

SYSTEMATIC APPROACH AND STRATEGIES
FOR BUILDING INFORMATION MODELLING
(BIM) ADOPTION IN THE JORDANIAN
CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

MOHAMMAD (AL-DIABAT AL-BTOUSH)

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Science in Civil Engineering.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MOHAMMAD (AL-DIABAT AL-BTOUSH)

Position : PAC15001

Date : 6 June 2018

SYSTEMATIC APPROACH AND STRATEGIES FOR BUILDING INFORMATION
MODELLING (BIM) ADOPTION IN THE JORDANIAN CONSTRUCTION
INDUSTRY

MOHAMMAD (AL-DIABAT AL-BTOUSH)

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy of Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

June 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Ahmad Tarmizi Haron and Dr.I Putu Mandiartha, Dr. Majdee Khresha for their enormous assistance. Many thanks to Dr. Ibrahim Farouqa, Dr. Suliman, Dr. Arkam and Dr. Abdullah Khawaldeh for joining the focus group meetings to validate the results of this research.

Many thanks to the Malaysian government representatives who decided to support this practical research by sending BIM experts in order to spread BIM technology in the Jordanian construction industry.

To my great mother, and my lovely wife who took care of my children while I am away from Jordan; many thanks.

Furthermore, I would also like to express my biggest appreciation to all companies and participants who were involved in the case studies and answering the questionnaire.

I would never forget my brother Jaafar who looked after me over the period of three years I spent in the most beautiful country I have ever visited, Malaysia.

To my father's soul.

THANK YOU ALL.

ABSTRAK

Kebanyakan negara menganggap industri pembinaan sebagai salah satu industri yang paling mencabar. Masalah-masalah utama yang dihadapi oleh kebanyakan syarikat pembinaan ini telah menyebabkan kadar penurunan prestasi dari semasa ke semasa yang semakin membimbangkan. Antara punca-punca yang menyebabkan hal ini berlaku termasuklah komunikasi yang tidak berkesan dan keadaan persekitaran yang kurang pendedahan berkaitan dengan sektor pembinaan. Di Jordan, industri pembinaan merupakan salah satu sektor ekonomi Jordan yang sangat penting. Pada tahun 2014, industri pembinaan menyumbang kira-kira 5.8 peratus kepada Keluaran Dalam Negara Kasar (KDNK) Jordan, yang mencatatkan peratusan lebih tinggi daripada sektor pertanian, elektrik dan air. Walaubagaimanapun, industri pembinaan di Jordan menghadapi isu kekurangan produktiviti disebabkan oleh kadar kelewatan yang berulang kali dalam menyelesaikan projek pembinaan dan lebih kos pengeluaran. Di sebalik itu, terdapat banyak kajian mengenai penggunaan pemodelan maklumat bangunan (BIM) telah dijalankan di pelbagai negara termasuk Norway, Finland, Sweden, Jerman, Malaysia, Singapura, Perancis, Amerika Syarikat, Australia, dan United Kingdom di mana BIM telah membuktikan keupayaan untuk membangunkan integrasi maklumat, aliran proses perniagaan, produktiviti, dan mengurangkan kesulitan, ketidakpastian, konflik, dan perpecahan, antara satu sama lain. BIM adalah suatu pembaharuan terkini yang menjanjikan kemajuan dalam prosedur-prosedur pembinaan dan perkembangan teknologi dalam sektor AEC. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyediakan pembangunan sokongan bagi memastikan industri pembinaan di Jordan lebih maju dengan mengaplikasikan rangka kerja BIM. Setiap kontraktor, pemilik dan para perunding boleh menggunakan rangka kerja ini untuk menilai dan memahami tahap kesiapan dan keterbukaan mereka untuk mengaplikasikan BIM. Untuk mencapai objektif ini, kajian yang berkaitan telah dikelaskan secara komprehensif kepada dua konteks. Konteks yang pertama adalah dari segi industri pembinaan di Jordan, dalam meneroka kriteria terbaru bagi proses pembinaan dan cabaran berkaitan dengan tempat. Konteks yang kedua adalah dari segi teknologi BIM, konsep, aplikasi, pembangunan, keadaan penggunaan, dan proses yang paling tepat untuk memilih rangka kerja untuk penggunaan BIM dari kesemua sumber yang disenaraikan. Bagi menguji keberkesanan rangka kerja yang dipilih, penyelidikan menggunakan kaedah pendekatan kualitatif dan 15 temubual separa berstruktur telah dijalankan dengan beberapa organisasi pembinaan terkemuka dalam industri pembinaan di Jordan. Tiga tahap kesahihan dan kebolehpercayaan pemeriksaan dilakukan; Tahap pertama adalah bengkel secara berkumpulan di mana penyelidik membincangkan hasil keputusan dengan empat pakar dalam sektor pembinaan yang telah mencadangkan beberapa pengubahsuaian berkaitan komponen pengguna dalam rangka kerja teori, diikuti oleh tahap kedua kesahihan di mana 228 kajian secara soal selidik telah dijawab oleh organisasi-organisasi pembinaan yang terlibat. Hasil keputusan dianalisis menggunakan teknik pemodelan persamaan berstruktur di mana salah satu hipotesis ditolak dan pelarasan kedua mengenai komponen rangka kerja konseptual diambil alih. Pemeriksaan kesahihan yang terakhir telah dilakukan dengan membincangkan mengenai rangka kerja konseptual dengan tiga tonggak utama dalam bidang pembinaan di Jordan iaitu Kementerian Kerja Awam dan Perumahan, persatuan kontraktor pembinaan Jordan dan persatuan jurutera Jordan bagi mendapatkan hasil kesimpulan yang paling terbaik. Hasil penemuan menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan BIM di kalangan organisasi pembinaan di Jordan masih di peringkat pra-BIM di mana ia menghadapi banyak halangan dan cabaran seperti

kurangnya kesedaran berkaitan BIM, pusat latihan, pakar BIM, sokongan kerajaan, sumber kewangan dan ketahanan terhadap sesuatu perubahan. Kajian ini mencadangkan pengaplikasian rangka kerja BIM yang praktikal yang diakui dan disahkan oleh pakar utama dalam industri pembinaan di mana ia diharapkan boleh menyokong dan mempercepatkan operasi penggunaan BIM di kalangan industri pembinaan Jordan secara menyeluruh.

Selain itu, rangka kerja yang dicadangkan boleh digunakan sebagai penanda aras untuk mengukur kesediaan sesebuah organisasi untuk mengaplikasi teknologi BIM. Ia juga telah dirujuk dari kajian kesusasteraan terdahulu (dan kemudian disahkan oleh hasil temubual dan soal selidik) bahawa beberapa masalah yang dihadapi oleh industri pembinaan Jordan adalah: rekabentuk yang kurang baik, penjadualan dan perancangan yang tidak tersusun, perubahan arahan, kekurangan kakitangan teknikal yang kompetitif dan komunikasi yang tidak berkesan antara satu sama lain, yang membawa kepada isu-isu seperti masalah dana dan ketepatan masa yang dijanjikan. Kebanyakan peserta sebulat suara bersetuju mengenai penggunaan ITC dalam perniagaan mereka telah meningkatkan kecekapan mereka, menjimatkan masa dan mengurangkan kos. Walaubagaimanapun, hasil penemuan ini adalah berbeza daripada BIM. Kebanyakan pakar dari industri pembinaan menyedari mengenai kewujudan BIM tetapi tidak pernah mengaplikasikannya dalam amalan harian. Ada juga yang mengetahui kelebihan BIM, tetapi majoritinya menyatakan bahawa mereka tidak mempunyai pengetahuan tentang BIM. Dalam erti kata lain, kajian ini mendedahkan bahawa pakar-pakar yang mengetahui tentang BIM dalam lingkungan usia 30 hingga 49 tahun. Kebanyakan orang yang lebih berumur tidak berminat dalam mempelajari proses baru. Jurutera-jurutera baru juga tidak mempunyai pengetahuan mengenai BIM. Penyelidikan ini mencadangkan agar pendedahan hasil kajian ini boleh dijadikan sebagai rujukan dan piawaian terbaik dalam penerimaan BIM. Piawaian penerimaan ini dapat membantu sesebuah organisasi untuk mengiktiraf keupayaan BIM dan menilai kesediaan mereka untuk mengamalkan BIM. Di samping itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk meningkatkan kesedaran semasa mengenai BIM dengan mencatatkan tahap kebimbangan berkaitan dengan BIM dan pengaplikasiannya dari sudut pandangan organisasi pembinaan. Selain itu, keputusan yang dibuat dalam kajian ini dapat membantu sektor industri bagi menyelesaikan masalah pengaplikasian BIM dalam situasi di Jordan. Akhir sekali, kajian ini dapat disimpulkan mempunyai kepentingan yang bermanfaat kepada sektor pembinaan disebabkan oleh ketiadaan model yang bersesuaian untuk mengaplikasi BIM dalam situasi latar belakang pembinaan Jordan pada masa kini.

ABSTRACT

Many countries consider the construction industry as one of the most challenging industries. The major problems facing construction companies and have ardently caused its low performance improvements over the years cannot be overemphasized. Among others, the causes include poor communications and exceptional fragmented environment relating to the construction sector. The Jordanian construction industry is a very crucial segment of Jordan's economy. In 2014, it contributed about 5.8% to the gross domestic product (GDP) of Jordan, which is more than the agricultural, electricity and water sectors. However, the construction industry in Jordan faces low productivity issue which is obviously due to repeated delays in the construction project besides cost overrun. On the other hand, many studies on the adoption of building information modelling (BIM) have been conducted in various countries including Norway, Finland, Sweden, Germany, Malaysia, Singapore, France, USA, Australia, and UK where BIM has proven the capabilities to develop information integration, business process flow, productivity, and reduce complexities, uncertainties, conflicts, and fragmentations, among others. BIM is the latest development which is a promising, an evolving procedural and technological shift within the AEC sector. This research is aimed to provide support development in the Jordanian construction industry practice by developing a BIM adoption framework. The contractors, owners and consultants can use this framework to assess and comprehend how ready they are for BIM adoption. To meet this aim, related literature was comprehensively elaborated in two contexts. The first context was the Jordan construction industry in order to explore the current criteria for construction practice and spot-related challenges. The second context was BIM technology, the concept, practice, development, adoption condition, and best practices to select the theoretical framework for BIM adoption from the best practices list. To conceptualize the selected theoretical adoption framework, the research followed a qualitative approach and 15 semi-structured interviews were conducted with the leading construction organizations in the Jordanian construction industry. Three levels of validity and reliability checks were performed; the first level was a focus group workshop where the researcher discussed the results with four construction experts who suggested some adjustments regarding the drivers' components in the theoretical framework, followed by the second level of validity where 228 questionnaires were answered by construction organizations. The results were analyzed using the structured equation modelling technique where one of the hypotheses were rejected and a second adjustment regarding the conceptual framework components took place. The last validity check was done by discussing the conceptual framework with the three major industry players in Jordan i.e. the ministry of public work and housing, the Jordanian construction contractors association and the Jordanian engineers association to generate the finalized adoption framework. Findings revealed that the adoption of BIM among construction organizations in Jordan is still at the pre-BIM stage where it faces barriers and confronts challenges such as, but not restricted to, the lack of BIM awareness, training centers, BIM specialists, government support, and financial resources, and resistance to change. This study proposed a practical conceptual BIM adoption framework validated by the construction industry key players where it is expected to support and accelerate the adoption operation amongst the Jordanian construction industry significantly. Moreover, the proposed adoption framework could be used as a benchmarking tool to measure the organizations' readiness to adopt BIM technology.

It had been observed from previous literatures (and later confirmed by the interviews and questionnaire results) that some of the problems faced by Jordanian construction industry are: poor design, poor scheduling and planning, change orders, lack of competitive technical staff and ineffective communications among others, leading to issues such as cost and time overruns.

Most of the participants approved that the use of ITC on their business increase their efficiency, saving time and decreasing cost. Nonetheless, the finding is different from that of the BIM. Numerous specialists from the construction body are aware of BIM but never applied it in practice. Also, some were familiar of the advantages of BIM, but majority stated they had no knowledge of BIM. In the same way, this study exposed that those experts who knew about BIM were in the age of 30-49. The people of older ages are uninterested in learning a new process. The fresh engineers have no idea about BIM.

The research proposed an expository revision that asset the best predictable adoption standards for BIM adoption. The adoption standards would help the firms to recognize the BIM field of capability and assess their present ability to adopt BIM.

Moreover, the study supposed to increase the present awareness on BIM by recording concerns associated with BIM adopting from the construction organizations viewpoint.

Furthermore, the results concluded in this study could assist the industry sector to realize the BIM adoption problem inside the situation of Jordan.

This research supposed to have a significant importance to the construction sector by reason of the absenteeism of a suitable model to adopt BIM in regard of the Jordanian construction background.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|----|
| DECLARATION | | |
| TITLE PAGE | | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | ii | |
| ABSTRAK | iii | |
| ABSTRACT | v | |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | vii | |
| LIST OF TABLES | xii | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiv | |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvi | |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvii | |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 | |
| 1.1 | Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.3 | Aims and Objectives | 4 |
| 1.4 | Research scope | 5 |
| | 1.4.1 Project lifecycle: Design phase | 5 |
| | 1.4.2 Research context: Owner, contractors, and supervising construction organisations | 6 |
| | 1.4.3 Respondent: Project managers and top management | 6 |
| | 1.4.4 Exploration area: Process, technology, people, and policy | 7 |
| 1.5 | Research Significance | 7 |
| 1.6 | Research Outline | 8 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 10 | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 10 |
| 2.2 | The Background of Jordan | 11 |
| | 2.2.1 The background of Jordanian economy | 11 |
| | 2.2.2 The background of Jordanian construction industry | 13 |
| | 2.2.3 The challenges in the Jordanian construction industry | 17 |
| 2.3 | Building Information Modelling | 19 |
| | 2.3.1 Definition of Building Information Modelling | 19 |
| | 2.3.2 The concept of building information modelling | 21 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 2.3.3 | Building Information Modelling in construction lifecycle | 23 |
| 2.3.4 | Benefits of BIM (Design phase) | 26 |
| 2.3.5 | The evolution of BIM | 27 |
| 2.3.6 | Overview of BIM maturity measurement tools | 28 |
| 2.3.7 | BIM adoption – Global Strategies | 33 |
| 2.3.8 | Overview of BIM adoption frameworks | 38 |
| 2.3.9 | Theoretical framework for BIM adoption | 43 |
| 2.4 | Study philosophy | 44 |
| 2.4.1 | Epistemology | 45 |
| 2.4.2 | Axiology | 46 |
| 2.5 | Philosophical stance of this research | 46 |
| 2.6 | Research Approach | 47 |
| 2.6.1 | Deductive approach | 47 |
| 2.6.2 | Inductive approach | 47 |
| 2.7 | Strategy of Inquiry | 47 |
| 2.8 | Rezoning of the Research | 49 |
| 2.9 | Research Method | 49 |
| 2.10 | Summary | 51 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | 52 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 52 |
| 3.2 | Choosing the Research Methodology | 56 |
| 3.3 | Selection of the Survey Study Criteria | 56 |
| 3.4 | Data Collection Technique | 57 |
| 3.4.1 | Literature | 57 |
| 3.4.2 | Interviews | 57 |
| 3.4.3 | Questionnaire | 58 |
| 3.5 | Structure of the Interviews and Questionnaire | 58 |
| 3.6 | Sampling | 59 |
| 3.6.1 | Sampling techniques | 59 |
| 3.7 | Data Analysis | 60 |
| 3.8 | Summary | 61 |

| | |
|--|---|
| CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 62 |
| 4.1 | Introduction 62 |
| 4.2 | Interviews Process 62 |
| | 4.2.1 Study limitations 66 |
| 4.3 | Interviews Results 67 |
| | 4.3.1 Construction industry challenges 67 |
| | 4.3.2 Construction industry challenges ranking 67 |
| | 4.3.3 BIM adoption 68 |
| 4.4 | Conceptual Framework Development 79 |
| | 4.4.1 Introduction 79 |
| | 4.4.2 Conceptual adoption framework 81 |
| 4.5 | Summary of the Interviews Findings 84 |
| 4.6 | Findings Ranking (R3-1) 85 |
| | 4.6.1 Construction industry challenges ranking 85 |
| | 4.6.2 BIM adoption challenges & Barriers ranking 85 |
| | 4.6.3 BIM Drivers ranking 86 |
| 4.7 | Difference between theoretical and conceptual frameworks 86 |
| 4.8 | Summary of Conceptual Framework 88 |
| 4.9 | Summary 89 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5 VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE STUDY | 90 |
| 5.1 | Introduction 90 |
| 5.2 | Qualitative Research Evaluation 91 |
| | 5.2.1 Triangulation 93 |
| | 5.2.2 Presenting discrepant or negative information 93 |
| | 5.2.3 Rich descriptions 93 |
| | 5.2.4 Member Checking 94 |
| | 5.2.5 Description of In-Depth Methodological 94 |
| | 5.2.6 Peer Debriefing 94 |
| 5.3 | Study Validation Technique 94 |
| | 5.3.1 Research hypothesis 95 |
| | 5.3.2 Triangulation theoretical framework 95 |
| | 5.3.3 Research model 96 |
| | 5.3.4 Data analysis 98 |

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| 5.3.5 | Pilot test | 98 |
| 5.3.6 | An overview on Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) | 102 |
| 5.4 | Farther Validity Technique | 104 |
| 5.4.1 | Focus group meetings | 104 |
| 5.4.2 | Online survey | 104 |
| 5.5 | Summary | 104 |
| CHAPTER 6 QUESTIONNAIRE DATA ANALYSIS & FINDINGS | | 105 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 105 |
| 6.2 | Construct Measures and Variables | 106 |
| 6.3 | Data Screening | 106 |
| 6.3.1 | Replacing missing values | 106 |
| 6.3.2 | Removing outliers | 106 |
| 6.3.3 | Assessment of the data normality | 108 |
| 6.4 | Sample Profile | 110 |
| 6.5 | Measurement Model (CFA) – Stage 1 of SEM | 111 |
| 6.5.1 | Overall CFA model for research model 1 | 111 |
| 6.5.2 | Overall CFA model for research model 2 | 115 |
| 6.6 | Descriptive Analysis | 119 |
| 6.7 | Structural Models - Stage 2 of SEM | 120 |
| 6.7.1 | Structural model for research model 1 | 121 |
| 6.7.2 | Structural model for research model 2 | 124 |
| 6.8 | Finalise Framework for BIM adoption in the Jordanian construction industry | 129 |
| 6.8.1 | Rejected hypothesis | 130 |
| 6.8.2 | Matching findings with problem statement | 130 |
| 6.9 | Findings Ranking (R3-2) | 134 |
| 6.9.1 | Construction industry challenges ranking | 134 |
| 6.9.2 | BIM adoption challenges & Barriers ranking | 134 |
| 6.9.3 | BIM Drivers ranking | 135 |
| 6.10 | Summary | 135 |
| CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | | 137 |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 137 |

| | | |
|------|--|------------|
| 7.2 | Summary of the Main Findings | 138 |
| 7.3 | Main Findings Ranking | 141 |
| 7.4 | BIM adoption elements covered in this study (Finalised Framework). | 142 |
| | 7.4.1 Challenges | 142 |
| | 7.4.2 Drivers | 143 |
| | 7.4.3 Strategies | 143 |
| | 7.4.4 Firm Readiness | 143 |
| 7.5 | Research Limitations | 144 |
| 7.6 | Research Novelty and Contribution | 144 |
| 7.7 | Practical Framework | 146 |
| 7.8 | Future Research | 146 |
| 7.9 | Recommendations | 147 |
| 7.10 | Summary | 147 |
| | REFERENCES | 148 |
| | Appendix A Initial CFA Model for Research Model 1 | 156 |
| | Appendix B Questionnaire Questions | 157 |
| | Appendix C Interview Structure | 166 |
| | Appendix D List of Publications | 167 |
| | Appendix E PLS Output Excel Structural Model | 168 |
| | Appendix F pictures gallery | 172 |
| | Appendix G typical calculation | 176 |
| | Appendix H past researcher results | 181 |
| | Appendix I questionnaire sample | 182 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 2.1 | Number of Establishments in the biggest three cities in Jordan (2013 – 2014) | 14 |
| Table 2.2 | Total Registered Capitals for Establishments Operating in the Construction Industry Sector in 2013 – 2014 (Million Dinars) | 15 |
| Table 2.3 | Main Indicators of Construction Sector (2013 – 2016) | 16 |
| Table 2.4 | Output of the Jordanian Construction Industry in (JD m) (2012-2016) | 17 |
| Table 2.5 | BIM use within design phase | 26 |
| Table 2.6 | Summary of the main strengths and main weaknesses for each tool | 31 |
| Table 2.7 | The difference between qualitative and quantitative research | 48 |
| Table 2.8 | Differences between deductive and inductive approaches | 49 |
| Table 4.1 | Background of Participants | 64 |
| Table 4.2 | Construction industry challenges ranking | 68 |
| Table 4.3 | Findings Summary for BIM benefits & and the design tools used in Jordan construction | 72 |
| Table 4.4 | Findings Summary | 85 |
| Table 5.1 | Evaluating Qualitative research | 92 |
| Table 5.2 | Validity and Credibility | 92 |
| Table 5.3 | Research Hypotheses Codes and Descriptions | 95 |
| Table 5.4 | Calculating Validity of the Questions according to 5 Experts' Answers | 99 |
| Table 5.5 | Results of Reliability Tests upon the Feedback from 30 Respondents as Pilot Study | 102 |
| Table 6.1 | List of Constructs and Measurement Items | 106 |
| Table 6.2 | Result of Univariate Outlier Based on Standardized values | 107 |
| Table 6.3 | Assessment of Normality of All Items | 108 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 6.4 | Sample Profile | 110 |
| Table 6.5 | Results of Cronbach Alpha and Convergent Validity for Research Model 1 CFA Model | 112 |
| Table 6.6 | Discriminant validity of Modified CFA Model for Research Model 1 | 114 |
| Table 6.7 | Discriminant validity of Modified CFA Model for Research Model 2 | 115 |
| Table 6.8 | Results of Descriptive Statistic for Variables | 119 |
| Table 6.9 | Examining Results of Hypothesized Direct Effects of the Constructs in Structural Model 1 | 123 |
| Table 6.10 | Examining Results of Hypothesized Direct Effects of the Constructs in Structural Model 2 | 125 |
| Table 7.1 | Main Findings Ranking (Interview: - 12 interviewees) | 141 |
| Table 7.2 | Main Findings Ranking (Questionnaire: - 228 Respondents) | 141 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 | Growth rate of GDP | 13 |
| Figure 2.3 | BIM utilization through the project lifecycle | 24 |
| Figure 2.4 | BIM utilization through the project lifecycle | 24 |
| Figure 2.5 | Mcleamy curve to control cost of design change | 25 |
| Figure 2.7 | Adoption model phases | 40 |
| Figure 2.8 | Diffusion areas model | 41 |
| Figure 2.9 | The Macro-Maturity Components model | 42 |
| Figure 2.10 | Finland Implementation Framework | 43 |
| Figure 2.11 | Theoretical framework for BIM adoption in the construction industry | 44 |
| Figure 3.1 | Nested research methodology approach | 53 |
| Figure 3.2 | Other nested research methodology approaches | 54 |
| Figure 3.3 | Research process flow | 55 |
| Figure 4.1 | Construction industry challenges (Interviews results) | 67 |
| Figure 4.2 | BIM Status in Jordan Construction industry (The first portion of the conceptual framework) | 69 |
| Figure 4.4 | BIM Benefits | 71 |
| Figure 4.5 | Willing to Adopt BIM | 72 |
| Figure 4.7 | BIM adoption drivers (The third portion of the conceptual framework) | 75 |
| Figure 4.9 | Organization Readiness (The fifth portion of the conceptual framework) & Ranking | 78 |
| Figure 4.10 | Status-quo cycle inhibiting technology | 79 |
| Figure 4.11 | Recursive development cycle | 80 |
| Figure 4.12 | Conceptualizing theoretical framework (challenges and barriers) | 81 |
| Figure 4.14 | Conceptual Framework | 83 |
| Figure 4.15 | Construction industry challenges ranking | 85 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.16 | BIM adoption challenges &Barriers ranking | 85 |
| Figure 4.17 | BIM drivers ranking | 86 |
| Figure 4.18 | Difference between theoretical and conceptual framework | 87 |
| Figure 5.1 | Triangulation Theoretical Framework | 96 |
| Figure 5.3 | Research Hypotheses in Research Model 2 | 98 |
| Figure 6.1 | Modified CFA and Structural Model for Research Model 1 | 117 |
| Figure 6.2 | Modified CFA and Structural Model for Research Model 2 | 118 |
| Figure 6.3 | Means and Standard Variations of All Variables | 120 |
| Figure 6.5 | PLS Analysis of the Structural Model 2 | 125 |
| Figure 6.6 | Finalise Framework | 129 |
| Figure 6.9 | BIM adoption challenges &Barriers ranking | 134 |
| Figure 6.10 | BIM drivers ranking | 135 |
| Figure 7.1 | Modified CFA and Structural Model for Research Model 1 | 142 |
| Figure 7.2 | Modified CFA and Structural Model for Research Model 1 | 143 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------|--|
| AEC | Architecture, Engineering and Construction |
| AIA | American Institute of Architects |
| ANZRS | The 'Australian and New Zealand Revit Standards' |
| AVE | Average Variance Extracted |
| B | Billion |
| BIM | Building Information Modelling |
| BIMADP | BIM Adoption |
| BIMAW | BIM Awareness |
| BIMCP | BIM Capability |
| BIMCS | Building Information Modelling Cloud Score |
| BIMUND | BIM Understanding |
| BPI | BIM Proficiency Index |
| BRR | Barriers |
| BRRCHL | Barriers and Challenges |
| CBJ | Central Bank of Jordan |
| CFA | Confirmatory Factor Analysis |
| C.R | Construct Reliability |
| CPI | Price Index |
| EFA | Exploratory Factor Analysis |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| HUDC | Housing and Urban Development Corporation |
| ICT | Information and Communication Technology |
| IFC | Industry Foundation Classes |
| IDM | Information Delivery Manuals |
| IPD | Integrated Project Delivery |
| JD | Jordan Dinar |
| JCCA | Jordan Construction Contractors Association |
| JEA | Jordan Engineers Association |
| LOD | Level of details |
| MENA | Middle East and North Africa |
| MDV | Model View Definitions |
| MEP AUS | Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing Australia |
| MPWH | Ministry of Public Work and Housing |
| NATSPEC | National Specification |
| NBIMS | The National Building Information Model Standard |
| PLS | Partial Least Squares |
| PDM | Project Document Management |
| ROI | Return on Investment |
| SEM | Structural Equation Modelling |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| USA | United States of America |
| VDC | Virtual Design and Construction |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|----------------|--|
| H | Hypothesis |
| P | Probability |
| R ² | Construct's percent variation |
| T | The size of the difference relative to the variation in the sample |
| V | validity |

REFERENCES

- Abdirad, H. (2017). "Metric-based BIM implementation assessment: a review of research and practice." *Architectural Engineering and Design Management* **13**(1): 52-78.
- Abdullah, k. (2017). "<https://kingabdullah.jo/en>."
- Adams, J., H. T. Khan, R. Raeside and D. I. White (2007). *Research methods for graduate business and social science students*, SAGE publications India.
- Akinade, O. O., L. O. Oyedele, M. Bilal, S. O. Ajayi, H. A. Owolabi, H. A. Alaka and S. A. Bello (2015). "Waste minimisation through deconstruction: A BIM based Deconstructability Assessment Score (BIM-DAS)." *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **105**: 167-176.
- Al-Hazim, N., Z. A. Salem and H. Ahmad (2017). "Delay and cost overrun in infrastructure projects in Jordan." *Procedia Engineering* **182**: 18-24.
- Al-Momani, A. H. (1996). "Construction cost prediction for public school buildings in Jordan." *Construction Management & Economics* **14**(4): 311-317.
- Al-Shammary, S. and A. A. Ali (2017). "ICT Hindering Factors Applied in Jordan Construction Projects."
- Al Awad, O. (2015). *The uptake of advanced IT with specific emphasis on BIM by SMEs in the Jordanian construction industry*, University of Salford.
- Anderson, A., A. Marsters, C. S. Dossick and G. Neff (2012). *Construction to operations exchange: Challenges of implementing COBie and BIM in a large owner organization*. Construction Research Congress 2012: Construction Challenges in a Flat World.
- Ansah, R. H., S. Sorooshian, S. B. Mustafa and G. Duvvuru (2016). "Advancing Towards Delay-Free Construction Project: A."
- Atazadeh, B., M. Kalantari, A. Rajabifard and S. Ho (2017). "Modelling building ownership boundaries within BIM environment: A case study in Victoria, Australia." *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* **61**: 24-38.
- Attar, G. A. and R. J. Sweis (2009). "The relationship between information technology adoption and job satisfaction in contracting companies in Jordan." *Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon)* **15**(3): 44-63.
- Azhar, S., M. Khalfan and T. Maqsood (2015). "Building information modelling (BIM): now and beyond." *Construction Economics and Building* **12**(4): 15-28.

- Azmi, N., C. Chai and L. Chin (2018). Building Information Modeling (BIM) in Architecture, Engineering and Construction (AEC) Industry: A Case Study in Malaysia. Proceedings of the 21st International Symposium on Advancement of Construction Management and Real Estate, Springer.
- Azzouz, A., A. Copping and P. Shepherd (2015). An investigation into Building Information Modelling Assessment Methods (BIM-AMs). 51st ASC Annual International Conference, 22-25 April 2015, Houston, United States.
- Bagozzi, R. P. and Y. Yi (1988). "On the evaluation of structural equation models." Journal of the academy of marketing science **16**(1): 74-94.
- Barison, M. and E. Santos (2011). The competencies of BIM specialists: a comparative analysis of the literature review and job ad descriptions. Computing in Civil Engineering (2011): 594-602.
- Barison, M. B. and E. T. Santos (2010). BIM teaching strategies: an overview of the current approaches. Proc., ICCCBE 2010 international conference on computing in civil and building engineering.
- Bekr, G. A. (2016). "Study of Significant Factors Affecting Labor Productivity at Construction Sites in Jordan: Site Survey." GSTF Journal of Engineering Technology (JET) **4**(1): 92.
- Bernstein, H., S. Jones, M. Russo, D. Laquidara-Carr, W. Taylor, J. Ramos, A. Lorenz and Y. Terumasa (2014). "The business value of BIM for construction in major global markets." Bedford: McGraw Hill Construction.
- Bew, M., J. Underwood, J. Wix and G. Storer (2008). Going BIM in a commercial world. Editors, Zarli, A. and Scherer, R., eWork and eBusiness in Architecture, Engineering and Construction, ECPPM 7 th European Conference on Product and Process Modelling, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Sophia Antipolis, France.
- BIMHUP (2018). "<https://thebimhub.com/2016/05/02/analysis-bim-web-service-requirements-and-design-s/#.Wtw-TEXuJjo>."
- Brown, T. A. (2014). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research, Guilford Publications.
- Bryde, D., M. Broquetas and J. M. Volm (2013). "The project benefits of building information modelling (BIM)." International journal of project management **31**(7): 971-980.
- Cantó Carpetano, L. (2017). "Propuesta de implantación BIM basada en la sinergia BIM-Lean Construction."

- CBJ (2015). "<http://www.cbj.gov.jo/EchoBusV3.0/SystemAssets/1a927c6c-b2a8-42db-b6a3-b6640d4d150c.pdf>."
- CBJ (2017). "<http://www.cbj.gov.jo/Default.aspx>."
- Chico, C. (2008). "What impact does using building information modeling have on teaching estimating to construction management students?"
- Chin, W. W. (2010). "How to write up and report PLS analyses." Handbook of partial least squares: 655-690.
- Churchill Jr, G. A. (1979). "A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs." Journal of marketing research: 64-73.
- Coffman, D. L. and R. C. MacCallum (2005). "Using parcels to convert path analysis models into latent variable models." Multivariate behavioral research **40**(2): 235-259.
- Cohen, M. E. and R. J. Marino (2000). "The tools of disability outcomes research functional status measures." Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation **81**: S21-S29.
- Construction, M. H. (2014). "The Business Value of BIM for Construction in Major Global Markets: How contractors around the world are driving innovations with Building Information Modelling." Smart MarketReport.
- Cooper, D. R., P. S. Schindler and J. Sun (2006). Business research methods, McGraw-Hill Irwin New York.
- Creswell, J. W. and C. N. Poth (2017). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches, Sage publications.
- Du, J., R. Liu and R. R. Issa (2014). "BIM cloud score: benchmarking BIM performance." Journal of Construction Engineering and Management **140**(11): 04014054.
- Eadie, R., M. Browne, H. Odeyinka, C. McKeown and S. McNiff (2013). "BIM implementation throughout the UK construction project lifecycle: An analysis." Automation in Construction **36**: 145-151.
- Eastman, C. M., C. Eastman, P. Teicholz and R. Sacks (2011). BIM handbook: A guide to building information modeling for owners, managers, designers, engineers and contractors, John Wiley & Sons.

- El-Mashaleh, M. S. (2007). "Benchmarking information technology utilization in the construction industry in Jordan." *Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon)* **12**(19): 279-291.
- Elvin, G. (2007). *Integrated practice in architecture: mastering design-build, fast-track, and building information modeling*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Eman-Nafa, A., H. N. D. A. Ishak, T. Ramayah and C. Y. Doss (2016). Psychometrics of Arabic Version of the UWES-9.
- Fellows, R. F. and A. M. Liu (2015). *Research methods for construction*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Finlay, L. (2006). "'Rigour', 'ethical integrity' or 'artistry'? Reflexively reviewing criteria for evaluating qualitative research." *British Journal of Occupational Therapy* **69**(7): 319-326.
- Giel, B. and R. Issa (2014). Framework for evaluating the BIM competencies of building owners. *Computing in Civil and Building Engineering* (2014): 552-559.
- Gu, N. and K. London (2010). "Understanding and facilitating BIM adoption in the AEC industry." *Automation in construction* **19**(8): 988-999.
- Günaydın, H. M. and S. Z. Doğan (2004). "A neural network approach for early cost estimation of structural systems of buildings." *International Journal of Project Management* **22**(7): 595-602.
- Hair, B., R. P. Bush and J. David (2003). "Ortinau." *Marketing Research* **3**.
- Hair, J. F., W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, R. E. Anderson and R. L. Tatham (1998). *Multivariate data analysis*, Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hair, J. F., C. M. Ringle and M. Sarstedt (2011). "PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet." *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice* **19**(2): 139-152.
- Hair, J. F., M. Sarstedt, C. M. Ringle and J. A. Mena (2012). "An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research." *Journal of the academy of marketing science* **40**(3): 414-433.
- Hair Jr, J. (2006). "Black, WC, Babin, BJ Anderson, RE & Tatham, RL (2006)." *Multivariate data analysis* **6**.
- Hardin, B. and D. McCool (2015). *BIM and construction management: proven tools, methods, and workflows*, John Wiley & Sons.

- Haron, A. T. (2013). Organisational readiness to implement building information modelling: A framework for design consultants in Malaysia, University of Salford.
- Henseler, J., C. M. Ringle and R. R. Sinkovics (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. New challenges to international marketing, Emerald Group Publishing Limited: 277-319.
- Hiyassat, M. A., M. A. Hiyari and G. J. Sweis (2016). "Factors affecting construction labour productivity: a case study of Jordan." International Journal of Construction Management **16**(2): 138-149.
- Ho, R. (2006). Handbook of univariate and multivariate data analysis and interpretation with SPSS, CRC Press.
- Industry, J. (2017). "<http://www.jci.org.jo/Default.aspx> ".
- JCCA (2016). "<http://www.jcca.org.jo>."
- JCOI. (2014). "<http://www.jci.org.jo/DetailsPage/SectorsEN.aspx?ID=24>."
- Kagioglou, M. (1998). Generic design and construction Process Protocol, University of Salford, Department of Radiology.
- Khemlani, L. (2012). "A case study of BIM implementation in India." AECbytes "Building the future" article on **20**.
- Khosrowshahi, F. and Y. Arayici (2012). "Roadmap for implementation of BIM in the UK construction industry." Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management **19**(6): 610-635.
- Klein, L., N. Li and B. Becerik-Gerber (2012). "Imaged-based verification of as-built documentation of operational buildings." Automation in Construction **21**: 161-171.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). Methodology in the social sciences, Principles and practice of structural equation modeling. New York: Guilford Press.
- Lincoln, Y. S. and E. G. Guba (1985). Naturalistic inquiry, Sage.
- Locke, E. A. and G. P. Latham (2002). "Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey." American psychologist **57**(9): 705.
- Lodico, M. and D. Spaulding Voegtler (2006). Methods in educational research: From theory to practice, San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

- Lu, W., Y. Peng, Q. Shen and H. Li (2012). "Generic model for measuring benefits of BIM as a learning tool in construction tasks." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* **139**(2): 195-203.
- Macdonald, J. (2011). "BIM-Adding value by assisting collaboration." Retrieved 6(09): 2014.
- Mack, N., C. Woodsong, K. M. MacQueen, G. Guest and E. Namey (2005). "Qualitative research methods: a data collectors field guide."
- Mattarneh, S. (2015). "A study of factors causing cost overruns in Jordanian construction industry [dissertation]." Al-Isra University, Amman, Jordan.
- Mom, M. and S.-H. Hsieh (2012). Toward performance assessment of BIM technology implementation. 14th International Conference on Computing in Civil and Building Engineering.
- Nunnally, J. (1994). "Bernstein. IH (1994)." *Psychometric theory* **3**.
- Oppenheim, A. N. (1992). *Questionnaire design and attitude management*, Heinemann: London.
- Ruqaishi, M. and H. A. Bashir (2013). "Causes of delay in construction projects in the oil and gas industry in the gulf cooperation council countries: a case study." *Journal of Management in Engineering* **31**(3): 05014017.
- Saunders, M. N. (2011). *Research methods for business students, 5/e*, Pearson Education India.
- Sebastian, R. and L. van Berlo (2010). "Tool for benchmarking BIM performance of design, engineering and construction firms in the Netherlands." *Architectural Engineering and Design Management* **6**(4): 254-263.
- Shao, Y.-Y., Y.-L. Chang, C.-Y. Huang, C.-H. Hsu and A.-L. Cheng (2013). "The germline BIM deletion polymorphism is not associated with the treatment efficacy of sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma." *Oncology* **85**(5): 312-316.
- Shen, Z., L. Jiang, K. Grosskopf and C. Berryman (2012). Creating 3D web-based game environment using BIM models for virtual on-site visiting of building HVAC systems. *Construction Research Congress 2012: Construction Challenges in a Flat World*.
- Shenton, A. K. (2004). "Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects." *Education for information* **22**(2): 63-75.

- Slater, S. F. (1995). "Issues in conducting marketing strategy research." *Journal of strategic Marketing* **3**(4): 257-270.
- Smith, D. K. and M. Tardif (2009). *Building information modeling: a strategic implementation guide for architects, engineers, constructors, and real estate asset managers*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Smith, P. (2014). "BIM implementation—global strategies." *Procedia Engineering* **85**: 482-492.
- Stone, M. (1974). "Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions." *Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B (Methodological)*: 111-147.
- Succar, B. and M. Kassem (2015). "Macro-BIM adoption: Conceptual structures." *Automation in Construction* **57**: 64-79.
- Succar, B., W. Sher and A. Williams (2012). "Measuring BIM performance: Five metrics." *Architectural Engineering and Design Management* **8**(2): 120-142.
- Sulankivi, K., S. Zhang, J. Teizer, C. M. Eastman, M. Kiviniemi, I. Romo and L. Granholm (2013). Utilization of BIM-based automated safety checking in construction planning. *Proceedings of the 19th International CIB World Building Congress, Brisbane Australia*.
- Sun, C., S. Jiang, M. J. Skibniewski, Q. Man and L. Shen (2017). "A literature review of the factors limiting the application of BIM in the construction industry." *Technological and Economic Development of Economy* **23**(5): 764-779.
- Sweis, G., R. Sweis, A. A. Hammad and A. Shboul (2008). "Delays in construction projects: The case of Jordan." *International Journal of Project Management* **26**(6): 665-674.
- Sweis, N. J., R. J. Sweis, G. Kassab, A. Elfar, D. Athammneh and G. J. Sweis (2017). "Demotivating factors influencing productivity in Jordanian residential construction projects." *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management* **20**(2): 154-168.
- Tabachnick, B. and L. Fidell (2007). "Multivariate analysis of variance and covariance." *Using multivariate statistics* **3**: 402-407.
- Tabachnick, B. G., L. S. Fidell and S. J. Osterlind (2001). "Using multivariate statistics."
- Takim, R., M. Harris and A. H. Nawawi (2013). "Building Information Modeling (BIM): A new paradigm for quality of life within Architectural, Engineering and Construction (AEC) industry." *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* **101**: 23-32.

- Travaglini, A., M. Radujković and M. Mancini (2014). "Building information Modelling (BIM) and project management: A Stakeholders perspective." *Organization, technology & management in construction: an international journal* **6**(2): 1001-1008.
- Vatikiotis, P. J. (2017). *Politics and the military in Jordan: a study of the Arab Legion, 1921-1957*, Routledge.
- Ventura, S. M. and A. L. Ciribini (2017). "Client and User Involvement Through BIM-Related Technologies." *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling (IJ3DIM)* **6**(1): 19-34.
- Wetzels, M., G. Odekerken-Schröder and C. Van Oppen (2009). "Using PLS path modeling for assessing hierarchical construct models: Guidelines and empirical illustration." *MIS quarterly*: 177-195.
- Withers, I. (2012). "Government Wants UK to be BIM Global Leader." *Building. co. uk* **5**.
- Wong, K.-d. and Q. Fan (2013). "Building information modelling (BIM) for sustainable building design." *Facilities* **31**(3/4): 138-157.
- Woolf, S. H., S. F. Rothemich, R. E. Johnson and D. W. Marsland (2000). "Selection bias from requiring patients to give consent to examine data for health services research." *Archives of Family Medicine* **9**(10): 1111.
- Yin, R. (2009). "Case Study Research: Design and Methods, Essential guide to qualitative methods in organizational research." *Applied Social Research Methods Series* **219**.
- Zakaria, Z., N. Mohamed Ali, A. Tarmizi Haron, A. Marshall-Ponting and Z. Abd Hamid (2013). "Exploring the adoption of Building Information Modelling (BIM) in the Malaysian construction industry: A qualitative approach." *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology* **2**(8): 384-395.
- Zhang, S., J. Teizer, J.-K. Lee, C. M. Eastman and M. Venugopal (2013). "Building information modeling (BIM) and safety: Automatic safety checking of construction models and schedules." *Automation in Construction* **29**: 183-195.