

MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF
LIGHTWEIGHT SANDWICH
STRUCTURES BASED ON TRAPEZOIDAL
CORRUGATED-CORES

NOOR ZAKIAH BINTI MD ZAID

Master of Science

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that We have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. MOHD RUZAIMI BIN MAT REJAB

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : PROF DR.ING. NIK ABDULLAH BIN NIK MOHAMED

Position : PROFESSOR

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NOOR ZAKIAH BINTI MD ZAID

ID Number : MMM14038

Date : JULY 2018

MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE OF LIGHTWEIGHT SANDWICH
STRUCTURES BASED ON TRAPEZOIDAL CORRUGATED-CORES

NOOR ZAKIAH BINTI MD ZAID

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULY 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my highest gratitude to Allah the Almighty for blessing me in finishing this project regardless obstacles occurred. Next, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor and co-supervisor Dr Mohd Ruzaimi Bin Mat Rejab and Prof Dr.Ing. Nik Abdullah Bin Nik Mohamed for their continuous support, patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge towards my master study and research. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my master study.

I would like to extend my sincerest thanks to all members of the staff of Mechanical Engineering Department (UMP), and members of structural and analysis group for their valuable comments, precious sharing and knowledge which helped me in many ways during my research and dissertation. Their contribution means a lot towards my understanding.

I acknowledge my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents for their love, dream and sacrifice throughout my life. I am also grateful to my family for their sacrifice, patience, and understanding that were inevitable to make this work possible. I cannot find the appropriate words that could properly describe my appreciation for their devotion, support and faith in my ability to attain my goals.

I would like to greatly thank the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for sponsor of FRGS grant and Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) for all the support throughout the period of me completing this study.

Last but not least, special thanks should be given to my committee members. I must also acknowledge them for their comments and suggestions, which was crucial for the successful completion of this study.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Application of Corrugated-core sandwich structure	3
1.3 Problem Statement	5
1.4 Objective	5
1.5 Scope	6
1.6 Organisation of Thesis	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Sandwich Structure	9
2.3 Type of Sandwich Structures	11

2.3.1	Honeycomb Sandwich Structure	12
2.3.2	Foam Core Sandwich Structure	15
2.3.3	Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	17
2.4	Mechanical Tests on Sandwich Structure	23
2.4.1	Compression Testing on Sandwich Structure	23
2.4.2	Tensile Testing on Sandwich Structure	24
2.5	Modelling of Sandwich Structure	25
2.5.1	Finite Element Analysis on Sandwich Structure	25
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		32
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Design of mould	32
3.2.1	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer	34
3.2.2	Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer	35
3.3	Mechanical Tests	37
3.3.1	Tensile Test for CFRP and GFRP plates	37
3.3.2	Static Compression Test	40
3.3.3	Model of Compression Response of Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	41
3.3.4	Static Tensile Test for Corrugated-core	44
3.3.5	Model of Tension Response of Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	46
3.4	Finite Element Analysis	47
3.4.1	Modelling	48
3.4.2	Pre-setup for corrugated-core structure	48
3.4.3	Modelling of Compression Trapezoidal Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	50

3.4.4	Modelling of Tensile Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	55
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Mechanical Properties of Materials	57
4.2.1	Tensile Test on Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) and Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer (GFRP)	57
4.3	Static Compression Test for Sandwich Corrugated-core Structure	61
4.3.1	The Compression Behaviour of CFRP Trapezoidal Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	61
4.3.2	The Compression Behaviour of GFRP Corrugated-Core Sandwich Structure	66
4.3.3	Effect of Varying Number of Unit Cell and Varying Number of Plies	69
4.4	Static Tensile Test for Corrugated-core Structure	71
4.5	Validation of Experimental Result and Numerical Data	73
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		77
5.1	Introduction	77
5.2	Conclusion	77
5.3	Recommendation for Future Work	78
REFERENCES		80
APPENDIX A		87
APPENDIX B		92

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of Literature Review	28
Table 3.1	Summary of tensile test sample preparation parameter	39
Table 3.2	Parameter of composite corrugated-core sandwich structures for compression test	41
Table 3.3	Parameter for tensile test trapezoidal corrugated-core	45
Table 3.4	Input data for finite element modeling	51
Table 4.1	Summary of CFRP corrugated-core sandwich structure under compression test	66
Table 4.2	Summary of GFRP corrugated-core sandwich structure under compression test	69
Table 4.3	Displacement and behaviour of corrugated-core in compression	74
Table 4.4	Percentage error between experiment and finite element analysis	76

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Contribution of composite material on A380 Airbus	2
Figure 1.2	Corrugated box used in packaging	4
Figure 1.3	Corrugated morphing wing	4
Figure 1.4	Corrugated metal pipe	5
Figure 2.1	Example of foam core sandwich structure	10
Figure 2.2	Example of Honeycomb sandwich structure	13
Figure 2.3	Impact Respond of stacked trapezoidal corrugated aluminium core and aluminium sheet interlayer structure	18
Figure 2.4	Trapezoidal corrugated-core	19
Figure 2.5	Triangle corrugated-cores	20
Figure 2.6	Foam filled corrugated sandwich beam	20
Figure 2.7	Sinusoidal corrugated-cores	21
Figure 2.8	Sinusoidal corrugated panel	22
Figure 2.9	Seven layers thin-walled beam	22
Figure 3.1	Trapezoidal corrugated-core mould with 45° angle	33
Figure 3.2	(a) Solidwork drawing of trapezoidal profile (b) Fabricated mould using CNC machine.	34
Figure 3.3	Cutting the woven carbon fibre	34
Figure 3.4	Layed up process for CFRP	35
Figure 3.5	Layed up process for GFRP	36
Figure 3.6	The geometry of the trapezoidal corrugated-core sandwich structure	36
Figure 3.7	(a)Photographs of the three different number of unit cell in CFRP and (b)Photographs of the three different number of unit cell in GFRP	37
Figure 3.8	Measurement of tensile test specimen	38
Figure 3.9	Tensile test setup using Instron machine	39
Figure 3.10	Experiment setup for compression test	40
Figure 3.11	(a) Schematic view for compression test (b) Cross sectional area for compression test	41
Figure 3.12	(a) A three unit cell sample under compression loading, (b) a single unit cell shows the deformed behaviour (dashed-line), (c) a free-body diagram of a compression loaded core member	42
Figure 3.13	(a) Schematic view for tensile test (b) Cross sectional area for tensile test of trapezoidal corrugated-core	44
Figure 3.14	Experimental setup for tensile test of trapezoidal corrugated-core	45
Figure 3.15	Schematic diagram of corrugated-core for tensile loading	46

Figure 3.16	The flow process to set up in ABAQUS	49
Figure 3.17	Completed part of Trapezoidal Corrugated-core	51
Figure 3.18	Arranging the instance for the model, (a) Isometric view, assembly of a sandwich structure between two platens, (b) Front view of the model	53
Figure 3.19	Setting for boundary condition	53
Figure 3.20	Configuring for meshing	54
Figure 3.21	Hard contact data from FEM	55
Figure 3.22	Contact stiffness comparison, from $k_c = 100$ to 10000	55
Figure 3.23	Boundary condition applied in modelling of tensile analysis	56
Figure 3.24	Meshing for tensile test analysis	56
Figure 4.1	Stress-Strain Curve for CFRP specimens	58
Figure 4.2	Tensile test after testing: (a) Specimen 1, (b) Specimen 2, (c) Specimen 3	58
Figure 4.3	Stress-Strain Curve for GFRP specimens	59
Figure 4.4	Tensile test GFRP after testing: a) Specimen 1, b) Specimen 2, c) Specimen 3, d) Example of cracking	60
Figure 4.5	Graph of Load-Displacement Curve for 3U5P (three unit cells and five plies) CFRP Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	61
Figure 4.6	Graph of Stress-Strain Curve for 3U5P CFRP Corrugated-core Sandwich Structure	62
Figure 4.7	(a) Photograph of compression behaviour of CFRP trapezoidal corrugated-core based on three unit cells b) Fracture after the corrugated-core has been almost completely flattened (a)-V). c) Debonding at the end of the core after compression	63
Figure 4.8	Comparison of Stress-Strain Curve on three unit cells CFRP of corrugated-core sandwich structure specimens based on 3, 4 and 5 plies	64
Figure 4.9	Comparison of Load-Displacement Curve for CFRP of corrugated-core sandwich structure specimens based on 1, 2 and 3 unit cells. These are specimens of 3 plies thickness	65
Figure 4.10	(a)Load-Displacement Curve of GFRP in compression. (b)Compression behaviour of GFRP trapezoidal corrugated-core	67
Figure 4.11	Photograph of compression behaviour of GFRP trapezoidal corrugated-core based on three unit cells a) Fracture after the corrugated-core has been almost completely flattened b) Debonding at the core after compression	68
Figure 4.12	Comparison between glass fibre three plies (GF3P) with carbon fibre 5 plies (CF5P)	70
Figure 4.13	Comparison between glass fibre three unit cells (GF3U) and carbon fibre three unit cell (CF3U) with different wall thicknesses	70

Figure 4.14	Static tensile Load-Displacement Curve of corrugated-core with four plies	71
Figure 4.15	Stress-Strain Curve static corrugated-core for tensile test for four plies	72
Figure 4.16	a) Load-Displacement Curve of CFRP in tension. b) Corrugated specimen behaviour in tensile test	73
Figure 4.17	Validation between FE result and experimental data. FE simulation for CFRP with $\xi = 0.03$ show reasonable agreement with the measured response	75

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ	Stress
A	Cross sectional area
ε	Strain
E	Elastic modulus
w	Width
x	Length
H	Height
t	Thickness
$^{\circ}$	Degree angle
δ	Displacement/ deformation
θ	Angle
Φ	Displacement parameter
λ	Factor dependant on the boundary conditions
P	Load
I	Second moment of area

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	Two Dimension
3D	Three Dimension
AL	Aluminium
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering
CFRC	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Composite
CFRP	Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
CPU	Central Processing Unit
CSM	Chopped Strand Mat
FE	Finite Element
FEM	Finite Element Method
kN	Kilo Newton
LAT	Lateral at tab top
LGM	Lateral gauge middle
LIT	Lateral inside tab top
LTSP	Lattice Truss Sandwich Panel
min	Minute
mm	Millimetre
MPa	Mega Pascal
SQP	Sequential Quadratic Programming
SrPET	Self-Reinforced Poly(Ethylene Terephthalate)
UD	Unidirectional
VeSCo	Ventable Shear Core
WR	Woven Roving