberger, A., & Godula, G. (2007). Integrating Expert Customers in New Product Development in Industrial Business – Virtual Routes To Success. *Innovative Marketing*, 3(3), 28–39.
- Herring, S. R., Jones, B. R., & Bailey, B. P. (2009). Idea generation techniques among creative professionals. In *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, HICSS* (pp. 1–10). <http://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2009.241>
- Holman, R., Kaas, H.-W., & Keeling, D. (2003). The future of product development. *McKinsey Quarterly*, 2(3), 28–39. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1534484308324984>
- Hong, D. H., & Choi, C.-H. (2000). Multi-criteria fuzzy decision making problems based on vague set theory. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 114(1), 103–113. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00271-1](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00271-1)
- Huang, Y.-S., Liu, L.-C., & Ho, J.-W. (2015). Decisions on new product development under uncertainties. *International Journal of Systems Science*, 46(6), 1010–1019. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00207721.2013.807382>

- Hung, C.-C., & Chen, L.-H. (2009). A Fuzzy TOPSIS Decision Making Model with Entropy Weight under Intuitionistic Fuzzy Environment. *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists IMECS 2009, Hong Kong, I*, 18–21.
- Hwang C. L., & Yoon K. (1981). *Multiple Attribute Decision Making Methods and Applications*. Berlin: Springer.
- Iskandar, I., & Gondokaryono, Y. S. (2016). Competing risk models in reliability systems, a gamma distribution model with bayesian analysis approach. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 114, 012098. <http://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/114/1/012098>
- Jadidi, O., Hong, T., & Firouzi, F. (2008). TOPSIS and fuzzy multi-objective model integration for supplier selection problem. *Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering*, 31(2), 762–769. Retrieved from http://157.158.19.167/papers_vol31_2/31288.pdf
- Jahromi, M. K. (2012). Multiattribute decision making models and methods using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *International Mathematical Forum*, 7(57), 2847–2851. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2004.06.002>
- Jang, J. S. R., & Sun, C. T. (1995). Neuro-fuzzy modeling and control. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 83(3), 378–406. <http://doi.org/10.1109/5.364486>
- Jenab, K., Sarfaraz, A., & Ameli, M. T. (2013). A Fuzzy conceptual design selection model considering conflict resolution. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 24(4), 293–304. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09544828.2012.728203>
- Jeng, S.-L., Yang, C.-F., & Chieng, W.-H. (2010). Outrigger Force Measure for Mobile Crane Safety Based on Linear Programming Optimization. *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines*, 38(2), 145–170. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15397730903482702>
- Johansson, C. (2013). *On system safety and reliability methods in early design phases*.
- Jose-M, M. L., & Montserrat, C. R. (2010). The Generalized Hybrid Averaging Operator and its Application in Decision Making. *REVISTA DE METODOS CUANTITATIVOS PARA LA ECONOMIA Y LA EMPRESA*, (9), 69–84.
- Jungpyo, H., Sukyoung, J., & Dongmin, C. (2007). Idea Generation Methodology for Creative Design Thinking. *International Association of Design Research*, 1–13.
- Junwu, D., Dongtao, Y., & Zhenqiang, B. (2012). Research on Capturing of Customer Requirements Based on Innovation Theory. *Physics Procedia*, 24, Part C(0), 1868–1880. <http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2012.02.275>
- Justel, D., Vidal, R., Arriaga, E., Franco, V., & Val-jauregi, E. (2007). Evaluation method for selecting innovative product concepts with greater potential marketing success. *International Conference on Engineering Design*, (August), 1–12.
- Kazmer, D., & Roser, C. (1999). Evaluation of Product and Process Design Robustness. *Research in Engineering Design*, 11(1), 20–30.
- Kiang, M. Y. (2003). A comparative assessment of classification methods. *Decision Support Systems*, 35(4), 441–454. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9236\(02\)00110-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9236(02)00110-0)

- King, a. M., & Sivaloganathan, S. (1999). Development of a Methodology for Concept Selection in Flexible Design Strategies. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 10(4), 329–349. <http://doi.org/10.1080/095448299261236>
- Kostina, M. (2012). *Reliability management of manufacturing processes in machinery enterprises. Theses of Tallinn University of Technology. ISSN 1406-4766 ; 71.* Retrieved from http://linda.linneanet.fi/F/?func=direct&doc_number=006392022&local_base=fin01
- Kumar, K. A., Saravanakumar, M., Joseph, J., & Ramanathan, H. (2016). Generative Model for Conceptual Design of Defence Equipment. *Defence Science Journal*, 66(1), 81. <http://doi.org/10.14429/dsj.66.9105>
- Kumar, T., & Bajaj, R. K. (2014). Reliability Analysis of k-out-of-n : G System Using Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers. In *world academic of science, engineering and technology* (Vol. 8, pp. 373–379).
- Kutlu, A. C., & Ekmekçioğlu, M. (2012). Fuzzy failure modes and effects analysis by using fuzzy TOPSIS-based fuzzy AHP. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(1), 61–67. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.06.044>
- Kwapien, J., & Drożdż, S. (2012). Physical approach to complex systems. *Physics Reports*, 515(3-4), 115–226. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.01.007>
- Leonardi, G. (2016). A Fuzzy Model for a Railway-Planning Problem. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 10(27), 1333–1342.
- Li, D. F. (2008). A note on “using intuitionistic fuzzy sets for fault-tree analysis on printed circuit board assembly.” *Microelectronics Reliability*, 48(10), 1741. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.microrel.2008.07.059>
- Li, D. F., Nan, J. X., & Zhang, M. J. (2010). A Ranking Method of Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers and Application to Decision Making. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, 3(5), 522–530. <http://doi.org/10.1080/18756891.2010.9727719>
- Li, D.-F. (2005). Multiattribute decision making models and methods using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 70(1), 73–85. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2004.06.002>
- Li, D.-F. (2010). A ratio ranking method of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and its application to MADM problems. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 60(6), 1557–1570.
- Li, D.-F. (2014). Decision and Game Theory in Management With Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*, 308, 1–462. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40712-3>
- Li, M., Hu, Y., Zhang, Q., & Deng, Y. (2016). A novel distance function of D numbers and its application in product engineering. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 47, 61–67. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.06.004>
- Li, Y. L., Chin, K. S., & Luo, X. G. (2012). Determining the final priority ratings of customer requirements in product planning by MDBM and BSC. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(1), 1243–1255. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.07.133>

- Liang, C., Zhao, S., & Zhang, J. (2014). Aggregation Operators on Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers and Its Application To Multi-Criteria Decision Making Problems. *Foundations of Computing and Decision Sciences*, 3(2), 321–326. <http://doi.org/10.2478/fcds-2014-00>
- Lim, T. H., Kim, Y. S., Jong Hwan CHoi, Hong Sun Lee, & Soon Yong Yang. (2004). Development of tipping-over rate computation system for hydraulic excavator having crane function. In *KORUS 2004, 8th Korea-Russia International Symposium on Science and Technology*, pp. 76-79, 2005 (pp. 110–112).
- Lin, L., Yuan, X. H., & Xia, Z. Q. (2007). Multicriteria fuzzy decision-making methods based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 73(1), 84–88. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2006.03.004>
- Lin, M. C., Wang, C. C., Chen, M. S., & Chang, C. A. (2008). Using AHP and TOPSIS approaches in customer-driven product design process. *Computers in Industry*, 59(1), 17–31. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2007.05.013>
- Liu, H. C., Fan, X. J., Li, P., & Chen, Y. Z. (2014). Evaluating the risk of failure modes with extended MULTIMOORA method under fuzzy environment. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 34, 168–177. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2014.04.011>
- Liu, H. T. (2011). Product design and selection using fuzzy QFD and fuzzy MCDM approaches. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 35(1), 482–496. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2010.07.014>
- Liu, H.-C., Liu, L., & Li, P. (2014). Failure mode and effects analysis using intuitionistic fuzzy hybrid weighted Euclidean distance operator. *International Journal of Systems Science*, 45(10), 2012–2030. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00207721.2012.760669>
- Liu, H.-C., Liu, L., & Li, P. (2015). Failure mode and effects analysis using intuitionistic fuzzy hybrid TOPSIS approach. *Soft Comput*, 19, 1085–1098. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-014-1321-x>
- Liu, H.-C., Liu, L., & Lin, Q.-L. (2013). Fuzzy Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Using Fuzzy Evidential Reasoning and Belief Rule-Based Methodology. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 62(1), 23–36. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TR.2013.2241251>
- Liu, H.-C., Liu, L., & Liu, N. (2013). Risk evaluation approaches in failure mode and effects analysis: A literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(2), 828–838. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.08.010>
- Liu, H.-C., Liu, L., Liu, N., & Mao, L.-X. (2012). Risk evaluation in failure mode and effects analysis with extended VIKOR method under fuzzy environment. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(17), 12926–12934. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.05.031>
- Liu, H.-C., You, J.-X., Chen, S., & Chen, Y.-Z. (2016). An integrated failure mode and effect analysis approach for accurate risk assessment under uncertainty. *IIE Transactions*, 8830(August), 1–16. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0740817X.2016.1172742>
- Liu, H.-C., You, J.-X., Ding, X.-F., & Su, Q. (2015). Improving risk evaluation in FMEA with a hybrid multiple criteria decision making method. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 32(7), 763 – 782.

- Liu, H.-C., You, J.-X., Lin, Q.-L., & Li, H. (2014). Risk assessment in system FMEA combining fuzzy weighted average with fuzzy decision-making trial and evaluation laboratory. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 28(7), 701–714. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2014.900865>
- Liu, M., & Ren, H. (2014). A New Intuitionistic Fuzzy Entropy and Application in Multi-Attribute Decision Making. *Information*, 5(4), 587–601. <http://doi.org/10.3390/info5040587>
- Liu, P., & Zhang, L. (2014). *The Extended VIKOR Method for Multiple Criteria Decision Making Problem Based on Neutrosophic Hesitant Fuzzy Set*.
- Lo, C. C., Wang, P., & Chao, K. M. (2006). A fuzzy group-preferences analysis method for new-product development. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 31(4), 826–834. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2006.01.005>
- Lugo, J. E. (2012). Pugh Method : How to decide between different designs ? *University of Notre Dame*, 3–9.
- Mahapatra, G. S., & Roy, T. K. (2009). Reliability Evaluation using Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers Arithmetic Operations. *International Journal of Computer, Electrical, Automation, Control and Information Engineering*, 3(2), 225–232.
- Majeske, K. D., Riches, M., & Annadi, H. (2003). *Ford's reliability improvement process: A case study on automotive wheel bearings*.
- Maoying, T., & Jing, L. (2013). Some Aggregation Operators with Interval-valued Intuitionistic Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers and Their Application in Multiple Attribute Decision Making. *Advanced Modeling and Optimization*, 15(2), 301–308.
- Marasini, D., Quatto, P., & Ripamonti, E. (2016). Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in questionnaire analysis. *Quality & Quantity*, 50(2), 767–790. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-015-0175-3>
- Marini, C. D., Fatchurrohman, N., Azhari, A., & Suraya, S. (2016). Product Development using QFD, MCDM and the Combination of these Two Methods. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 114, 012089. <http://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/114/1/012089>
- Marsh, S. M., & Fosbroke, D. E. (2015). Trends of occupational fatalities involving machines, United States, 1992-2010. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 58(11), 1160–1173. <http://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22532>
- Mayo, C. M. (2015). New product development. In D. Hausler (Ed.), *New Product Development strategy, organization, levels, system, manager, type, company, business, system, History* (Deborah Ha, pp. 1–5).
- Meeker, W. Q., & Hong, Y. L. (2014). Reliability Meets Big Data: Opportunities and Challenges. *Quality Engineering*, 26(1), 102–116. <http://doi.org/10.1080/08982112.2014.846119>
- Mihaela, L., Corneliu, N., & Alina, N. (2010). Current Trends in Product Development. In *Proceedings of the 4th conference on European computing conference Bucharest, Romania — April 20 - 22, 2010* (pp. 94–99).

- Mohammadi, A., & Tavakolan, M. (2013). Construction project risk assessment using combined fuzzy and FMEA. *IFSA World Congress and NAFIPS Annual Meeting (IFSA/NAFIPS), 2013 Joint*, 232–237. <http://doi.org/10.1109/IFSA-NAFIPS.2013.6608405>
- Murthy, D. N. P. (2007). Product reliability and warranty: an overview and future research. *Production*, 7(3), 426–434.
- Netto, T. A., Honorato, H. J., & Qassim, R. Y. (2013). Prioritization of failure risk in subsea flexible pipes via data envelopment analysis. *Marine Structures*, 34, 105–116. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.marstruc.2013.08.001>
- Nielsen-company. (2015). *LOOKING TO ACHIEVE NEW PRODUCT SUCCESS? Listen to your customers.*
- Nikander, J. B., Liikkanen, L. A., & Laakso, M. (2014). The preference effect in design concept evaluation. *Design Studies*, 35(5), 473–499. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2014.02.006>
- Nuseibeh, B., & Easterbrook, S. (2000). Requirements engineering: a roadmap. *Proceedings of the Conference on The Future of Software Engineering - ICSE '00*, 1, 35–46. <http://doi.org/10.1145/336512.336523>
- Pakpour, S., Olishevskaya, S. V., Prasher, S. O., Milani, A. S., & Chénier, M. R. (2013). DNA extraction method selection for agricultural soil using TOPSIS multiple criteria decision-making model. *American Journal of Molecular Biology*, Published (October), 215–228.
- Park, J. H., Gwak, M. G., & Kwun, Y. C. (2011). Linguistic harmonic mean operators and their applications to group decision making. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 57(1-4), 411–419. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3295-5>
- Park, J. H., Kwun, Y. C., & Koo, J. H. (2011). Dynamic uncertain linguistic weighted harmonic mean operators applied to decision making. In *Proceedings 2011 International Conference on System Science and Engineering, ICSSE 2011* (pp. 101–106). <http://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSE.2011.5961882>
- Pergler, M. (2008). *Incorporating risk and flexibility in manufacturing footprint decisions. McKinsey Working Papers on Risk.*
- Perzyk, M., & Meftah, O. K. (1998). Selection of manufacturing process in mechanical design. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 76(May 1997), 198 – 202.
- Prashant Ranjan. (2017). Manufacturing System, Product design & development. In *Chatrapati Sahuji Maharaj Kanpur University, Kanpur* (pp. 1–28).
- Pugh, S. (1996). *Creating innovative products using total design: The living legacy of Stuart Pugh*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Qi, X. W., Liang, C. Y., & Zhang, J. (2013). Some generalized dependent aggregation operators with interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy information and their application to exploitation investment evaluation. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2013, 49–52. <http://doi.org/10.1155/2013/705159>
- Ren, Y.-T., & Yeo, K.-T. (2006). RESEARCH CHALLENGES ON COMPLEX PRODUCT SYSTEMS (CoPS) INNOVATION. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, 23(6), 519–529. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10170660609509348>

- Rentema, D., & Jansen, F. W. (2007). AI Techniques for Conceptual Design. In *Delft Science in Design 2: Conference Proceedings, 4 April 2007* (pp. 183 – 198).
- Robinson, J. P., & V. Poovarasan. (2015). A Robust MAGDM Method for Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 101(5), 753–762.
- Robson, C. (2002). *Real world research*. Blackwell Publishing.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.08.001>
- Romli, F., & Harmin, M. Y. (2015). Use of Monte Carlo method to estimate subsystem redesign risk for complex products: aircraft redesign case study. *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology*, 87(6), 563–570.
<http://doi.org/10.1108/AEAT-02-2015-0044>
- Roszkowska, E. (2013). Rank ordering criteria weighting methods – a comparative overview. *Optimum. Studia Ekonomiczne Nr*, 5(65), 14 – 33.
<http://doi.org/10.15290/ose.2013.05.65.02>
- Rowe, G., & Wright, G. (2001). Expert opinions in forecasting: The role of the Delphi technique. In *International Series in Operations Research & Management Science* (pp. 125–144). <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.accreview.2004.12.084>
- Rubera, G., Chandrasekaran, D., & Ordanini, A. (2016). Open innovation, product portfolio innovativeness and firm performance: the dual role of new product development capabilities. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 44(2), 166–184. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0423-4>
- Runeson, P., & Höst, M. (2009). Guidelines for conducting and reporting case study research in software engineering. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 14, 131–164.
<http://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-008-9102-8>
- Sachdeva, A., Kumar, D., & Pradeep Kumar. (2009). Multi-factor failure mode critically analysis using TOPSIS. *Princessalicedoptionhome.org*, 9(8), 1–9.
 Retrieved from <http://www.princessalicedoptionhome.org/2013/08>
- Saeed, R., Lodhi, R. N., Munir, J., Riaz, S., Dustgeer, F., & Sami, A. (2013). The impact of voice of customer on new product development. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 24(9), 1255–1260. <http://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.24.09.1348>
- Safari, H., Faraji, Z., & Majidian, S. (2016). Identifying and evaluating enterprise architecture risks using FMEA and fuzzy VIKOR. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 27, 475–486. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-014-0880-0>
- Sanchez, L. M. (2014). *Reliability Information and Testing Integration for New Product Design*.
- Sanchez, L. M., & Pan, R. (2011). An Enhanced Parenting Process: Predicting Reliability in Product's Design Phase. *Quality Engineering*, 23(4), 378–387.
<http://doi.org/10.1080/08982112.2011.603110>
- Saurav Datta, Chitrasen Samantra, Siba Sankar Mahapatra, Goutam Mondal, Partha Sarathi Chakraborty, G. M. (2013). Selection of internet assessment vendor using TOPSIS method in fuzzy environment. *International Journal of Business Performance and Supply Chain Modelling*, 5(1), 1–27.
<http://doi.org/10.1504/IJBPSM.2013.051645>

- Seyed-Hosseini, S. M., Safaei, N., & Asgharpour, M. J. (2006). Reprioritization of failures in a system failure mode and effects analysis by decision making trial and evaluation laboratory technique. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, *91*(8), 872–881. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2005.09.005>
- Sharfman, M. P., & Dean, J. W. (1997). Flexibility in strategic decision making: informational and ideological perspectives. *Journal of Management Studies*, *34*(2).
- Shu, M.-H., Cheng, C.-H., & Chang, J.-R. (2006). Using Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets for Fault-Tree Analysis on Printed Circuit Board Assembly. *Microelectronics Reliability*, *46*, 2139–2148. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.microrel.2006.01.007>
- Singhose, W., Kim, D., & Kenison, M. (2008). Input Shaping Control of Double-Pendulum Bridge Crane Oscillations. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, *130*(3), 034504. <http://doi.org/10.1115/1.2907363>
- Smith, S., Smith, G., & Shen, Y. T. (2012). Redesign for product innovation. *Design Studies*, *33*(2), 160–184. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2011.08.003>
- Song, W., Ming, X., Wu, Z., & Zhu, B. (2013). Failure modes and effects analysis using integrated weight-based fuzzy TOPSIS. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, *26*(12), 1172–1186. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2013.785027>
- Tan, C. (2011). Generalized intuitionistic fuzzy geometric aggregation operator and its application to multi-criteria group decision making. *Soft Computing*, *15*(5), 867–876. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-010-0554-6>
- Tang, M. X. (1998). An Artificial Intelligence Approach to Industrial Design Support. In *Proceedings of the 1998 Milan First International Conference of Generative Art '98*. Rome: Librerie Dedalo. (pp. 113–126).
- Tauhid, S., & Okudan, G. (2007). Fuzzy information axiom approach for design concept evaluation. In *International Conference on Engineering Design, ICED'07 28 - 31 August 2007, Cite Des Sciences Et De L'industrie, Paris, France*. (pp. 1–12). Retrieved from http://m.designsociety.org/download-publication/25341/fuzzy_information_axiom_approach_for_design_concept_evaluation
- Tay, K. M., Jong, C. H., & Lim, C. P. (2015). A clustering-based failure mode and effect analysis model and its application to the edible bird nest industry. *Neural Computing and Applications*, *26*(3), 551–560. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-014-1647-4>
- Thurston, D. L., & Tian, Y. Q. (1986). A Method for Integrating Utility Analysis into an Expert System for Design Evaluation under Uncertainty. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 398–405.
- Tideman, M. (2008). *Scenario based product design*.
- Torra, V. (2000). The WOWA operator and the interpolation function W^* : Chen and Otto's interpolation method revisited. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, *113*(3), 389–396. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114\(98\)00040-2](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00040-2)
- Turan, F. B. M. (2013). A three-stage methodology for design evaluation in product development. *PhD Thesis*, (December).

- Turner, B. T. (1985). Managing design in the new product development methods for company executives. *Design Studies*, 6(1), 51–56.
- Tyagi, S. K. (2014). Reliability Analysis of a Powerloom Plant Using Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. *Applied Mathematics*, 5, 2008–2015.
- Ulrich, K. T., & Eppinger, S. D. (2000). *Product design and development*. New York: McGraw-Hill. <http://doi.org/10.1063/1.4707639>
- Vahdani, B., Salimi, M., & Charkhchian, M. (2015). A new FMEA method by integrating fuzzy belief structure and TOPSIS to improve risk evaluation process. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 77(1-4), 357–368. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6466-3>
- Varadarajan, K. (2013). *Should-cost analysis a key tool for sourcing and product designers*.
- Wan, S., Lin, L.-L., & Dong, J. (2016). MAGDM based on triangular Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy information aggregation. *Neural Computing and Applications*, (February). <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-016-2196-9>
- Wan, S. P., Wang, Q. Y., & Dong, J. Y. (2013). The extended VIKOR method for multi-attribute group decision making with triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 52, 65–77. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2013.06.019>
- Wang, J. (2002). Improved engineering design concept selection using fuzzy sets. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 15(1), 18–27. <http://doi.org/10.1080/09511920110034996>
- Wang, J. qiang, Zhou, P., Li, K. jian, Zhang, H. yu, & Chen, X. hong. (2014). Multi-criteria decision-making method based on normal intuitionistic fuzzy-induced generalized aggregation operator. *Top*, 1–20. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11750-014-0314-3>
- Wang, Y.-M., Chin, K.-S., Poon, G. K. K., & Yang, J.-B. (2009). Risk evaluation in failure mode and effects analysis using fuzzy weighted geometric mean. *Journal Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2), 1195–1207. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.11.028>
- Wu, J. (2015). Consistency in MCGDM Problems with Intuitionistic Fuzzy Preference Relations Based on an Exponential Score Function. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 25(2), 399–420. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-015-9447-5>
- Wu, J., & Liu, Y. (2013). An approach for multiple attribute group decision making problems with interval-valued intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 66(2), 311–324. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2013.07.001>
- Xiao, A. (2014). Multidisciplinary Decision Making Methods in an Information Driven Product Development Framework. In *ASME 2014 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition Volume 11: Systems, Design, and Complexity Montreal, Quebec, Canada, November 14–20, 2014* (pp. 1–9).
- Xie, L. (2013). A new method for failure modes and effects analysis and its application in a hydrokinetic turbine system. *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*, 89. Retrieved from <https://vpn.utm.my/docview/1449163083?accountid=41678>

- Xu, Z. (2007). Intuitionistic preference relations and their application in group decision making. *Information Sciences*, 177(11), 2363–2379. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2006.12.019>
- Xu, Z. (2014). Intuitionistic preference modeling and interactive decision making. In *Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing* (pp. 195–223). <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28403-8>
- Xu, Z. S., & Da, Q. L. (2002). The ordered weighted geometric averaging operators. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 17(7), 709–716. <http://doi.org/10.1002/int.10045>
- Xu, Z., & Yager, R. R. (2006). Some geometric aggregation operators based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *International Journal of General Systems*, 35(4), 417–433. <http://doi.org/10.1080/03081070600574353>
- Yager, R. R. (1988). On ordered weighted averaging aggregation operators in multi criteria decision making. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.*, 18(1), 183–190. <http://doi.org/10.1109/21.87068>
- Yager, R. R., & Xu, Z. (2006). The continuous ordered weighted geometric operator and its application to decision making. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 157(10), 1393–1402. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2005.12.001>
- Yan Chan, K., Fung Yuen, K. K., Palade, V., & Yue, Y. (2016). Artificial intelligence techniques in product engineering. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 47, 1–2. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.11.003>
- Yan, W., Chen, C. H., & Shieh, M. D. (2006). Product concept generation and selection using sorting technique and fuzzy c-means algorithm. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 50(3), 273–285. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2006.05.003>
- Yang, C., & Wu, Q. (2008). Decision Model for Product Design Based on Fuzzy TOPSIS Method. *2008 International Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Design*, 342–345. <http://doi.org/10.1109/ISCID.2008.220>
- Yang, Q., Yu, S., & Sekhari, A. (2011). A modular eco-design method for life cycle engineering based on redesign risk control. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 56(9-12), 1215–1233. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3246-1>
- Yazdankhah, A., & Fathalipourbonab, M. (2014). Optimizing New Product Concept Selection Decisions Considering Life Cycle Design Attributes. *International Journal of Modeling and Optimization*, 4(2), 146–151. <http://doi.org/10.7763/IJMO.2014.V4.363>
- Ye, F., & Li, Y. (2014). An extended TOPSIS model based on the Possibility theory under fuzzy environment. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 67, 263–269. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2014.04.046>
- Ye, J. (2010). Fuzzy decision-making method based on the weighted correlation coefficient under intuitionistic fuzzy environment. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 205(1), 202–204. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2010.01.019>
- Yontay, P., Sanchez, L. M., & Pan, R. (2015). Bayesian Network for Reliability Prediction in Functional Design Stage. In *61st Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, RAMS 2015 - Palm Harbor, United States* (pp. 1–6).

- Yue, Z. (2011). An extended TOPSIS for determining weights of decision makers with interval numbers. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 24(1), 146–153. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2010.07.014>
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338–353. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
- Zeiler, W., Savanovic, P., & Quanjel, E. (2007). Design decision support for the conceptual phase of the design process. In *International Assoc. of Societies of Design Research* (pp. 1–15).
- Zhai, L. Y., Khoo, L. P., & Zhong, Z. W. (2009). Design concept evaluation in product development using rough sets and grey relation analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(3 PART 2), 7072–7079. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2008.08.068>
- Zhang, M. J., & Nan, J. X. (2013). A compromise ratio ranking method of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and its application to MADM problems. *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 10(6), 21–37. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2010.06.039>
- Zhang, X., & Liu, P. (2010). Method for aggregating triangular fuzzy intuitionistic fuzzy information and its application to decision making. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 16(2), 280–290. <http://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2010.18>
- Zhao, H., You, J.-X., & Liu, H.-C. (2016). Failure mode and effect analysis using MULTIMOORA method with continuous weighted entropy under interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy environment. *Soft Computing*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-016-2118-x>
- Zhou, L., & Chen, H. (2014). Generalized Ordered Weighted Proportional Averaging Operator and Its Application to Group Decision Making. *Informatica*, 25(2), 327–360.
- Zhu, X., Wang, F., Liang, C., Li, J., & Sun, X. (2012). Quality credit evaluation based on TOPSIS: Evidence from air-conditioning market in China. *Procedia Computer Science*, 9(10), 1256–1262. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2012.04.137>