# IMPROVED STATIC AND DYNAMIC FBG SENSOR SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME MONITORING OF COMPOSITE STRUCTURES

VORATHIN A/L EPIN

Master

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical engineering.

(Supervisor's Signature) Full Name : DR MOHD HAFIZI BIN ZOHARI Position : SENIOR LECTURER Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)Full Name: DR SAIFUL ANWAR BIN CHE GHANIPosition: SENIOR LECTURERDate:



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature) Full Name :VORATHIN A/L EPIN ID Number : MMM 16007 Date :

### IMPROVED STATIC AND DYNAMIC FBG SENSOR SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME MONITORING OF COMPOSITE STRUCTURES

VORATHIN A/L EPIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

> > NOVEMBER 2017

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"All roads that lead to success have to pass through hard work boulevard at some point", a self-encouraging and motivational quote by Eric Thomas that has always thrilled me, and filled me with enthusiasm in completing this research study, even though it was full of various worrying and uncertain matter. The great success of this research study is based on a great number of helping hands of whom I would like to express my sincere acknowledgement and appreciation deep from my heart.

First and foremost, thanks be to God's will that this research study has been accomplished without any harsh obstacles. An earnest gratitude to the everlasting support from both of my parents that is beyond description in words. Voluminous credit has to be given to my supervisor, Dr Mohd Hafizi Bin Zohari for his consecutive encouragement, professional guidance, and advice that are beyond price in making this research study to its best level.

Lastly, not to forget the continuous encouragement from my co-supervisor, Dr Saiful Anwar Bin Che Ghani, Dr Lim Kok Sing from the photonic research centre of University Malaya (UM) for providing FBG sensors and the necessary equipments, my problem-solving and helpful lab mates, Muhammad Hanafi Bin Yusop and Muhammad Aizzuddin Bin Abdullah for their useful suggestions, recommendations and opinions regarding the complications that I have faced along this research study. Good luck for your future undertaking as well.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

| DEC  | LARATION                                             |      |
|------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| TITI | LE PAGE                                              |      |
| ACK  | NOWLEDGEMENTS                                        | ii   |
| ABS  | TRAK                                                 | iii  |
| ABS  | TRACT                                                | iv   |
| TAB  | LE OF CONTENT                                        | V    |
| LIST | <b>F OF TABLES</b>                                   | viii |
| LIST | r of figures                                         | ix   |
| LIST | <b>F OF SYMBOLS</b>                                  | xiii |
| LIST | Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS                                   | xiv  |
| СНА  | PTER 1 INTRODUCTION                                  | 1    |
| 1.1  | Background Study                                     | 1    |
| 1.2  | Problem Statement                                    | 5    |
| 1.3  | Objectives                                           | 7    |
| 1.4  | Scope                                                | 8    |
| 1.5  | Significant/Contribution of Study                    | 8    |
| 1.6  | Thesis Organization                                  | 8    |
| CHA  | <b>PTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                      | 10   |
| 2.1  | Introductory to Composite Material                   | 10   |
| 2.2  | Applications and Global Demand of Composite Material | 11   |
|      | 2.2.1 Composite Material in Civil Engineering        | 13   |

|      | 2.2.2                                       | Composite Material in Aeronautical Application        | 14 |
|------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.3  | Problems Associated with Composite Material |                                                       | 15 |
| 2.4  | Structural Health Monitoring (SHM)          |                                                       |    |
| 2.5  | Introduction to Fibre Optic Sensor (FOS)    |                                                       |    |
|      | 2.5.1                                       | Fundamental of FBG in Strain Sensing                  | 22 |
|      | 2.5.2                                       | FBG Interrogation System in Strain Sensing            | 28 |
|      | 2.5.3                                       | Challenges of FBG Embedding in Composite Material     | 35 |
| 2.6  | FBG E                                       | Based Real-Time Monitoring System                     | 39 |
|      | 2.6.1                                       | Static Strain Sensing Real-Time Monitoring System     | 40 |
|      | 2.6.2                                       | Dynamic Strain Sensing Real-Time Monitoring System    | 44 |
| 2.7  | Chapte                                      | er Summary                                            | 50 |
| CHAT |                                             |                                                       | 50 |
| СНАН | TER 3                                       | METHODOLOGY                                           | 52 |
| 3.1  | Organ                                       | ization of the Experimental Planning                  | 52 |
| 3.2  | List of                                     | Components and Equipment Adopted                      | 54 |
|      | 3.2.1                                       | Light Source                                          | 54 |
|      | 3.2.2                                       | Optical Circulator                                    | 55 |
|      | 3.2.3                                       | Optical Coupler                                       | 56 |
|      | 3.2.4                                       | Optical Splitter                                      | 57 |
|      | 3.2.5                                       | Photodiode or Photodetector (PD)                      | 57 |
|      | 3.2.6                                       | Optical Spectrum Analyzer (OSA)                       | 58 |
|      | 3.2.7                                       | National Instruments NI-9234 Data Acquisition Device  | 59 |
|      | 3.2.8                                       | Acoustic Emission (AE) Broadband Sensor               | 60 |
| 3.3  | Specin                                      | nens Fabrication                                      | 60 |
| 3.4  | Overal                                      | ll Static Strain Sensitivity Test Experimental Set-Up | 63 |
| 3.5  | Static Strain Sensing Experimental Set-Up 6 |                                                       | 64 |
|      |                                             |                                                       |    |

| 3.6                                                               | Overall Dynamic Strain Sensitivity Test Experimental Set-Up  | 67  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.7                                                               | Dynamic Strain Sensing Experimental Set-Up                   | 68  |
| 3.8                                                               | Chapter Summary                                              | 73  |
|                                                                   |                                                              |     |
| СНА                                                               | PTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                                | 75  |
| 4.1                                                               | Overall Static Strain Sensitivity Test Experimental Results  | 75  |
| 4.2                                                               | Static Strain Sensing Experimental Results                   | 76  |
| 4.3                                                               | Overall Dynamic Strain Sensitivity Test Experimental Results | 88  |
| 4.4                                                               | Dynamic Strain Sensing Experimental Results                  | 90  |
| 4.5                                                               | Chapter Summary                                              | 104 |
|                                                                   |                                                              |     |
| CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION                                              |                                                              | 105 |
| 5.1                                                               | Conclusion                                                   | 105 |
| 5.2                                                               | Future Work and Recommendations                              | 106 |
| REFERENCES                                                        |                                                              | 108 |
| APPENDIX A MESH-GRID COMPOSITE PLATE GUI LAYOUT                   |                                                              | 119 |
| APPENDIX B VOLTAGE NORMALIZATION GUI LAYOUT                       |                                                              | 123 |
| APPENDIX C CC-LSL ALGORITHM IMPACT LOCALIZATION GUI<br>LAYOUT 126 |                                                              |     |
| APPENDIX D FFT FUNCTION OF COMPOSITE PLATE GUI LAYOUT             |                                                              | 128 |
| APPENDIX E GANTT CHART                                            |                                                              | 130 |
| APPENDIX F PUBLICATIONS                                           |                                                              | 131 |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1  | The specifications of each optical fibre cable                                                                                            |     |  |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|
| Table 2.2  | Summarization of comparison between optical sensing and electrical sensing technology                                                     |     |  |
| Table 3.1  | Specifications of the ASE light source                                                                                                    | 55  |  |
| Table 3.2  | Specifications of the optical circulator                                                                                                  | 56  |  |
| Table 3.3  | Specifications of the optical coupler                                                                                                     | 56  |  |
| Table 3.4  | Specifications of the optical splitter                                                                                                    | 57  |  |
| Table 3.5  | Specifications of Thorlabs InGaAs photodetector                                                                                           | 58  |  |
| Table 3.6  | Specifications of Bayspec optical spectrum analyzer                                                                                       | 59  |  |
| Table 3.7  | Specifications of National Instruments NI-9234 data acquisition device                                                                    |     |  |
| Table 3.8  | Specifications of the acoustic emission (AE) sensor                                                                                       | 60  |  |
| Table 3.9  | Physical properties of the composite plate                                                                                                | 61  |  |
| Table 3.10 | Physical properties of the GFRP beam                                                                                                      | 63  |  |
| Table 3.11 | Mechanical properties of both the specimens                                                                                               |     |  |
| Table 3.12 | The summarization of the impact points induced on the beam                                                                                |     |  |
| Table 4.1  | The summarized results of static strain mesh-grid function for composite plate                                                            |     |  |
| Table 4.2  | The summarized results of static strain mesh-grid function for GFRP beam                                                                  | 78  |  |
| Table 4.3  | The summarized results of average load estimated and average percentage of error without voltage normalization algorithm (non-normalized) | 87  |  |
| Table 4.4  | The summarized results of average load estimated and average percentage of error with voltage normalization algorithm (normalized)        | 87  |  |
| Table 4.5  | The natural frequency of the composite plate                                                                                              | 90  |  |
| Table 4.6  | The summarization of the samples difference and time delay for<br>all the impact points                                                   | 95  |  |
| Table 4.7  | The comparison between FEA analysis, FBG sensor and AE sensor natural frequency values                                                    | 99  |  |
| Table 4.8  | The summarization of linear source impact location results                                                                                | 100 |  |
| Table 4.9  | The comparison of natural frequency values obtained from Abaqus FEA, FBG sensor and AE sensor for composite plate                         |     |  |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1  | The illustration of FBG sensor as accelerometer                                                           |    |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| Figure 1.2  | The edge filter detection method                                                                          |    |  |
| Figure 1.3  | The power detection method: (a) Linear edge source (b) Narrow bandwidth source                            |    |  |
| Figure 1.4  | K-chart for the narrowing down process of this research study                                             |    |  |
| Figure 2.1  | Market research approach for CFRP                                                                         | 12 |  |
| Figure 2.2  | Market research approach for GFRP                                                                         | 12 |  |
| Figure 2.3  | Okinawa road park GFRP bridge                                                                             | 13 |  |
| Figure 2.4  | FRP water gate at Komagari dam                                                                            | 14 |  |
| Figure 2.5  | Manufacturing of Boeing 787 materials proposition                                                         | 15 |  |
| Figure 2.6  | Smart system working components                                                                           | 18 |  |
| Figure 2.7  | Types of FOS sensors                                                                                      | 19 |  |
| Figure 2.8  | The spectrum of the scattering light in distributed sensors                                               | 20 |  |
| Figure 2.9  | The Sagnac interferometric sensor interrogation system                                                    | 20 |  |
| Figure 2.10 | The illustration of the Fabry-Perot interferometric sensors                                               |    |  |
| Figure 2.11 | Illustration of the multimode, single-mode and POF optical fibre                                          |    |  |
| Figure 2.12 | Light mode transmission in optical fibre                                                                  | 24 |  |
| Figure 2.13 | Optical fibre attenuation curve                                                                           | 25 |  |
| Figure 2.14 | The working principle of FBG sensor                                                                       | 26 |  |
| Figure 2.15 | The working principle of edge filter detection                                                            | 30 |  |
| Figure 2.16 | The working principle of the edge filter interrogation system utilizing photodetector as signal converter | 30 |  |
| Figure 2.17 | Illustration of the elongated embedded FBG due to strain                                                  | 31 |  |
| Figure 2.18 | Shifting of the reflected Bragg wavelength due to strain                                                  | 31 |  |
| Figure 2.19 | Light intensity as in shaded region identified by the photodetector                                       | 32 |  |
| Figure 2.20 | Matched-edge filter interrogation system by Hiroshi Tsuda                                                 | 32 |  |
| Figure 2.21 | Interrogation system developed by Comanici et al                                                          | 33 |  |
| Figure 2.22 | Interrogation system utilizing Tunable laser by Hiroshi Tsuda                                             | 33 |  |
| Figure 2.23 | Interrogation system developed by Frieden et al                                                           | 34 |  |
| Figure 2.24 | Interrogation system developed by Ling et al.                                                             | 35 |  |
| Figure 2.25 | Pre-preg layup method                                                                                     | 36 |  |
| Figure 2.26 | Location of the FBG embedment: (a) Front view (b) Back view                                               | 37 |  |
| Figure 2.27 | Comparison of the reflected wavelength for: (a) $OF_1$ and (b) $OF_2$                                     |    |  |
| Figure 2.28 | Insertion of bare optical fibre in Teflon tube                                                            |    |  |

| Figure 2.29 | Embedded connector inside the composite together with optical fibre                                                                                          |    |  |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| Figure 2.30 | Ultra small interrogation system unit                                                                                                                        |    |  |
| Figure 2.31 | FBGs positioning on the top and bottom chords of the bridge                                                                                                  |    |  |
| Figure 2.32 | Spectrums of FBGs from the load test of trucks and locomotive for (a) Top position (b) Bottom position of the bridge's chord                                 |    |  |
| Figure 2.33 | Results of a six car-passenger train passes the railway                                                                                                      | 42 |  |
| Figure 2.34 | Inconsistency of output voltage                                                                                                                              | 43 |  |
| Figure 2.35 | Time delay between two FBG signals                                                                                                                           | 45 |  |
| Figure 2.36 | Digital oscilloscope used for signal logging process                                                                                                         | 45 |  |
| Figure 2.37 | The cross-correlation algorithm from two different vessels                                                                                                   | 48 |  |
| Figure 2.38 | Illustration of linear source location technique for impact detection                                                                                        |    |  |
| Figure 3.1  | Flow chart of the overall experimental procedure                                                                                                             | 53 |  |
| Figure 3.2  | Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE) light source                                                                                                            | 54 |  |
| Figure 3.3  | Operating wavelength range of the light source                                                                                                               | 54 |  |
| Figure 3.4  | 3-port optical circulator                                                                                                                                    |    |  |
| Figure 3.5  | 2x2, 4-port optical coupler                                                                                                                                  | 56 |  |
| Figure 3.6  | 1 x 8 optical splitter                                                                                                                                       | 57 |  |
| Figure 3.7  | Thorlabs InGaAs photodetector                                                                                                                                | 58 |  |
| Figure 3.8  | Bayspec optical spectrum analyzer (OSA)                                                                                                                      | 59 |  |
| Figure 3.9  | National Instruments NI-9234 data acquisition device                                                                                                         | 59 |  |
| Figure 3.10 | Acoustic emission (AE) broadband sensor                                                                                                                      |    |  |
| Figure 3.11 | Specimen fabrication materials: (a) Woven fibreglass (b) Epoxy and hardener as resin                                                                         | 61 |  |
| Figure 3.12 | Cured sample of the composite plate                                                                                                                          | 61 |  |
| Figure 3.13 | Mould forming process: (a) Polystyrene foam (b) Mould of the beam                                                                                            |    |  |
| Figure 3.14 | Composite beam fabrication: (a) Woven fibreglass (b) Cured sample of the GFRP beam                                                                           | 62 |  |
| Figure 3.15 | The dog-bone aluminium tensile specimen with surface pasted FBG sensor                                                                                       | 64 |  |
| Figure 3.16 | The overall static strain sensitivity experimental set-up: (a)<br>Gripping of the specimen on the machine (b) The Instron 3369 50<br>kN tensile test machine |    |  |
| Figure 3.17 | 17 Experimental set-up: (a) Fixed edges of the composite plate, and position of the weight support stand (b) Loads of 10 N and 20 N                          |    |  |

| Figure 3.18 | Fixture of the beam and placement of the weight support stand on the beam                                                                                                                         |          |  |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Figure 3.19 | The experimental set-up interrogation system configurations                                                                                                                                       |          |  |
| Figure 3.20 | The configuration of edge filter detection interrogation system                                                                                                                                   |          |  |
| Figure 3.21 | The experimental set-up for the overall dynamic strain sensitivity performance                                                                                                                    | 68       |  |
| Figure 3.22 | Abaqus FEA simulation for the dynamic sensitivity test: (a) Fixed<br>boundary conditions of the composite plate (b) Meshing of the<br>composite plate for analysis                                | 68       |  |
| Figure 3.23 | The schematic illustration of the impact localization interrogation system                                                                                                                        |          |  |
| Figure 3.24 | The impact locations for: (a) Top and bottom (b) Left and right sides of the beam                                                                                                                 |          |  |
| Figure 3.25 | The flow chart of the CC-LSL algorithm in GUI monitoring system                                                                                                                                   | 71       |  |
| Figure 3.26 | Surface mounting of the AE sensor for data validation with FBG sensor                                                                                                                             | 71       |  |
| Figure 3.27 | Abaqus FEA simulation: (a) Fixed boundary conditions of the beam (b) Meshing of the beam for analysis                                                                                             |          |  |
| Figure 3.28 | Natural frequency excitation experimental set-up: (a) Fixture of<br>the composite plate with surface attached AE sensor (b) A set of<br>impacts was hit 15 cm away from both the sensor placement | 72       |  |
| Figure 3.29 | Abaqus FEA simulation: (a) Fixed boundary conditions of the composite plate (b) Meshing of the composite plate for analysis                                                                       | 73       |  |
| Figure 4.1  | The static sensitivity graph of the FBG sensor                                                                                                                                                    | 75       |  |
| Figure 4.2  | The line graph of reflected Bragg wavelength against extension                                                                                                                                    | 76       |  |
| Figure 4.3  | Linearity response of voltage difference against load induced for<br>composite plate mesh-grid function                                                                                           |          |  |
| Figure 4.4  | Linearity response of voltage difference against load induced for GFRP beam mesh-grid function                                                                                                    | 78       |  |
| Figure 4.5  | Reflected wavelengths of the sensing FBG from optical components: (a) SET A, (b) SET B, (c) SET C and (d) Overlay of all the optical components                                                   | 81       |  |
| Figure 4.6  | The reflected peak wavelength shifts for all the optical components across loadings of 0N to 50 N                                                                                                 | 81       |  |
| Figure 4.7  | Gaussian Bragg wavelength shift across the 50 N loadings and<br>the increase in line's weight corresponding to the increase in<br>loading                                                         | 82       |  |
| Figure 4.8  | Reflected wavelength of the sensing and reference FBG                                                                                                                                             | 82<br>82 |  |
| Figure 4.9  | The mismatched reflected wavelength between reference and                                                                                                                                         | 02       |  |
| 1 15010 7.7 | sensing FBG                                                                                                                                                                                       | 83       |  |

| Figure 4.10 | Peak wavelength and intensity shift of the mismatched reflected<br>wavelength with the straight lines representing the line of best fit                                                                                        |     |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 4.11 | Gaussian Bragg wavelength shift of the mismatched reflected<br>wavelength with the increase in line's weight corresponding to<br>the increase in loadings                                                                      |     |
| Figure 4.12 | The five repetitions of voltage response against load induced without voltage normalization algorithm                                                                                                                          |     |
| Figure 4.13 | 13 The output voltage variations (data points) without voltage<br>normalization algorithm where the solid line is the line of best fit,<br>and the dashed line is the expected voltage obtained from the<br>linearity equation |     |
| Figure 4.14 | The five repetitions of voltage response against load induced with voltage normalization algorithm                                                                                                                             | 86  |
| Figure 4.15 | The output voltage variations (data points) with voltage<br>normalization algorithm where the solid line is the line of best fit<br>and the dashed line is the expected voltage obtained from the<br>linearity equation        | 86  |
| Figure 4.16 | The sensitivity response curve of the FBG sensor                                                                                                                                                                               | 88  |
| Figure 4.17 | The normalized power sensitivity response curve of the FBG sensor                                                                                                                                                              |     |
| Figure 4.18 | The performance of cross-correlation function at certain SNR values where the raw impact signal was illustrated on the left and the cross-correlation function at the right                                                    | 92  |
| Figure 4.19 | The response of raw impact signal for impact at: (a) Point A (b) Point B                                                                                                                                                       | 93  |
| Figure 4.20 | The cross-correlation signals for impact at point: (a) A, J and B, K (b) D and E (c) G and H                                                                                                                                   | 94  |
| Figure 4.21 | The comparison of frequency spectrum between FBG and AE sensor at point C for frequency range of: (a) below 500 Hz (b) 500 to 1000 Hz                                                                                          | 96  |
| Figure 4.22 | The comparison of frequency spectrum between FBG and AE sensor at point F for frequency range of: (a) below 500 Hz (b) 500 to 1000 Hz                                                                                          | 97  |
| Figure 4.23 | The overlay of frequency response captured by FBG sensor for impact at point C and L for frequency range of: (a) below 500 Hz (b) 500 to 1000 Hz                                                                               | 98  |
| Figure 4.24 | The group velocity curve calculated from the PACshare Dispersion Curves                                                                                                                                                        | 99  |
| Figure 4.25 | The illustration of discrepancies between actual and estimated impact points for: (a) Top and bottom surface (b) Left surface (c) Right surface                                                                                | 101 |
| Figure 4.26 | The natural frequency spectrum captured by: (a) FBG sensor (b)<br>AE sensor                                                                                                                                                    |     |

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

| $\lambda_{\mathrm{B}}$  | Bragg wavelength           |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| °C                      | Celcius                    |
| $\Delta\lambda_{\rm B}$ | Change of Bragg wavelength |
| $\Delta T$              | Change of temperature      |
| $\Delta t$              | Change of time             |
| к                       | Grating orders             |
| $\eta_{\text{eff}}$     | Grating refractive index   |
| Cg                      | Group of velocity          |
| $\Lambda_{ m o}$        | Initial grating period     |
| μ                       | Micro                      |
| %                       | Percent                    |
| $\eta_o$                | Refractive index           |
| Fs                      | Sampling frequency         |
| 3                       | Strain                     |
| â                       | Thermal expansion          |
| ξ                       | Thermo-optic coefficient   |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AE                                     | Acoustic emission                                                                                                                     |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ASE                                    | Amplified spontaneous emission                                                                                                        |
| BVID                                   | Barely visible impact damage                                                                                                          |
| $CO_2$                                 | Carbon dioxide                                                                                                                        |
| CFRP                                   | Carbon fibre reinforced plastic                                                                                                       |
| cm                                     | Centimetre                                                                                                                            |
| m <sup>3</sup>                         | Cubic metre                                                                                                                           |
| dB                                     | Decibel                                                                                                                               |
| EMI                                    | Electromagnetic interference                                                                                                          |
| ET                                     | Electromagnetic testing                                                                                                               |
| FP                                     | Fabry-perot                                                                                                                           |
| FFT                                    | Fast Fourier Transform                                                                                                                |
| FBG                                    | Fibre Bragg grating                                                                                                                   |
| FOS                                    | Fibre optic sensor                                                                                                                    |
| FRP                                    | Fibre-reinforced polymer                                                                                                              |
| Ge                                     | Germanium                                                                                                                             |
| GFRP                                   | Glass fibre reinforced plastic                                                                                                        |
| g                                      | Gram                                                                                                                                  |
| GUI                                    | Graphical user interface                                                                                                              |
| Hz                                     | Hertz                                                                                                                                 |
| InGaAs                                 | Indium-Gallium-Arsenide                                                                                                               |
| JSCE                                   | Japan Society of Civil Engineers                                                                                                      |
| kg                                     | Kilogram                                                                                                                              |
| kHz                                    | Kilohertz                                                                                                                             |
| km                                     | Kilometre                                                                                                                             |
|                                        | Tenometre                                                                                                                             |
| kPa                                    | Kilopascal                                                                                                                            |
| kPa<br>LCCA                            |                                                                                                                                       |
|                                        | Kilopascal                                                                                                                            |
| LCCA                                   | Kilopascal<br>Life-Cycle Cost Assessment                                                                                              |
| LCCA<br>MHz                            | Kilopascal<br>Life-Cycle Cost Assessment<br>Megahertz                                                                                 |
| LCCA<br>MHz<br>MPa                     | Kilopascal<br>Life-Cycle Cost Assessment<br>Megahertz<br>Megapascal                                                                   |
| LCCA<br>MHz<br>MPa<br>MEMS             | Kilopascal<br>Life-Cycle Cost Assessment<br>Megahertz<br>Megapascal<br>Micro-electromechanical systems                                |
| LCCA<br>MHz<br>MPa<br>MEMS<br>µm       | Kilopascal<br>Life-Cycle Cost Assessment<br>Megahertz<br>Megapascal<br>Micro-electromechanical systems<br>Micro-metre                 |
| LCCA<br>MHz<br>MPa<br>MEMS<br>μm<br>με | Kilopascal<br>Life-Cycle Cost Assessment<br>Megahertz<br>Megapascal<br>Micro-electromechanical systems<br>Micro-metre<br>Micro-strain |

| mV   | Millivolts                    |
|------|-------------------------------|
| nm   | Nanometer                     |
| Ν    | Newton                        |
| NOx  | Nitrogen oxides               |
| NDE  | Non-destructive evaluation    |
| NDT  | Non-destructive testing       |
| OSA  | Optical spectrum analyser     |
| PD   | Photodetector                 |
| Pe   | Photo-elastic                 |
| pm   | Picometre                     |
| POF  | Plastic-based optical fibre   |
| PTFE | Polytetrafluoroethylene       |
| PVDF | Polyvinylidene fluoride       |
| PLC  | Programmable logic controller |
| RT   | Radiographic testing          |
| S    | Second                        |
| SMA  | Shape memory alloy            |
| SMP  | Shape memory polymer          |
| SNR  | Signal to noise ratio         |
| Si   | Silicon                       |
| SHM  | Structural health monitoring  |
| SLD  | Superluminescent diode        |
| UT   | Ultrasonic testing            |
| UV   | Ultraviolet                   |
| VT   | Visual testing                |
| V    | Volts                         |
|      |                               |

### IMPROVED STATIC AND DYNAMIC FBG SENSOR SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME MONITORING OF COMPOSITE STRUCTURES

VORATHIN A/L EPIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

> > NOVEMBER 2017

#### ABSTRAK

Gentian kaca polimer (GFRP) adalah sejenis bahan komposit yang memiliki nisbah kekuatan yang tinggi terhadap keseluruhan berat berbanding dengan bahan logam konvensional. Namun begitu, bahan komposit ini mudah terdedah kepada kerosakan yang mana memerlukan pemantauan keadaan struktur objek tersebut. Penderiaan FBG mempunyai potensi yang tinggi untuk disatukan dengan bahan komposit dalam perlaksanaan permantauan berterusan kondisi struktur objek. Namun begitu, kajian mendapati sistem permantauan berdasarkan FBG dilihat mepunyai beberapa kelemahan dari segi statik dan juga dinamik. Variasi dari keluaran voltan menyebabkan bacaan yang tidak tepat. Kaedah ilustrasi spektrum dalam pentafsiran statik juga dikenalpasti sebagai kelemahan dalam pengukuran statik. Bagi kelemahan dalam pengukuran dinamik, kesukaran dalam perbezaan masa antara dua isyarat menyebabkan anggaran sumber isyarat yang tidak tepat. Justeru, tujuan utama kajian penyelidikan ini adalah untuk meningkatkan serta penambahbaikkan dalam sistem permatauan berdasarkan FBG dengan penggunaan fungsi dan algoritma tertentu seperti fungsi grid jaring, algoritma penormalan voltan, algoritma CC-LSL, dan fungsi FFT. Dua spesimen telah dibentuk iaitu plat komposit dan rasuk komposit yang berasaskan kaedah laminasi. Penderiaan FBG telah diintegrasikan ke dalam kedua-dua spesimen tersebut. Bagi penambahbaikkan dalam permantauan secara statik, kedua-dua specimen tersebut dikenakan beban. Secara hasilnya, fungsi grid jaring digunakan sebagai paparan interaktif yang mewakili struktur objek berkenaan dan akan memaparkan kondisi struktur semasa berlaku pesongan. Algoritma penormalan voltan pula berjaya mengurangkan variasi keluaran voltan dari 26 data/minit kepada 17 data/minit. Bagi penambahbaikkan dalam permantauan secara dinamik pula, kesan penyetempatan dijalankan ke atas rasuk pada tempat tertentu. Secara hasilnya, algoritma CC-LSL mampu membuat anggaran impak secara tepat dengan peratusan kesilapan pada 2.47% dari impak sebenar. Perbandingan spektrum frekuensi antara sensor FBG dan sensor AE pula menunjukkan profil yang sama dengan peratusan kesilapan keseluruhan kurang daripada 10%. Manakala perbandingan spektrum frekuensi antaran sensor FBG, sensor AE, dan simulasi dari Abaqus FEA menunjukkan sensor FBG lebih sensitif kepada gelombang perambatan normal mod berbanding dengan sensor AE. Sensitiviti statik dan dinamik kesuluruhan sensor FBG ini direkodkan pada 1.21 pm/µɛ dan mampu mentaksir frekuensi maksima pada nilai 5 kHz. Secara kesimpulan, dipercayai bahawa sistem bereputasi ini mampu mencapai konsep utama struktur pintar.

#### ABSTRACT

Glass-fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP) composite materials certainly have the undeniable favour over conventional metallic materials, notably in light weight to high strength ratio. However, these composite materials are prone to sudden catastrophic damage that requires the structural health monitoring (SHM). FBG sensor has shown a great potential in embedding and integrating with the composite materials, performing real-time monitoring of the structural condition. However, a critical review on the current FBG based real-time monitoring system initiates that many attempts and intentions are still needed to bring the present monitoring system to a fully matured readiness level. The main problems are the drawbacks in static and dynamic strain sensing monitoring assessment. Error in desired readings due to variations in output voltage and spectrum illustration for static strain interpretation are the drawbacks in static strain sensing. On the other hand, due to the presence of noise in the signal spectrum, the estimation of time of arrival (TOA) through peak detection is pin-pointed as the drawback in dynamic strain sensing. Thus, the designation of this research study is to improve the current FBG based real-time monitoring system with the use of certain functions and algorithms, that are the instant mesh-grid function, voltage normalization algorithm, CC-LSL algorithm, and FFT function. Two specimens have been fabricated namely composite plate and composite beam which are based on hand lay-up lamination method. FBG sensors are embedded in both the structures. For improvement in static strain measurement, both the specimens are being subjected to load induced. As a results, the mesh-grid function utilized is capable of meshing any sizes and shapes of a structure, and display the deflection of the structure in an interactive way of artificial representation. The voltage normalization algorithm has reduced the output voltage variations from 26 data/minute to 17 data/minute with the elimination of precalibration each time before use. For the improvement in dynamic strain sensing, impact localization are being carried out on the beam at certain points. As a results, the merging of cross-correlation approach with linear source location technique (CC-LSL) has estimated the impact location close to the actual hit location with the largest relative error at only 2.47 %. The comparison of frequency spectrum between FBG sensor and AE sensor shows an identical profile with the percentage error of less than 10 %. The validation of frequency spectrums from FBG sensor and AE sensor with Abaqus FEA simulation shows that the frequency spectrums captured by FBG sensor are more sensitive to the normal mode wave propagation of the structure compared to AE sensor. Overall, the static and dynamic sensitivity of the FBG sensor was recorded at 1.21 pm/µɛ with maximum capturing frequency of 5 kHz. From the conclusion of the study, it is truly believed that with this reputable sensing system, it is is one step closer to achieving the key concept of smart structure.

#### REFERENCES

Akhras, G. (2012). Smart NDE systems – Applications in aerospace and perspectives.

- Alfredo, L., Chiesura, G., Luyckx, G., Degrieck, J., Kaufmann, M., & Vanlanduit, S. (2015). Dynamic strain measurements on automotive and aeronautic composite components by means of embedded fiber Bragg grating sensors. *Sensors*, 15(10), 27174-27174.
- Aljets, D., Chong, A., Wilcox, S., & Holford, K. (2010). Acoustic emission source location in plate-like structures using a closely arranged triangular sensor array. *Journal of Acoustic Emission*, 28, 85-98.
- Allwood, G., Wild, G., Lubansky, A., & Hinckley, S. (2015). A highly sensitive fiber Bragg grating diaphragm pressure transducer. *Optical Fiber Technology*, 25, 25-32.
- Anderson, E. H., & Sater, J. M. (2007, 2007). Smart structures product implementation award: A review of the first ten years. Paper presented at the The 14th International Symposium on: Smart Structures and Materials & amp; Nondestructive Evaluation and Health Monitoring.
- Askins, C. G., Putnam, M. A., & Friebele, E. J. (1995). *Instrumentation for interrogating many element fiber bragg grating arrays*. Paper presented at the Smart Structures & Materials' 95, San Diego, CA, United States.
- Bahrampour, A. R., Tofighi, S., Bathaee, M., & Farman, F. (2012). Optical fiber interferometers and their applications *Interferometry-Research and Applications in Science and Technology*: InTech.
- Baker, A. A. (2004). Composite materials for aircraft structures: AIAA.
- Bakis, C., Bank, L. C., Brown, V., Cosenza, E., Davalos, J. F., Lesko, J. J., . . . Triantafillou, T. C. (2002). Fiber-reinforced polymer composites for construction state-of-the-art review. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 6(2), 73-87.
- Balaji, R., & Sasikumar, M. (2016). Structural health monitoring (SHM) system for polymer composites: A review. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology; Volume 9, Issue 41, November 2016.*
- Bao, P., Yuan, M., Dong, S., Song, H., & Xue, J. (2013). Fiber Bragg grating sensor fatigue crack real-time monitoring based on spectrum cross-correlation analysis. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 332(1), 43-57.
- Bram, V. H., Lee, G., Bosman, E., Missinne, J., Kalathimekkad, S., Maskery, O., . . . Van Steenberge, G. (2012). Ultra small integrated optical fiber sensing system. *Sensors*, 12(9), 12052-12069.
- Brondsted, P., Lilholt, H., & Lystrup, A. (2005). Composite materials for wind power turbine blades. *Annual Review of Materials Research*, 35(1), 505-538.
- Brown, J. (1997). Characterization of MSC/NASTRAN & MSC/ABAQUS elements for turbine engine blade frequency analysis. Paper presented at the In Proc. MSC Aerospace Users' Conference.

Busquin, P. (2001). European aeronautics: A vision for 2020

- Cai, J., Qiu, L., Yuan, S., Liu, P., Liang, D., & Shi, L. (2012). Structural health monitoring for composite materials.
- Campbell, F. C. (2010). Structural composite materials: ASM international.
- Campopiano, S., Cutolo, A., Cusano, A., Giordano, M., Parente, G., Lanza, G., & Laudati, A. (2009). Underwater acoustic sensors based on fiber Bragg gratings. *Sensors*, 9(6), 4446-4454.
- Caucheteur, C., Chah, K., Lhommé, F., Blondel, M., & Mégret, P. (2004). Autocorrelation demodulation technique for fiber Bragg grating sensor. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, *16*(10), 2320-2322.
- Cazzulani, G., Cinquemani, S., & Comolli, L. (2012, 2012). Enhancing active vibration control performances in a smart structure by using fiber Bragg gratings sensors. Paper presented at the SPIE Smart Structures and Materials+ Nondestructive Evaluation and Health Monitoring.
- Chan, T. H. T., Yu, L., Tam, H. Y., Ni, Y. Q., Liu, S. Y., Chung, W. H., & Cheng, L. K. (2006). Fiber Bragg grating sensors for structural health monitoring of Tsing Ma bridge: Background and experimental observation. *Engineering Structures*, 28(5), 648-659.
- Chandrashekhar, M., & Ganguli, R. (2016). Damage assessment of composite plate structures with material and measurement uncertainty. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 75, 75-93.
- Chen, W., & Dong, X. (2012). Modification of the wavelength-strain coefficient of FBG for the prediction of steel bar corrosion embedded in concrete. *Optical Fiber Technology*, *18*(1), 47-50.
- Cheng, W. C., & Ni, J. C. (2009). Feasibility study of applying SOFO optical fiber sensor to segment of shield tunnel. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 24(3), 331-349.
- Chuang, K. C., Liao, H. T., & Ma, C. C. (2011). Dynamic sensing performance of a point-wise fiber Bragg grating displacement measurement system integrated in an active structural control system. *Sensors*, 11(12), 11605-11628.
- Chudoba, R., Sharei, E., & Scholzen, A. (2016). A strain hardening microplane damage model for thin walled textile reinforced concrete shells, calibration procedure, and experimental validation. *Composite Structures*, *152*, 913-928.
- Chung, W., & Kang, D. (2008). Full-scale test of a concrete box girder using FBG sensing system. *Engineering Structures*, 30(3), 643-652.
- Ciang, C. C., Lee, B. H., & Bang, H. J. (2008). Structural health monitoring for a wind turbine system: A review of damage detection methods. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 19(12), 122001-122001.
- Comanici, M. I., Chen, L. R., Kung, P., & Wang, L. (2011). *Measurement of dynamic strain using a fiber Bragg grating-based laser sensor system*. Paper presented at the 2011 ICO International Conference on Information Photonics.
- Costa, B. J. A., & Figueiras, J. A. (2012a). Evaluation of a strain monitoring system for existing steel railway bridges. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 72, 179-191.

- Costa, B. J. A., & Figueiras, J. A. (2012b). Fiber optic based monitoring system applied to a centenary metallic arch bridge: Design and installation. *Engineering Structures*, 44, 271-280.
- Culshaw, B., & Kersey, A. (2008). Fiber-optic sensing: A historical perspective. *Journal of lightwave technology*, 26(9), 1064-1078.
- Cusano, A., Cutolo, A., & Albert, J. (2011). Fiber Bragg grating sensors: Recent advancements, industrial applications and market exploitation: Bentham Science Publishers.
- Cvijetic, M. (2004). Optical transmission systems engineering: Artech House.
- Dai, Y., Li, P., Liu, Y., Asundi, A., & Leng, J. (2014). Integrated real-time monitoring system for strain/temperature distribution based on simultaneous wavelength and time division multiplexing technique. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*, 59, 19-24.
- de Moura, M. F. S. F., & Goncalves, J. P. M. (2004). Modelling the interaction between matrix cracking and delamination in carbon-epoxy laminates under low velocity impact. *Composites Science and Technology*, *64*(7-8), 1021-1027.
- Deng, W., Peng, W., Zhang, Y., Qiu, B., & Xue, H. (2012). A brief review of the application and problems in ultrasonic fatigue testing. *AASRI Procedia*, *2*, 127-133.
- Donaldson, S. L., & Miracle, D. B. (2001). ASM handbook composites (Vol. 21, pp. 1100): ASM International.
- Ezbiri, A., Kanellopoulos, S., & Handerek, V. (1998). High resolution instrumentation system for fibre-Bragg grating aerospace sensors. *Optics communications*, *150*(1), 43-48.
- Fernandes, R. L., de Moura, M. F. S. F., & Moreira, R. D. F. (2016). Effect of moisture on pure mode I and II fracture behaviour of composite bonded joints. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 68, 30-38.
- Fillinger, L., Sutin, A., & Sedunov, A. (2009). Cross-correlation of ship noise for water traffic monitoring. 158th Meeting Lay Language Papers.
- Filograno, M. L., Barrios, A. R., Herraez, M. G., Corredera, P., Lopez, S. M., Plaza, M. R., & Alguacil, A. A. (2012, 2010). *Real time monitoring of railway traffic using fiber Bragg* grating sensors. Paper presented at the 2010 Joint Rail Conference.
- Frieden, J., Cugnoni, J., Botsis, J., & Gmur, T. (2012). Low energy impact damage monitoring of composites using dynamic strain signals from FBG sensors-Part I: Impact detection and localization. *Composite Structures*, 94(2), 438-445.
- Frieden, J., Cugnoni, J., Botsis, J., Gmur, T., & Coric, D. (2010). High-speed internal strain measurements in composite structures under dynamic load using embedded FBG sensors. *Composite Structures*, 92(8), 1905-1912.
- Fu, T., Liu, Y., Lau, K. T., & Leng, J. (2014). Impact source identification in a carbon fiber reinforced polymer plate by using embedded fiber optic acoustic emission sensors. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 66, 420-429.

- Gilewski, W., & Zawadzka, A. A. S. (2015). On possible applications of smart structures controlled by self-stress. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*, 15(2), 469-478.
- Glisic, B., & Inaudi, D. (2008). Fibre optic methods for structural health monitoring: John Wiley & amp; Sons.
- Gu, Y., & Liu, G.-R. (2001). A meshless local Petrov-Galerkin (MLPG) method for free and forced vibration analyses for solids. *Computational Mechanics*, 27(3), 188-198.
- Guo, H., Xiao, G., Mrad, N., & Yao, J. (2011). Fiber optic sensors for structural health monitoring of air platforms. *Sensors*, 11(4), 3687-3687.
- Hafizi, Z. M. (2014). The applications of near infra-red fibre Bragg grating sensors for wave propagation based structural health monitoring of thin laminated composite plates.
- Hafizi, Z. M., Epaarachchi, J., & Lau, K. T. (2015). Impact location determination on thin laminated composite plates using an NIR-FBG sensor system. *Measurement*, 61, 51-57.
- Hai, N. D., Mutsuyoshi, H., Asamoto, S., & Matsui, T. (2010). Structural behavior of hybrid FRP composite I-beam. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24(6), 956-969.
- Hayes, J., & Works, V. D. V. (2002). Understanding wavelengths in fiber optics: The Fiber Optic Association.
- Henderson, P. J., Webb, D. J., Jackson, D. A., Zhang, L., & Bennion, I. (1999). *Highly* multiplexed grating sensors for temperature referenced quasi-static measurements of strain in concrete bridges.
- Henke, R. (2016). Advisory council for aviation research and innovation in Europe.
- Huang, C., Jing, W., Liu, K., Zhang, Y., & Peng, G. (2007). Demodulation of fiber Bragg grating sensor using cross-correlation algorithm. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, 19(9/12), 707.
- Huang, W., Zhang, W., Zhen, T., Zhang, F., & Li, F. (2014). A cross-correlation method in wavelet domain for demodulation of FBG-FP static strain sensors. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, 26(16), 1597-1600.
- Hull, D., & Clyne, T. W. (1996). An introduction to composite materials: Cambridge University Press.
- Iacoviello, F., Reis, L., Fonte, M., Freitas, M., Infante, V., & Gholizadeh, S. (2016). A review of non-destructive testing methods of composite materials. *Procedia Structural Integrity*, 1, 50-57.
- Ianniello, J. (1982). Time delay estimation via cross-correlation in the presence of large estimation errors. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, 30(6), 998-1003.
- Ioffe, S., & Szegedy, C. (2015). Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In International Conference on Machine Learning, 448-456.

- James, S. W., Tatam, R. P., Fuller, S. R., & Crompton, C. (1999). Monitoring transient strains on a gun barrel using fibre Bragg-grating sensors. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 10(2), 63-63.
- Jian, Z., & Hong, Z. (2009). Matched FBG application research on dynamic sensing and demodulation. Paper presented at the Photonics and Optoelectronics, 2009. SOPO 2009. Symposium on.
- Jiang, M., Lu, S., Sui, Q., Dong, H., Sai, Y., & Jia, L. (2015). Low velocity impact localization on CFRP based on FBG sensors and ELM algorithm. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 15(8), 4451-4456.
- Jiang, M., Sai, Y., Geng, X., Sui, Q., Liu, X., & Jia, L. (2016). Development of an FBG sensor srray for multi-impact source localization on CFRP structures. *Sensors*, 16(10), 1770-1770.
- Jim, J. (2014). End to end system design.
- Jones, R. M. (1998). Mechanics of composite materials: Taylor & amp; Francis Inc.
- Kahandawa, G. C. (2012). Monitoring damage in advanced composite structures using embedded fibre optic sensors.
- Kahandawa, G. C., Epaarachchi, J., Wang, H., Followell, D., & Birt, P. (2013). Use of fixed wavelength fibre Bragg grating (FBG) filters to capture time domain data from the distorted spectrum of an embedded FBG sensor to estimate strain with an artificial neural network. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, 194*, 1-7.
- Kahandawa, G. C., Epaarachchi, J., Wang, H., & Lau, K. T. (2012). Use of FBG sensors for SHM in aerospace structures. *Photonic Sensors*, 2(3), 203-214.
- Kavitha, S., Joseph Daniel, R., & Sumangala, K. (2016). High performance MEMS accelerometers for concrete SHM applications and comparison with COTS accelerometers. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 66(Supplement C), 410-424.
- Keller, T. (2003). Use of fibre reinforced polymers in bridge construction: International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering.
- Kersey, A. D., Berkoff, T. A., & Morey, W. W. (1993). Multiplexed fiber Bragg grating strain sensor system with a fiber Fabry-Perot wavelength filter. *Optics letters*, 18(16), 1370-1372.
- Kesavan, K., Ravisankar, K., Senthil, R., & Farvaze, A. K. (2013). Experimental studies on performance of reinforced concrete beam strengthened with CFRP under cyclic loading using FBG array. *Measurement*, 46(10), 3855-3862.
- Khan, M. T. I., Sunichi, N., & Hasan, M. (2014). Structural damage localization by linear technique of acoustic emission. *Open Journal of Fluid Dynamics*, 4(05), 425.
- Kim, C.-Y., Jung, D.-S., Kim, N.-S., Kwon, S.-D., & Feng, M. Q. (2003). Effect of vehicle weight on natural frequencies of bridges measured from traffic-induced vibration. *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, 2(1), 109-115.

- Kinet, D., Megret, P., Goossen, K., Qiu, L., Heider, D., & Caucheteur, C. (2014). Fiber Bragg grating sensors toward structural health monitoring in composite materials: Challenges and solutions. *Sensors*, 14(4), 7394-7394.
- Kirkby, E., de Oliveira, R., Michaud, V., & Manson, J. A. (2011). Impact localisation with FBG for a self-healing carbon fibre composite structure. *Composite Structures*, 94(1), 8-14.
- Kreuzer, M. (2006). Strain measurement with fiber Bragg grating sensors.
- Kroeger, T. (2014). Thermographic inspection of composites. Reinforced Plastics, 58(4), 42-43.
- Lamberti, A., Vanlanduit, S., De Pauw, B., & Berghmans, F. (2014). A novel fast phase correlation algorithm for peak wavelength detection of fiber Bragg grating sensors. *Optics express*, 22(6), 7099-7112.
- Lau, K. T. (2003). Fibre-optic sensors and smart composites for concrete applications. Magazine of concrete Research, 55(1), 19-34.
- Lau, K. T., Yuan, L., Li, M. Z., Wu, J., & Chung, H. W. (2001). Strain monitoring in FRP laminates and concrete beams using FBG sensors. *Composite Structures*, *51*(1), 9-20.
- Lee, Jeong, Y., Yin, S., Ruffin, P. B., & Yu, F. T. S. (2008). Interrogation techniques for fiber grating sensors and the theory of fiber gratings. *Fiber Optic Sensors. Taylor & amp; Francis*, 253-331.
- Lee, S. C. J. (2009). *Discrete multitone modulation for short range optical communications*. (Phd), Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Lee, S. K., & Park, J. H. (2011). Source localization for multiple impacts based on elastodynamics and wavelet analysis. Paper presented at the Advances in Mechanical Engineering.
- Li, L., Zhang, D., Liu, H., Guo, Y., & Zhu, F. (2014). Design of an enhanced sensitivity FBG strain sensor and application in highway bridge engineering. *Photonic Sensors*, 4(2), 162-167.
- Li, Y., Liu, W., Feng, Y., & Zhang, H. (2012). Ultrasonic embedding of nickel-coated fiber Bragg grating in aluminum and associated sensing characteristics. *Optical Fiber Technology*, 18(1), 7-13.
- Lim, J. H., Jang, H. S., Lee, K. S., Kim, J. C., & Lee, B. H. (2004). Mach-zehnder interferometer formed in a photonic crystal fiber based on a pair of long-period fiber gratings. *Optics Letters*, 29(4), 346-348.
- Ling, H. Y., Lau, K. T., Cheng, L., & Jin, W. (2006). Viability of using an embedded FBG sensor in a composite structure for dynamic strain measurement. *Measurement*, 39(4), 328-334.
- Liu, P. F., Liao, B. B., Jia, L. Y., & Peng, X. Q. (2016). Finite element analysis of dynamic progressive failure of carbon fiber composite laminates under low velocity impact. *Composite Structures*, 149, 408-422.
- Liu, Q., He, Z., & Tokunaga, T. (2015). Sensing the earth crustal deformation with nano-strain resolution fiber-optic sensors. *Optics Express*, 23(11), A428-A436.

- Lu, S., Jiang, M., Sui, Q., Sai, Y., & Jia, L. (2015). Low velocity impact localization system of CFRP using fiber Bragg grating sensors. Optical Fiber Technology, 21, 13-19.
- Lubin, G. (2013). Handbook of composites: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Luyckx, G., Voet, E., Lammens, N., & Degrieck, J. (2011). Strain measurements of composite laminates with embedded fibre Bragg gratings: Criticism and opportunities for research. *Sensors*, *11*(1), 384-384.
- Ma, J., Zhao, M., Huang, X., Bae, H., Chen, Y., & Yu, M. (2016). Low cost, high performance white-light fiber-optic hydrophone system with a trackable working point. *Optics Express*, 24(17), 19008-19019.
- Ma, P., Wang, X., Ma, Y., Zhou, P., & Liu, Z. (2014). Analysis of multi-wavelength active coherent polarization beam combining system. *Optics Express*, 22(13), 16538-16551.
- MacChesney, J. (2006). Fibe optics: The basics of fiber optic cable
- Machida, A., & Uomoto, T. (1997). Recommendation for design and construction of concrete structures using continuous fiber reinforcing materials (Vol. 23).
- Majumder, M., Gangopadhyay, T. K., Chakraborty, A. K., Dasgupta, K., & Bhattacharya, D. K. (2008). Fibre Bragg gratings in structural health monitoring - Present status and applications. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 147(1), 150-164.
- Mangalgiri, P. (1999). Composite materials for aerospace applications. *Bulletin of Materials Science*, 22(3), 657-664.
- Mike, J., Senior, F., & Micrel, I. (2007). Running ethernet over plastic optical fiber. http://www.embedded.com/print/4009951
- Mininni, M., Gabriele, S., Lopes, H., & Araujo, d. S. J. V. (2016). Damage identification in beams using speckle shearography and an optimal spatial sampling. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 79, 47-64.
- Moon, Y. S., Lee, S. K., Shin, K., & Lee, Y. S. (2011). Identification of multiple impacts on a plate using the time-frequency analysis and the Kalman filter. *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, 22(12), 1283-1291.
- Moyo, P., Brownjohn, J. M. W., Suresh, R., & Tjin, S. C. (2005). Development of fiber Bragg grating sensors for monitoring civil infrastructure. *Engineering Structures*, 27(12), 1828-1834.
- National Instruments. (2011). Overview of fiber optic sensing technologies. http://www.ni.com/white-paper/12953/en/
- National Instruments. (2016). FBG optical sensing: A new alternative for challenging strain measurements.
- Nishizaki, I. (2009). Life-cycle cost assessment for FRP structures. *Proceedings of US-Japan* Workshop on Life Cycle Assessment of Sustainable Infrastructure Materials.
- Orifici, A. C., Herszberg, I., & Thomson, R. S. (2008). Review of methodologies for composite material modelling incorporating failure. *Composite Structures*, 86(1-3), 194-210.

- Papantoniou, A., Rigas, G., & Alexopoulos, N. D. (2011). Assessment of the strain monitoring reliability of fiber Bragg grating sensor (FBGs) in advanced composite structures. *Composite Structures*, 93(9), 2163-2172.
- Park, J. M., Lee, S. I., Kwon, O. Y., Choi, H. S., & Lee, J. H. (2003). Comparison of nondestructive microfailure evaluation of fiber-optic Bragg grating and acoustic emission piezoelectric sensors using fragmentation test. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 34(3), 203-216.
- Pelz, C. R., Molly, K. M., Bagby, G., & Sears, R. C. (2008). Global rank invariant set normalization (GRSN) to reduce systematic distortions in microarray data. BMC bioinformatics, 9(1), 1-1.
- Pereira, G., Frias, C., Faria, H., Frazao, O., & Marques, A. T. (2013). On the improvement of strain measurements with FBG sensors embedded in unidirectional composites. *Polymer Testing*, 32(1), 99-105.
- Pilkey, W. D. (2005). Formulas for stress, strain, and structural matrices: Wiley.
- Qapo, M., Dirar, S., & Jemaa, Y. (2016). Finite element parametric study of reinforced concrete beams shear-strengthened with embedded FRP bars. *Composite Structures*, 149, 93-105.
- Qingwen, L., Tokunaga, T., & He, Z. (2011). Ultra high resolution large dynamic range optical fiber static strain sensor using Pound Drever Hall technique. *Optics letters*, *36*(20), 4044-4046.
- Raffaella, D. S. (2015). Fibre optic sensors for structural health monitoring of aircraft composite structures: Recent advances and applications. *Sensors*, *15*(8), 18666-18666.
- Raffaella, D. S., & Donati, L. (2013). Strain monitoring with embedded fiber Bragg gratings in advanced composite structures for nautical applications. *Measurement*, 46(7), 2118-2126.
- Raffaella, D. S., Donati, L., Troiani, E., & Proli, P. (2014). Evaluation of bending strain measurements in a composite sailboat bowsprit with embedded fibre Bragg gratings. *Measurement*, 54, 106-117.
- Raffaella, D. S., & Scalise, L. (2004). A novel fiber optic sensor for multiple and simultaneous measurement of vibration velocity. *Review of scientific instruments*, 75(6), 1952-1958.
- Ramakrishnan, M., Rajan, G., Semenova, Y., Boczkowska, A., Domanski, A., Wolinski, T., & Farrell, G. (2013). Measurement of thermal elongation induced strain of a composite material using a polarization maintaining photonic crystal fiber sensor. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, 190*, 44-51.
- Ramakrishnan, M., Rajan, G., Semenova, Y., & Farrell, G. (2016). Overview of fiber optic sensor technologies for strain/temperature sensing applications in composite materials. *Sensors*, 16(1), 99-99.
- Ramakrishnan, M., Rajan, G., Semenova, Y., Lesiak, P., Domanski, A., Wolinski, T., . . . Farrell, G. (2011). The influence of thermal expansion of a composite material on embedded polarimetric sensors. *Smart Materials and Structures*, 20(12), 125002-125002.

- Rao, Y. J. (1999). Recent progress in applications of in-fibre Bragg grating sensors. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*, 31(4), 297-324.
- Ray, B. C. (2006). Temperature effect during humid ageing on interfaces of glass and carbon fibers reinforced epoxy composites. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 298(1), 111-117.
- Reddy, J. N. (2004). *Mechanics of laminated composite plates and shells: Theory and analysis:* CRC press.
- Ren, L., Jia, Z. G., Li, H. N., & Song, G. (2014). Design and experimental study on FBG hoopstrain sensor in pipeline monitoring. *Optical Fiber Technology*, 20(1), 15-23.
- Rezayat, A., Pauw, B. D., Lamberti, A., El-Kafafy, M., Nassiri, V., Ertveldt, J., . . . Guillaume, P. (2016). Reconstruction of impacts on a composite plate using fiber Bragg gratings (FBG) and inverse methods. *Composite Structures*, 149, 1-10.
- Ribeiro, F., Possetti, G. R. C., Fabris, J. L., & Muller, M. (2013). Smart optical fiber sensor for impact localization on planar structures. Paper presented at the International Microwave Optoelectronics Conference (IMOC).
- Roberts, T. (2011). The carbon fiber industry worldwide 2011-2020. *Materials Technology Publications, Watford, 6,* 29-29.
- Rodrigues, C., Cavadas, F., Felix, C., & Figueiras, J. (2012). FBG based strain monitoring in the rehabilitation of a centenary metallic bridge. *Engineering Structures*, 44, 281-290.
- Rodrigues, C., Felix, C., Lage, A., & Figueiras, J. (2010). Development of a long-term monitoring system based on FBG sensors applied to concrete bridges. *Engineering Structures*, 32(8), 1993-2002.
- Roveri, N., Carcaterra, A., & Sestieri, A. (2015). Real-time monitoring of railway infrastructures using fibre Bragg grating sensors. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 60–61, 14-28.
- Saleh, B. E., & Teich, M. C. (2014). Fiber optics. Fundamentals of Photonics, 272-309.
- Sarasini, F., & Santulli, C. (2014). Non-destructive testing (NDT) of natural fibre composites: Acoustic emission technique. *Natural Fibre Composites*, 273-302.
- Saxena, I. F., Guzman, N., & Pflanze, S. (2012). Frequency response comparison of two FBG based hydrophones.
- Sboner, A., Karpikov, A., Chen, G., Smith, M., Dawn, M., Freeman, L. C., . . . Gerstein, M. B. (2009). Robust linear model normalization to reduce technical variability in functional protein microarrays. *Journal of proteome research*, 8(12), 5451-5464.
- Seung, H. Y., Kim, K. B., Oh, H. G., & Kang, J. S. (2013). Non-contact detection of impact damage in CFRP composites using millimeter wave reflection and considering carbon fiber direction. *NDT & amp; E International*, 57, 45-51.
- Shehata, E., & Mufti, A. (2005). The "Manitoba" GFRP bridge deck system. *International Institute for FRP in Construction, 2*(1).

- Shen, W., Yan, R., Xu, L., Tang, G., & Chen, X. (2015). Application study on FBG sensor applied to hull structural health monitoring. *Optik - International Journal for Light and Electron Optics*, 126(17), 1499-1504.
- Si, L., & Baier, H. (2015). Real-time impact visualization inspection of aerospace composite structures with distributed sensors. *Sensors*, 15(7), 16536-16556.
- Smith, S. (2016, 28 April 2016). Smart structures in the construction industry: A market and technology forecast - 2016 to 2025. Retrieved 8th Jan, 2017, from http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/smart-structures-in-the-constructionindustry-a-market-and-technology-forecast--2016-to-2025-300259621.html
- Stephens, R., Plew, R., & Jones, A. D. (2008). Sams teach yourself SQL in 24 hours: Pearson Education.
- Sun, H. M., Jia, R. S., Du, Q. Q., & Fu, Y. (2016). Cross-correlation analysis and time delay estimation of a homologous micro-seismic signal based on the Hilbert-Huang transform. *Computers & Computers & Co*
- Takashima, S., Asanuma, H., & Niitsuma, H. (2004). A water flowmeter using dual fiber Bragg grating sensors and cross-correlation technique. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, *116*(1), 66-74.
- Tan, K. T., Watanabe, N., & Iwahori, Y. (2011). X-ray radiography and micro-computed tomography examination of damage characteristics in stitched composites subjected to impact loading. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 42(4), 874-884.
- Truong, B. T., Larbi, A. S., & Limam, A. (2016). Numerical modelling of reinforced concrete beams repaired by TRC composites. *Composite Structures*, 152, 779-790.
- Tsuda, H. (2005). Ultrasound and damage detection in CFRP using fiber Bragg grating sensors. *Composites Science and Technology*, 66(5), 676-683.
- Uno, N., & Kitayama, N. (2003). Design, fabrication and erection of the pedestrian bridge in the road-park of Ikei-Tairagawa in Okinawa. *IHI Engineering Review*, *36*(2), 35-39.
- Vignesh, K. S., Praveen, K. A., & Prabhu, N. (2012). Emerging trends of hybridized composites. *International Journal of Engineering & amp; Science Research*, 2(12).
- Vinson, J. R., & Sierakowski, R. L. (2012). *The behavior of structures composed of composite materials* (Vol. 5): Springer Science & Business Media.
- Walker, W. F., & Trahey, G. E. (1995). A fundamental limit on delay estimation using partially correlated speckle signals. *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, 42*(2), 301-308.
- Wang, L., & Wei, Y. (2016). Revised normalized difference nitrogen index (NDNI) for estimating canopy nitrogen concentration in wetlands. *Optik - International Journal for Light and Electron Optics*, 127(19), 7676-7688.
- Webb, D. J., Surowiec, J., Sweeney, M., Jackson, D. A., Gavrilov, L. R., Hand, J. W., . . . Bennion, I. (1996). *Miniature fiber optic ultrasonic probe*. Paper presented at the SPIE's 1996 International Symposium on Optical Science, Engineering, and Instrumentation.

- Wenzhu, H., Zhen, T., Zhang, W., Zhang, F., & Li, F. (2015). A high resolution demodulation algorithm for FBG-FP static strain sensors based on the Hilbert transform and cross third order cumulant. *Sensors*, 15(5), 9928.
- Wild, G., & Hinckley, S. (2010). *Optical fibre Bragg gratings for acoustic sensors*. Paper presented at the Proc. 20th International Congress on Acoustics.
- Yang, N. (2011). Optical sensing changes rules for military structural measurements. *The Journal of Military Electronics & amp; Computing.*
- Yehia, S., Douba, A., Abdullahi, O., & Farrag, S. (2016). Mechanical and durability evaluation of fiber reinforced self compacting concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, *121*, 120-133.
- Yin, G., Dai, Y., Karanja, J., & Dai, J. (2015). Optimization design for medium-high frequency FBG accelerometer with different eigenfrequency and sensitivity. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 235, 311-316.
- Yuan, L. B., Zhou, L. M., & Wu, J. S. (2000). Fiber optic temperature sensor with duplex Michleson interferometric technique. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 86(1-2), 2-7.
- Zawadzka, A. S. (2014). Active control of smart tensegrity structures. 2014, 60(4), 18-18.
- Zhang, J., & Zhao, H. (2009). Matched FBG application research on dynamic sensing and demodulation. Paper presented at the Photonics and Optoelectronics, 2009. SOPO 2009. Symposium on.
- Zhou, K., & Wu, Z. Y. (2017). Strain gauge placement optimization for structural performance assessment. *Engineering Structures*, 141(Supplement C), 184-197.
- Zhou, W., Dong, X., Ni, K., Chan, C. C., & Shum, P. (2010). Temperature insensitive accelerometer based on a strain chirped FBG. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, 157(1), 15-18.
- Zhu, Y. K., Tian, G. Y., Lu, R. S., & Zhang, H. (2011). A review of optical NDT technologies. Sensors (Basel, Switzerland), 11(8), 7773-7798.
- Zoltek. (2016). Carbon Fibre : How it is made? (Vol. 2016).
- Zou, H., Liang, D., & Zeng, J. (2012). Dynamic strain measurement using two wavelength matched fiber Bragg grating sensors interrogated by a cascaded long period fiber grating. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering*, 50(2), 199-203.