



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : IR ADNAN BIN ZULKIPLE

Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
TECHNOLOGY

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MOHAMED M MUFTAH NASER

ID Number : MAC14001

Date : 31 October 2017

INVESTIGATION OF PEDESTRIAN CROSSING FACILITIES ALONG JALAN
AMPANG

MOHAMED M MUFTAH NASER

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

October 2017

DEDICATED TO

My

Parents;

Brothers and sisters

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and for most, I want to give all the praise and glory to my Almighty Allah. I am greatly grateful for all the difficulties and testing He put upon me for my own sake in the future.

I would like especially to thank my supervisor ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR ADNAN BIN ZULKIPLE for her advice and guidance in the development of this research. It has truly been a pleasure to work with you, and I appreciate the supervision you have given me to accomplish this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Universiti Malaysia Pahang for granting me a master with their support, my ambition to study abroad can hardly be realized. It was a wonderful place to work, and they are very dedicated people. I gained so much from it. Furthermore, special thanks to the academic, management and technical staff in Faculty of Engineering & Earth Resources and the staff of Institute of Postgraduate Studies (IPS) in UMP. I thank all my friends and colleagues for every bit of support; I thank to all Malaysian people whom I met, for their openness, friendship and hospitality.

At last and most important, I would like to thank my parents and all family members for their open-mindedness and endless support. They are in my heart. Without the help and support of Allah, supervisors and all these people, this thesis would not be completed.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
DEDICATED TO	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ABSTRAK	v
TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Objectives of the Research	6
1.4 Scopes of the Research	6
1.5 Significance and Contribution of the Research	6
1.6 Thesis Organization	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Pedestrian Safety	8

2.2.1	Methods to Improve Pedestrian Safety	9
2.3	Pedestrian Facilities	10
2.3.1	Pedestrian Needs	10
2.3.2	Acceptable Walking Distances	11
2.3.3	Factors Affecting Pedestrian Demands	12
2.3.4	Pedestrian Facility Design	12
2.4	Signalized intersection crosswalks	17
2.5	Related Work	18
2.6	Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) Methodology	29
2.6.1	Level of Service LOS	30
2.6.2	Types of Facilities	30
2.6.3	Limitations of the Methodology	30
2.6.4	Determining Pedestrian Walking Speed	31
2.6.5	Determining Effective Walkway Width	31
2.6.6	Uninterrupted-flow Pedestrian Facilities	33
2.6.7	Walkways and Sidewalks	33
2.6.8	Effect of Platoons on Walkways and Sidewalks	34
2.6.9	Cross Flows	35
2.6.10	Queuing Areas	35
2.6.11	Interrupted-flow Pedestrian Facilities by Signalized Intersections	35
2.7	Summary	36
 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		 37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Study Area	37
3.3	Study Approaches	44

3.4	Equipment Used in Data Collection	46
3.4.1	Measuring Tape	46
3.4.2	Video Camera	47
3.4.3	Stop watch	47
3.5	Observation	48
3.5.1	Peak Hour Traffic Duration	48
3.5.2	Observation on Pedestrian	52
3.5.3	Walking, Waiting and Crossing Times	53
3.6	Data Collection of the pedestrian walkway facilities	58
3.6.1	Pedestrian Level of Service	58
3.6.2	Determining Effective Walkway Width	60
3.6.3	Uninterrupted-Flow Pedestrian Facilities	60
3.7	Data Analysis	61
3.8	The Level of Service Walkway Facilities of the Study area	61
3.9	Summary	61
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		63
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Observation on Pedestrian Behaviour	63
4.2.1	Behavior Based on Volume	63
4.2.2	Behavior Based on Travel Time for Pedestrian Using the Signalized Crossing	66
4.2.3	Behavior Based on Travel Time for Pedestrian at illegal Crossing	72
4.3	Pedestrian walkway facilities existing condition	77
4.3.1	Analysis of the Walkway Level of Service (LOS)	83
4.4	Summary	84

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	85
5.1 Introduction	85
5.2 Conclusion	85
5.3 Recommendation	85
REFERENCES	87
APPENDIX A1 RESULTS	93
APPENDIX A2 RESULTS	96
APPENDIX B DATA ANALYSIS OF SEVERITY LEVEL	99
List OF PUBLICATIONS	115

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Pedestrian crossing speed at signalized crosswalk	20
Table 2.2	Pedestrians crossing patterns	21
Table 2.3	Deaths by Vulnerable road user VRU category	26
Table 2.4	Pre-emption of walkway width	32
Table 2.5	Average flow LOS criteria for walkways and sidewalks	34
Table 2.6	Platoon-adjusted LOS criteria for walkways and sidewalks	34
Table 2.7	Lists LOS E criteria for pedestrian facilities with cross flows	35
Table 2.8	LOS at queuing area	35
Table 2.9	LOS criteria for pedestrians at signalized intersections	36
Table 3.1	The Table of Volume Survey for data collection	50
Table 3.2	Sidewalk or Walkway Level of Service Classification	58
Table 4.1	The Volume of Pedestrian Crossing Street at signalised and illegal spots	64
Table 4.2	Platoon Signalised Crossing for Zone1 (a) in the morning (seconds)	68
Table 4.3	Platoon Signalised Crossing for Zone1 (b) in the afternoon (seconds)	69
Table 4.4	Platoon Signalised Crossing for Zone 1 (c) in the evening (seconds).	70
Table 4.5	Platoon Signalised Crossing for Zone 1 (d) Analysis of severity level	71
Table 4.6	Pedestrian Crossing Time at Illegal for Zone 1 (a) in the morning (seconds)	73
Table 4.7	Pedestrian Crossing Time at Illegal for Zone 1 (b) in the afternoon (seconds)	74
Table 4.8	Pedestrian Crossing Time at Illegal for Zone 1 (c) in the Evening (seconds)	75
Table 4.9	Pedestrian Crossing Time at Illegal for zone 1 (d) Analysis of severity level	76
Table 4.10	Walkway 1: From Shahzan Court Apartment to Gleneagles Hospital	83
Table 4.11	Walkway 2: From Great Eastern Mall to Libyan School	83

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Distribution of different Road Injuries	3
Figure 2.1	Sidewalk or Walkways in Jalan Ampang	13
Figure 2.2	Pedestrian Marked Crosswalk	14
Figure 2.3	Pedestrian Overpass at Jalan Ampang	16
Figure 2.4:	Pedestrian walking speed based on pedestrian and traffic characteristics at selected intersection crosswalk in Mumbai	19
Figure 2.5	Scenario at the LRT station and main road traffic light pedestrian way Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	21
Figure 2.6	Careless pedestrians in Egyptian roadsides	22
Figure 2.7	Pedestrians speed versus educational levels	27
Figure 2.8	Critical mid-block signalized pedestrian road crossing section	27
Figure 2.9:	Pedestrian's walkway (43.121 km) around Kuala Lumpur city	28
Figure 2.10	Pedestrian's attitude with mobile phone	29
Figure 3.1	Study Area at Jalan Ampang, Kuala Lumpur	38
Figure 3.2	Enlarge Map of Study Site	39
Figure 3.3	Menara Perkeso	39
Figure 3.4	Great Eastern Life (offices Mall)	40
Figure 3.5	Gleneagles hospital	40
Figure 3.6	Suria Jelatek Condominium	41
Figure 3.7	Libyan School	41
Figure 3.8	Bas Stop near Great Eastern	42
Figure 3.9	Embassy condominium	42
Figure 3.10	Condominium Villa Ama	43
Figure 3.11	Bas Stop near Libya School	43
Figure 3.12	Flowchart for objective 1	44
Figure 3.13	Flowchart for objective 2	45
Figure 3.14	Measuring Tape	46
Figure 3.15	Video Camera	47
Figure 3.16	Stop watch	48
Figure 3.17	Traffic Volume on Jalan Ampang during peak hours	49
Figure 3.18	Pedestrian Platoon Count at a Signalised Crossing	52
Figure 3.19	Pedestrian Count at an illegal crossing spot	53
Figure 3.20	Pedestrians platoons at a Signalised Crossing	54

Figure 3.21	Pedestrian crossing illegally near Gleneagles hospital (at edge of the street)	55
Figure 3.22	Pedestrian crossing illegally near Gleneagles hospital (in the middle of the street)	55
Figure 3.23	Pedestrian illegally crossing near traffic light (at edge of street)	56
Figure 3.24	Pedestrian crossing illegally near traffic light (in middle of the street)	56
Figure 3.25	Pedestrian crossing illegally near Libya School noon time (at edge of the street)	57
Figure 3.26	Pedestrian crossing illegally near Libya School noon time (in middle of the street)	57
Figure 4.1	Pedestrian Crossing Street at Signalised Crossing Spot	65
Figure 4.2	Pedestrian Crossing Street at Illegal Crossing Spot	65
Figure 4.3	Observation of Traffic Volume at survey site	66
Figure 4.4	Starting point of pedestrian walkway width from Great Eastern Mall to Libyan School (KM0 +000)	77
Figure 4.5	Starting point of pedestrian walkway width from Shahzan Court to Gleneagles Hospital (KM0+ 000)	78
Figure 4.6	The second point of pedestrian walkway width from Great Eastern Mall to Libyan School in (KM0+150)	79
Figure 4.7	Second point of pedestrian walkway width from Shahzan Court to Gleneagles Hospital in (KM0+150)	79
Figure 4.8	The third point of pedestrian walkway width from Great Eastern Mall to Libyan School in (KM0+250)	80
Figure 4.9	The third point of pedestrian walkway width from Shahzan Court to Gleneagles Hospital (KM0+250)	80
Figure 4.10	The ourth point of pedestrian walkway width from Great Eastern Mall to Libyan School (KM0+ 350)	81
Figure 4.11	The fourth point of pedestrian walkway width from Gleneagles Hospital (KM0+ 350)	81
Figure 4.12	The end point of pedestrian walkway width from Great Eastern Mall to Libyan School (KM0+500)	82
Figure 4.13	The end point of pedestrian walkway width from Shahzan Court to Gleneagles Hospital (KM 0+500)	82
Figure 4.14	Proposed walkway width widening	84

LIST OF SYMBOLS

tc	Estimate a Critical
Sp	Pedestrian Speed
L	Length
ts	Start Time
MOY	Motorist Yield
PVI	Pedestrian Vehicle Interaction
GH	Gleneagles hospital
AC	Ampangmuir condo
SJC	Sulajelatek condo
BST	Bus stop near traffic light
SJCS	Ampangmuir Condo to School
EVC	Embassy View Condo
EBK	Embassy of Korea
SERC	Suites Embassy row condo
VAC	Villa Ampang condo
SMC	Sri Mahkota condo
SCC	Shahzan court condo
EM	Great Eastern Life (offices, Mall)
BSS	Bus stop school
MB	My Bank Branch
LS	Libyan School
VMC	Villa Aman condo
BSG	Bus Stop Gleneagles
A971C	Ampang 971 condo
BSE	Bus stop near Great Eastern

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NHTS	National Highway Transportation Safety Administration
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
MUTCD	Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
LRT	Light Rapid Transit
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
PGA	Pedestrian Gap Acceptance
VRU	Deaths by Vulnerable road user
ISI	Intersection Safety Indices
AKLEH	Ampang-Kuala Lumpur Elevated Highway
LOS	Level of Service
MRR2	KL Middle Ring Road

INVESTIGATION OF PEDESTRIAN CROSSING FACILITIES ALONG JALAN
AMPANG

MOHAMED M MUFTAH NASER

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

October 2017

ABSTRACT

Most individual journey no matter what the basic user mode, starts or ends with walking section; so, walking is an essential element of all travel. Walking Behavior the pedestrian flow characteristics lay the foundation for planning and design walking facilities. Therefore, needs must be access to pedestrian facilities in the design of transport facilities. Includes pedestrian facilities Sidewalks, tracks, corridors, and stairs, reduction of cuts and ladders, and transit positions. These facilities should be carefully assessed for mitigation Congestion and enhanced walking in the central business area and sustainable society. But traditional valuation methods are flawed, new the approach to improving the current assessment must be constantly investigated Methods. The study assesses corridors and passages in the city Kuala Lumpur, Jalan Ampang. The results for traffic volume flow with the pedestrian crossing account at signalized and illegal indicated that the number for all vehicles, motorcycle, adult male, adult female, pedestrian signalized crossing and pedestrian illegal crossing varies considerably depending on the time range (30 minutes). The total number of 4-wheel vehicles were more than the total number of motorcycles. Also, the total number of pedestrians on the signalized and crossing in relation to the pedestrians crossing street, pedestrian crossing behavior (walked/origin time or waiting/crossing time to walked/destination time) were recorded and analyzed video graphic survey methods was used for pedestrian counts, having advantage over the manual method. Flow, speed, density and effective width of the walkway were the variables measured. Some on the positions identified, or office after extracting the data from the video. Hand tape, masking tape, stop watch and video camera/ tripod stand were the tools/apparatus used on various positions for collecting data. The flow rate calculated, in conjunction with HCM were used to estimate LOS. Analysis was conducted on the flaws identified so as to improve on the current method of estimation.

ABSTRAK

Kebanyakan perjalanan individu tidak kira mod pengguna asas, bermula atau berakhir dengan bahagian berjalan; Jadi berjalan adalah unsur penting dalam semua perjalanan. Berjalan Perilaku ciri aliran pejalan kaki meletakkan asas untuk perancangan dan reka bentuk kemudahan berjalan kaki. Oleh itu, keperluan perlu akses kepada kemudahan pejalan kaki dalam reka bentuk kemudahan pengangkutan. Termasuk kemudahan pejalan kaki Sidewalks, trek, koridor, dan tangga, pengurangan potongan dan tangga, dan kedudukan transit. Kemudahan ini harus dinilai dengan teliti untuk mitigasi Kesyakan dan berjalan kaki yang lebih baik di kawasan perniagaan pusat dan masyarakat yang mampan. Tetapi kaedah penilaian tradisional adalah cacat, pendekatan baru untuk meningkatkan penilaian semasa harus sentiasa diasas Kaedah. Kajian ini menilai koridor dan laluan di bandar Kuala Lumpur, Jalan Ampang. Keputusan untuk aliran jumlah lalulintas dengan akaun penyeberangan pejalan kaki di isyarat dan haram menunjukkan bahawa jumlah untuk semua kenderaan, motosikal, lelaki dewasa, wanita dewasa, pejalan kaki yang diselaraskan pejalan kaki dan persilangan pejalan kaki yang menyalahi undang-undang berbeza-beza bergantung pada jarak masa (30 minit). Jumlah kenderaan 4 roda lebih daripada jumlah motosikal. Selain itu, jumlah pejalan kaki yang diselaraskan dan menyeberang berhubung dengan pejalan kaki yang menyeberang jalan, tingkah laku pejalan kaki pejalan kaki (berjalan kaki / masa asal atau masa menunggu / melintas untuk berjalan / masa destinasi) telah direkodkan dan dianalisis kaedah tinjauan grafik video digunakan untuk Bilangan pejalan kaki, mempunyai kelebihan berbanding kaedah manual. Aliran, kelajuan, ketumpatan dan lebar berkesan laluan adalah pembolehubah yang diukur. Seseengah di kedudukan yang dikenalpasti, atau pejabat selepas mengeluarkan data dari video tersebut. Pita tangan, pita pelekat, berhenti menonton dan kamera video / tripod berdiri adalah alat / alat yang digunakan pada pelbagai jawatan untuk mengumpul data. Kadar alir dikira, dalam ion conjunct dengan HCM digunakan untuk menganggarkan LOS. Analisis telah dijalankan ke atas kelemahan-kelemahan yang dikenalpasti untuk memperbaiki kaedah anggaran semasa.

REFERENCES

- Al-Azzawi, M., & Raeside, R. (2007). Modeling pedestrian walking speeds on sidewalks. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 133(3), 211-219.
- Alhajyaseen, W. K., Li, M., Nakamura, H., & Daamen, W. (2011). *Effectiveness of Signal Coordination for Pedestrian Flows Considering Bi-directional Flow Impacts*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies The 9th International Conference of Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, 2011.
- Ariffin, A. H., Rahman, M. K., Solah, M. S., Kassim, K. A. A., & Voon, W. S. (2012). Stability of High-Deck Buses in a Rollover and Contact-Impact with Traffic Barriers.
- Bahari, N., Arshad, A., & Yahya, Z. (2012). PEDESTRIANS' PERCEPTION OF THE SIDEWALK FACILITIES IN KUALA LUMPUR'S COMMERCIAL AREAS. *Int Sustain. Civ. Eng. J*, 1(2), 28-36.
- Brewer, M., Fitzpatrick, K., Whitacre, J., & Lord, D. (2006). Exploration of pedestrian gap-acceptance behavior at selected locations. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*(1982), 132-140.
- Burden, D. (1996). Walkable and Bicycle-Friendly Communities. *Florida Dept. of Trans.*
- Chik, A. A., Ismail, C. R., & Hainin, M. R. (2000). Midblock Signalled Pedestrian Crossing-Alternative Controller Algorithms. *Journal of the Civil Engineering*, 12(1).
- Clauses, A. (2012). Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research.
- Congiu, M., Whelan, M., Oxley, J., D'Elia, A., & Charlton, J. (2006). *Crossing roads safely: an experimental study of age and gender differences in gap selection by child pedestrians*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Australasian road safety research, policing and education conference.
- Daud, N., & Malek, H. (1974). Modelling on Pedestrian Accidents in Malaysia.
- Demiroz, Y., Onelcin, P., & Alver, Y. (2015). Illegal road crossing behavior of pedestrians at overpass locations: Factors affecting gap acceptance, crossing times and overpass use. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 80, 220-228.
- Devkota, B. P. (2014). Walking speed based on pedestrians' behavior at mid-block cross in Kathmandu.

- Ekman, L., & Hyden, C. (1999). Pedestrian Safety in Sweden 1999. *University of North Carolina, Highway Safety Research Center*.
- Goh, B. H., Subramaniam, K., Wai, Y. T., Mohamed, A. A., & Ali, A. (2012). Pedestrian crossing speed: the case of Malaysia. *International Journal for Traffic and Transport Engineering*, 2(4), 323-332.
- Guidebook, P. F. (1997). Incorporating Pedestrians into Washington's Transportation System. *Prepared by Otak for Washington State DOT, Olympia, WA*.
- Hakkert, A. S., Gitelman, V., & Ben-Shabat, E. (2002). An evaluation of crosswalk warning systems: effects on pedestrian and vehicle behaviour. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, 5(4), 275-292.
- Hamed, M. M. (2001). Analysis of pedestrians' behavior at pedestrian crossings. *Safety science*, 38(1), 63-82.
- Hamidun, R. (2015). Pedestrian Crossing Risk Assessment (PedCRA) Model. *International Journal Of Science And Advanced Technology*, 5(1), 17-22.
- Hamidun, R., Ishak, S. Z., & Endut, I. R. (2014). Pedestrian crossing scenario model using Petri Nets. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 129, 406-413.
- Hamidun, R., Kordi, N. E., Endut, I. R., Ishak, S. Z., & Yusoff, M. F. M. (2015). Estimation Of Illegal Crossing Accident Risk Using Stochastic Petri Nets. *Journal Of Engineering Science And Technology. Special Issue On ACEE, 2015*, 81-93.
- Hanan, S. A., Said, N. F., Kamel, A. A. M., & Che, S. A. F. (2015). Factors that Influences Pedestrian Intention to Cross a Road While using Mobile Phone. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 5(1S).
- Ishaque, M. M., & Noland, R. B. (2008). Behavioural issues in pedestrian speed choice and street crossing behaviour: a review. *Transport Reviews*, 28(1), 61-85.
- Jain, A., Gupta, A., & Rastogi, R. (2014). Pedestrian crossing behaviour analysis at intersections. *International Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering*, 4(1), 103-116.
- Jiang, N., Shi, M., Xiao, Y., Shi, K., & Watson, B. (2011). *Factors affecting pedestrian crossing behaviors at signalized crosswalks in urban areas in Beijing and Singapore*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Transportation Information and Safety: Multimodal Approach to Sustained Transportation System Development—Information, Technology, Implementation, Wuhan, China.

- Kadali, B. R., & Perumal, V. (2012). Pedestrians' Gap Acceptance Behavior at Mid Block Location. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 4(2), 158.
- Kadali, B. R., Rathi, N., & Perumal, V. (2014). Evaluation of pedestrian mid-block road crossing behaviour using artificial neural network. *Journal of traffic and transportation engineering (English edition)*, 1(2), 111-119.
- Kadali, B. R., & Vedagiri, P. (2013). Modelling pedestrian road crossing behaviour under mixed traffic condition. *European transport*, 55(3), 1-17.
- Keegan, O., & O'Mahony, M. (2003). Modifying pedestrian behaviour. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 37(10), 889-901.
- Khalifa, N. A., & Zulkiple, A. A Simple Method on Measuring Road Pavement Damage For Access Road.
- Kruszyna, M., Mackiewicz, P., & Szydlo, A. (2006). Influence of pedestrians' entry process on pedestrian delays at signal-controlled crosswalks. *Journal of transportation engineering*, 132(11), 855-861.
- Lam, W. H., & Cheung, C.-y. (2000). Pedestrian speed/flow relationships for walking facilities in Hong Kong. *Journal of transportation engineering*, 126(4), 343-349.
- Laxman, K. K., Rastogi, R., & Chandra, S. (2010). Pedestrian flow characteristics in mixed traffic conditions. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 136(1), 23-33.
- Lee, J. Y., Goh, P., & Lam, W. H. (2005). New level-of-service standard for signalized crosswalks with bi-directional pedestrian flows. *Journal of transportation engineering*, 131(12), 957-960.
- Lipovac, K., Vujanic, M., Maric, B., & Nesic, M. (2013). The influence of a pedestrian countdown display on pedestrian behavior at signalized pedestrian crossings. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, 20, 121-134.
- Manual, H. C. (2000). Transportation research board. *National Research Council, Washington, DC*, 113.
- Manual, H. C. (2010). Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board; 2000: ISBN 0-309-06681-6.
- Marisamynathan, S., & Vedagiri, P. (2013). Modeling pedestrian delay at signalized intersection crosswalks under mixed traffic condition. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 104, 708-717.
- Minhans, A., & Moghaddasi, A. (2013). Transport Cost Analysis of City Bus and Private Car Usage in Johor Bahru, Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 65(3), 25-31.

- Nazir, M., Al Razi, K., Hossain, Q. S., & Adhikary, S. (2014). *Pedestrian flow characteristics at walkways in Rajshahi metropolitan city of Bangladesh*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Civil Engineering for Sustainable Development.
- NHTS. (2016). Pedestrian. 2016, from <http://www.nhtsa.gov/pedestrians>
- Oxley, J. A., Ihsen, E., Fildes, B. N., Charlton, J. L., & Day, R. H. (2005). Crossing roads safely: an experimental study of age differences in gap selection by pedestrians. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 37(5), 962-971.
- Papadimitriou, E., Lassarre, S., & Yannis, G. (2016). Introducing human factors in pedestrian crossing behaviour models. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, 36, 69-82.
- Papadimitriou, E., Yannis, G., & Golias, J. (2009). A critical assessment of pedestrian behaviour models. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, 12(3), 242-255.
- Pawar, D. S., Patil, G. R., Chandrasekharan, A., & Upadhyaya, S. (2015). Classification of gaps at uncontrolled intersections and midblock crossings using support vector machines. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*(2515), 26-33.
- Polus, A., Schofer, J. L., & Ushpiz, A. (1983). Pedestrian flow and level of service. *Journal of transportation engineering*, 109(1), 46-56.
- Raghuwanshi, A. K., & Tare, V. (2016). Assessment of Pedestrian Level of Service for Mixed Lane. *Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(1), 11.
- Rastogi, R., Chandra, S., Vamsheedhar, J., & Das, V. R. (2011). Parametric study of pedestrian speeds at midblock crossings. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 137(4), 381-389.
- Rastogi, R., Thaniarasu, I., & Chandra, S. (2010). Design implications of walking speed for pedestrian facilities. *Journal of transportation engineering*, 137(10), 687-696.
- Ren, G., Zhou, Z., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., & Wang, W. (2011). Crossing behaviors of pedestrians at signalized intersections: observational study and survey in China. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*(2264), 65-73.
- Roads, U. (2008). Study Compares Older and Younger Pedestrian Walking Speeds.
- Sa'a, Z. W. T. A. (2007). *Modeling Pedestrian Behavior on Pedestrian Crosswalks*. An-Najah National University.

- Sarkar, S. (2003). Qualitative evaluation of comfort needs in urban walkways in major activity centers. *Transportation Quarterly*, 57(4), 39-59.
- Schroeder, B. J., & Roupail, N. M. (2011). Empirical behavioral models to support alternative tools for the analysis of mixed-priority pedestrian-vehicle interaction in a highway capacity context. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 16, 653-663.
- Serag, M. (2014). Modelling pedestrian road crossing at uncontrolled mid-block locations in developing countries. *International Journal of Civil and Structural Engineering*, 4(3), 274.
- Sinclair, M., & Zuidgeest, M. (2015). Investigations into pedestrian crossing choices on Cape Town freeways. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*.
- Singh, K., & Jain, P. (2011). Methods of assessing pedestrian level of service. *Journal of Engineering Research and Studies*, 2(1), 116-124.
- Sun, D., & Benekohal, R. (2003). *Modeling and Simulation of Pedestrian-Motorist Interaction at Uncontrolled Mid-block Crosswalks*. Paper presented at the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) 2003 Technical Conference and Exhibit.
- Tanaboriboon, Y., & Satiennam, T. (2005). Traffic accidents in Thailand. *IATSS research*, 29(1), 88-100.
- TENC, I. T. E. C. C., & Zegeer, C. (1998). *Design and safety of pedestrian facilities*: the Institute.
- Wan, B., & Roupail, N. (2004). Using arena for simulation of pedestrian crossing in roundabout areas. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*(1878), 58-65.
- Wang, T., Wu, J., Zheng, P., & McDonald, M. (2010). *Study of pedestrians' gap acceptance behavior when they jaywalk outside crossing facilities*. Paper presented at the Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), 2010 13th International IEEE Conference on.
- Xiao-qiang, C. K.-m. L., Hai, J., & Yang-dong, Z. (2010). Towards the pedestrian delay estimation at intersections under vehicular platoon caused conflicts. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 5(9), 941-947.

- Yang, J., Li, Q., Wang, Z., & Wang, J. (2005). Estimating pedestrian delays at signalized intersections in developing cities by Monte Carlo method. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 68(4), 329-337.
- Yannis, G., Papadimitriou, E., & Theofilatos, A. (2013). Pedestrian gap acceptance for mid-block street crossing. *Transportation planning and technology*, 36(5), 450-462.
- Zegeer, C. (2002). *Pedestrian facilities users guide: Providing safety and mobility*: diane publishing.
- Zegeer, C., Stewart, J., Huang, H., & Lagerwey, P. (2001). Safety effects of marked versus unmarked crosswalks at uncontrolled locations: analysis of pedestrian crashes in 30 cities. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*(1773), 56-68.
- Zhu, X., & Srinivasan, S. (2011). A comprehensive analysis of factors influencing the injury severity of large-truck crashes. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 43(1), 49-57.