

FIFTH-STAGE STOCHASTIC RUNGE-KUTTA
METHOD FOR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS

NOOR AMALINA NISA BINTI ARIFFIN

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that We have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :DR. NORHAYATI BT ROSLI

Position :SENIOR LECTURER

Date :JULY 2018

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :ASSOC. PROF. DR. NORAZIAH BT AHMAD

Position :ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date : JULY 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NOOR AMALINA NISA BINTI ARIFFIN

ID Number : PSE14005

Date : JULY 2018

FIFTH-STAGE STOCHASTIC RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD FOR STOCHASTIC
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

NOOR AMALINA NISA BINTI ARIFFIN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Industrial Sciences & Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULY 2018

In dedication to:

My Parents,
Encik Ariffin Ahmad and Puan Noor Faizah Mohammed Yunus,
Strong and gentle souls, who have taught me to trust in Allah and to earn an honest
living.

My Beloved Husband,
Dr. Abdul Rahman Mohd Kasim,
For his understanding and overwhelming support, both morally and financially.

My Siblings,
Nasrul, Adibah, Arifah and Adilah,
For making me laugh when i'd almost forgotten how to.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praises belong to the one and only supreme power, Allah the Almighty. I thank Allah for His will for me and constant guidance along the right path.

My deepest appreciation goes to my supervisor, Dr. Norhayati Rosli, to whom I am hugely indebted for her knowledge, guidance, unlimited time and persistent help. Without her it would have been impossible for me to finish this work. I would like to express my heartiest gratitude to her for her kindness, support, good words and for conveying a great spirit throughout my PhD journey.

I extend my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Noraziah, for her help and guidance, while not forgetting the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for supporting me financially through scholarship MYBRAIN15 and also Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) votes RDU130122 throughout my study period . Besides, I would like to thank the Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) for the financial support of my research under Internal Research Grant votes RDU1703190 and RDU180301.

I also acknowledge my gratitude and deep sense of reverence toward my parents, who have always supported me morally. Their prayers have always been my source of strength, and their faith in the Almighty has been of great help to me throughout my years of study.

It would certainly be remiss of me not to mention and sincerely thank the love of my life, my beloved husband, for his support and encouragement. His help and advice guided me in many ways. I also thank him for his understanding and patience.

Last but not least, my gratitude goes to my siblings who have always supported me and prayed for my success, and also my friends, who directly and indirectly helped me to complete this thesis. Indeed, the love of a family and friends is life's greatest blessing. Alhamdulillah, I am blessed.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Scopes of the Study	6
1.5 Research Significance	6
1.6 Thesis Organization	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)	8
2.2.1 Numerical Methods of ODEs	9

2.2.2	Stability of ODEs and Numerical Methods for ODEs	13
2.3	Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs)	14
2.3.1	Numerical Methods for SDEs	16
2.3.1	Stability of Numerical Methods for SDEs	17
2.4	Summary	19
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		23
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Wiener Process	23
3.3	The Stochastic Integral	24
3.3.1	Multiple Stochastic Integrals	28
3.3.2	Expected Values of Multiple Stochastic Integrals	32
3.4	Strong Convergence and Weak Convergence	38
3.5	Rooted Tree Theory	40
3.6	Stochastic Runge-Kutta for SDEs	43
3.6.1	Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions to SDEs	46
3.6.2	Stability of SDEs for SRK Method	47
3.7	Research Flow	49
3.7	Summary	52
CHAPTER 4 DERIVATION OF FIFTH-STAGE STOCHASTIC RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD		53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Derivation of Stochastic Taylor Expansion of Taylor Series Solution	54
4.3	General Formulation of Fifth-stage Stochastic Runge-Kutta (SRK5)	62

4.3.1	Derivation of Stochastic Taylor Expansion of SRK Method for SDEs	63
4.4	Expected Value of the Stratonovich Integrals	85
4.5	Local Truncation Error and Order Condition	88
4.6	Numerical Schemes of SRK5 for SDEs	179
CHAPTER 5 STABILITY ANALYSIS OF SRK5 FOR SDEs		183
5.1	Introduction	183
5.2	Stability Analysis of SRK5 for SDEs	184
5.3	Mean-square Stability Analysis of SRK5 for SDEs	197
5.4	Numerical Experiments for Stability Analysis	228
CHAPTER 6 VALIDATION OF SRK5 METHODS FOR SDEs		231
6.1	Introduction	231
6.2	Strong Approximation of Linear SDEs via SRK5	232
6.3	Strong Approximation of SDEs via SRK5	244
6.4	Summary	267
CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSION		268
7.1	Conclusion of the Research	268
7.2	Suggestion for Future Research	271
REFERENCES		272
APPENDIX A: PROBABILITY THEORY		278
APPENDIX B: NUMERICAL SCHEME OF SRK5		287
APPENDIX C: LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		296

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Development of Numerical Methods for ODEs	20
Table 2.2	Development of Numerical Methods for SDEs	22
Table 3.1	Trees and Elementary Functions	43
Table 4.1	Expectation of Itô and Stratonovich Integrals	85
Table 4.2	Expected Value of Stratonovich Stochastic Integrals	86
Table 4.3	Analysis of the Order Conditions of SRK5	89
Table 5.1	Values of u and v for different values of step-size, h	228
Table 5.2	Values of u and v for different values of step-size, h	230
Table 6.1	The Global Error for (6.1) with $\sigma_1 = -2.0, \sigma_2 = 0.5$, and $t_N = 2$.	242
Table 6.2	Initial values of $x(t_0)$ for YE1, YE2 and YE3	245
Table 6.3	The Kinetic Parameter Estimation for YE1, YE2 and YE3	245
Table 6.4	Root Mean-square Error of Stochastic Model YE1, YE2 and YE3	264
Table 6.5	Global Error of Stochastic Model YE1, YE2 and YE3.	264

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1	Tree $\{\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2, \mathbb{T}_3\}$	42
Figure 3.2	Research Flow	50
Figure 3.3	Stability Analysis Procedures	51
Figure 5.1	MS-Stability Region of SRK5(I) for SDEs	207
Figure 5.2	MS-Stability Region of SRK5(II) for SDEs	217
Figure 5.3	MS-Stability Region of SRK5(III) for SDEs	227
Figure 5.4	Numerical Solution of (5.1) via SRK5(I) with Different Values of Step Size, h	228
Figure 5.5	Numerical Solution of (5.1) via SRK5(II) with Different Values of Step Size, h	229
Figure 5.6	Numerical Solution of (5.1) via SRK5(III) with Different Values of Step Size, h	230
Figure 6.1	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), and SRK5(III) with a Step Size of $h = 0.01$	233
Figure 6.2	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK4, SRK2.0, Milstein Scheme and Euler-Maruyama Scheme with a Step Size of $h = 0.01$	234
Figure 6.3	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), and SRK5(III) with a Step Size of $h = 0.05$	236
Figure 6.4	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK4, SRK2.0, Milstein Scheme and Euler-Maruyama Scheme with a Step Size of $h = 0.05$	237
Figure 6.5	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), and SRK5(III) with a Step Size of $h = 0.1$	238
Figure 6.6	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK4, SRK2.0, Milstein Scheme and Euler-Maruyama Scheme with a Step Size of $h = 0.1$	239
Figure 6.7	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), and SRK5(III) with a Step Size of $h = 0.15$	240
Figure 6.8	Analytical and Numerical Solutions of Linear SDEs (6.1) via SRK4, SRK2.0, Milstein Scheme and Euler-Maruyama Scheme with a Step Size of $h = 0.15$	241
Figure 6.9	Global Error for the Linear Stochastic Model via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), SRK5(III), Euler-Maruyama Scheme and Milstein Scheme	243

Figure 6.10	Global Error for the Linear Stochastic Model via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), SRK5(III), SRK4 and SRK2.0	243
Figure 6.11	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE1 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.1$	246
Figure 6.12	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE1 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.1$	247
Figure 6.13	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE1 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.2$	248
Figure 6.14	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE1 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.2$	249
Figure 6.15	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE1 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.5$	250
Figure 6.16	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE1 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.5$	251
Figure 6.17	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE2 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.1$	252
Figure 6.18	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE2 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.1$	253
Figure 6.19	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE2 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.2$	254
Figure 6.20	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE2 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.2$	255
Figure 6.21	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE2 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.5$	256
Figure 6.22	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE2 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.5$	257

Figure 6.23	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE3 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.1$	258
Figure 6.24	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE3 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.1$	259
Figure 6.25	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE3 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.2$	260
Figure 6.26	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE3 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.2$	261
Figure 6.27	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE3 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II) and SRK5(III) with a Time Step of $h = 0.5$	262
Figure 6.28	Experimental Data and Numerical Solution of Stochastic Model (6.4) for YE3 via SRK4 and SRK2.0 with a Time Step of $h = 0.5$	263
Figure 6.29	Root Mean-square Error of Stochastic Model YE1, YE2 and YE3 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), SRK5(III), SRK4 and SRK2.0	265
Figure 6.30	Global Error of Stochastic Model YE1, YE2 and YE3 via SRK5(I), SRK5(II), SRK5(III), SRK4 and SRK2.0	266

LIST OF SYMBOLS

h	Step size
r	Time delay
t	Time
T	Terminal time
X	Random variable or stochastic process
R	Remainder
\mathbb{R}	Real number
\mathbb{T}	Rooted Tree
\mathcal{B}	<i>Borel</i> sets
\mathcal{F}	σ -field or σ -algebra
\mathcal{R}	Stability function
\emptyset	Empty set
Ω	Sample space
σ	Diffusion coefficient
\subseteq	Subset or is included in
\subset	Subset
\in	Element
\cap	Intersection
\cup	Union
$(\cdot)^T$	Transpose
f_α	Partial derivative with respect to α
f'	First derivative
f''	Second derivative
$f^{(k)}$	k -th derivative
$\int \circ dW(t)$	Stratonovich Integral
x_{max}	Maximum cell concentration
μ_{max}	Maximum specific growth rate
$YE1$	Control medium
$YE2$	Medium of yeast and NH_4Cl
$YE3$	Medium of yeast and NH_4NO_3
$E(X)$	Expected value of X
$W(t)$	Wiener process
$\text{Var}(X)$	Variance of X

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MS	Mean-square
RK	Runge-Kutta
ODEs	Ordinary differential equations
SDEs	Stochastic differential equations
SRK	Stochastic Runge-Kutta
SRK2	2-stage stochastic Runge-Kutta
SRK4	4-stage stochastic Runge-Kutta
SRK2.0	Specific stochastic Runge-Kutta
RMSE	Root mean square error

FIFTH-STAGE STOCHASTIC RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD FOR STOCHASTIC
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

NOOR AMALINA NISA BINTI ARIFFIN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Industrial Sciences & Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULY 2018

ABSTRAK

Kebanyakan sistem fizikal di sekeliling kita tertakluk kepada faktor-faktor yang tidak terkawal. Oleh itu, persamaan pembezaan stokastik (SDE) diperlukan sebagai model kepada sistem fizikal tersebut. Walau bagaimanapun, penyelesaian tepat kepada model SDE sukar didapati. Di dalam kes ini, kaedah berangka menyediakan kaedah alternatif untuk menyelesaikan sistem tersebut. Pembangunan kaedah berangka untuk SDE masih belum lengkap. Sebaliknya, kaedah berangka untuk persamaan deterministik yang setara dengannya telah dibangunkan dengan pesat. Kekurangan relatif kaedah berangka dalam SDE adalah disebabkan oleh kesukaran untuk menyelesaikan kamiran berganda stokastik berperingkat tinggi. Kamiran stokastik menyediakan maklumat proses Wiener yang kemudiannya menyumbang kepada nilai peringkat bagi kaedah tersebut. Motivasi daripada pembangunan kaedah Runge-Kutta peringkat tinggi dalam menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan biasa, kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan kaedah stokastik Runge-Kutta peringkat kelima yang baru untuk persamaan pembezaan stokastik dengan peringkat 2.0. Pemerolehan kaedah terbitan bebas ini adalah berasaskan kepada kembangan siri Taylor. Kembangan siri Taylor untuk penyelesaian siri Taylor dan berangka sehingga peringkat penumpuan 2.0 telah diperluaskan. Analisis syarat-syarat peringkat untuk SRK5 telah dilakukan dengan penilaian ke atas ralat pangkasan setempat dalam bentuk min kuasa dua dengan menggunakan MAPLE. Perbezaan antara penyelesaian siri Taylor dan penyelesaian berangka telah dinilai. Untuk menganalisis syarat-syarat peringkat, ralat pangkasan setempat antara kedua-dua penyelesaian telah diminimumkan. Semua persamaan yang timbul dalam analisis syarat-syarat peringkat telah diselesaikan secara serentak dengan menggunakan MATLAB, dan tiga skim SRK5 yang baru dibangunkan telah dibentangkan. Seterusnya, analisis kestabilan min kuasa dua telah dilakukan ke atas skim SRK5 untuk memastikan kecekapan skim berangka yang baru dibangunkan. Fungsi kestabilan bagi setiap skim telah diperoleh dan perubahan pemboleh ubah telah digunakan untuk memplot rantau kestabilan. Rantau kestabilan telah diplot pada satah uv untuk memvisualisasikan sifat kestabilan setiap skim. Di samping itu, eksperimen berangka ringkas telah dilakukan untuk memeriksa sifat kestabilan. Untuk mengesahkan kecekapan skim berangka yang baru dibangunkan, semua skim masing-masing telah digunakan untuk menyelesaikan kedua-dua model stokastik linear dan bukan linear dengan menggunakan C++. Pencapaian skim SRK5 dalam menyelesaikan SDE linear telah diukur dengan membandingkan ralat punca min-kuasa dua dan ralat sejagat yang diperoleh dengan menyelesaikan SDE linear melalui skim SRK5, SRK2.0, SRK4, Milstein dan kaedah Euler-Maruyama. Selain itu, tiga model penapaian yang berbeza telah diselesaikan dengan menggunakan skim SRK5, SRK2.0 dan SRK4. Ralat yang terhasil telah dibandingkan. SRK5 telah dibuktikan sebagai alat yang lebih berkesan untuk penghampiran penyelesaian berangka bagi SDE.

ABSTRACT

Most of the physical systems around us are subjected to uncontrollable factors. Hence, models for these systems are required via stochastic differential equations (SDEs). However, it is often difficult to find analytical solutions of SDEs. In such a case, a numerical method provides an alternative way to solve problems with such systems. The development of numerical methods for SDEs is far from complete. Conversely, numerical methods for their deterministic counterparts are well-developed. The relative paucity of numerical methods in SDEs is due to the complexity of approximating high-order multiple stochastic integrals. A stochastic integral provides information of the Wiener process, which then contributes to the order of the methods. Motivated by the development of high-order Runge-Kutta methods for solving ordinary differential equations (ODEs), this research was aimed to develop a new fifth-stage stochastic Runge-Kutta (SRK5) method for SDEs with a strong order of 2.0. The derivation of this derivative-free method was based on the stochastic Taylor series expansion. The Taylor series expansion for both Taylor series and numerical solutions up to 2.0 order of convergence have been expanded. The analysis of the order conditions for the SRK5 was performed by evaluating the local truncation error in terms of the mean square in MAPLE. The difference between Taylor series solution and numerical solution was evaluated. In order to analyze the order conditions, the local truncation error between both solutions was minimized. All equations arise in order conditions analysis have been solved simultaneously by using MATLAB, and three newly developed SRK5 schemes were presented. A mean-square stability analysis was then performed on the SRK5 scheme in order to ensure the efficiency of the newly-developed numerical scheme. The stability function for each scheme was derived and the change of variables have been applied for stability region plotting purposed. Stability region have been plotted on the uv -plane to visualize the stability property of each scheme. In addition, the simple numerical experiments have been performed to check on the stability property. In order to validate the efficiency of the newly develop numerical schemes, all schemes have been used to solve both linear and non-linear stochastic models respectively in C++. The performances of SRK5 schemes in solving linear SDEs have been measured by comparing the root mean-square error and the global error obtained by solving linear SDE via SRK5 schemes, SRK2.0, SRK4, Milstein and Euler-Maruyama methods. Besides, three different models of fermentation process were solved by using SRK5 schemes, SRK2.0 and SRK4. The errors obtained have been compared. The SRK5 is proved to be a more efficient tool for the numerical approximation of solutions to SDEs.

REFERENCES

- Balac, S. (2013). High order embedded Runge-Kutta scheme for adaptive step-size control in the interaction picture method. *Journal of the Korea Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 17(4):238–266.
- Bogacki, P. and Shampine, L. F. (1996). An efficient Runge-Kutta (4, 5) pair. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 32(6):15–28.
- Boscarino, S. (2009). On an accurate third order implicit-explicit Runge–Kutta method for stiff problems. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 59(7):1515–1528.
- Buckwar, E. and Sickenberger, T. (2011). A comparative linear mean-square stability analysis of Maruyama and Milstein-type methods. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 81(6):1110–1127.
- Burrage, K. (1993). Efficient block Predictor-corrector methods with a small number of corrections. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 45(1-2):139–150.
- Burrage, K. and Burrage, P. (1998). General order conditions for stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for both commuting and non-commuting stochastic ordinary differential equation systems. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 28(2-4):161–177.
- Burrage, K. and Burrage, P. (1999). High strong order methods for non-commutative stochastic ordinary differential equation systems and the magnus formula. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 133(1):34–48.
- Burrage, K. and Burrage, P. M. (1996). High strong order explicit Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic ordinary differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 22(1):81–101.
- Burrage, K. and Butcher, J. C. (1979). Stability criteria for implicit Runge–Kutta methods. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 16(1):46–57.
- Burrage, P. M. (1999). Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic differential equations. Ph.D Thesis. University of Queensland Brisbane.
- Burrage, P. M. and Burrage, K. (2003). A variable stepsize implementation for stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 24(3):848–864.
- Butcher, J. and Wright, W. (2003). The construction of practical general linear methods. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 43(4):695–721.
- Butcher, J. C. (1964). On Runge-Kutta processes of high order. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 4(2):179–194.
- Butcher, J. C. (1975). A stability property of implicit Runge-Kutta methods. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 15(4):358–361.

- Butcher, J. C. (1987). *The numerical analysis of ordinary differential equations: Runge-Kutta and General Linear methods*. Wiley-Interscience.
- Butcher, J. C. (2000). Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations in the 20th century. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 125(1):1–29.
- Butcher, J. C. (2008). *Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Butcher, J. C. and Chartier, P. (1999). The effective order of singly-implicit Runge-Kutta methods. *Numerical algorithms*, 20(4):269–284.
- Butcher, J. C. and Heard, A. (2002). Stability of numerical methods for ordinary differential equations. *Numerical Algorithms*, 31(1):59–73.
- Cesari, L. (2012). *Asymptotic behavior and stability problems in ordinary differential equations*, volume 16. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Curtis, A. R. (1970). An eighth order Runge-Kutta process with eleven function evaluations per step. *Numerische Mathematik*, 16(3):268–277.
- Dahlquist, G. G. (1963). A special stability problem for linear multistep methods. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 3(1):27–43.
- Dormand, J. R. and Prince, P. J. (1980). A family of embedded Runge-Kutta formulae. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 6(1):19–26.
- Ehle, B. L. (1969). *On Padé approximations to the exponential function and A-stable methods for the numerical solution of initial value problems*. Ph.D thesis, University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario.
- Fehlberg, E. (1969). *Low-order classical Runge-Kutta formulas with stepsize control and their application to some heat transfer problems*. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). Washington
- Franco, J., Khair, Y., and Rández, L. (2015). Two new embedded pairs of explicit Runge–Kutta methods adapted to the numerical solution of oscillatory problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 252:45–57.
- Gard, T. C. (1988). *Introduction to stochastic differential equations*. M. Dekker.
- Gikhman, I. I. and Skorokhod, A. V. (2015). *The theory of stochastic processes I*. Springer.
- Gill, S. (1951). A process for the step-by-step integration of differential equations in an automatic digital computing machine. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 47, pages 96–108. Cambridge University Press.

- Gupta, G. K., Sacks-Davis, R., and Tescher, P. E. (1985). A review of recent developments in solving odes. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 17(1):5–47.
- Hairer, E. (1978). A Runge-Kutta method of order 10. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 21(1):47–59.
- Hazewinkel, M. (2001). Index: Discrete mathematics 2001. *Discrete Mathematics*, 227:1–4.
- Higham, D. J. (2000a). A-stability and stochastic mean-square stability. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 40(2):404–409.
- Higham, D. J. (2000b). Mean-square and asymptotic stability of the stochastic theta method. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 38(3):753–769.
- Higham, D. J. (2001). An algorithmic introduction to numerical simulation of stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Review*, 43(3):525–546.
- Huang, C. (2012). Exponential mean square stability of numerical methods for systems of stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 236(16):4016–4026.
- Hull, T., Enright, W., Fellen, B., and Sedgwick, A. (1972). Comparing numerical methods for ordinary differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 9(4):603–637.
- Iserles, A. (1990). Stability and dynamics of numerical methods for nonlinear ordinary differential equations. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 10(1):1–30.
- Jackiewicz, Z. and Tracogna, S. (1995). A general class of two-step Runge–Kutta methods for ordinary differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 32(5):1390–1427.
- Kennedy, C. A., Carpenter, M. H., and Lewis, R. M. (2000). Low-storage, explicit Runge–Kutta schemes for the compressible navier–stokes equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 35(3):177–219.
- Khasminskii, R. (2011). *Stochastic stability of differential equations*, volume 66. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kloeden, P. and Platen, E. (1991). Numerical methods for stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Hydrology and Hydraulics*, 5(2):172.
- Kloeden, P. E. and Platen, E. (1992). *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*. Springer-verlag. New York.
- Komori, Y., Saito, Y., and Mitsui, T. (1994). Some issues in discrete approximate solution for stochastic differential equations. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 28(10-12):269–278.

- Lasagni, F. (1988). Canonical Runge-Kutta methods. *Springer Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP)*, 39(6):952–953.
- LeVeque, R. J. (2007). *Finite difference methods for ordinary and partial differential equations: steady-state and time-dependent problems*, volume 98. Siam Publishing.
- Maruyama, G. (1955). Continuous Markov processes and stochastic equations. *Rendicontidel Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, 4(1):48–90.
- Mazlan, M. S. A. and Rosli, N. (2015). A gompertzian model with random effects to cervical cancer growth. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*, volume 1660, page 050008. AIP Publishing.
- Mikosch, T. (1998). *Elementary stochastic calculus, with finance in view*, volume 6. World Scientific Publishing Co Inc.
- Milstein, G. (1975). Approximate integration of stochastic differential equations. *Theory of Probability & Its Applications*, 19(3):557–562.
- Milstein, G. N. (1994). *Numerical integration of stochastic differential equations*, volume 313. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Mitsui, T. and Saito, Y. (2007). MS-stability analysis for numerical solutions of stochastic differential equations: Beyond single-step single dim. In *Some Topics in Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, pages 181–194. World Scientific.
- Mundaca, G. and Øksendal, B. (1998). Optimal stochastic intervention control with application to the exchange rate. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 29(2):225–243.
- Niegemann, J., Diehl, R., and Busch, K. (2012). Efficient low-storage Runge–Kutta schemes with optimized stability regions. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231(2):364–372.
- Okunbor, D. and Skeel, R. D. (1992). Explicit canonical methods for Hamiltonian systems. *Mathematics of Computation*, 59(200):439–455.
- Otttinger, H. C. (1996). *Stochastic Calculus*. Springer.
- Rathinasamy, A. and Balachandran, K. (2008). Mean-square stability of milstein method for linear hybrid stochastic delay integro-differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, 2(4):1256–1263.
- Reddinger, K. S. (2015). *Numerical stability & numerical smoothness of ordinary differential equations*. PhD thesis, Bowling Green State University.
- Rosli, N. (2010). Performance of Euler-Maruyama, 2-stage SRK and 4-stage SRK in approximating the strong solution of stochastic model. *Sains Malaysiana*, 39(5):851–857.

- Rosli, N. (2012). Stochastic Runge-Kutta method for stochastic delay differential equations. Ph.D thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Rosli, N., Bahar, A., Yeak, S., and Mao, X. (2013). A systematic derivation of stochastic Taylor methods for stochastic delay differential equations. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 36(3).
- Rumelin, W. (1982). Numerical treatment of stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 19(3):604–613.
- Ryashko, L. B. and Schurz, H. (1997). Mean square stability analysis of some linear stochastic systems. *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, 6:165–190.
- Saito, Y. and Mitsui, T. (1993). T-stability of numerical scheme for stochastic differential equations. In *Contributions in Numerical Mathematics*, pages 333–344. World Scientific.
- Saito, Y. and Mitsui, T. (1996). Stability analysis of numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 33(6):2254–2267.
- Saito, Y. and Mitsui, T. (2002). Mean-square stability of numerical schemes for stochastic differential systems. *Vietnam J. Math*, 30:551–560.
- Samsudin, A., Rosli, N., and Amalina Nisa Ariffin, N. (2017). Stability analysis of explicit and implicit stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic differential equations. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 890, page 012084. IOP Publishing.
- Shi, C., Xiao, Y., and Zhang, C. (2012). The convergence and MS-stability of Exponential Euler method for semi-linear stochastic differential equations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, volume 2012. Hindawi Publishing Corporation.
- Tocino, A. and Senosiain, M. (2012). Mean-square stability analysis of numerical schemes for stochastic differential systems. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 236(10):2660–2672.
- Van Kampen, N. (1981). Itô versus Stratonovich. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 24(1):175–187.
- Widlund, O. B. (1967). A note on unconditionally stable Linear Multistep methods. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 7(1):65–70.
- Wong, E. and Zakai, M. (1965). On the convergence of ordinary integrals to stochastic integrals. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 36(5):1560–1564.
- Xiao, A. and Tang, X. (2016). High strong order stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for Stratonovich stochastic differential equations with scalar noise. *Numerical Algorithms*, 72(2):259–296.

- Zhang, C. and Vandewalle, S. (2004). Stability analysis of Runge–Kutta methods for non-linear Volterra Delay-integro differential equations. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 24(2):193–214.
- Zingg, D. W. and Chisholm, T. T. (1999). Runge–Kutta methods for linear ordinary differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 31(2):227–238.