# THE EFFECTS OF FIBRE VOLUME FRACTION OF COMPOSITE PLATE

### **CHAN WAI THENG**

This report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Malaysia Pahang

### **ABSTRACT**

Composite materials especially glass fibre reinforced plastics (GFRP) are being widely used in many industry nowadays due to its special mechanical properties and light weight. The performance of composite materials is often controlled by fibre volume fraction,  $V_f$ . Hence, the main objective of this study is to investigate the effect of  $V_f$  onto the mechanical properties of composite plate. The fibre used is chopped strand mat (CSM) type E-glass fibre where the matrix used is based on unsaturated polyester resin. The composite plates were prepared by hand lay-up technique. Rule of mixture (ROM) and modified rule of mixture (MROM) were used to predict the performance of the composite plates. Tensile test according to ASTM D 3039 was carried out to obtain ultimate strength and modulus of elasticity of the composite plate with different  $V_f$ . Three different composite systems were tested in this study. The actual  $V_f$  of the composite plates were justified by burn out test (SIRIM MS 1390:1995). MROM was found had better validity than ROM to be used to estimate the mechanical properties of specimens after compared with experimental results. The experimental results show that the mechanical properties were improved when  $V_f$  is increased. Thus, the influence of fibre volume fraction on the mechanical properties of CSM E-glass fibre/polyester composites has been evaluated.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Bahan komposit terutamanya plastik bertetulangkan gentian kaca (GFRP) yang mempunyai sifat mekanikal yang istimewa dan ringan telah digunakan secara meluas dalam pelbagai industri. Kekuatan bahan komposit sering bergantung kepada pecahan isipadu gentian,  $V_f$ . Maka, objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menyelidik pengaruhan  $V_f$  terhadap sifat mekanikal plat komposit. Bahan gentian digunakan adalah Chopped Strand Mat (CSM) jenis gentian kaca-E dan resin poliester tak tepu sebagai bahan matriks. Plat komposit adalah disediakan dengan teknik bengkalai tangan (Hand lay-up). Hukum Pencampuran (ROM) dan Hukum Pencampuran Diubahsuai (MROM) dipakai untuk meramal sifat plat komposit. Ujian tegangan berdasarkan ASTM D 3039 telah dilaksanakan untuk menguji kekuatan tegangan dan modulus keanjalan plat komposit. Tiga sistem komposit yang berlainan telah dikaji dalam kajian ini.  $V_f$  yang sebenar terkandung dalam plat komposit ditentukan dengan Burn Out Test (SIRIM MS 1390:1995). MROM adalah didapati lebih sesuai dipakai untuk meramal sifat mekanikal specimen selepas perbandingan dilakukan terhadap keputusan ujikaji. Keputusan ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa sifat mekanikal plat komposit dapat diperbaikan dengan peningkatan  $V_f$ . Dengan demikian, pengaruhan  $V_f$  terhadap sifat mekanikal komposit CSM gentian kaca-E/poliester telah dibuktikan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTE	3	TITLE	PAGE
	TIT	TLE	i
	DE	CLARARION	ii
	DE	DICATION	iii
	AC	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	STRACT	v
	ABS	STRAK	vi
	TA	BLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIS	ST OF TABLES	xi
	LIS	T OF FIGURES	xii
	LIS	T OF GRAPHS	xiv
	LIS	T OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIS	T OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIS	T OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INT	TRODUCTION	1
	1.1	Project Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	3
	1.3	Project Objectives	4
	1.4	Project Scopes	4
2	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Composite Material	5
	2.3	Classification of Composites	6

	2.3.1	Cement	Matrix Composite	6
	2.3.2	Metal M	fatrix Composite	7
	2.3.3	Ceramic	Matrix Composite	8
	2.3.4	Polymer	r Matrix Composite	8
2.4	Applic	ation of C	Composite Materials	9
	2.4.1	Structur	al Application	9
		2.4.1.1	Aerospace Industry	9
		2.4.1.2	Automobile Industry	10
		2.4.1.3	Construction Industry	11
	2.4.2	Thermal	Application	12
	2.4.3	Electrica	al Application	12
2.5	Structu	ire of Con	mposite	13
2.6	Matrix	Materials	S	14
	2.6.1	Polyeste	er Resin	15
	2.6.2	Epoxy R	Resin	16
2.7	Fibre F	Reinforcer	ment	17
	2.7.1	Glass Fi	bre Form	18
		2.7.1.1	Chopped Strand Mat	18
		2.7.1.2	Unidirectional Mat	19
		2.7.1.3	Woven Roving	19
	2.7.2	Fibre Siz	ze and Length	20
2.8	Mecha	nical Prop	perties	20
2.9	Micron	nechanics		21
	2.9.1	Rule of l	Mixtures (ROM)	21
	2.9.2	Modified	d Rule of Mixtures (MROM)	24
2.10	Propert	ties of Fib	re Reinforced Plastics (FRP)	25
	2.10.1	Modulı	us of Elasticity	27
	2.10.2	Tensile	Strength	28
	2.10.3	Ultimat	te Tensile Strength	29
2.11	Effect of	of Fibre V	olume Fraction	32
2.12	Conclu	sion		33
MET	'HODO	LOGY		34
3.1	Introdu	ction		34

	3.2	Raw I	Materials	34
	3.3	Fabric	ation of Specimens	35
		3.3.1	Apparatus	35
			3.3.1.1 Glass Plate	36
			3.3.1.2 Squeezer	36
			3.3.1.3 Release Anti Adhesive	Agent 36
		3.3.2	Composite Laminate	37
		3.3.3	Specimens	39
	3.4	Burn (	Out Test	41
	3.5	Tensil	e Test	42
	3.6	Failur	Mode	44
	3.7	Result	Interpretation	45
		3.7.1	Experimental Result	45
		3.7.2	Analytical Result	45
			3.7.2.1 ROM Method	45
			3.7.2.2 MROM Method	46
		3.7.3	Comparison of Analytical and Exp Method	perimental 47
4	RES	SULTS A	AND DISCUSSION	48
	4.1	Introdu	ection	48
	4.2	Test S	pecimen	48
	4.3	Tensile	Test Result	51
		4.3.1	Result at Fibre Volume Fraction, V	$Y_f = 0.107$ 51
		4.3.2	Result at Fibre Volume Fraction, V	$Y_f = 0.171$ 52
		4.3.3	Result at Fibre Volume Fraction, V	$Y_f = 0.242$ 54
	4.4	Theore	tical Result	57
		4.4.1	Strength	57
		4.4.2	Modulus of Elasticity	58
	4.5	Burn C	ut Test Result	58
	4.6	Failure	Mode	60
	4.7	Test Ev	raluation	61
		4.7.1	Comparison between Theoretical at Experimental Strength	nd 61

		4.7.2	Comparison between Theoretical and Experimental Modulus Young	62
		4.7.3	Comparison between Preset and Actual Fibre Volume Fraction Discussion	63
	4.8	Discus	ssion	64
		4.8.1	Discussion on Effects of Fibre Volume Fraction	64
		4.8.2	Discussion on Comparison of Experimental and Theoretical Result	67
	4.9	Conclu	usion	68
5	CON	NCLUS	ION AND RECOMMEDATIONS	69
	5.1	Conclu	asion	69
	5.2	Recom	nmendations	72
REFEREN	CES			74
APPENDIC	CES A	- D		77 - 99

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Composition for Metal Matrix Composite Materials	7
2.2	Mechanical Properties of E- Glass Fibre and Polyester	20
3.1	Weight Percent of Fibre Content for each Category of Specimens	39
4.1	Composition of the Composite Laminates	49
4.2	Weight of Composition in Composite Laminates	49
4.3	Weight of Control Portion	49
4.4	Dimensions of Test Specimens for Each Category	50
4.5	Summary of Experimental Result for Category S1	52
4.6	Summary of Experimental Result for Category S2	54
4.7	Summary of Experimental Result for Category S3	55
4.8	ROM Theoretical Strength	57
4.9	MROM Theoretical Strength	57
4.10	ROM Theoretical Modulus of Elasticity	58
4.11	MROM Theoretical Modulus of Elasticity	58
4.12	Burn Out Test Result for Each Category	59
4.13	Comparison of Experimental and ROM Theoretical Strength	61
4.14	Comparison of Experimental and MROM Theoretical Strength	61
4.15	Comparison of Experimental and ROM Theoretical Modulus Young	62
4.16	Comparison of Experimental and MROM Theoretical Modulus Young	62
4.17	Comparison of Preset and Actual Fibre Content for Each Category	63

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURE NO	TITLE	
2.1	Carbon fibre composite wing section under development	10
2.2	Composite concept car tubular frame skeleton from aXcess Australia	10
2.3 (a)	Composite material system for particles	13
2.3 (b)	Composite material System for short or long fibres or flakes	13
2.3 (c)	Composite material systems for continuous fibres	13
2.4	Chemical structure of typical polyester	15
2.5	Idealized chemical structure of typical epoxy	16
2.6	Chopped Strand Mat (CSM)	18
2.7	Unidirectional Mat (UD)	19
2.8	Woven Roving (WR)	19
2.9	Illustration of the matrix, fibre, and void volumes	21
2.10	Block of unidirectional aligned continuous fibres	22
2.11	The effect of type of fibre on various properties of fibre reinforced nylon(6,6)	25
2.12 (a)	Fracture surface of a graphite fibre reinforced epoxy composite	26
2.12 (b)	Fracture surface of glass fibre reinforced epoxy composite	26
2.13	The tensile strength of glass reinforced polyester	26
2.14 (a)	Load applied along the fibre direction of composite	27
2.14 (b)	Load applied across the fibre direction of composite	27
2.15	The stress strain curve of a continuous fibre composite	28
2.16	The variation of peak stress with volume fraction of fibres	29
	Schematics on response of composite with different fibre volume fraction $V_{\ell-}$ before fibre failure	31

2.17 (b)	Schematics on response of composite with different fibre volume fraction $V_f$ – the matrix fail after fibre failure (large $V_f$ )	31
2.17 (c)	Schematics on response of composite with different fibre volume fraction $V_f$ – the matrix support the full load after fibre failure (small $V_f$ )	31
3.1	CSM E-glass Fibre Ply	37
3.2	Hand Lay-up Process	38
3.3	Cured Composite laminate	38
3.4	Dimensions of test specimens	39
3.5	T-jaw Machine	40
3.6	Furnace used for Burn Out Test	41
3.7	Universal Testing Machine 3639 (INSTRON)	42
3.8	Specimen Setup for Tensile Test	43
3.9	Tensile Test Failure Typical Modes	44
3.10	Tensile Test Failure Codes for three-part failure mode code	44
4.1	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimens with $V_f = 0.107$	51
4.2	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimens with $V_f = 0.171$	53
4.3	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimens with $V_f = 0.242$	54
4.4	Sample Specimens before Tensile Test	56
4.5	Sample Specimens after Tensile Test	56
4.6 (a)	Specimen before burn out test	59
4.6 (b)	Specimen after burn out test	59
4.7	Failure of Specimen after Tensile Test	60
4.8	Explosive Failure Portion of Specimen	60
4.9	Highest Ultimate Strength of Specimens with different $V_f$	64
4.10	Highest Modulus Young of Specimens with different $V_f$	65

## LIST OF GRAPHS

B1	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S11
B2	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S12
B3	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S13
B4	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S14
B5	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S15
B6	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S16
B7	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S21
B8	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S22
B9	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S23
B10	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S24
B11	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S25
B12	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S26
B13	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S31
B14	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S32
B15	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S33
B16	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S34
B17	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S35
B18	Stress-Strain Curve of Specimen S36
D1	Graph Stress vs Strain for Specimens with $V_f = 0.107$ until 2% strain
D2	Graph Stress vs Strain for Specimens with $V_f = 0.171$ until 2% strain.
D3	Graph Stress vs Strain for Specimens with $V_f = 0.242$ until 2% strain.
D4	Comparison between the highest slopes (up to 2% strain of total strain) from each category.
D5	Comparison of stress-strain curve for the specimen with highest strength from each category.

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$v_f$	-	Volume of fibre
$v_m$	-	Volume of matrix
$v_{_{ u}}$	-	Volume of void
$v_c$	-	Volume of composite
$V_{_{ u}}$	-	Void volume fraction
$V_f$	-	Fibre volume fraction
$V_{_m}$	-	Matrix volume fraction
$\mathcal{E}_c$	-	Strain of composite
$oldsymbol{arepsilon}_f$	-	Strain of fibre
$\mathcal{E}_m$	-	Strain of matrix
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_a$	-	External shear strain
$\overline{\varepsilon}$	-	Average strain
$G_{i}$	-	Shear modulus component at i phase
$G_{c}$	-	Shear modulus composite
$V_{i}$	-	Volume fraction component at i phase
$oldsymbol{\sigma}_i$	-	Stress component at i phase
$\overline{\sigma}$	-	Average stress
$\sigma_{c}$	-	Composite strength
$\sigma_f$	-	Ultimate tensile strength of fibre
$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle m}$	-	Matrix strength at the strain corresponding to the fibre's ultimate tensile stress
$\sigma_{_{1}}$	-	Composite strength at direction 1

$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle m1}$	-	Matrix strength at direction 1
$\sigma_{f1}$	-	Fibre strength at direction 1
$E_{1c}$	-	Modulus of elasticity composite at direction 1
$E_{1f}$	-	Modulus of elasticity fibre at direction 1
$E_{1m}$	-	Modulus of elasticity matrix at direction 1
$E_c$	-	Modulus of elasticity composite
$E_{c\parallel}$	-	Longitudinal modulus of elasticity composite
$E_{c\perp}$	-	Transverse modulus of elasticity
$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle cu}$	-	Composite Ultimate strength
$\chi_1$	-	Fibre orientation factor
$\chi_2$	-	Fibre length factor
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$	-	Fibre length correction factor
$oldsymbol{\eta}_{\scriptscriptstyle L}$	-	Non-unidirectional reinforcement correction factor
$\pmb{\sigma}_f^f$	-	Fibre fracture strength
$\sigma_f^{\scriptscriptstyle m}$	•	Matrix fracture strength
$\sigma_{_y}^{^m}$	-	Matrix yield strength
$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle TS}$	-	Tensile Strength
$\sigma_{\!\scriptscriptstyle UTS}$	-	Ultimate tensile strength
$oldsymbol{\sigma}_c^f$	-	Composite failure stress
$oldsymbol{arepsilon}_f^f$	-	Fibre failure strain
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{m}^{f}$	-	Matrix failure strain
$V_f^*$	-	Critical fibre volume fraction
ė		Strain rate
1	-	Initial length
$\frac{dl}{dt}$	-	Deformation rate
$ ho_{\scriptscriptstyle m}$	-	Matrix density
$ ho_f$	*	Fibre Density

 $W_f$  - Weight percent of fibre

 $W_m$  - Weight percent of resin

 $T_{\rm g}$  - Glass Temperature

A - Cross sectional area

 $F_u$  - Ultimate force

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAP - Acetyl acetone peroxide

ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials

BPA - Bisphenol A

BPO - Benzoyl peroxide

CHP - Cyclohexanone peroxide

CMC - Ceramic matrix composite

CSM - Chopped strand mat

CTE - Coefficient of thermal expansion

FRP - Fibre reinforced plastics

GFRP - Glass fibre reinforced

MEKP - Methyl ethyl ketone peroxide

MMC - Metal matrix composite

MROM - Modified rule of mixture

MS - Malaysian Standard

PMC - Polymer matrix composite

ROM - Rule of mixture

RPC - Reinforced polymer composite

SIRIM - Standard and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia

SMC - Sheet moulding compound

UD - Unidirectional

UTS - Ultimate tensile strength

WR - Woven roving

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Α	Drawing of specimen	77
B1	Stress-strain curve for specimens category S1	79
B2	Stress-strain curve for specimens category S2	83
B3	Stress-strain curve for specimens category S3	87
C	Sample calculation	91
D	Comparison of stress-strain curve among specimens	96

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project Background

Composite material is artificial combination of different materials. It can attain the properties that the individual material cannot attain [1]. Normally, composite is encountered consists of stiff fibres embedded in a more deformable matrix [2]. Hence, they are stiffer, stronger and tougher than conventional materials.

There are many types of composite. In generally, they are classifying according to their matrix materials for example polymer matrix composite which polymer used as matrix. The main classes of composites are polymer matrix, cement matrix, metal matrix and ceramic matrix composites [1].

Polymer matrix composite (PMC) also known as reinforced plastics and fibre reinforced plastics (FRP) [3]. They use a polymer based resin as a matrix material with some form of fibres embedded in the matrix where the fibres provide the strength and stiffness. They are the most common material to be used for lightweight structures such as aircraft and automobile among all types of composite materials [1].

They are having outstanding corrosion resistance, low tooling cost, excellent fatigue and fracture resistance and the potential for rapid process cycles [4]. Hence, their applications are very wide. They can be used in vary sectors such like structural applications, electrical applications, civil structures, aircraft industries and so on.

PMC are the most common, owing to the low cost of fabrication. These types of composites have significant high strength and light weight properties. They can be classified according to whether the matrix is thermoset or a thermoplastic. Thermoset matrix composites are by tradition far more common, but thermoplastic matrix composites are under rapid development [1].

The used of FRP in several industries is increased and become important in this decade due to its special mechanical properties. Even though FRP still cannot totally replace the used of steel and aluminium alloy, but its significance of light weight, high specific strength and lower thermal expansion properties had successfully grab the manufacturers' attention. Interest in the use of polymer composites was highlighted by its use in the aXcess Australia concept car built by Millard Design using a carbon fibre and epoxy resin tubular frame skeleton [4].

Although the composite can attain the properties that individual material cannot attain, however, the improvement of mechanical properties of the composite are dominated by the contribution of the fibre to the composite which also known as fibre volume fraction  $(V_I)$  [5].

Fibre volume fraction is the percentage of the fibre contents in the composite. It was found to have significant effects on mechanical properties of the composite including strength, toughness, failure mode and so on. The mechanical properties can be improved by increasing fibre volume fraction [6, 7].

However, the composite ultimate strength will degrade if the fibre volume fraction is too large [7]. Hence, the aim of this project is to investigate the performance of composite plate with different fibre volume fraction. Universal Testing Machine (INSTRON) will be used to perform tensile test where the purpose of this test is to examine the strength, modulus of elasticity of the specimens. The failure code of the specimens will also be determined through this test.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

Polymer composite is material which is two or more distinct components. It had the capability to attain the properties that individual components cannot attain by themselves. Reinforced polymer materials are composite materials that had being reinforced by fibres, surface treatments, use of coupling agents and etc. [1].

Fibrous polymer composite is the material that containing fibre which provide strength and stiffness to it. Even though composite materials can combine the properties of the component of the mixtures, however, many of the mechanical properties such as strength, stiffness and toughness is weighted by the fibre volume fraction  $(V_f)$  of the composite [5]. Fibre volume fraction was also found to have significant effects on important composite properties including failure mode and ultimate strength [7]

Nowadays, the construction industry is concerted effort to use fibre composite as replacement of steel in fibre concrete. It also migrate reinforced polymer composite (RPC) for use in primary load bearing applications due to it shown a lot of potential advantages such as high specific strength [8].

Unfortunately, the use of RPC in construction industry still limited. This is because the absence of proper design codes [8]. Composition of matrix, fibre and resin is not standardized. Hence, RPCs with the greatest mechanical properties such as strength and toughness cannot be presented since the properties of RPCs are much depends on the composition of the mixture components especially  $V_f$ .

Besides that, RPCs had wide range of application in automobile and aerospace industry. High specific strength and low mass were often the dominant criteria to be achieved particularly for aerospace applications [4]. RPC is the materials can fulfill this conditions and its' mechanical properties can be improved with increasing fibre volume fraction. However, when the fibre volume fraction was sufficiently large, the composite ultimate strength was degraded [7].

The significant increased of the used of FRP in industry shown that there is a need to improve the properties and quality of polymer composite. In order to maintain and produce polymer composite with greatest mechanical properties, a standard in manufacture polymer composite is very important. Hence, a proper fibre volume fraction which plays an important role on mechanical properties of FRP should be investigated to obtain the best performance.

### 1.3 Project Objectives

- i. To investigate the mechanical properties of composite plate with different fibre volume fraction.
- ii. To compare the results obtained by analytical method and experimental method.

### 1.4 Project Scopes

- i. To study about effects of fibre volume fraction of composite plate through literature studies.
- ii. To prepare the sample specimen based on different fibre volume fraction.
- iii. To examine the strength and modulus of elasticity of the specimen by tensile test method using Universal Testing Machine (INSTRON).
- iv. To determine the specimen with the highest ultimate strength.
- v. To observe the failure category of the specimens based on Tensile Test Failure Code.

#### **CHAPTER 2**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the importance and applications of the composite materials at several sectors will be discussed. The rule of mixture (ROM) and modified rule of mixture (MROM) of composite materials will also be discussed. This chapter will inquired into the general properties of the components that commonly used to produce polymer composite materials which are fibre glass, polyester and epoxy. The effects of fibre volume fraction onto the mechanical properties of composite materials also will be discussed. Through this chapter, the details of the composite materials can be understood in depth. The roles of fibre volume fraction in mechanical properties of the composite materials also can be studied deeply. Hence, a suitable composition of fibre and resin can be investigated to produce composite materials with better performance.

### 2.2 Composite Materials

Among the major developments of materials in recent years are composite materials [3]. Composites are broadly known as reinforced plastics. Specifically, composites are a reinforcing fiber in a polymer matrix. [9]. Composite materials are the new products of the so-called advance engineering age [10].

In fact, composites are now one of the most important classes of engineered materials, because they offer several outstanding properties as compared to conventional materials [3]. The composite industry, however, is new. It has grown rapidly in the past 30 years with the development of fibrous composites [5].

Composite materials are multi-phase materials obtained by artificial combination of different materials, so as to attain properties that the individual components by themselves cannot attain [1].

A composite material typically consists of one or more fillers (fibrous or particulate) in a certain matrix. The matrix is usually a polymer, a metal, a carbon, a ceramic or a combination of different materials. The fibres provide the strength and stiffness while the polymer servers as the binder [1].

### 2.3 Classification of Composites

In generally, composites are classified according to their matrix material. The main classes of composites are polymer matrix, cement matrix, metal matrix and ceramic matrix composites [1].

### 2.3.1 Cement Matrix Composite

Cement matrix composites include concrete, which is once having a fine aggregate (sand), a coarse aggregate (gravel) and optionally other additives (called admixtures). Concrete is the most widely used civil structural material. When the coarse aggregate is absent, the composite is called mortar, which is used in masonry (for joining bricks) and for filling cracks. When both coarse and fine aggregates are absent, the material is known as cement paste. Cement paste is rigid after curing, which refers to the hydration reaction involving cement (a silicate) and water to form a rigid gel [1].

The admixtures can be a fine particular such as silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) fume for decreasing porosity in the composite. It can be a polymer (used in either a liquid solution form or a solid dispersion form) such as latex, also for decreasing porosity. It can be short fibres (such as carbon fibres, glass fibres, polymer fibres and steel fibres) for increasing toughness and decreasing drying shrinkage (shrinkage during curing – undesirable as it can cause cracks to form) [1].

## 2.3.2 Metal Matrix Composite

Matrix materials in metal matrix composite (MMC) are usually aluminium, aluminium-lithium, magnesium, copper, titanium, and superalloys. Fibres materials can be graphite, aluminium oxide, silicon carbide, boron, molybdenum, and tungsten. The elastic modulus of nonmetallic fibres ranges between 200 GPa and 400 GPa, with tensile strengths being in the range from 2000 MPa to 3000 MPa [3].

MMC are gaining importance because the reinforcement serves to reduce the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) and increase the strength and modulus [1]. Typical composition for metal composites materials are as shown in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Composition for Metal Matrix Composite Materials [3]

Fibre	Matrix
Graphite	Aluminium, Magnesium, Lead, Copper
Boron	Aluminium, Magnesium, Titanium
Alumina	Aluminium, Lead, Copper
Silicon carbide	Aluminium, Titanium, Superalloy (cobalt-base)
Molybdenum, tungsten	Superalloy

#### 2.3.3 Ceramic Matrix Composites

Composites with a ceramic matrix or ceramic matrix composites (CMC) are another important development in engineered materials because of their resistance to high temperatures and corrosive environments. Ceramics are strong and stiff, and they resist high temperatures, but they generally lack toughness [3].

Although cement is a ceramic material, CMC usually refer to those with silicon carbide, silicon nitride, alumina, mullite, glasses and other ceramic matrices that are not cement [1]. Silicon carbide, silicon nitride, aluminium oxide and mullite (a compound of aluminium, silicon, and oxygen) can retain their strength up to 1700 °C (3000 °F) [3].

Ceramic matrix fibre composites are gaining increasing attention because the good oxidation resistance of the ceramic matrix (compared to a carbon matrix) makes the composites attractive for high temperature application (e.g., aerospace and engine components) [1].

#### 2.3.4 Polymer Matrix Composite

Polymer matrix composite (PMC) also known as reinforced plastics and fibre reinforced plastics (FRP) [3]. PMC are the most common, owing to the low cost of fabrication. They can be classified according to whether the matrix is thermoset or a thermoplastic. Thermoset matrix composites are by tradition far more common, but thermoplastic matrix composites are under rapid development [1].

Thermoplastics have lower manufacturing cost because it does not need to be cure, have reprocessing possible (for repair and recycling) and fewer risks due to chemicals during processing. They also have high toughness and environmental properties. However, they limitation in processing method, high processing temperature, high viscosity and fibre surface treatments less developed [1].