

SEPARATION OF XYLOSE FROM GLUCOSE
IN OIL PALM FROND (OPF) BAGASSE
HYDROLYSATE USING NANOFILTRATION
MEMBRANE SYSTEM

NURUL FATIHAH BINTI MAHAMAD ROLI

Master of Science

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that We have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science in

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : A.P. DR. WAN MOHD HAFIZUDDIN BIN WAN YUSSOF

Position :

Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : A.P. DR. SYED MOHD SAUFI BIN TUAN CHIK

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NURUL FATIHAH BINTI MAHAMAD ROLI

ID Number : MKC 14027

Date :

SEPARATION OF XYLOSE FROM GLUCOSE IN OIL PALM FROND (OPF)
BAGASSE HYDROLYSATE USING NANOFILTRATION MEMBRANE SYSTEM

NURUL FATIHAH BINTI MAHAMAD ROLI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Chemical Engineering & Natural Resources

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULY 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I extend my sincere gratitude and appreciation to many individuals who made this thesis possible. The foremost thanks go to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wan Mohd Hafizuddin B. Wan Yussof and my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Syed Mohd Saufi Bin Tuan Chik. I owe my supervisor lots of gratitude for his constant encouragement, advices, numerous comments on countless rewrites, and longstanding commitment to make this thesis a reality. I would also like to extend a token of appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mazrul Nizam for suggestions and guidance especially throughout this research.

A special gratitude goes out to all down at Research Fund for helping and providing the funding for this work. I also would like to thank Prof. Dr. Ir. Abdul Wahab Mohammad and LRGS Project Future Biorefineries group members for giving me the opportunity to be a part of them. I am also grateful to administrative and technical staff of Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang for their unfailing support and assistance during my study.

My sincere thanks also go to my research teammates Mah Kah Hong, Fatin Syazwana, Zulsyazwan, Imla Syafiqah, and Aisyah for their help, support and friendship. I would also like to thank Norlirabiatuladawiyah, Nuri Adilah, Wan Hairani, Tengku Nur Anisa, Amirul Yussof, Norlisa, Elfira and Munirah of course for friendship, simulating discussion, for the sleepless nights we were working together before deadline, and for all the fun we have had in the last two years.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank to my beloved husband, Muhammad Mu`izzuddin Bin Abdul Malek, my parents, Mahamad Roli Bin Hj. Yussoh and Normalis Binti Mostapa, my family in-law and my brother for their support and sacrifices they made throughout the course of my studies

Thanks for all your encouragement! May Allah bless all of you.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Research objective	5
1.4 Scope of study	5
1.5 Significance of study	6
1.6 Chapter organization	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Oil palm frond (OPF) bagasse composition	8
2.3 Lignocellulosic biomass from oil palm tree	10

2.4	Lignocellulosic biomass	11
2.4.1	Cellulose	13
2.4.2	Hemicellulose	14
2.4.3	Lignin	15
2.5	Pretreatment methods for lignocellulosic biomass	15
2.5.1	Physical pretreatment	16
2.5.2	Chemical pretreatment	17
2.6	Hydrolysis of the biosugar sugar	18
2.6.1	Enzymatic hydrolysis	19
2.6.2	Acid hydrolysis	20
2.7	Membrane technology	23
2.7.1	Nanofiltration membrane in sugar separation	25
2.8	Operating parameters for separation of sugar using nanofiltration membrane	27
2.8.1	Total sugar concentration	27
2.8.2	Transmembrane pressure	28
2.8.3	Ratio of xylose to glucose	28
2.8.4	Temperature	28
2.9	Polyamide membrane	29
2.10	Spiral wound module	31
2.11	Single-stage membrane system	32
2.12	Comparison of dead-end to crossflow filtration	33
2.13	Pilot scale nanofiltration study	34
2.14	Mathematical model for membrane performance	36
	CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	38
3.1	Introduction	38

3.2	Membrane and nanofiltration set-up	40
3.3	Pure water flux stability	42
3.4	Filtration of single and binary xylose-glucose model solution	42
3.5	Preparation of oil palm frond (OPF) bagasse hydrolysate	43
3.6	Pre-filtration of oil palm frond (OPF) bagasse hydrolysate	44
3.7	Filtration of oil palm frond (OPF) bagasse hydrolysate	44
3.8	High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis on filtration sample	45
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		46
4.1	Membrane characterization	46
4.1.1	Water flux stability	46
4.1.2	Pure water permeability (PWP)	49
4.2	Membrane performance for separation of xylose from glucose	50
4.2.1	Separation of single model solution	50
4.2.2	Separation of binary model solution	53
4.3	Composition dilute acid hydrolysis	57
4.3.1	Separation of oil palm frond (OPF) bagasse hydrolysate	58
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		60
5.1	Introduction	60
5.2	Recommendation	61
REFERENCES		64
APPENDIX A Single Model Solution Separation Analysis		76
APPENDIX B Binary Model Solution Separation Analysis		77
APPENDIX C OPF Bagasse Hydrolysate Separation Analysis		79

APPENDIX D Hplc Standard Curve	80
APPENDIX E List Of Publications	82

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Properties of biosugars	9
Table 2.2	Comparison of composition in raw OPF bagasse	10
Table 2.3	Estimated quantity of lignocellulosic biomass produced in Malaysia for year 2007	12
Table 2.4	Agricultural products as a potential LCB resources in Malaysia for 2015	12
Table 2.5	Summarized of enzymatic hydrolysis studies	20
Table 2.6	Comparison of acid hydrolysis	21
Table 2.7	Physical and chemical properties of acetic acid, furfural and HMF	22
Table 2.8	Properties of xylose and glucose	22
Table 2.9	Characteristic of Polyamide membrane used by researcher	31
Table 3.1	Properties of commercial NF membranes	41
Table 4.1	Pure water permeability ($L m^{-2} h^{-1} bar^{-1}$) of the pristine membranes and pure water permeability of the used membranes. Permeability was measured at 35 °C and at 2, 6 and 10 bars with ultrapure water [values shown as minimum-maximum (average \pm standard deviation)]	50
Table 4.2	Comparison between commercial membrane used in this study and previous study	52
Table 4.3	Composition from dilute nitric acid hydrolysis of biomass	57
Table 4.4	Comparison on pilot scale crossflow nanofiltration membrane of biosugar between other study	59

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Oil palm biomass & oil palm biomass fibers from oil palm tree	11
Figure 2.2	Structural organization of the plant cell wall. Cellulose is protected by degradation of hemicellulose and lignin	14
Figure 2.3	Schematic diagram of pretreatment effect on lignocellulosic biomass (LCB)	16
Figure 2.4	Classification of membrane processes	24
Figure 2.5	Schematic diagram of an open spiral wound membrane	31
Figure 2.6	Illustration of a single-stage membrane system	33
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagram of crossflow filtration and Dead-end filtration (DEF)	34
Figure 3.1	Experimental workflow	39
Figure 3.2	Schematic set-up for spiral wound module system	40
Figure 3.3	Pilot scale spiral wound membrane system	41
Figure 3.4	Treatment were performed in media containing 6 % HNO ₃ and autoclaved at 122 °C for 9 minutes	43
Figure 3.5	Schematic diagram for pre-filtration system for 2 nd . Stage	44
Figure 4.1	Water flux stability for membrane NF90 at pressure 2, 6 and 10 bar	47
Figure 4.2	Water flux stability for membrane Desal-5DL at pressure 2, 6 and 10 bar	47
Figure 4.3	Water flux stability for membrane Desal-5DK at pressure 2, 6 and 10 bar	48
Figure 4.4	Water flux stability at 10 bar for membrane NF90, Desal-5 DL and Desal-5 DK	48
Figure 4.5	Rejection of xylose and glucose for NF 90, Desal-5 DK and Desal-5 DL membrane at 10 wt. % of xylose-glucose feed concentration, 10 bar of pressure and 35 °C of temperature	51
Figure 4.6	Xylose separation factor for NF 90, Desal-5 DK and Desal-5 DL membrane at 10 wt. % of xylose-glucose feed concentration, 10 bar of pressure and 35 °C of temperature	52
Figure 4.7	Effect of transmembrane pressure (TMP) (5, 8 and 10 bar) at different total feed concentration (2, 5 and 10 wt. %) on glucose rejection (R_{glu})	54
Figure 4.8	Effect of transmembrane pressure (TMP) (5, 8 and 10 bar) at different total feed concentration (2, 5 and 10 wt. %) on xylose rejection (R_{xy})	55
Figure 4.9	Effect of transmembrane pressure (TMP) (5, 8 and 10 bar) at different total feed concentration (2, 5 and 10 wt. %) on xylose separation factor	55

Figure 4.10	Effect of ratio of sugar on xylose separation factor	56
Figure 4.11	Effect of ratio xylose to glucose on xylose separation factor	56
Figure 4.12	Effect of pressure on xylose separation factor of biosugar	59

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Effective membrane area (m^2)
C_0	Total feed concentration
C_f	Concentration of sugar in tank (gL^{-1})
C_p	Concentration of sugar in permeate (g/100 g of solution)
$C_{f,glu}$	Concentration of glucose in feed (g/100 g of solution)
$C_{f,xyl}$	Concentration of xylose in feed (g/100 g of solution)
$C_{p,glu}$	Concentration of glucose in permeate (g/100 g of solution)
$C_{p,xyl}$	Concentration of xylose in permeate (g/100 g of solution)
J_w	Water flux ($\text{L m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$)
N_{tot}	Total nitrogen
P_m	Pure water permeability ($\text{L m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1} \text{bar}^{-1}$)
Q_R	Retentate flow
R_{obs}	Observed retention of respective solute
R_{xyl}	Observed retention of xylose
R_{gluc}	Observed retention of glucose
X_{xyl}	xylose separation factor
ΔP	Different operating pressure
Δt	Measured period of time
ΔV	Volume of ultrapure water collected

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

COD	Chemical oxygen demand
DEF	Dead-end filtration
DOE	Design of experiments
DP	Degree of polymerization
EFB	Empty fruit bunch
HMF	5-hydroxymethyl-furfural
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
LCB	Lignocellulosic biomass
LHW	Liquid hot water
MW	Molecular weight
MWCO	Molecular weight cut-off
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NF	Nanofiltration
NMSS	Nanofiltration membrane separation system
NREL	National renewable energy laboratory
MPOB	Malaysian palm oil board
OPF	Oil palm frond
POME	Palm oil mill effluent
RO	Reverse osmosis
TMP	Transmembrane pressure
UF	Ultrafiltration
VCR	Volume concentration ratio

SEPARATION OF XYLOSE FROM GLUCOSE IN OIL PALM FROND (OPF)
BAGASSE HYDROLYSATE USING NANOFILTRATION MEMBRANE SYSTEM

NURUL FATIHAH BINTI MAHAMAD ROLI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Chemical Engineering & Natural Resources

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULY 2018

ABSTRAK

Pemisahan hirolisat biojisim mengandungi xilosa dan glukosa telah dilakukan dengan sistem aliran silang, menggunakan membran nanoturasan (NF) komersil dengan potongan berat molekul (MWCO) di antara 150-1000 g/mol. Xilosa merupakan produk perantaraan dalam pengeluaran xilitol, dan glukosa mengganggu dalam proses pemisahannya. Berat molekul glukosa adalah 1.2 kali ganda berat molekul xilosa dua jenis Kedua-dua jenis monosakarida ini berkemungkinan boleh dipisahkan menggunakan membran NF mengikut saiz molekul mereka berbanding keterserapan. Oleh itu kajian ini telah dilakukan bertujuan untuk menyelidik prestasi skala perintis membran komersil nanoturasan gulungan lingkaran pilin iaitu Desal-5 DK, Desal-5 DL dan NF90 untuk pemisahan xilosa dari glukosa. Larutan yang digunakan adalah biojisim daripada hampas pelepah kelapa sawit. Hemiselulosa tersari daripada hampas pelepah kelapa sawit telah dihidrolisis kepada monomer dengan menggunakan cairan hidrolisis asid. Pemisahan gula telah dimulakan menggunakan model larutan xilosa-glukosa sebelum menjalankan hidrolisa OPF. Operasi penapisan dilakukan mod kitar semula pada 5 ke 10 bar. Kepekatan gula telah dianalisa menggunakan kromatografi cecair prestasi tinggi (HPLC). Daripada kajian ini, membran Desal-5 DK memberi faktor pemisahan xilosa yang lebih tinggi iaitu pada 1.17 berbanding dengan Desal-5 DL (0.81) dan membran NF90 (0.84) apabila menggunakan model larutan. Terdapat beberapa parameter operasi digunakan untuk mengkaji prestasi membran diantaranya tekanan tranmembran (TMP), jumlah kepekatan yang masuk (C_0) dan nisbah xilosa dan glukosa. Kesan aliran silang daripada parameter operasi telah diuji menggunakan model larutan dedua. Penolakan xilosa dan glukosa bergantung kepada keberkesanan tekanan pemisahan. Merujuk kepada keputusan kajian ini, penolakan glukosa sehingga 90 % dan penolakan xilosa sehingga 80 % apabila tekanan dinaikkan. Faktor pemisah maksima 2.47 telah dicapai apabila pecahan kepekatan xilosa adalah 3.2 % dalam jumlah kepekatan yang masuk dengan 10 % glukosa. Ini adalah kerana lagi tinggi kepekatan molekul besar (glukosa) menolak molekul kecil (xilosa) melalui membran, meningkatkan penelapan xilosa. Sementara itu, nisbah xilosa kepada glukosa yang sama (50:50) pada kepekatan yang tinggi 10 % telah menggalakkan kepada kepekatan faktor pemisah yang tinggi iaitu 2.16. Pemisahan OPF hidrolisa dalam kajian ini memberi penolakan xilosa yang rendah antara 10 ke 30 %. Ini adalah kerana tekanan rendah pada 5 dan 10 bar telah diaplikasi semasa pemisahan. Faktor pemisah xilosa sehingga 1.63 apabila dinaikkan tekanan suap dan penghalang yang ada dalam hidrolisat hampas pelepah kelapa sawit tersekat lebih kerana faktor pemisah mereka yang rendah. Berkemungkinan tinggi perencat tidak dapat melalui membran kerana molekul xilosa yang besar dan menyekat mereka. Secara keseluruhan, dapat disimpulkan bahawa membran nanoturasan gulungan lingkaran pilin boleh dijadikan sebagai pemisah alternatif kos rendah serta mudah diselenggarakan yang mana ianya mempunyai potensi besar dalam pemisahan xilosa-glukosa.

ABSTRACT

Separation of biomass hydrolysate that contain glucose and xylose was done in a cross-flow system, using a commercial spiral wound nanofiltration (NF) membrane with molecular weight cut off (MWCO) ranging from 150 to 1000 g/mol. Xylose is an intermediate product in xylitol production and glucose interferes in the process of separation. The molecular weight of glucose is 1.2 times higher than the molecular weight of xylose. These two different types of monosaccharides can possibly be separated using NF membrane according to their molecular size rather than diffusivities. Thus the aim of this study are to develop and evaluate the performance of pilot scale commercial spiral wound NF membrane namely Desal-5 DK, Desal-5 DL and NF90 for separation of xylose from glucose. The feedstock used was biomass from oil palm frond (OPF) bagasse. The separation of sugar was started using xylose-glucose model solution before run with OPF hydrolysate. The filtration was operated in total recycled mode at 5 to 10 bar. The sugar concentration was analyzed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). From this study, Desal-5 DK membrane gave the higher xylose separation factor at 1.17 as compare to Desal-5 DL (0.81) and NF90 membranes (0.84) when using model solution. There are several operating parameter used to evaluate the performance of membrane, which are transmembrane pressure (TMP), total feed concentration (C_0) and ratio xylose to glucose. The crossflow effect from the operating parameter was tested using binary model solution. Xylose and glucose rejection are dependent on the effective filtration pressure. According to the result in this study, glucose rejection is up to 90 % and xylose rejections up to 80 % when pressure increased. Maximum separation factor 2.47 was achieved when xylose concentration ratio was 3.2 % in total feed concentration with 10 % of glucose. This is due to higher concentration of larger molecule (glucose) pushes smaller molecule (xylose) through the membrane, enhancing xylose permeation. Meanwhile, equal ratio of xylose to glucose (50:50) at high feed concentration, 10 % promoted to high separation factor which is 2.16. The separation of OPF hydrolysate in this present work gave low rejection of xylose between 10 to 30 %. This is due to low pressure at 5 to 10 bar was applied during the separation. The xylose separation factor was up to 1.63 when increased in feed pressure while inhibitors present in OPF bagasse hydrolysate are retained more due its lower separation factor. The inhibitors most probably cannot pass through the membrane due to xylose molecule size was bigger and block them. Overall, it can be concluded that the spiral wound nanofiltration membrane offers cost-effective and easy-maintenance, which has a potential in xylose-glucose separation.

REFERENCES

- A. Cassano, M. M. (2007). Clarification of blood orange juice by ultrafiltration: analyses of operating parameters, membrane fouling and juice quality. *Desalination* , 15-27.
- Alberto Coz, T. L. (2016). Physico-Chemical Alternatives in Lignocellulosic Materials in Relation to the Kind of Component for Fermenting Purposes. *Materials* , 574.
- Almazán, J. E., Romero-Dondiz, E. M., Rajal, V. B., and Castro-Vidaurre, E. F. (2015). Nanofiltration of glucose: Analysis of parameters and membrane characterization. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 94(September): 485–493.
- Alriksson, B., Horváth, I. S., Sjöde, A., Nilvebrant, N.-O., and Jönsson, L. J. (2005). Understanding factors that limit enzymatic hydrolysis of biomass. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 121-124(1-3): 911–22.
- Alvira, P., Tomás-Pejó, E., Ballesteros, M., and Negro, M. J. (2010). Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(13): 4851–4861.
- Äsänenä, E. R., Yströmb, M. N., Ahlsteina, J. S., and Ossavainena, O. T. (2002). Original article Comparison of commercial membranes in nanofiltration of sweet whey, 82: 343–356.
- Baker, R. W. (2012). *Membrane Technology and Applications*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Beier, S. P. (2007). Osmotic Pressure Difference. *Pressure Driven Membrane Processes*, 4–22.
- Bhaumik and Dhepe, P. L. (2015). *Conversion of biomass into sugars. Catalyst & Inorganis Division*. Pune,India.
- Bidlack, J., Malone, M., and Benson, R. (1992). Molecular structure and component integration of secondary cell walls in plants. *Proceedings of the Oklahoma Academy of Science*, 72: 51–56.
- Binod, P., Janu, K. U., Sindhu, R., and Pandey, A. (2011). *Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass for bioethanol production. Biofuels* (1st ed.). Elsevier Inc.

- Boussu, K., Van der Bruggen, B., Volodin, a., Van Haesendonck, C., Delcour, J. a., Van der Meeren, P., and Vandecasteele, C. (2006). Characterization of commercial nanofiltration membranes and comparison with self-made polyethersulfone membranes. *Desalination*, 191(1-3): 245–253.
- Boussu, K., Vandecasteele, C., and der Bruggen, B. (2008). Relation between membrane characteristics and performance in nanofiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 310(1): 51–65.
- Bouza, R. J., Gu, Z., and Evans, J. H. (2016). Screening conditions for acid pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis of empty fruit bunches. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 84: 67–71.
- Bowen, W., and Mohammad, A. A. W. (1998). Characterization and prediction of nanofiltration membrane performance—a general assessment. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 76(8): 885–893.
- Bowen, W., Mohammad, A. W., and Hilal, N. (1997). Characterisation of nanofiltration membranes for predictive purposes—use of salts, uncharged solutes and atomic force microscopy. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 126(1): 91–105.
- Chai, G.-Y., Greenberg, a. R., and Krantz, W. B. (2007). Ultrasound, gravimetric, and SEM studies of inorganic fouling in spiral-wound membrane modules. *Desalination*, 208(1-3): 277–293.
- Cheng, J., Su, H., Zhou, J., Song, W., and Cen, K. (2011). Microwave-assisted alkali pretreatment of rice straw to promote enzymatic hydrolysis and hydrogen production in dark- and photo-fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36(3): 2093–2101.
- da Silva, J. G., & Gurria, A. (2016). *OECD-FAO*. Paris.
- da Silva, A. S., Sobral Teixeira, R. S., Oliveira, R. de, Santana, V., de Barros, R. D. R. O., Antonieta, M., and da Silva Bo, E. P. (2013). Sugarcane and Woody Biomass Pretreatments for Ethanol Production. *Sustainable Degradation of Lignocellulosic Biomass - Techniques, Applications and Commercialization*, 47–88.
- da Silva, S. S., and Chandel, A. K. (2012). *D-Xylitol: Fermentative Production, Application and Commercialization*. (S. S. da Silva & A. K. Chandel, Eds.). Springer.
- Dieter, B., and Udo, K. (2004). World Market of Sugar and Sweetener. *International Association for Stevia Research e.V.*, 1–60.

- Du, J., Cao, Y., Liu, G., Zhao, J., Li, X., and Qu, Y. (2017). Identifying and overcoming the effect of mass transfer limitation on decreased yield in enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose at high solid concentrations. *Bioresource Technology*, 229: 88–95.
- FAO. (2015). *Statistic of crop production in the world. Food and Agriculture Organization 2015. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.* Rome.
- Feng, Y. M., Chang, X. L., Wang, W. H., and Ma, R. Y. (2009). Separation of galacto-oligosaccharides mixture by nanofiltration. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 40(3): 326–332.
- Flickinger, M. C., and Drew, S. W. (1999). *Encyclopedia of bioprocess technology: fermentation, biocatalysis, and bioseparation.* John Wiley.
- Gabhane, J., William, S., Vaidya, A., Anand, D., and Wate, S. (2014). Pretreatment of garden biomass by alkali-assisted ultrasonication: effects on enzymatic hydrolysis and ultrastructural changes. *Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering*, 12(1): 76.
- Ghaffar, S. H., and Fan, M. (2013). Structural analysis for lignin characteristics in biomass straw. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 57(August): 264–279.
- Ghosh, A. K., and Hoek, E. M. V. (2009). Impacts of support membrane structure and chemistry on polyamide-polysulfone interfacial composite membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 336(1-2): 140–148.
- Goh, C. S., Tan, H. T., Lee, K. T., and Mohamed, A. R. (2010). Optimizing ethanolic hot compressed water (EHCW) cooking as a pretreatment to glucose recovery for the production of fuel ethanol from oil palm frond (OPF). *Fuel Processing Technology*, 91(9): 1146–1151.
- Grandison, a. S., Goulas, A. K., and Rastall, R. a. (2002). The use of dead-end and cross-flow nanofiltration to purify prebiotic oligosaccharides from reaction mixtures. *J. Sci. Technology*, (April 2003).
- Harmsen, P., and Huijgen, W. (2010). Literature Review of Physical and Chemical Pretreatment Processes for Lignocellulosic Biomass, (September): 1–49.
- Hashim, F. S. (2017). Production Of Renewable Glucose from Oil Palm Frond Bagasse by Using Sacchariseb C6 through Enzymatic Hydrolysis. Universiti Malaysia Pahang.

- Hassan, O., Ling, T. P., Maskat, M. Y., Illias, R. M., Badri, K., Jahim, J., and Mahadi, N. M. (2013). Optimization of pretreatments for the hydrolysis of oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber (EFBF) using enzyme mixtures. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 56: 137–146.
- Hilal, N., Al-Abri, M., Al-Hinai, H., and Abu-Arabi, M. (2008). Characterization and retention of NF membranes using PEG, HS and polyelectrolytes. *Desalination*, 221(August 2015): 284–293.
- Howard et al. (2003). Lignocellulose biotechnology: issues of bioconversion and enzyme production. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2(12): 602–619.
- Ismail, A. F., Padaki, M., Hilal, N., Matsuura, T., and Lau, W. J. (2015). Thin film composite membrane - Recent development and future potential. *Desalination*, 356: 140–148.
- K. O, Lim et., A. (2000). 265-502-1-PB.pdf. *International Energy Journal*, 1: 77–88.
- Kaur, P. P., Arneja, J. S., and Singh, J. (1998). Enzymic hydrolysis of rice straw by crude cellulase from *Trichoderma reesei*. *Bioresource Technology*, 66(3): 267–269.
- Kim, S. M., Dien, B. S., Tumbleson, M. E., Rausch, K. D., and Singh, V. (2016). Improvement of sugar yields from corn stover using sequential hot water pretreatment and disk milling. *Bioresource Technology*, 216: 706–713.
- Koros, W. J., Ma, Y. H., and Shimidzu, T. (1996). Terminology for Membranes and Membrane Processes. *Internation Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 68(7): 1479–1489.
- Kujundzic, E., Ph, D., Cobry, K., Greenberg, A. R., and Hernandez, M. (2008). Use of Ultrasonic Sensors for Characterization of Membrane Fouling and Cleaning, 35–44.
- Kumar, P., Barrett, D. M., Delwiche, M. J., and Stroeve, P. (2009). Methods for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for efficient hydrolysis and biofuel production. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*.
- Lakra, R., Saranya, R., Lukka Thuyavan, Y., Sugashini, S., Begum, K. M. M. S., and Arthanareeswaran, G. (2013). Separation of acetic acid and reducing sugars from biomass derived hydrosylate using biopolymer blend polyethersulfone membrane. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 118: 853–861.

- Lalia, B. S., Kochkodan, V., Hashaikeh, R., and Hilal, N. (2013). A review on membrane fabrication: Structure, properties and performance relationship. *Desalination*, 326: 77–95.
- Lau, W. J., Ismail, a. F., Goh, P. S., Hilal, N., and Ooi, B. S. (2015). Characterization Methods of Thin Film Composite Nanofiltration Membranes. *Separation & Purification Reviews*, 44(2): 135–156.
- Lefebure, N. (2014). Performance and fouling behaviour of novel generations. Belgium: Ghent University.
- Lee, H. V., Hamid, S. B. A., and Zain, S. K. (2014). Conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to nanocellulose: Structure and chemical process. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014.
- Lenihan, P., Orozco, A., O'Neill, E., Ahmad, M. N. M., Rooney, D. W., and Walker, G. M. (2010). Dilute acid hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 156(2): 395–403.
- Li, H., Wang, X., Liu, C., Ren, J., Zhao, X., Sun, R., and Wu, A. (2016). An efficient pretreatment for the selectively hydrothermal conversion of corncob into furfural: The combined mixed ball milling and ultrasonic pretreatments. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 94: 721–728.
- Luo, J., and Wan, Y. (2013). Desalination of effluents with highly concentrated salt by nanofiltration: From laboratory to pilot-plant. *Desalination*, 315: 91–99.
- Ma, H., Liu, W. W., Chen, X., Wu, Y. J., and Yu, Z. L. (2009). Enhanced enzymatic saccharification of rice straw by microwave pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(3): 1279–1284.
- Mah, K. H. (2016). *Optimization of Interfacial Polymaerization Thin Film Composite Membrane for Separation of Xylose from Glucose*. Universiti Malaysia Pahang.
- Mah, K. H., Yussof, H. W., Jalanni, N. a, Seman, M. N. A., and Zainol, N. (2014). Separation of Xylose from Glucose Using Thin Film Composite (TFC) Nanofiltration Membrane: Effect of Pressure, Total Sugar Concentration and Xylose/Glucose Ratio. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 70(1): 93–98.
- Mai, H. C. (2017). Application of Cross-Flow Filtration Technique in purification and concentration of juice from vietnamese fruit. *Beverages* , 15-44.

- Mänttari, M. P. (2006). Effect of pH on hydrophilicity and charge and their effect on the filtration efficiency of NF membranes at different pH. *J. Membr. Sci.* , 280: 311–320.
- Marchetti, P., Solomon, M. F. J., Szekely, G., and Livingston, A. G. (2014). Molecular Separation with Organic Solvent Nanofiltration : A Critical Review. *Chem. Rev.*, 114: 10735–10806.
- Masniroszaim Md Zain, A. W. (2016). Clarification of Glucose from Cellulose Hydrolysate by. *International Journal of Biomass & Renewables* , 14 - 18.
- Maria, A., Galletti, R., and Antonetti, C. (2011). *Biomass pre-treatment: separation of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Existing technologies and perspectives.* Italy.
- McIntosh, S., and Vancov, T. (2010). Enhanced enzyme saccharification of Sorghum bicolor straw using dilute alkali pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(17): 6718–6727.
- Meng, X., and Ragauskas, A. J. (2014). Recent advances in understanding the role of cellulose accessibility in enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic substrates. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 27: 150–158.
- Michaels, S. (1989). Crossflow Microfilters: The Ins and Outs. *Chemical Engineering* , 84-91.
- Michelin, M., and Teixeira, J. A. (2016). Liquid hot water pretreatment of multi feedstocks and enzymatic hydrolysis of solids obtained thereof. *Bioresource Technology*, 216: 862–869.
- Mohagheghi, A., Evans, K., Chou, Y.-C., and Zhang, M. (2002). Cofermentation of glucose, xylose, and arabinose by genomic DNA-integrated xylose/arabinose fermenting strain of *Zymomonas mobilis* AX101. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 98-100: 885–898.
- Mohammad, a. W., Teow, Y. H., Ang, W. L., Chung, Y. T., Oatley-Radcliffe, D. L., and Hilal, N. (2015). Nanofiltration membranes review: Recent advances and future prospects. *Desalination*, 356: 226–254.
- Mohd Nor, N. (2008). The Effects Of Hydrothermal Treatment On The Physico – Chemical Properties Of Oil Palm Frond (OPF) Derived Hemicellulose by Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements. Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang.

- Moreno-Vilet, L., Bonnin-Paris, J., Bostyn, S., Ruiz-Cabrera, M. a., and Moscós-Santillán, M. (2014). Assessment of Sugars Separation From a Model Carbohydrates Solution by Nanofiltration Using a Design of Experiments (DOE) Methodology. *Separation and Purification Technology*.
- Morthensen, S. T., Luo, J., Meyer, A. S., Jørgensen, H., and Pinelo, M. (2015). High performance separation of xylose and glucose by enzyme assisted nanofiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science*.
- Mosier, N., Wyman, C., Dale, B., Elander, R., Lee, Y. Y., Holtzapple, M., and Ladisch, M. (2005). Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 96(6): 673–686.
- Motta, F., Andrade, C., and Santana, M. (2013). A Review of Xylanase Production by the Fermentation of Xylan: Classification, Characterization and Applications. *Intech*, Chapter 10: 251–275.
- Mousavi, S. M., and Moghadam, M. T. (2009). Separation of Sugar from Molasses by Ultrafiltration and Nanofiltration. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 7(5): 632–636.
- Muktham, R., Ball, A. S., Bhargava, S. K., and Bankupalli, S. (2016). Bioethanol production from non-edible de-oiled Pongamia pinnata seed residue-optimization of acid hydrolysis followed by fermentation. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 94: 490–497.
- Mulder, M. (1996). Basic Principles of Membrane Technology. *Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie* (second.). AA Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Murthy, G. S., Sridhar, S., Shyam Sunder, M., Shankaraiah, B., and Ramakrishna, M. (2005). Concentration of xylose reaction liquor by nanofiltration for the production of xylitol sugar alcohol. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 44(3): 221–228.
- Mussatto, S. I., Dragone, G., Fernandes, M., Milagres, A. M. F., and Roberto, I. C. (2008). The effect of agitation speed, enzyme loading and substrate concentration on enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose from brewer's spent grain. *Cellulose*, 15(5): 711–721.
- Nanda, S., Dalai, A. K., and Kozinski, J. A. (2014). Butanol and ethanol production from lignocellulosic feedstock: biomass pretreatment and bioconversion. *Energy Science and Engineering*, 2(3): 138–148.

- Nilsson, M., Trägårdh, G., and Östergren, K. (2008). The influence of pH, salt and temperature on nanofiltration performance. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 312(1-2): 97–106.
- Nipat Sritrakul, S. N. (2017). Evaluation of dilute acid pretreatment for bioethanol fermentation from sugarcane bagasse pith. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 512-519.
- Noparat, P., Prasertsan, P., O-Thong, S., and Pan, X. (2015). *Dilute Acid Pretreatment of Oil Palm Trunk Biomass at High Temperature for Enzymatic Hydrolysis. Energy Procedia* (Vol. 79). Elsevier B.V.
- Ofori-Boateng, C., Lee, K. T., and Saad, B. (2014). A biorefinery concept for simultaneous recovery of cellulosic ethanol and phenolic compounds from oil palm fronds: Process optimization. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 81: 192–200.
- Orozco, a., Ahmad, M., Rooney, D., and Walker, G. (2007). Dilute Acid Hydrolysis of Cellulose and Cellulosic Bio-Waste Using a Microwave Reactor System. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 85(5): 446–449.
- Patil, N. V., Feng, X., Sewalt, J. J. W., Boom, R. M., and Janssen, A. E. M. (2015). Separation of an inulin mixture using cascaded nanofiltration. *Separation and Purification Technology*.
- Pinelo, M., Jonsson, G., and Meyer, A. S. (2009). Membrane technology for purification of enzymatically produced oligosaccharides: Molecular and operational features affecting performance. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 70(1): 1–11.
- Qi, B., Luo, J., Chen, X., Hang, X., and Wan, Y. (2011). Separation of furfural from monosaccharides by nanofiltration. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(14): 7111–8.
- Rafiqul, I. S. M., Sakinah, a. M. M., and Mimi Sakinah, a. M. (2012). Design of process parameters for the production of xylose from wood sawdust. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 90(9): 431–437.
- Rahimpour, A., Jahanshahi, M., and Peyravi, M. (2014). Development of pilot scale nanofiltration system for yeast industry wastewater treatment. *Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering*, 12(1): 55.
- Richard D. Noble and S. Alexander. (1995). *Membrane Separations Technology - Principles and Applications. Elsevier*, 2.

- Road, B. (2007). Novel Fouling-Reducing Coatings for Ultrafiltration, Nanofiltration , and Reverse Osmosis Membranes.
- Rodríguez-Chong, A., Ramírez, J. A., Garrote, G., and Vázquez, M. (2004). Hydrolysis of sugar cane bagasse using nitric acid: A kinetic assessment. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 61(2): 143–152.
- Romero, I., Ruiz, E., Castro, E., and Moya, M. (2010). Acid hydrolysis of olive tree biomass. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 88(5-6): 633–640.
- Rovio et al. (2008). Determination of monosaccharide composition in plant fiber materials by capillary zone electrophoresis. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1185(1): 139–144.
- Rui Nuno Leitão de Carvalho. (2009). Dilute acid and enzymatic hydrolysis of sugarcane bagasse for biogas production. *Thesis*, 109.
- Sabiha-Hanim, S., Noor, M. A. M., and Rosma, A. (2011). Effect of autohydrolysis and enzymatic treatment on oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) frond fibres for xylose and xylooligosaccharides production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2): 1234–9.
- Salgado, C. M., Palacio, L., Pr??danos, P., Hern??ndez, A., Gonz??lez-Huerta, C., and P??rez-Magari??o, S. (2015). Comparative study of red grape must nanofiltration: Laboratory and pilot plant scales. *Food and Bioproducts Processing*.
- Schaller, J., Drews, A., & Kraume, M. (2006). Analyses and modelling of filtration processes in membrane and test. *Desalination* , 24-28.
- Senthilmurugan, S., Ahluwalia, A., and Gupta, S. K. (2005). Modeling of a spiral-wound module and estimation of model parameters using numerical techniques. *Desalination*, 173(3): 269–286.
- Sharma, A. K. (2017). Recent updates on different methods of pretreatment of lignocellulosic feedstocks: a review. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing* , 4(1): 7.
- Shri Ramaswamy, Hua-Jian Huang, B. V. R. (2013). *Separation and Purification Technologies in Biorefineries*.
- Sills, D. L., and Gossett, J. M. (2011). Assessment of commercial hemicellulases for saccharification of alkaline pretreated perennial biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2): 1389–1398.

- Singh, P., Sulaiman, O., Hashim, R., Peng, L. C., and Singh, R. P. (2013). Using biomass residues from oil palm industry as a raw material for pulp and paper industry: Potential benefits and threat to the environment. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 15: 367–383.
- Siti-Normah, M., Sabiha-Hanim, S., and Noraishah, A. (2012). Effects of pH, Temperature, Enzyme and Substrate Concentration on Xylooligosaccharides Production. *Waset.org*, 6: 1391–1395.
- Sjoman, E., Manttari, M., Nystrom, M., Koivikko, H., and Heikkila, H. (2007). Separation of xylose from glucose by nanofiltration from concentrated monosaccharide solutions. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 292(1-2): 106–115.
- Sjöman, E., Mänttari, M., Nyström, M., Koivikko, H., and Heikkilä, H. (2008). Xylose recovery by nanofiltration from different hemicellulose hydrolyzate feeds. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 310(1-2): 268–277.
- Sudiyani, Y., Styarini, D., Triwahyuni, E., Sembiring, K. C., Aristiawan, Y., Abimanyu, H., and Han, M. H. (2013). Utilization of Biomass Waste Empty Fruit Bunch Fiber of Palm Oil for Bioethanol Production Using Pilot–Scale Unit. *Energy Procedia*, 32: 31–38.
- Sukri, S., and Rahman, R. (2014). Optimization of Alkaline Pretreatment Conditions of Oil Palm Fronds in Improving the Lignocelluloses Contents for Reducing Sugar Production. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, 19(1): 9006–9018.
- Sulaiman, O., Salim, N., Nordin, N. A., Hashim, R., Ibrahim, M., and Sato, M. (2012). The potential of oil palm trunk biomass as an alternative source for compressed wood. *BioResources*, 7: 2688–2706.
- Sun, S., Cao, X., Sun, S., Xu, F., Song, X., Sun, R.-C., and Jones, G. L. (2014). Improving the enzymatic hydrolysis of thermo-mechanical fiber from Eucalyptus urophylla by a combination of hydrothermal pretreatment and alkali fractionation. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 7(1): 116.
- Syafwina, I., and I, Y. H. (2002). Pre-treatment of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch by White-rot Fungi for Enzymatic Saccharification, (January): 2–3.
- Tan, H. T., and Lee, K. T. (2012). Understanding the impact of ionic liquid pretreatment on biomass and enzymatic hydrolysis. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 183: 448–458.

- Tan, J. P., Jahim, J. M., Harun, S., Wu, T. Y., and Mumtaz, T. (2016). Utilization of oil palm fronds as a sustainable carbon source in biorefineries. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 41(8): 4896–4906.
- Van Der Bruggen, B., Schaep, J., Wilms, D., and Vandecasteele, C. (1999). Influence of molecular size, polarity and charge on the retention of organic molecules by nanofiltration. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 156: 29–41.
- Velmurugan, R., and Muthukumar, K. (2012). Ultrasound-assisted alkaline pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse for fermentable sugar production: Optimization through response surface methodology. *Bioresource Technology*, 112: 293–299.
- Verardi, A., Bari, I. De, Ricca, E., and Calabrò, V. (2012). Hydrolysis of Lignocellulosic Biomass: Current Status of Processes and Technologies and Future Perspectives. *Bioethanol*, 290.
- Vittaya Punsuvon. (2013). *Optimization of Delignification and Enzyme Hydrolysis of Steam Exploded Oil Palm Trunk for Ethanol Production by Response Surface Methodology*. Bangkok.
- Vyas, H. K. (1999). Crossflow microfiltration of suspensions containing lactalbumin particles. Palmerston, New Zealand: Massey University.
- Wang, H., and Zhou, H. (2013). Understand the basics of membrane filtration. *Chemical Engineering Progress*, 109(April): 33–40.
- Wang, T., Meng, Y., Qin, Y., Feng, W., and Wang, C. (2017). Removal of furfural and HMF from monosaccharides by nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes. *Journal of the Energy Institute*.
- Warner, T. N., and Nochumson, S. (2003). Rethinking the economics of chromatography: New technologies and hidden costs. *BioPharm International*, 16(1): 58–60.
- Waters, G. (2010). GE Power & Water (Water & Process Technologies), 2–3.
- Weng, Y. H., Wei, H. J., Tsai, T. Y., Chen, W. H., Wei, T. Y., Hwang, W. S., Huang, C. P. (2009). Separation of acetic acid from xylose by nanofiltration. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 67(1): 95–102.

- Weng, Y. H., Wei, H. J., Tsai, T. Y., Lin, T. H., Wei, T. Y., Guo, G. L., and Huang, C. P. (2010). Separation of furans and carboxylic acids from sugars in dilute acid rice straw hydrolyzates by nanofiltration. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(13): 4889–4894.
- Winter, D., Koschikowski, J., and Ripperger, S. (2012). Desalination using membrane distillation: Flux enhancement by feed water deaeration on spiral-wound modules. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 423-424: 215–224.
- Xu, P., Bellona, C., and Drewes, J. E. (2010). Fouling of nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes during municipal wastewater reclamation: Membrane autopsy results from pilot-scale investigations. *Journal of Membrane Science*.
- Yang, B., Dai, Z., Ding, S., and Wyman, C. E. (2011). Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulosic biomass. *Biofuels*, 2(4): 421–450.
- Yuan, Z., Long, J., Wang, T., Shu, R., Zhang, Q., and Ma, L. (2015). Process intensification effect of ball milling on the hydrothermal pretreatment for corn straw enzymolysis. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 101: 481–488.
- Yusoff, Z. (2012). Review of Research Activities on Malaysian Palm Oil-Based Green Technology, 1(2): 89–106.
- Zahari, M. H. (2012). *Production and Separation of Glucose from Cellulose Hydrolysate using Membrane Reactor: Effect of Transmembrane Pressure and Cross Flow Velocity*. Pahang.
- Zakaria, M. R., Hirata, S., Fujimoto, S., and Hassan, M. A. (2015). Combined pretreatment with hot compressed water and wet disk milling opened up oil palm biomass structure resulting in enhanced enzymatic digestibility. *Bioresource Technology*, 193: 128–134.
- Zhang, R., Lu, X. B., Sun, Y. S., Wang, X. Y., and Zhang, S. T. (2011). Modeling and optimization of dilute nitric acid hydrolysis on corn stover. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 86(July 2010): 306–314.
- Zhou, F., Wang, C., and Wei, J. (2013). Separation of acetic acid from monosaccharides by NF and RO membranes: Performance comparison. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 429: 243–251.