RISK AND MITIGATION OF VEHICLES PARKING FOR GAMBANG UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA PAHANG (UMP) CAMPUS, PAHANG

ZAINURULAINI BINTI ZAINAL ABIDIN AA08019

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources University Malaysia Pahang

ABSTRAK

Analisis parkir adalah bertujuan untuk mengetahui jumlah keseluruhan keperluan parkir di dalam kegunaan seharian berdasarkan kepada zon dan keluasan sesebuah kawasan. Kajian ini dijalankan di dalam Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP), Pahang. Analisis parkir diperlukan untuk setiap projek di mana perubahan penggunaan parkir akan meningkat berdasarkan kepada permintaan. Analisis parkir akan menentukan samada jumlah parkir yang disediakan oleh Pihak UMP adalah mencukupi terutamanya untuk kegunaan pekerja dan pelajar di UMP. Objektif daripada kajian dijalankan adalah bertujuan untuk menentukan kebolehpercayaan perkhidmatan parkir di UMP dan untuk mengelakkan kesesakkan disamping meningkatkan tahap keselesaan kepada pengguna. Data diperolehi melalui soalansoalan yang diedarkan kepada tetamu yang menggunakan khidmat parkir di UMP untuk mengetahui pendapat dan tahap keselesaan parkir di UMP. Selain itu, data juga diperolehi melalui kaedah "Traffic Counting Survey" and "Parking Counting Survey". Parkir analisis berubah-ubah sepanjang hari mengikut keadaan. Data terkumpul digunakan untuk menilai sesaat penggunaan parkir bagi meningkatkan kemudahan sediada. 32% mengatakan bahawa untuk menjumpai kawasan yang sesuai sebagai parkir adalah susah dan keseluruhan kualiti parkir yang disediakan adalah pada tahap baik. Masa puncak adalah diantara jam 2.00 - 4.00 petang, ini kerana keperluan parkir pada masa tersebut adalah tinggi. Untuk mengelakkan kesesakkan, penambahan parkir dan parkir berbumbung perlu disediakan untuk keselesaan dan keselamatan penggunan dan kenderaan yang digunakan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TIT	LE PAC	GE .	i
DEC	CLARA'	TION	ii
DED	DICATI	ON	iii
ACF	KNOWI	LEDGEMENT	iv
ABS	STRAC	г	v
ABS	STRAK		vi
TAB	BLE OF	CONTENTS	vii
LIST	T OF TA	ABLE	viii
LIST	T OF FI	IGURE	ix
LIST	T OF FI	LOW CHART	x
1.0	INTR	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Background Study	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
		1.2.1 Parking System	2
		1.2.2 Physical Development	3
	1.3	Objective	3
	1.4	Scope of Study	4
	1.5	Significant of Study	5

	2.15	Parking Survey	26
	2.16	Choosing When to Survey	26
	2.17	Method of Parking Survey	27
		2.17.1 Occupancy Survey	27
		2.17.2 Parking Beat Survey	28
		2.17.3 Parking Counting Survey	30
	2.18	Parking Management	31
	2.19	Categories of Parking	31
		2.19.1 Public Parking	32
		2.19.2 Private Parking	33
		2.19.3 Disabled Parking	33
	2.20	Type of Parking	34
		2.20.1 Parallel Parking	35
		2.20.2 Reverse Parking	35
		2.20.3 Perpendicular Parking	36
	2.21	Parking Signing	36
	2.22	Parking Controls system	37
3.0	METH	HODOLOGY	
	3.1	Introduction	38
	3.2	Methodology of Parking Studies (Stage 1)	39
	3.3	Literature Review (Stage 2)	40
	3.4	Data Collection (Stage 3)	41
	3.5	Data Analysis (Stage 4)	42
	3.6	Result and Findings (Stage 5)	43

	3.7	Gantt	Chart		44
	3.8	Quest	tionnaire		45
	3.9	Surve	ey		46
		3.9.1	Traffic (Counting Survey	46
		3.9.2	Parking	Counting Survey	46
	3.10	Parkii	ng Deman	· ·	48
	3.11	Traffi	ic Counting	g Survey Form	49
	3.12	Parkir	ng Countin	g Survey Form	50
4.0				ANALYSIS	
	4.1	Analy	rsis of resp	ondent	54
		4.1.1	Respond	ent of questionnaire Carnival "Jom Masuk U"	55
		4.1.2	Analysis	based on time during Carnival "Jom Masuk U"	56
			4.1.2.1	Gender	57
			4.1.2.2	Race	58
			4.1.2.3	Customer type	59
			4.1.2.4	Attend this Carnival for?	61
			4.1.2.5	How do you find this Carnival?	61
			4.1.2.6	Do you own a vehicle?	63
			4.1.2.7	What type of vehicle?	65
			4.1.2.8	How often do you come to UMP?	67

		4.1.2.9	The average time you take in trying to	69
			find a suitable parking space?	
		4.1.2.10	Duration of parking?	71
		4.1.2.11	How easy to find the parking space?	72
		4.1.2.12	Is there enough parking provision for the	74
			disabled in UMP car parks?	
		4.1.2.13	Do you think the parking lots on campus	7 6
			provide safe environment?	
		4.1.2.14	How would you rate the overall quality of	77
			the parking areas that in UMP?	
	4	1.1.2.15 Si	uggestion	79
4.2	Traffi	c Counting	Survey	7 9
	4.2.1	Analysis	of Traffic Counting Survey Form	80
		– "In Fro	nt of Library (UMP) – 12 th April 2010	
		4.2.1.1	Plan - Gate Entrance in front of UMP Library	83
			(Traffic counting in out UMP, Gambang)	
		4.2.1.2	Entrance In Front of Library (UMP)	84
	4.2.2	Analysis	of Traffic Counting Survey Form –	88
		"Universi	ty Malaysia Pahang Main Entrance Gate	

118

		– 19 st April 2010″	
	4.2.3	Plan of UMP Main Entrance Gate	89
		(Traffic Counting Survey UMP, Gambang)	
		4.2.3.1 University Malaysia Pahang Main Entrance	91
4.3	Parkir	ng Counting Survey	95
4.4	Analy	sis of Parking Counting Survey Form	95
	4.4.1	In Front of Library (UMP) – 26 th April 2010	96
		4.4.1.1 In Front of Library (UMP)	97
	4.4.2	UMP Hostel (Kolej Kediaman 1 and Kolej Kediaman 3)	102
		4.4.2.1 UMP Hostel (KK1 and KK3)	103
	4.4.3	In Front of Administration (UMP) – 26 th July 2010	107
		4.4.3.1 In Front of Administration (UMP)	108
4.5	Situati	on of parking demand at the time of Student	113
	Convo	cation on 9 th October 2010 at UMP, Gambang, Pahang.	
4.6	Analys	sis of parking demand at the time of Student	115
	Convo	cation on 9 th October 2010 at UMP, Gambang, Pahang.	
1.7	STAN	DARD OF PARKING	116
	4.7.1	Library	118

4.7.1.1 Car Parking Lots (PLK)

	:	:	:	
×	ı	ı	ı	
,,	٠	•	•	

			4.7.1.2	Motorcycle Parking Lots	118
			4.7.1.3	Bus Parking Lots (PLB)	118
		4.7.2	Chancel	lery	119
			4.7.2.1	Car Parking Lots (PLK)	119
			4.7.2.2	Motorcycle Parking Lots	119
			4.7.2.3	Bus Parking Lots (PLB)	120
		4.7.3	Hostel		120
			4.7.3.1	Car Parking Lots (PLK)	120
			4.7.3.2	Motorcycle Parking Lots	120
		4.7.4	Mosque		121
			4.7.4.1	Car Parking Lots (PLK)	121
			4.7.4.2	Motorcycle Parking Lots (PLM)	121
5.0	REC	OMME	NDATIO	NS AND CONCLUSIONS	
	5.1	Introdu	uction		122
	5.2	Data P	rocessing		122
	5.3	Discus	sion		123
	5.4	Conclu	sion		125
	5.5	Recom	mendation	ns	126

·	11	ı

	5.5.1	Improved quality of service	126			
	5.5.2	Minimize vehicle used/support mobility management	127			
	5.5.3	The quality compare to quantity	127			
	5.5.4	More flexible facility location	127			
	5.5.5	Increase parking facility design and operation	128			
	5.5.6	Provide parking shelter	128			
	5.5.7	Signs for parking space area	128			
	5.5.8	Proposal new parking area	129			
6.0	REFERENC	ES-	130			
7 . 0	APPENDICI	ES	133			
	APPENDIXI	ES A – SAMPLE OF QUESTIONAIRES	133			
	APPENDIXES B – STANDARD OF PARKING (MAJLIS					
		RAN KUATAN)				

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Parking Facilities can effect the growth of tree	16
Figure 1.2: Parking Facilities can effect the growth of tree	17
Figure 1.3: Lack of motorcycle parking	17
Figure 1.4: Bottlenecks	18
Figure 1.5: Bottlenecks	18
Figure 1.6: Bottlenecks	18
Figure 1.7: Bottlenecks	19
Figure 1.8: Parking demand influenced by the growing population	23
of student staff and guests at UMP	
Figure 1.9: Campus UMP Gambang, Pahang	25
Figure 1.10: Public parking in UMP	32
Figure 1.11: Disabled Parking	34
Figure 2.1: One Visitor is filling the questionnaire about Parking Facilities	45
Survey at Carnival "Jom Masuk U" in UMP	
Figure 2.2: Example of Parking Demand	47
Figure 4.1: Relationship between Time and Visitor who attended to the	56
Carnival "Jom Masuk U"	
Figure 4.2: Percentage of visitors who attended to the Carnival	56
"Jom Masuk U"	
Figure 4.3: Comparison between male and female gender	57
Figure 4.4: Percentages between the races	58
Figure 4.5: Customer types who did the questionairre	59

	xvi
Figure 4.6: Customer types who did the questionarre	60
Figure 4.7: Reasons why people attend to this carnival	61
Figure 4.8: Number of vehicles owned by visitors, UMP staff and students	63
who did the questionairre	
Figure 4.9: Percentage number of vehicles owned by visitors who did the	64
questionairre	
Figure 4.10: Percentage of types of vehicles that had been used	65
Figure 4.11: Types of vehicles that had been used	65
Figure 4.12: Types of vehicle that had been used	67
Figure 4.13: Percentage of how often visitors come to UMP	68
Figure 4.14: Average time for find suitable parking	69
Figure 4.15: Percentage of the average time for finding suitable parking	69
Figure 4.16: Situation shown the car is parked not at the parking place	70
Figure 4.17: Percentage duration of parking	71
Figure 4.18: Duration of parking for Visitor Park their Vehicles	71
Figure 4.19: Total number of visitors who can find their parking spaces	.72
whether it's easy or difficult	•
Figure 4.19: The percentage of visitors who could find their parking space	73
whether it's easy or difficult	
Figure 4.20: The percentage of visitors who knows that are disabled	74
parking spaces in UMP	
Figure 4.21: Disabled Parking	75
Figure 4.22: The bar chart shows the effectiveness of parking in UMP	76

	xvii
Figure 4.23: Rate of Quality of Parking in UMP	77
Figure 4.24: Rate of Quality of Parking in UMP	78
Figure 4.25: Plan - Gate Entrance in front of UMP Library,	81
Gambang, Pahang	
Figure 4.26: Plan of Traffic Counting Survey In Front of Library UMP,	82
Gambang	
Figure 4.27: Plan of UMP Main Entrance Gate	89
Figure 4.28: Plan of Traffic Counting Survey at UMP Main Entrance Gate,	90
Gambang	
Figure 4.29: Parking Counting Survey – In Front of Library (UMP)	101
Figure 4.30: Parking at in front of the Library (UMP)	101
Figure 4.31: Mapping of parking at campus UMP Gambang	102
Figure 4.32: Mapping of parking at in front of JPPH UMP Gambang	107
Figure 4.33: Picture above show there is not enough parking lots	112
in front of JPPH (UMP)	
Figure 4.34: Car above is park in a wrong side because there is not	112
enough parking provide in front the JPPH (UMP)	,
Figure 4.35: Situation of parking lots at in front of JPPH UMP, Gambang	112
Figure 4.33: The situation of parking lots when the Convocation was held in	113
UMP on 9 th October 2010	
Figure 4.34: Cars parked at the wrong side of parking area because the	113
parking lots provided is not enough	
Figure 4.35: The vehicles parked at the wrong side	114

Figure 4.36: The situation shows that parking provided by UMP is no enough	114
Figure 4.37: This situation will harm the environment because the vehicle	114
is parked above turfing	
Figure 5.1: propose new area (In front of the Sport Centre UMP)	129
Figure 5.2: propose new area (In front of the Sport Centre UMP)	129
,	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1.1: Traffic Counting Survey Form	12
Table 1.2: Number of Parking Lots (2009/2010) in UMP	19
Table 1.3: Parking Counting Survey	30
Table 1.4: Traffic Counting Survey Form	48
Table 1.5: Parking Counting Survey (survey form)	49
Table 4.1: Percentage of suggestion based on questionnaire	7 9
Table 4.2: Traffic counting survey (12/4/2010) - (7.00 - 9.00 a.m)	84
Table 4.3: Traffic counting survey (12/4/2010) - (12.00 - 2.00 p.m)	85
Table 4.4: Traffic counting survey (12/4/2010) - (4.00 – 6.00 p.m)	86
Table 4.5: Traffic counting survey (19/04/2010) - (7.00 – 9.00 a.m)	91
Table 4.6: Traffic counting survey (19/04/2010) - (12.00 - 2.00 p.m)	92
Table 4.7: Traffic counting survey (19/04/2010) - (4.00 - 6.00 p.m)	93
Table 4.9: Parking counting survey (19/04/2010) - (7.00 – 9.00 a.m)	97
Table 4.10: Parking counting survey (19/04/2010) - (12.00 - 2.00 p.m)	98
Table 4.11: Parking counting survey (19/04/2010) - (4.00 – 6.00 p.m)	99
Table 4.12: Parking counting survey (02/08/2010) - (7.00 - 9.00 a.m)	103

Table 4.13: Parking counting survey (02/08/2010) - (12.00 - 2.00 p.m)	104
Table 4.14: Parking counting survey (02/08/2010) - (4.00 - 6.00 p.m)	105
Table 4.15: Parking counting survey (02/08/2010) - (7.00 – 9.00 a.m)	108
Table 4.16: Parking counting survey (02/08/2010) - (12.00 – 2.00 p.m)	109
Table 4.17: Parking counting survey (02/08/2010) - (4.00 - 6.00 p.m)	110
Table 4.18: Standard of Parking (References - Majlis Perbandaraan Kuatan)	116
LIST OF FLOW CHART	
Flow Chart 1.1: STAGE 1 – Main of Parking Studies	39
Flow Chart 1.2: STAGE 2 – Literature Review	40
Flow Chart 1.3: STAGE 3 – Data Collection	41
Flow Chart 1.44: STAGE 4 – Data Analysis	42
Flow Chart 1.5: STAGE 5 – Result and Findings	43

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

UMP was established as a public technical university by the Malaysian government on 16 February 2002. The University College of Engineering & Technology Malaysia currently operates on a temporary campus in Gambang, Pahang. The university consists of 17 departments/units and 7 faculties. Provision of quality living and learning setting is crucial for staff and students in university campuses. Buildings and roads are essential infrastructure to ensure safety, security and comfort for the campus users. [8]

Even though new student intake is only once a year, the number of students is advancing from year-to-year and this would affect the facilities provided by the university such as the cafeteria and parking lot. By that time, student population in the temporary campus in Gambang alone is expected to reach its maximum capacity.

The convocation ceremony also takes place every year and this would result in parking congestion especially for guests. To overcome the congestion problem a proposal for a case study on project evaluation of vehicle of parking area in UMP campus is being done to reduce these problems. This project is to conduct a feasibility study to implement total transportation services and measures to overcome transportation related problem such as congestion and accident.

Nowadays, major issues face by the University is mostly related to transportation management to due to the increasing size of the University population. The objectives that parking management may be intended to serve are varied, complex, and potentially contradictory. Management of parking supply is a balancing act: Too much parking, particularly if provided in surface lots, uses valuable land resources and will often results in widely-spaced and disconnected development patterns. Too little parking or poorly designed or located parking spaces can result in parking spillover to adjacent areas, leading travelers to choose alternate destinations, and/or inhibit development. [11]

1.2 Problem Statement

The utilization of vehicle can influence the number of parking needed. This includes vehicles used by staff, students and visitors in the campus. As a result, it brings many problems to the campus society as well as to the environment such as congestion, high accident rate; shortage of parking spaces, low air quality and high maintenance cost to maintain the transportation infrastructure and facilities. UMP currently does not experience a parking shortage. However, a parking shortage does occur on the campus on peak days during peak hours. In addition, all campuses expect a parking shortage in the future as the campuses grow and their parking capacity is not added consistent with the growth of the respective campuses.

Based on this situation, the proposal for Risk and Mitigation of Vehicle Parking for Gambang University Malaysia Pahang (Ump) Campus is the best ways to avoid parking problems from happening. It can prevent any disruption during any events that done in UMP campus and also provide a comfortable systematical parking.

Parking demand is routinely high especially for the university community. Systematical parking can reduce congestion of vehicles. The design is based on the area and the number of vehicles used in UMP. However there are several factors which should be considered before the research can be performed which is;

1.2.1 Parking system

The available parking space in the campus is not enough in comparison to the requirement of students. This shortage is very critical to the university management and vehicle owners as well. The projected demand for parking lots in the upcoming year is based on the projection of student intake and formulated parking requirement by UMP.

1.2.2 Physical Development

Horizontal development to build the offices, laboratories, hostels and other related facilities to meet the future intake is almost impossible since the land is fully occupied. This situation will influence the quantity of parking spaces needed. The only alternative is to implement double parking (elevated parking) to reduce congestion and become more systematic.

1.3 Objective

The aim of this study is to evaluate the need for the establishment of the vehicle parking in UMP campus. Thus, in order to achieve this, several objectives should be highlighted to support the ultimate objective as follows;

- 1.3.1 To determine the reliability of parking service in UMP campus within otherwise.
- 1.3.2 To determine the number of parking required for staff and student.
- 1.3.3 To propose for additional parking in UMP campus.

1.4 Scope of Study

In executing the research, the scope boundary needs to be defined and a few limitations need to be drawn to avoid a very wide scope of research and an unfocused study. The scope and limitation for this research are:

- 1.4.1 Comparison between the numbers of parking provided in UMP and parking spaces used during peak hour whether in Campus and Academic.
- 1.4.2 The number of vehicles in and out of UMP that can influence the parking space provide by UMP.
- 1.4.3 Comfort of parking space during events or other ceremonies held in UMP.

1.5 Significance of Study

A campus is a unique place with a distinctive community with green spaces such as streets, squares, courtyards and small gardens. It also accommodates buildings such as student centers, offices, halls, shops, clinic and sports arena. The activities conducted in and around these buildings, physically and socially occur throughout the day and consequently the campus tends to suffer from the pressure of

development in order to cope with the rapid emergence of communities demand for their facilities and amenities.

This situation will influence the problem of parking which is related to the facilities that provided in the campus. The significance of this study will determine the reliability of parking for students, staff, guests and lecturer demand. The development of campus infrastructure should be provided or maintained without jeopardizing the quality of campus environment.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Every trip by a vehicle results in a parking act at the end of the trip. The importance of parking can perhaps be illustrated by the fact that, on average, a car is parked for 24 hours. The vehicle may be parked on the street or off-street in a car/lorry/cycle park, or in a private garage. How vehicles arrive and depart from these parking places, how long they stay and under what circumstances define vehicular traffic and indeed some pedestrian traffic on the roads and help to determine what measures are required to meet or manage the demand. Therefore, it is very important to obtain an objective and unbiased understanding of this activity by properly constructed and conducted surveys. [8]

To allow us to understand the parking behaviors, there are multitudes of parking survey technique, which have been developed, each aimed at measuring something slightly different. Each technique includes surveys, interviews and a manually-classified count (MCC). [8]

To know whether the quantity of vehicles is suitable and good enough for parking services provide in UMP, manually-classified count (MCC) were used. It can determine the flow of traffic in UMP during peak hour such as between 6.00 a.m. – 8.00 a.m. and 4.00 p.m. – 6.00 p.m. At this peak hour we can see the number of vehicles in and out of UMP and compare this with the number of parking lots provided in UMP either in campus or academic.

The data bellow shows the quantity of vehicles that have been registered to Information Computer and Technology (ICT) department in UMP for staff and students from the year 2007 - 2010. [28]

Staff

No.	Year	Total No. of Application	Total No. of Car	Total No. of Motorcycle
1	2005/2006	1143	917	226
2	2006/2007	0	0	0
3	2007/2008	1899	1496	403
4	2008/2009	0	0	0
5	2009/2010	2152	1748	404

Student

No.	Year	Total No. of Application	Total No. of Car	Total No. of Motorcycle
1	2007	1276	526	750
2	2008	1759	738	1021
3	2009	1248	587	661
4	2010	565	219	346

2.2 Definition of Traffic

Traffic can be defined as the movement of pedestrians and goods along a route and in the 21st century the biggest problem and challenge for the traffic engineer is often the imbalance between the amount of traffic and the capacity of the route, leading to congestion. [3]

Traffic congestion is not a new phenomenon. Traffic is the transportation of goods, coming and going of persons or goods either by road, rail, air, etc. [2]

Gordon Wells quoted for his definition of traffic engineering, that is: [2]

That part of engineering which deals with traffic planning and design of roads, of frontage development and parking facilities and with the control of traffic to provide safe, convenient and economic movement of vehicles and pedestrian.

2.3 Traffic Surveys

Traffic engineering is used to either improve an existing situation or, in the case of a new facilities to provide a good service and comfort for users. The aim is to ensure that the facilities is correctly and safely designed and is adequate for the demands that will be placed on it. [5]

Traffic surveys are important to provide an objective measure of an existing situation. This survey does not give a definitive description of the traffic flow for ever and a day but the main reason is it can provide a measure of condition at the time the survey was undertaken. The result will be used as representative of normal

traffic conditions. The survey must be defined with care and the information used with caution. [3]

2.4 Traffic Data Analysis

Traffic surveys must be done correctly because if the survey is not adequately planned, wrong data will be collected and the traffic situation will not be correctly understood and failed. To make sure the survey is done exactly, at first, to adequately understand what is going on, in terms of traffic flow and circulation. I these circumstances the traffic survey is providing evidence which will not only be used to quantify behavior; it may also be used to define it. [3]

2.5 Traffic Counts

There are several techniques which can be used to measure the traffic counts such as automatic traffic counts, manual counts and turning movements. It can help to understand traffic movements with their principle. All the traffic count methodologist described is non-interventionist that is they do not affect the traffic flow being measured. [4]

2.5.1 Automatic Traffic Counts

Automatic traffic counters are used to mechanically measure traffic volumes moving past the survey point. The counters normally use a pressure tube or an inductive loop which is fixed across the road at the census point. [6]

The pressure tube is compressed each time a vehicle axle crosses it. This sends a pulse along the tube which is counted and hence the vehicular flow can be estimated.

Automatic traffic counters are usually used where traffic flow data is required over an extended period, for example a week or a year. The data can be performed in terms of the flow per time periods, for example per hour, per day or per week and compared by daily, weekly or seasonal variation as well as quantifying the volume of traffic. [8]

2.5.2 Manual Counts

The data obtained based on manual counts is also valid to be used as a traffic flow conditions. This manual observation can be used in measuring a traffic flow instead of using an automatic counter. The traffic flowing past a survey point is counted by an observer, who would record the flow using either manual-classified counts form or by using a tally counters. [4]

For this research of traffic flow, the techniques that had been used to record the traffic flow is by using a manual-classified counts form. There are two entry-