PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Adsorption of mercury from aqueous solutions using palm oil fuel ash as an adsorbent - batch studies

To cite this article: M S Imla Syafiqah and H W Yussof 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 334 012039

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- Properties of fresh and hardened sustainable concrete due to the use of palm oil fuel ash as cement replacement Hussein M Hamada, Gul Ahmed Jokhio, Fadzil Mat Yahaya et al.
- Effect of palm oil fuel ash on compressive strength of palm oil boiler stone lightweight aggregate concrete
 K Muthusamy, N A Zamri, A Kusbiantoro et al
- <u>Removal of zinc (II) ion from aqueous</u> solution by adsorption onto activated palm midrib bio-sorbent F Mulana, Mariana, A Muslim et al.

This content was downloaded from IP address 103.53.34.15 on 11/04/2018 at 08:10

Adsorption of mercury from aqueous solutions using palm oil fuel ash as an adsorbent - batch studies

M S Imla Syafiqah^{1,2} and H W Yussof¹

¹ Faculty of Chemical Engineering & Natural Resources, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Gambang, Pahang, Malaysia

E-mail: imlasyafiqah@gmail.com

Abstract. Palm oil fuel ash (POFA) is one of the most abundantly produced waste materials. POFA is widely used by the oil palm industry which was collected as ash from the burning of empty fruit bunches fiber (EFB) and palm oil kernel shells (POKS) in the boiler as fuel to generate electricity. Mercury adsorption was conducted in a batch process to study the effects of contact time, initial Hg(II) ion concentration, and temperature. In this study, POFA was prepared and used for the removal of mercury(II) ion from the aqueous phase. The effects of various parameters such as contact time (0- 360 min), temperature (15 – 45 °C) and initial Hg(II) ion concentration (1 – 5 mg/L) for the removal of Hg(II) ion were studied in a batch process. The surface characterization was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and particle size distribution analysis. From this study, it was found that the highest Hg(II) ion removal was 99.60 % at pH 7, contact time of 4 h, initial Hg(II) ion concentration of 1 mg/L, adsorbent dosage 0.25 g and agitation speed of 100 rpm. The results implied that POFA has the potential as a low-cost and environmental friendly adsorbent for the removal of mercury from aqueous solution.

1. Introduction

Malaysia produces about 47% of the world's palm oil supply and can be considered as one of the world's largest producers and exporters of palm oil. Due to the intensive planting and milling operations, Malaysia generates huge quantity of oil palm biomass including oil palm fibres, oil palm kernel shells, empty fruit bunches (EFB) as residues from harvesting and processing activities. POFA is a waste material generated in boiler due to the burning of palm oil industry waste as fuel to generate electricity [1]. The conventional method to discard these wastes is by incineration in the boiler as fuels for steam production in the oil palm mill [2]. However, the issue regarding palm oil wastes management has led to concerns. This is because, after processing and extraction of oil, solid residues and liquid wastes will be generated from the fresh fruit bunches and resulted in varying by-products including empty fruit bunches fibre, shell, and effluent. As a result, air, river, sea, and groundwater pollutions have increased in recent years due to the large amount of waste produced [3]. Therefore, prevention should be taken to manage the agriculture by-products for sustainable future. To avoid environmental pollution, the by-products can be reused and recycled for other purposes. Thus, the study of POFA as a low cost adsorbent has been done to tackle the waste disposal problem.

² To whom any correspondence should be addressed.



One of the major environmental concerns is the pollution of water bodies by heavy metals [4]. The World Health Organization (WHO) considers mercury as one of the top ten chemicals or groups of chemicals of major public health concern. Mercury is one of the most harmful environmental contaminants, which is harmful even at low concentrations. The main sources of mercury emissions besides its natural origins are ore mining, the burning of fossil fuels, and the industrial production processes [5]. The Hg(II) ions can cause respiratory failure, kidney injury, chronic diseases, central nervous system disorders, brain damage and severe environmental pollution [6].

Many conventional techniques have been utilized to reduce Hg(II) ion concentrations in water, including solvent extraction, precipitation, ion-exchange, reverse osmosis, membrane separation, coagulation and adsorption [7]. Among the various water treatment techniques described, adsorption is generally viewed as the most reliable wastewater treatment containing Hg(II) ion due to its convenient operation, low cost, and high efficiency. Several adsorbents have been used for mercury removal from wastewater such as coal fly ash [5], coconut pith [8], eucalyptus wood [9] and fir wood sawdust [10]. Among these adsorbents, activated carbon has become the most popular and widely used adsorbents for wastewater treatment. Activated carbon is a form of porous carbon with a high surface area, used extensively as an adsorbent in the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants [10]. Ash materials are significant sources for activated carbon preparation as they contain appropriate carbon fractions [5]. Ash is a good candidate to produce activated carbon, because it is often used as an adsorbent to remove Hg(II) ion, for example, [11] investigated the different fly ash samples on mercury speciation in simulated flue gas. Also, [12] prepared activated carbon from palm ash as an adsorbent to remove dyes from aqueous solution. As a result, Hg(II) ion removal from water using adsorption techniques proved to be the most applicable on an industrial scale [4].

The objective of this study is to evaluate the adsorption of Hg(II) ions by POFA from aqueous solutions in batch process. The effects of operating variables namely temperature, contact time and initial Hg(II) ion concentration were investigated under batch experimental conditions. Experimental results indicated that POFA has higher adsorption rate for the removal of mercury (Hg(II) ion) in an aqueous solution.

2. Experimental procedure and methodology

2.1. Materials

POFA was obtained from Kilang Lepar Sawit in Kuantan, Pahang. It was cleaned with distilled water several times to remove dust and impurities and dried in an oven at 110 °C for 24 hr to remove any surface moisture. 50 g of POFA was activated with 0.5 M H_2SO_4 for 24 hr. It was sieved through 100 μ m and stored in an airtight container.

2.2. Adsorbate

Analytical grade mercury standard solution obtained from Merck was used to prepare the stock solutions containing 1,000 mg/L of mercury metal ions which were further diluted with deionized water to obtain the required concentrations. The prepared solution was used for batch adsorption experiments.

2.3. Characterizations of POFA

Particle sizes distributions of POFA was analysed using Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments Ltd, UK). Mastersizer EVO 50 SEM (Carl Zeiss, Germany) instrument was used to study the morphology of the POFA. POFA sample was sprinkled on double-sided carbon tape mounted on a SEM stub and the images of 1000X magnifications were captured.

2.4. Batch experiments

The batch adsorption experiment was done by using 50 ml of initial Hg(II) ion concentration of solution in a conical flask for each experimental run. The pH of the solution was adjusted using 0.1 M

IOP Publishing

sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) as required. The adsorbent was added as specified in the experimental design and specified time accordingly. The mercury removal process was analysed using the effect of initial Hg(II) ion concentration, contact time and temperature. In the first set of experiment, the contact time was varied in the range of 0 - 360 min at a fixed pH (pH 7). The influence of initial Hg(II) ion concentration on the removal efficiency was studied in the range 1 - 5 mg/L. The effect of temperature was studied in the range of 15 - 45 °C using an incubator shaker for 4 hr. The temperature was controlled using temperature-controlled incubator shaker operated at 100 rpm. Equations (1) was used to obtain the removal efficiency.

$$R(\%) = \frac{c_0 - c_e}{c_0} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where *R* is the percentage of the removal of mercury, C_0 and C_e are the initial and residual Hg(II) ion concentrations (mg/L), respectively. The adsorption capacity (q_e) was calculated according to the following equation (2):

$$q_{e=} \frac{(C_0 - C_0) \times V}{m}$$
(2)

where *m* is the mass of adsorbent used (g), *V* is the total volume of Hg(II) ion solution (ml), C_0 is the

initial Hg(II) ion concentration (mg/L), and C_e is the residual Hg(II) ion concentration (mg/L).

2.5 Analysis of the metal

Samples of solutions were filtered through vacuum filter and the filtrates were analysed using the direct mercury analyser RA-3310 (Nippon Instrument Corporation, Japan).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the adsorbent

Figure 1(a)(b) shows the characteristic morphology of the treated POFA and POFA after adsorption with mercury. Figure 2(a) illustrated that treated POFA possesed regular spherical shape and has a porous surface. Previous studies reported by [13] stated that ash consists of a series of spherical clear particles of different sizes. Figure 2(b) showed that the shape of the particles to be regular spherical shapes to completely irregular shapes. This is because POFA surface are covered with smaller particles and irregular deposits. Similar conclusions were made by [14] while studying the characterization of fly ash from coal combustion and found that the morphological features of the smallest fraction of fly ash are irregular in shape. This is evidence that porous structures with several smaller particles are covering the porous surface.

Figure 2 shows the particle size distribution of POFA. The bell-shaped curve for the particle size distribution were obtained. The graphical representation shows that there is a growing trend from 1 μ m up to 100 μ m and the highest peak of the function is observed in the area of 100 μ m. The maxima occur at a relatively smaller range (90 - 100 μ m) of particle diameters with the volume percentage of the particles is 5.2 %. It can be stated that between the range of 1 μ m and 100 μ m, have the largest volume percentage of the POFA and quantitatively negligible when it reached 600 μ m.

3.2. Effect of initial Hg(II) ion concentration

Figure 3 presented the impact of initial Hg(II) ion concentration in the range of 1 - 5 mg/L. It is clear that the adsorption capacity of mercury gradually increased with the increase of initial Hg(II) ion concentration. In this experiment, the highest adsorption capacity of Hg(II) ions was 0.98 mg/g at the initial Hg(II) ion concentration of 5 mg/L. When all the active sites are involved in the adsorption process, the saturation and the maximum adsorbent capacity is achieved. Similar trend has been reported by [15] where the adsorption capacity of clay increased with the increase of initial metal ion concentration before attaining a plateau profile.





4







3.3. Effect of contact time

The effect of contact time on the adsorption of Hg(II) ion are shown in Figure 4. It can be observed that the adsorption of Hg(II) ion removal increased significantly with time from 0 to 60 min. The contact time needed for Hg(II) ion solutions to reach equilibrium was 60 min and reached a plateau at 60 - 240 min with 98 % of Hg(II) ion is adsorbed. According to [6] the binding of Hg(II) ions is high due to the existence of a greater number of functional sites available for Hg(II) ions adsorption in the initial stage. Then, when the available functional sites decreased, the adsorption efficiency slowed down due to the formation of repulsive forces between the Hg(II) ions on the POFA surface.

In fact, [10] reported that the adsorption of mercury using fir wood sawdust shows the same general trend when the adsorption of Hg(II) ion removal increased noticeably over a short period of contact time, then decreased gradually while approaching the equilibrium.

3.4. Effect of temperature

The effects of temperature are one of the most important factors for the adsorption of mercury. The effect of temperature on the mercury removal was studied in the range of 15 - 45 °C with an initial Hg(II) ion concentration 5 mg/L, pH 7, adsorbent dosages of 0.25 g and agitation rate 100 rpm. The result is shown in Figure 5. The mercury removal increased significantly to 97 % as the operating temperature was raised to 25 °C. When the temperature rises, the pores enlarge and more surface will be available for the adsorption of Hg(II) ions. Hence, there is an increase in the removal of Hg(II) ion. The mercury removal increased with the increase in temperature due to the endothermic nature of this process [15]. By increasing the temperature of Hg(II) ion solution, the attractive forces in the aqueous phase is weaken and consequently, more Hg(II) ions becomes free and reach the solid surface. Similar conclusions were made by [16] while studying the effect of temperature on the mercury adsorption using euclyptus bark and found that a decrease in Gibbs free energy, ΔG , as well as a positive enthalpy value, ΔH , by raising the temperature revealed that the adsorption process is endothermic. Additionally, the increase of temperature can enhance the rate of intraparticle diffusion and the adsorption increases accordingly. [17] reported a similar result for the adsorption of Hg(II) ion removal by MnO₂/CNTs nanocomposite adsorbent. They also showed that the intraparticle diffusion plays an important role in the adsorption of Hg(II) ion removal.

4. Conclusion

In this study, the removal of Hg(II) ion from aqueous solutions using POFA was examined using various parameters namely the initial Hg(II) ion concentration, temperature, and contact time. The highest mercury removal was 99.60 % when the parameters were at 100 rpm of agitation speed, 4 hr of contact time, initial Hg(II) ion concentration of 1 mg/L, adsorbent dosage 0.25 g of adsorbent dosage and pH 7. Overall, it can be concluded that POFA has the potential to be used as a suitable adsorbent for the removal of mercury from aqueous solution as it can be obtained at low cost.

Acknowledgment

This research was funded by Universiti Malaysia Pahang under the internal grant RDU170350. The authors would like to thank the Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang for providing the infrastructure facilities for this study.

References

- Ranjbar N, Behnia A, Alsubari B, Birgani P M and Jumaat M Z 2016 Durability and mechanical properties of self-compacting concrete incorporating palm oil fuel ash. *Journal of Cleaner Production.* **112** 723–730.
- [2] Acquah C, Sie Yon L, Tuah, Z, Ling Ngee N and Danquah M K 2016 Synthesis and performance analysis of oil palm ash (OPA) based adsorbent as a palm oil bleaching material. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. **139** 1098–1104.
- [3] Mujah D (2016). Compressive strength and chloride resistance of grout containing ground palm oil fuel ash. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. **112** 712–722.
- [4] Mubarak N M, Sahu J N, Abdullah E C, Jayakumar N S and Ganesan P 2015 Microwave assisted multiwall carbon nanotubes enhancing Cd(II) adsorption capacity in aqueous media. *J Ind Eng Chem.* **24** 24–33
- [5] Attari M, Bukhari S S, Kazemian H and Rohani S 2017 A low-cost adsorbent from coal fly ash for mercury removal from industrial wastewater *J Environ Chem Eng.* **5(1)** 391–9.
- [6] Awual R., Hasan M, Eldesoky G E, Khaleque A, Rahman M M, and Naushad M 2016 Facile mercury detection and removal from aqueous media involving ligand impregnated conjugate nanomaterials. *Chemical Engineering Journal.* **290** 243–251.
- [7] Kokkinos E, Simeonidis K, Pinakidou F, Katsikini M and Mitrakas M 2017 Optimization of tetravalent manganese feroxyhyte's negative charge density: A high-performing mercury adsorbent from drinking water. *Sci Total Environ.* 574 482–9.
- [8] Johari K, Saman N, Song S T and Mat H 2015 Adsorption equilibrium and kinetics of elemental mercury onto coconut pith. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. **8(2)** 74–82.
- [9] Silva H S, Ruiz S V, Granados D L and Santángelo J M 2010 Adsorption of Mercury(II) from Liquid Solutions Using Modified Activated Carbons. *Materials Research*. **13(2)** 129–134.
- [10] Kazemi F, Younesi H, Asghar A, Bahramifar N and Heidari A 2015 Thiol-incorporated activated carbon derived from fir wood sawdust as an efficient adsorbent for the removal of mercury ion: Batch and fixed-bed column studies. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection.* 100 22–35.
- [11] Bhardwaj R., Chen X., Vidic R D, Bhardwaj R., Chen X and Vidic R D 2016 Impact of Fly Ash Composition on Mercury Speciation in Simulated Flue Gas Impact of Fly Ash Composition on Mercury Speciation in Simulated Flue Gas. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association.* 2247(59) 1331-1338.
- [12] Ahmad A A., Hameed B H and Aziz N (2007) Adsorption of direct dye on palm ash: Kinetic and equilibrium modeling. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. **141(1)** 70–76.
- [13] Al Bakri A M M, Kamarudin H, Bnhussain M, Nizar I K, Rafiza A R and Zarina Y 2012 The Processing, Characterization, and Properties of Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete. *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.* **30** 90–97.

- [14] Stoch A 2000 Fly ash from coal combustion Characterization. *Tecnico Lisboa*. 1–10.
- [15] Rajamohan N and Al-sinani J 2016 Removal of boron using clay-effect of process parameters, kinetic and isotherm studies. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*. 11(3) 311– 326.
- [16] Ghodbane I and Hamdaoui O 2008 Removal of mercury(II) from aqueous media using eucalyptus bark: Kinetic and equilibrium studies. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 160 301– 309.
- [17] Moghaddam H K and Pakizeh M 2015 Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Experimental study on mercury ions removal from aqueous solution by MnO₂ / CNTs nanocomposite adsorbent. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*. 21 221–229.