

HARDWARE-IN-THE-LOOP STUDY OF A
HYBRID ACTIVE FORCE CONTROL SCHEME
OF AN UPPER-LIMB EXOSKELETON FOR
PASSIVE STROKE REHABILITATION

ANWAR P.P. ABDUL MAJEED

Doctor of Philosophy

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : PROF. DR. ZAHARI TAHA

Position : PROFESSOR

Date : 7 AUGUST 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : ANWAR BIN P.P. ABDUL MAJEED

ID Number : PFM14001

Date : 7 AUGUST 2018

HARDWARE-IN-THE-LOOP STUDY OF A HYBRID ACTIVE FORCE
CONTROL SCHEME OF AN UPPER-LIMB EXOSKELETON FOR PASSIVE
STROKE REHABILITATION

ANWAR P.P. ABDUL MAJEED

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

AUGUST 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I guess this is one of the hardest past to write, as I doubt that I could acknowledge everyone that has somewhat contributed towards me pursuing this rather dreadful, yet worthwhile path. I am grateful to my parents, for having faith in me and for supporting my pursuit in acquiring the pinnacle of academic achievement. I would also like to thank my sister for telling my parents that I'll be okay over the years.

I would like to thank my PhD supervisor, Prof. Zahari Taha, for being a real pain in the neck over the past four years. Nonetheless, having said that, needless to say, I have learnt and gained more than I should have under his guidance to become a more well-rounded academic and I must say, the experience is priceless. Not forgetting the engaging ‘pufte’ sessions we had that has resolved a number of issues both PhD and non-PhD related.

My utmost gratitude goes to the late Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rosman Ahmad, the man who was responsible for grooming me to become an academic like him. May God bless his soul. To my teachers, Dr. Hj. Shahrani Hj. Anuar, Ahmad Fakhri Hj. Shaari and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamaluddin Mahmud, thank you all for being the best of teachers/lecturers throughout my undergraduate days up till this very day.

I would like to thank the Innovative Manufacturing, Mechatronics and Sports Laboratory members; Azraai ‘the Chieftain’, Rabiu ‘MosaSosas’, Amirul ‘Yesus’, Ismail, Wong ‘the Procrastinator’, Izzy ‘Blackout’, Wan Hasbullah, Dr. Hasnun ‘the Fuhrer’, Jessnor ‘the Master Procrastinator’, Qastalani, Fay, Abdul Hakim Deboucha, Dr. Aizzat, Dr. Fakhri, Dr. Shah, Yusri, Muaz and Ash (I hope I didn’t miss anyone out) for keeping my ‘sanity’ intact and made my stay at the lab a memorable one.

On a more personal note, I would like to thank my wife, Dr. Sharifah Maszura for being patient, understanding and taking good care of my first born, Saffiya over the course of these past few years. Thank you. As for the apple of my eyes, Saffiya, this thesis is dedicated to you.

ABSTRAK

Beban strok memerlukan penggunaan teknologi robotik untuk mengurangkan ketidakupayaan ahli fisioterapi untuk menampung permintaan yang semakin meningkat untuk pemulihan mangsa strok. Latihan gerakan pasif yang berterusan menunjukkan bahawa pesakit strok boleh mendapatkan semula pergerakan mereka. Tambahan pula, bentuk pemulihan ini adalah penting terutamanya dalam fasa neurorehabilitasi akut dan sub-akut. Tesis ini bertujuan untuk menilai satu kelas skim kawalan yang tegap iaitu kawalan daya aktif (AFC) pada dua darjah kebebasan rangka luar anggota atas yang dapat mengimbangi gangguan yang timbul daripada berat bahagian atas yang berbeza dan unik untuk setiap individu tanpa perlu untuk penalaan semula. Untuk menilai keberkesanan pengawal yang dicadangkan, siasatan simulasi dilakukan. Dinamik sistem yang di nilai itu diperolehi berdasarkan rumus Euler-Lagrange dengan memasukkan pengukuran antropometri pada anggota atas manusia. Keberkesanan pengawal yang dicadangkan, iaitu senibina terbitan berkadar (PD) AFC (PDAFC) klasik yang dioptimumkan melalui logik kabur (FL), rangkaian neural buatan (ANN), pengoptimuman kawanan partikel (PSO) dan simulasi penapisan Kalman (SKF) terhadap pengendalian PD klasik dalam mengurangkan konfigurasi gangguan yang berbeza (tiada gangguan, gangguan berterusan 30 N.m. dan gangguan harmonik 30 N.m. pada kekerapan 10 Hz pada kelajuan yang berbeza, iaitu perlahan (0.375 rad/s), sederhana (0.430 rad/s) dan pantas (0.502 rad/s) trajektori pemulihan yang lazim untuk sendi bahu dan siku dinilai. Hasil keputusan dari penyiasatan simulasi menunjukkan bahawa skim PDSKFAFC adalah lebih baik berbanding semua skim yang dinilai, terutamanya skim kawalan PD klasik. Satu model berdasarkan data dibangunkan berdasarkan prototaip rangka luar anggota atas manusia yang dibina. Satu simulasi perkakasan-dalam-gelung dijalankan untuk menilai gandaan yang bersesuaian bagi parameter PD dan inersia AFC yang diperolehi melalui algoritma SKF. Hasil keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa skim PDSKFAFC mampu mengimbangi gangguan yang disebabkan oleh jisim lengan atas (2 kg) dan lengan bawah boneka (1.5 kg) masing-masing kepada prototaip rangka luar berbanding dengan skim PD klasik.

ABSTRACT

The burden of stroke has necessitated the employment of robotics to mitigate the inability of physiotherapists to cope with the increasing demand for rehabilitation by stroke survivors. Continuous passive motion training has been demonstrated to be able to allow stroke patients to regain their mobility. Furthermore, this form of rehabilitation is non-trivial particularly in the acute and sub-acute phase of neurorehabilitation. This thesis aims at evaluating a class of robust control scheme, namely active force control (AFC) on a two degrees of freedom upper-limb exoskeleton that is able to compensate disturbances arising from different upper-limb weights that are unique for different individuals without the need for further re-tuning. In order to evaluate the efficacy of the proposed controller, a simulation investigation was performed. The dynamics of the system are derived based on the Euler-Lagrange formulation by incorporating anthropometric measurements of the human upper limb. The efficacy of the proposed controllers, namely classical Proportional-Derivative AFC (PDAFC) architecture optimised by means of fuzzy logic (FL), artificial neural network (ANN), particle swarm optimisation (PSO) and simulated Kalman filter (SKF) against classical PD control in mitigating different disturbance configurations (no disturbance, constant disturbance of 30 N.m. and harmonic disturbance of 30 N.m. at a frequency of 10 Hz at different speeds, i.e., slow (0.375 rad/s), medium (0.430 rad/s) and fast (0.502 rad/s) of a typical rehabilitation trajectory for the shoulder and elbow joints were evaluated. It is shown from the simulation investigation that the PDSKFAFC scheme is better in comparison to all the evaluated schemes, particularly the classical PD control scheme. A data-driven model is developed based on the exoskeleton prototype built. A hardware-in-the-loop simulation is carried out to evaluate the appropriate gains of both the PD and the AFC inertial parameter gained that is tuned via the SKF algorithm. It is demonstrated through the experimental works, that the PDSKFAFC scheme is able to compensate against the disturbance attributed by the attached mannequin mass of the upper arm (2 kg) and forearm (1.5 kg), respectively to the exoskeleton prototype in comparison the classical PD scheme.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
-------------------------	----

ABSTRAK	iii
----------------	-----

ABSTRACT	iv
-----------------	----

TABLE OF CONTENT	v
-------------------------	---

LIST OF TABLES	ix
-----------------------	----

LIST OF FIGURES	x
------------------------	---

LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
------------------------	----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
------------------------------	------

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
-------------------------------	---

1.1 Research Motivation	1
----------------------------	---

1.2 Problem Statement	3
--------------------------	---

1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
---------------------------	---

1.4 Research Scope	4
-----------------------	---

1.5 Thesis Layout	4
----------------------	---

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
------------------------------------	---

2.1 Introduction	6
---------------------	---

2.2 Stroke	6
---------------	---

2.3 Post-Stroke Rehabilitation	7
-----------------------------------	---

2.4 Upper-limb Biomechanics	9
--------------------------------	---

2.5	Robotic-Assisted Therapy for the Upper-limb	10
2.6	Related Studies on the Control of Upper-limb Exoskeletons for Passive Rehabilitation Therapy	12
2.7	Active Force Control (AFC)	22
2.8	Summary	37
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Phases of the Study	39
3.3	Development of the Exoskeleton	41
3.3.1	Actuator	41
3.3.2	Sensors	42
3.3.3	Motor Driver and Data Acquisition System	43
3.3.4	Materials and Design	44
3.4	Summary	46
CHAPTER 4 MODELLING AND SIMULATION		47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Upper-limb Dynamics	47
4.3	Proportional-Derivative Controller	50
4.4	Active Force Control	53
4.4.1	Fuzzy Logic	54
4.4.2	Artificial Neural Network	57
4.4.3	Particle Swarm Optimisation	63
4.4.4	Simulated Kalman Filter	66
4.5	Simulation Results and Discussion	72
4.6	Summary of the Findings	81

CHAPTER 5 DATA-DRIVEN MODELLING AND EXPERIMENTAL WORKS	85
5.1 Introduction	85
5.2 Data-driven Modelling	85
5.3 Hardware in the Loop (HIL) Simulations	90
5.4 Experimental Works	93
5.4.1 Elbow Joint	93
5.4.2 Shoulder Joint	97
5.5 Summary of Findings	99
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	100
6.1 Introduction	100
6.2 Summary of the Main Findings	100
6.2.1 Objective 1: The Design of the Proposed Control Scheme	100
6.2.2 Objective 2: Simulation Investigation of the Proposed Control Schemes	101
6.2.3 Objective 3: Data-driven Modelling and Experimental Works	101
6.3 Contribution of Study	102
6.4 Recommendation	102
REFERENCES	104
APPENDIX A TORQUE ESTIMATION	118
APPENDIX B ACTUATOR SPECIFICATION	120
APPENDIX C SENSORS	121
APPENDIX D CAD DRAWINGS	124
APPENDIX E ANN TRAINING	128

APPENDIX F SIMULINK MODEL	129
APPENDIX G ANOVA	130
APPENDIX H LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	135

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Average movable ranges of the upper-limb	10
Table 2.2	Summary of upper limb exoskeleton systems that employ the passive control approach	18
Table 2.3	Summary of the utilisation of the AFC scheme of different applications	33
Table 3.1	PC specifications	40
Table 4.1	Modelling parameters	50
Table 4.2	PD gains for both shoulder and elbow joints	51
Table 4.3	Joint angle variables for θ_1 and θ_2	57
Table 4.4	Constant variables for x_1 and x_2	57
Table 4.5	PSO parameters	66
Table 4.6	SKF parameters	70
Table 4.7	Tracking error measurements for slow speed (0.375 rad/s)	75
Table 4.8	Tracking error measurements for medium speed (0.430 rad/s)	79
Table 4.9	Tracking error measurements for fast speed (0.502 rad/s)	83
Table 5.1	Estimation and validation performance of the shoulder and elbow system	88
Table 5.2	Tracking error performance	91
Table 5.3	Error metrics for low speed (0.375 rad/s)	93
Table 5.4	Error metrics for medium speed (0.43 rad/s)	93
Table 5.5	Error metrics for fast speed (0.502 rad/s)	93
Table 5.6	ANOVA analysis	94
Table 5.7	Error metrics for shoulder joint	97

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Mortality and morbidity statistics of stroke cases in Malaysia from 2009 to 2013	1
Figure 1.2	Probabilistic projections of the Malaysian population of the age of 60 years and above	2
Figure 2.1	Types and main risk factors of stroke.	7
Figure 2.2	Neurorehabilitation timeline	8
Figure 2.3	Human upper-limb anatomy	9
Figure 2.4	(a) Main movements (degrees of freedom) of the upper-limb (b) Human body anatomical planes	10
Figure 2.5	Robotic rehabilitation devices (a) end-effector-based (b) exoskeleton-based	11
Figure 2.6	A schematic diagram of the AFC scheme	23
Figure 2.7	Performance of the AFC algorithm in the wake of disturbance	29
Figure 3.1	Phases of the Study	41
Figure 3.2	DC brushless motor (MO-PW-CR)	41
Figure 3.3	Industrial grade potentiometer (53C320K)	42
Figure 3.4	Current sensor (BB-ACS756).	43
Figure 3.5	Motor Driver (MD30C)	43
Figure 3.6	Arduino Mega 2560	44
Figure 3.7	A schematic configuration of the mechatronics system	44
Figure 3.8	Exoskeleton's (a) upper arm (b) forearm	45
Figure 3.9	The upper-limb exoskeleton system	46
Figure 4.1	Rigid links that mimic the human upper-limb	47
Figure 4.2	Predefine trajectories for rehabilitating the shoulder and elbow joints	52
Figure 4.3	PD with AFC scheme for the control of the upper-limb exoskeleton	53

Figure 4.4	Fuzzy Concept	54
Figure 4.5	Fuzzy based estimated inertia acquisition (Simulink)	55
Figure 4.6	The membership function for (a) shoulder joint, θ_1 (b) constant, x_1 (c) elbow joint, θ_2 (d) constant, x_2	56
Figure 4.7	The perceptron model	58
Figure 4.8	MLP feedforward network	58
Figure 4.9	ANN based estimated inertia acquisition (Simulink)	60
Figure 4.10	The correlation between output and target of the ANN engine for the shoulder joint (a) in training step, (b) in validation step, (c) in test step and (d) in overall. T is target values; Y is predicted output by model; and R correlation coefficient between the output of model and target values.	61
Figure 4.11	The correlation between output and target of the ANN engine for the elbow joint (a) in training step, (b) in validation step, (c) in test step and (d) in overall. T is target values; Y is predicted output by model; and R correlation coefficient between output of model and target values.	62
Figure 4.12	Schematic movement of a particle	64
Figure 4.13	The PSO algorithm	65
Figure 4.14	Estimated inertial parameters via the PSO algorithm	66
Figure 4.15	The SKF algorithm	69
Figure 4.16	Estimated inertial parameters via the SKF algorithm	70
Figure 4.17	RMSE comparison between control algorithms at slow speed (0.375 rad/s) (a) shoulder tracking error without disturbance (b) elbow tracking error without disturbance (d) shoulder tracking error with constant disturbance (e) elbow tracking error with constant disturbance (f) shoulder tracking error with harmonic disturbance (g) elbow tracking error with harmonic disturbance	72

Figure 4.18	Tracking error response comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC at slow speed (0.375 rad/s) (a) shoulder tracking error without disturbance (b) elbow tracking error without disturbance (d) shoulder tracking error with constant disturbance (e) elbow tracking error with constant disturbance (f) shoulder tracking error with harmonic disturbance (g) elbow tracking error with harmonic disturbance	74
Figure 4.19	RMSE comparison between control algorithms at medium speed (0.430 rad/s) (a) shoulder tracking error without disturbance (b) elbow tracking error without disturbance (d) shoulder tracking error with constant disturbance (e) elbow tracking error with constant disturbance (f) shoulder tracking error with harmonic disturbance (g) elbow tracking error with harmonic disturbance	76
Figure 4.20	Tracking error response comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC at medium speed (0.430 rad/s) (a) shoulder tracking error without disturbance (b) elbow tracking error without disturbance (d) shoulder tracking error with constant disturbance (e) elbow tracking error with constant disturbance (f) shoulder tracking error with harmonic disturbance (g) elbow tracking error with harmonic disturbance	78
Figure 4.21	RMSE comparison between control algorithms at fast speed (0.502 rad/s) (a) shoulder tracking error without disturbance (b) elbow tracking error without disturbance (d) shoulder tracking error with constant disturbance (e) elbow tracking error with constant disturbance (f) shoulder tracking error with harmonic disturbance (g) elbow tracking error with harmonic disturbance	80
Figure 4.22	Tracking error response comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC at fast speed (0.502 rad/s) (a) shoulder tracking error without disturbance (b) elbow tracking error without disturbance (d) shoulder tracking error with constant disturbance (e) elbow tracking error with constant disturbance (f) shoulder tracking	

error with harmonic disturbance (g) elbow tracking error with harmonic disturbance	82
Figure 5.1 Input-output of the shoulder joint	86
Figure 5.2 Input-output of the elbow joint	86
Figure 5.3 Estimation stage elbow system	87
Figure 5.4 Validation stage elbow system	88
Figure 5.5 Estimation stage shoulder system	88
Figure 5.6 Validation stage shoulder system	89
Figure 5.7 Zero-pole location of the elbow system	89
Figure 5.8 Zero-pole location of the shoulder system	90
Figure 5.9 Convergence curve (a) IN ₁ (b) IN ₂	92
Figure 5.10 (a) Shoulder joint trajectory tracking (b) Shoulder joint tracking error (c) Elbow joint trajectory tracking (d) Elbow joint tracking error	92
Figure 5.11 Comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC for elbow joint at slow speed (0.375 rad/s) (a) Trajectory tracking without disturbance (b) Tracking error without disturbance (c) Trajectory tracking with disturbance (d) Tracking error with disturbance	95
Figure 5.12 Comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC for elbow joint at medium speed (0.43 rad/s) (a) Trajectory tracking without disturbance (b) Tracking error without disturbance (c) Trajectory tracking with disturbance (d) Tracking error with disturbance	96
Figure 5.13 Comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC for elbow joint at fast speed (0.502 rad/s) (a) Trajectory tracking without disturbance (b) Tracking error without disturbance (c) Trajectory tracking with disturbance (d) Tracking error with disturbance	96

Figure 5.14 Comparison between the classical PD and the PDSKFAFC for shoulder joint with disturbance at (a) Trajectory tracking for slow speed (0.375 rad/s) (b) Tracking error for slow speed (0.375 rad/s) (c) Trajectory tracking for medium speed (0.430 rad/s) (d) Tracking error for medium speed (0.375 rad/s) (e) Trajectory tracking for fast speed (0.502 rad/s) (f) Tracking error for slow speed (0.502 rad/s) (g) Trajectory tracking for step response (h) Tracking error for step response.

98

LIST OF SYMBOLS

IN	Estimated Mass/ Estimated Inertial Matrix
θ_1	Shoulder joint angle
θ_2	Elbow joint angle
L	Lagrangian
K	Kinetic energy
P	Potential energy
τ_d	Disturbance torque
g	Gravity
J	Mass moment of inertia
m	Mass
e	Angular position error
\dot{e}	The rate of angular position error
K_d	Derivative gain
K_p	Proportional gain
$\ddot{\epsilon}$	Measured acceleration signal
τ	Measured actuation torque
x	Constant
b	Bias
R	Correlation coefficient
R^2	Coefficient of determination
s_i^{k+1}	Next particle position
s_i^k	Current particle position
v_i^{k+1}	Velocity of particle
r_1	Random number
r_2	Random number
c_1	Cognitive learning factor
c_2	Social learning factor
pbest	Personal best
gbest	Global best
ω	Inertia weight

k	k -th generation
n	Swarm size
P	Error covariance estimate
Q	Process noise
R	Measurement Noise
$\mathbf{Z}_i(t)$	Measurement of each individual agent
$K(t)$	Kalman gain
t	Iteration
I	Current
K_t	Torque constant
IN^*	Modified IN

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFC	Active Force Control
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
CEACS	Combined Energy and Attitude Control System
CTC	Computed Torque Control
FL	Fuzzy Logic
HIL	Hardware-in-the-Loop
MAE	Maximum of the Absolute Error
MSE	Mean Squared Error
MSD	Means and Standard Deviations
mSMERL	Modified Sliding Mode Exponential Reaching Law
PC	Personal Computer
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivative
PD	Proportional-Derivative
PI	Proportional-Integral
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimisation
RAC	Resolved Acceleration Control
RMSE	Root Mean Squared Error
SKF	Simulated Kalman Filter
SMC	Sliding Mode Control
WAM	Whole Arm Manipulator

REFERENCES

- Aziz, Z. A., Lee, L. Y., Ngah, B. A., Sidek, N. N., Looi, I., Hanip, M. R., & B. Basri, H. (2015). Acute Stroke Registry Malaysia, 2010-2014: Results from the National Neurology Registry. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, 24(12), 2701–2709.
- Adam, A., Ibrahim, Z., Mokhtar, N., Shapiai, M. I., Mubin, M., & Saad, I. (2016). Feature selection using angle modulated simulated Kalman filter for peak classification of EEG signals. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 1580.
- Ahn, J., Cho, S., & Chung, D. H. (2017). Analysis of energy and control efficiencies of fuzzy logic and artificial neural network technologies in the heating energy supply system responding to the changes of user demands. *Applied Energy*, 190, 222–231.
- As'arry, A., Md Zain, M. Z., Mailah, M., & Hussein, M. (2013). Hybrid learning control for improving suppression of hand tremor. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers. Part H, Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 227(11), 1171–1180.
- Astrom, K. J., & Rundqvist, L. (1989). Integrator windup and how to avoid it. In *American Control Conference, 1989* (pp. 1693–1698). IEEE.
- Aziz, N. H. A., Aziz, N. A. A., Ibrahim, Z., Razali, S., Abas, K. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). A Kalman Filter approach to PCB drill path optimization problem. In *2016 IEEE Conference on Systems, Process and Control (ICSPC)* (pp. 33–36). IEEE.
- Babaiasl, M., Mahdioun, S. H., Jaryani, P., & Yazdani, M. (2015). A review of technological and clinical aspects of robot-aided rehabilitation of upper-extremity after stroke. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 11(4), 263–280.
- Bahgaat, N. K., El-Sayed, M. I., Hassan, M. A. M., & Bendary, F. A. (2014). Load Frequency Control in Power System via Improving PID Controller Based on Particle Swarm Optimization and ANFIS Techniques. *International Journal of System Dynamics Applications*, 3(3), 1-24.
- Balasubramanian, S., Wei, R., Perez, M., Shepard, B., Koeneman, J., Koeneman, E., & He, J. (2008). RUPERT: An exoskeleton robot for assisting rehabilitation of arm functions. In *Virtual Rehabilitation, 2008* (pp. 163–167). IEEE.

Ball, S. J., Brown, I. E., & Scott, S. H. (2007). MEDARM: a rehabilitation robot with 5DOF at the shoulder complex. In *Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics, 2007 IEEE/ASME International Conference on* (pp. 1–6). IEEE.

Basteris, A., Nijenhuis, S. M., Stienen, A. H., Buurke, J. H., Prange, G. B., & Amirabdollahian, F. (2014). Training modalities in robot-mediated upper limb rehabilitation in stroke: a framework for classification based on a systematic review. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 11(111), 1-15.

Binetti, G., Del Coco, L., Ragone, R., Zelasco, S., Perri, E., Montemurro, C.,... Schena, F. P. (2017). Cultivar classification of Apulian olive oils: Use of artificial neural networks for comparing NMR, NIR and merceological data. *Food Chemistry*, 219, 131–138.

Boiocchi, R., Gernaey, K. V, & Sin, G. (2016). Systematic design of membership functions for fuzzy-logic control: A case study on one-stage partial nitritation/anammox treatment systems. *Water Research*, 102, 346–361.

Božnar, M. Z., Grašič, B., Oliveira, A. P. de, Soares, J., & Mlakar, P. (2017). Spatially transferable regional model for half-hourly values of diffuse solar radiation for general sky conditions based on perceptron artificial neural networks. *Renewable Energy*, 103, 794–810.

Burdess, J. S., & Hewit, J. R. (1986). An active method for the control of mechanical systems in the presence of unmeasurable forcing. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 21(5), 393–400.

Caldwell, D. G., Tsagarakis, N. G., Kousidou, S., Costa, N., & Sarakoglou, I. (2007). “SOFT” Exoskeletons for Upper and Lower Body Rehabilitation—Design, Control And Testing. *International Journal of Humanoid Robotics*, 4(3), 549–573.

Carden, E. P., & Fanning, P. (2004). Vibration based condition monitoring: A review. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 3(4), 355–377.

Chen, S., Arsenault, M., & Moglo, K. (2012). Design of a mechanism to simulate the quasi-static moment-deflection behaviour of the osteoligamentous structure of the C3-C4 cervical spine segment in the flexion-extension and lateral bending directions. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers. Part H, Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 226(11), 817–826.

Craig, J. J. (2005). *Introduction to robotics: mechanics and control* (Vol. 3, pp. 48-70). Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA:: Pearson/Prentice Hall.

Davison, E. (1976). Multivariable tuning regulators: the feedforward and robust control of a general servomechanism problem. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 21(1), 35–47.

Dawal, S. Z. M., Ismail, Z., Yusuf, K., Abdul-Rashid, S. H., Md Shalahim, N. S., Abdullah, N. S., & Mohd Kamil, N. S. (2015). Determination of the significant anthropometry dimensions for user-friendly designs of domestic furniture and appliances - Experience from a study in Malaysia. *Measurement*, 59, 205–215.

Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2017). *Department of Statistics Malaysia Press Release Statistics on Causes of Death, Malaysia, 2017 Deaths Percentage of medically certified deaths increased in 2016*. Retrieved from <https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/pdfPrev&id=Y3psYUI2VjU0ZzRhZU1kcVFMMThGUT09>

Dobkin, B. H., & Dorsch, A. (2013). New evidence for therapies in stroke rehabilitation. *Current Atherosclerosis Reports*, 15(6), 331.

Eberhart, R. C., & Kennedy, J. (1995). A new optimizer using particle swarm theory. In *Micro Machine and Human Science, 1995. MHS'95., Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on* (pp. 39-43). IEEE.

Eberhart, R. C., & Shi, Y. (1998). Comparison between genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization In *International conference on evolutionary programming* (pp. 611-616). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Garrec, P., Friconeau, J. P., Measson, Y., & Perrot, Y. (2008). ABLE, an innovative transparent exoskeleton for the upper-limb. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2008. IROS 2008. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on* (pp. 1483–1488). IEEE.

Garrido, J., Yu, W., & Li, X. (2016). Modular design and control of an upper limb exoskeleton. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 30(5), 2265–2271.

Garrido, J., Yu, W., & Soria, A. (2014). Modular design and modeling of an upper limb exoskeleton. In *5th IEEE RAS/EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics* (pp. 508–513). IEEE.

Gohari, M., & Tahmasebi, M. (2015). Active Off-Road Seat Suspension System Using Intelligent Active Force Control. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*, 34(4), 475–489.

Gopal, M. (2003). *Digital Control and State Variable Methods: Conventional and Neural-fuzzy Control Systems*. Tata-McGraw Hill. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com.my/books?id=L4W491WeR0oC>

Gopura, R. A. R. C., Kiguchi, K., & Li, Y. (2009). SUEFUL-7: A 7DOF upper-limb exoskeleton robot with muscle-model-oriented EMG-based control. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2009. IROS 2009. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on* (pp. 1126–1131). IEEE.

Gupta, A., O'Malley, M. K., Patoglu, V., & Burgar, C. (2008). Design, Control and Performance of *RiceWrist*: A Force Feedback Wrist Exoskeleton for Rehabilitation and Training. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 27(2), 233–251.

Gupta, N., & Garg, R. (2017). Tuning of asymmetrical fuzzy logic control algorithm for SPV system connected to grid. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 42(26), 16375-16385.

Hamad, K., Ali Khalil, M., & Shanableh, A. (2017). Modeling roadway traffic noise in a hot climate using artificial neural networks. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 53, 161–177.

Hamza, M. F., Yap, H. J., & Choudhury, I. A. (2015). Genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization based cascade interval type 2 fuzzy PD controller for rotary inverted pendulum system. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2015. 1-15.

Hassan, R., Cohanim, B., de Weck, O., & Venter, G. (2005). A Comparison of Particle Swarm Optimization and the Genetic Algorithm. In *46th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference*. Reston, Virginia: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

Haykin, S., & Network, N. (2004). A comprehensive foundation. *Neural Networks*, 2(2004), 41.

He, Q., Wang, L., & Liu, B. (2007). Parameter estimation for chaotic systems by particle swarm optimization. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 34(2), 654–661.

Hewit, J. R., & Burdess, J. S. (1981). Fast dynamic decoupled control for robotics, using active force control. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 16(5), 535–542.

- Hogan, N., Krebs, H. I., Charnnarong, J., Srikrishna, P., & Sharon, A. (1992). MIT-MANUS: a workstation for manual therapy and training. I. In *Robot and Human Communication, 1992. Proceedings., IEEE International Workshop on* (pp. 161-165). IEEE.
- Hossain, M. S., Ong, Z. C., Ismail, Z., Noroozi, S., & Khoo, S. Y. (2017). Artificial neural networks for vibration based inverse parametric identifications: A review. *Applied Soft Computing*, 52, 203–219.
- Ibrahim, Z., Aziz, N. H. A., Aziz, N. A. A., Razali, S., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Simulated Kalman Filter: A Novel Estimation-Based Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithm. *Advanced Science Letters*, 22(10), 2941–2946.
- Ibrahim, Z., Aziz, N. H. A., Aziz, N. A. A., Razali, S., Shapiai, M. I., Nawawi, S. W., & Mohamad, M. S. (2015). A Kalman filter approach for solving unimodal optimization problems. *ICIC Express Letters*, 9(12), 3415–3422.
- Institute for Public Health. (2015). *National Health and Morbidity Survey 2015 (NHMS 2015). Vol. II: Non-Communicable Diseases, Risk Factors & Other Health Problems*. Retrieved from <http://iku.moh.gov.my/images/IKU/Document/REPORT/nhmsreport2015vol2.pdf>
- Jackson, A. E., Holt, R. J., Culmer, P. R., Makower, S. G., Levesley, M. C., Richardson, R. C., ... Bhakta, B. B. (2007). Dual robot system for upper limb rehabilitation after stroke: The design process. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 221(7), 845–857.
- Jahanabadi, H., Mailah, M., Zain, M. Z. M., & Hooi, H. M. (2011). Active force with fuzzy logic control of a two-link arm driven by pneumatic artificial muscles. *Journal of Bionic Engineering*, 8(4), 474–484.
- Johnson, C. (1971). Accommodation of external disturbances in linear regulator and servomechanism problems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 16(6), 635–644.
- Kalman, R. E. (1960). A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems. *Journal of Basic Engineering*, 82(1), 35–45.
- Kang, H.-B., & Wang, J.-H. (2013). Adaptive control of 5 DOF upper-limb exoskeleton robot with improved safety. *ISA Transactions*, 52(6), 844–852.

Kaveh, A. (2014). Particle Swarm Optimization. In *Advances in Metaheuristic Algorithms for Optimal Design of Structures* (pp. 9–40). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Khassetarash, A., & Hassannejad, R. (2015). Towards optimal design of sport footwear based on muscle activity and minimum loading rate using simplified model. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 229(8), 537–548.

Kiguchi, K., Iwami, K., Yasuda, M., Watanabe, K., & Fukuda, T. (2003). An exoskeletal robot for human shoulder joint motion assist. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 8(1), 125–135.

Kiguchi, K., Yamaguchi, T., & Sasaki, M. (2006). Development of a 4DOF exoskeleton robot for upper-limb motion assist. In *Proc. of ASME/JSME Joint Int. Conf. on Micromechatronics for Information and Precision Equipment*.

Klein, J., Spencer, S. J., Allington, J., Minakata, K., Wolbrecht, E. T., Smith, R., ... Reinkensmeyer, D. J. (2008). Biomimetic orthosis for the neurorehabilitation of the elbow and shoulder (BONES). In *Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics, 2008. BioRob 2008. 2nd IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on* (pp. 535–541). IEEE.

Kooi Cheah, W., Peng Hor, C., Abdul Aziz, Z., & Looi, I. (2016). A Review of Stroke Research in Malaysia from 2000 – 2014. *Med J Malaysia*, 71(1). Retrieved from <http://www.e-mjm.org/2016/v71s1/stroke-research.pdf>

Krebs, H. I., Palazzolo, J. J., Dipietro, L., Ferraro, M., Krol, J., Rannekleiv, K., ... Hogan, N. (2003). Rehabilitation robotics: Performance-based progressive robot-assisted therapy. *Autonomous Robots*, 15(1), 7–20.

Krebs, H. I., Volpe, B. T., Williams, D., Celestino, J., Charles, S. K., Lynch, D., & Hogan, N. (2007). Robot-aided neurorehabilitation: a robot for wrist rehabilitation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering : A Publication of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 15(3), 327–35.

Li, Z., Su, C.-Y., Li, G., & Su, H. (2015). Fuzzy Approximation-Based Adaptive Backstepping Control of an Exoskeleton for Human Upper Limbs. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 23(3), 555–566.

Lo, H. S., & Xie, S. Q. (2012). Exoskeleton robots for upper-limb rehabilitation: state of the art and future prospects. *Medical Engineering & Physics*, 34(3), 261–8.

- Loo, K. W., & Gan, S. H. (2012). Burden of Stroke in Malaysia. *International Journal of Stroke*, 7(2), 165–167.
- Loureiro, R., Amirabdollahian, F., Topping, M., Driessen, B., & Harwin, W. (2003). Upper Limb Robot Mediated Stroke Therapy—GENTLE/s Approach. *Autonomous Robots*, 15(1), 35–51.
- Loureiro, R. V., Harwin, W. S., Nagai, K., & Johnson, M. (2011). Advances in upper limb stroke rehabilitation: A technology push. *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing*, 49(10), 1103–1118.
- Luh, J., Walker, M., & Paul, R. (1980). Resolved-acceleration control of mechanical manipulators. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 25(3), 468–474.
- Lum, P. S., Van der Loos, H. F. M., Shor, P., & Burgar, C. G. (1999). A robotic system for upper-limb exercises to promote recovery of motor function following stroke. In *Proceedings Sixth Int. Conf. on Rehab. Robotics* (pp. 235–239).
- Lynch, D., Ferraro, M., Krol, J., Trudell, C. M., Christos, P., & Volpe, B. T. (2005). Continuous passive motion improves shoulder joint integrity following stroke. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 19(6), 594–599.
- Maciejasz, P., Eschweiler, J., Gerlach-Hahn, K., Jansen-Troy, A., & Leonhardt, S. (2014). A survey on robotic devices for upper limb rehabilitation. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 11(3), 1–29.
- Mailah, M., Hewit, J. R., & Meeran, S. (1996). Active Force Control Applied to a Rigid Robot Arm. *Jurnal Mekanikal*, 2(2), 52–68.
- Mailah, M., Hooi, H. M., Kazi, S., & Jahanabadi, H. (2012). Practical active force control with iterative learning scheme applied to a pneumatic artificial muscle actuated robotic arm. *International Journal of Mechanics*, 6(1), 88–96.
- Mailah, M., Jahanabadi, H., Zain, M. Z. M., & Priyandoko, G. (2009). Modelling and control of a human-like arm incorporating muscle models. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 223(7), 1569–1577.
- Mailah, M., Pitowarno, E., & Jamaluddin, H. (2006). Robust motion control for mobile manipulator using resolved acceleration and proportional-integral active force control. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, 2(2), 125–134.

Mailah, M., Yee, W. M., & Jamaluddin, H. (2002). Intelligent active force control of a robotic arm using genetic algorithm. *Jurnal Mekanikal*. 13, 50-63.

Marchal-Crespo, L., & Reinkensmeyer, D. J. (2009). Review of control strategies for robotic movement training after neurologic injury. *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*, 6(20), 1-15.

Marcovitch, H. (2010). *Black's Medical Dictionary (42nd edition)*. Reference Reviews (Vol. 24). Emerald.

Masiero, S., Armani, M., & Rosati, G. (2011). Upper-limb robot-assisted therapy in rehabilitation of acute stroke patients: Focused review and results of new randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, 48(4), 355–366.

McCulloch, W. S., & Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *The Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, 5(4), 115–133.

Meng, W., Liu, Q., Zhou, Z., Ai, Q., Sheng, B., & Xie, S. (2015). Recent development of mechanisms and control strategies for robot-assisted lower limb rehabilitation. *Mechatronics*.

Murphy, T. H., & Corbett, D. (2009). Plasticity during stroke recovery: from synapse to behaviour. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(12), 861–872.

Mushage, B. O., Chedjou, J. C., & Kyamakya, K. (2017). Fuzzy neural network and observer-based fault-tolerant adaptive nonlinear control of uncertain 5-DOF upper-limb exoskeleton robot for passive rehabilitation. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 87(3), 2021–2037.

Nef, T., Mihelj, M., & Riener, R. (2007). ARMin: a robot for patient-cooperative arm therapy. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*, 45(9), 887–900.

Negnevitsky, M. (2005). *Artificial intelligence: a guide to intelligent systems*. Pearson Education.

Noshadi, A., & Mailah, M. (2012). Active disturbance rejection control of a parallel manipulator with self learning algorithm for a pulsating trajectory tracking task. *Scientia Iranica*, 19(1), 132–141.

Noshadi, A., Mailah, M., & Zolfagharian, A. (2012). Intelligent active force control of a 3-RRR parallel manipulator incorporating fuzzy resolved acceleration control.

Applied Mathematical Modelling, 36(6), 2370–2383.

Noshadi, A., Zolfagharian, A., & Mailah, M. (2011). Performance Analysis of the Computed Torque Based Active Force Control for a Planar Parallel Manipulator. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 110–116, 4932–4940.

Ou, C., & Lin, W. (2006). Comparison between PSO and GA for Parameters Optimization of PID Controller. In *2006 International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation* (pp. 2471–2475). IEEE.

Page, S. J., Szaflarski, J. P., Eliassen, J. C., Pan, H., & Cramer, S. C. (2009). Cortical Plasticity Following Motor Skill Learning During Mental Practice in Stroke. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, 23(4), 382–388.

Perry, J. C., Rosen, J., & Burns, S. (2007). Upper-Limb Powered Exoskeleton Design. *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 12(4), 408–417.

Proietti, T., Crocher, V., Roby-Brami, A., & Jarrasse, N. (2016). Upper-Limb Robotic Exoskeletons for Neurorehabilitation: A Review on Control Strategies. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 9, 4–14.

Rahman, M. H., K-Ouimet, T., Saad, M., Kenne, J. P., & Archambault, P. S. (2011). Tele-operation of a robotic exoskeleton for rehabilitation and passive arm movement assistance. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics* (pp. 443–448). IEEE.

Rahman, M. H., Kittel-Ouimet, T., Saad, M., Kenné, J. P., & Archambault, P. S. (2012). Development and control of a robotic exoskeleton for shoulder, elbow and forearm movement assistance. *Applied Bionics and Biomechanics*, 9(3), 275–292.

Rahman, M. H., Rahman, M. J., Cristobal, O. L., Saad, M., Kenné, J. P., & Archambault, P. S. (2015). Development of a whole arm wearable robotic exoskeleton for rehabilitation and to assist upper limb movements. *Robotica*, 33, 19–39.

Rahman, M. H., Saad, M., Kenné, J.-P., & Archambault, P. S. (2013). Control of an Exoskeleton Robot Arm with Sliding Mode Exponential Reaching Law. *International Journal of Control, Automation, and Systems*, 11(1), 92–104.

Rahman, M. H., Saad, M., Kenné, J. P., & Archambault, P. S. (2010). Exoskeleton robot for rehabilitation of elbow and forearm movements. In *Control & Automation (MED), 2010 18th Mediterranean Conference on* (pp. 1567–1572). IEEE.

- Rahman, M. H., Saad, M., Kenné, J. P., & Archambault, P. S. (2012). Nonlinear sliding mode control implementation of an upper limb exoskeleton robot to provide passive rehabilitation therapy. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)* (Vol. 7507 LNAI, pp. 52–62). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Raith, S., Vogel, E. P., Anees, N., Keul, C., Güth, J.-F., Edelhoff, D., & Fischer, H. (2017). Artificial Neural Networks as a powerful numerical tool to classify specific features of a tooth based on 3D scan data. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 80, 65–76.
- Reinkensmeyer, D. J., Dewald, J. P., & Rymer, W. Z. (1999). Guidance-based quantification of arm impairment following brain injury: a pilot study. *IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering: A Publication of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, 7(1), 1–11.
- Ren, Y., Park, H.-S., & Zhang, L.-Q. (2009). Developing a whole-arm exoskeleton robot with hand opening and closing mechanism for upper limb stroke rehabilitation. In *Rehabilitation Robotics, 2009. ICORR 2009. IEEE International Conference on* (pp. 761–765). IEEE.
- Rey, G., Donnan, A., Fisher, M., Macleod, M., & Davis, S. M. (2008). Stroke. *The Lancet*, 371(9624), 1612–1623.
- Rocon, E., Belda-Lois, J. M., Ruiz, A. F., Manto, M., Moreno, J. C., & Pons, J. L. (2007). Design and validation of a rehabilitation robotic exoskeleton for tremor assessment and suppression. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 15(3), 367–378.
- Rosenblatt, F., & Rosenblatt, F. (1958). The Perceptron: A Probabilistic Model for Information Storage and Organization in The Brain. *Psychological Review*, 65(6), 386.
- Krebs, H. I., Dipietro, L., Levy-Tzedek, S., Fasoli, S. E., Rykman-Berland, A., Zipse, J., ... & Volpe, B. T. (2008). A paradigm shift for rehabilitation robotics. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, 27(4).
- Sabzehmeidani, Y., Mailah, M., & Hussein, M. (2011). Modelling and control of a piezo actuated micro robot with active force control capability for in-pipe application. *International Journal of Modelling, Identification and Control*, 13(4), 301.

Sathishkumar, P., Jancirani, J., & John, D. (2014). Reducing the seat vibration of vehicle by semi active force control technique. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 28(2), 473–479.

Schallert, T., Leasure, J. L., & Kolb, B. (2000). Experience-Associated Structural Events, Subependymal Cellular Proliferative Activity, and Functional Recovery After Injury to the Central Nervous System. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, 20(11), 1513–1528.

Shabanpour, H., Yousefi, S., & Saen, R. F. (2017). Forecasting efficiency of green suppliers by dynamic data envelopment analysis and artificial neural networks. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 1098–1107.

Sheng, B., Zhang, Y., Meng, W., Deng, C., & Xie, S. (2016). Bilateral robots for upper-limb stroke rehabilitation: State of the art and future prospects. *Medical Engineering & Physics*, 38(7), 587–606.

Shi, Y. (2001). Particle swarm optimization: developments, applications and resources. In *evolutionary computation, 2001. Proceedings of the 2001 Congress on* (Vol. 1, pp. 81–86). IEEE.

Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. C. (1998). Parameter selection in particle swarm optimization. In *International conference on evolutionary programming* (pp. 591–600). Springer.

Shiguemori, E. H., Da Silva, J. D. S., & De Campos Velho, H. F. (2004). Estimation of initial condition in heat conduction by neural network. *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, 12(3), 317–328.

Sivanandam, S. N., Sumathi, S., & Deepa, S. N. (2006). *Introduction to neural networks using MATLAB 6.0*. McGraw Hill Education (India) Private Limited.

Stienen, A. H. A., Hekman, E. E. G., Van der Helm, F. C. T., Prange, G. B., Jannink, M. J. A., Aalsma, A. M. M., & Van der Kooij, H. (2007). Dampace: dynamic force-coordination trainer for the upper extremities. In *Rehabilitation Robotics, 2007. ICORR 2007. IEEE 10th International Conference on* (pp. 820–826). IEEE.

Sun, Q., Xing, D., Yang, Q., Zhang, H., & Patel, J. (2017). A New Design of Fuzzy Logic Control for SMES and Battery Hybrid Storage System. *Energy Procedia*, 105, 4575–4580.

Tahmasebi, M., Mailah, M., Gohari, M., & Abd Rahman, R. (2017a). Vibration suppression of sprayer boom structure using active torque control and iterative learning. Part I: Modelling and control via simulation. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 107754631773316. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1077546317733164>

Tahmasebi, M., Gohari, M., Mailah, M., & Abd Rahman, R. (2017b). Vibration suppression of sprayer boom structure using active torque control and iterative learning. Part II: Experimental implementation. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 107754631773390. <http://doi.org/10.1177/1077546317733907>

Tahmasebi, M., Rahman, R. ., Mailah, M. ., & Gohari, M. . (2013a). Roll movement control of a spray boom structure using active force control with artificial neural network strategy. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise Vibration and Active Control*, 32(3), 189–202.

Tahmasebi, M., Rahman, R. A., Mailah, M., & Gohari, M. (2012). Sprayer Boom Active Suspension Using Intelligent Active Force Control. *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering*, 6, 1277–1281.

Tahmasebi, M., Rahman, R. A., Mailah, M., & Gohari, M. (2013b). Active Force Control Applied to Spray Boom Structure. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 315, 616–620.

Tamandani, Y. K., & Bokhari, M. U. (2016). SEPFL routing protocol based on fuzzy logic control to extend the lifetime and throughput of the wireless sensor network. *Wireless Networks*, 22(2), 647–653.

Tavakolpour-Saleh, A. R., & Haddad, M. A. (2017). A fuzzy robust control scheme for vibration suppression of a nonlinear electromagnetic-actuated flexible system. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 86, 86–107.

Tavakolpour Saleh, A. R., & Mailah, M. (2012). Control of resonance phenomenon in flexible structures via active support. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 331(15), 3451–3465.

Teasell, R. W., & Kalra, L. (2004). What's New in Stroke Rehabilitation. *Stroke*, 35(2), 383–385.

Teasell, R. W., & Kalra, L. (2005). What's New in Stroke Rehabilitation: Back to Basics. *Stroke*, 36(2), 215–217.

- Tóth, A., Arz, G., Fazekas, G., Bratanov, D., & Zlatov, N. (2004). 25 Post Stroke Shoulder-Elbow Physiotherapy with Industrial Robots. In *Advances in Rehabilitation Robotics* (pp. 391–411). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- United Nations, D. of E. and S. A. (2015). *The World Population Prospects: 2015 Revision*. Retrieved from <http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/publications/world-population-prospects-2015-revision.html>
- Varatharajoo, R., Wooi, C. T., & Mailah, M. (2011a). Attitude pointing enhancement for combined energy and attitude control system. *Acta Astronautica*, 68(11–12), 2025–2028.
- Varatharajoo, R., Wooi, C. T., & Mailah, M. (2011b). Two degree-of-freedom spacecraft attitude controller. *Advances in Space Research*, 47(4), 685–689.
- Veeger, H. E. J., Yu, B., An, K. N., & Rozendal, R. H. (1997). Parameters for modeling the upper extremity. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 30(6), 647–652.
- Vertechy, R., Frisoli, A., Dettori, A., Solazzi, M., & Bergamasco, M. (2009). Development of a new exoskeleton for upper limb rehabilitation. In *Rehabilitation Robotics, 2009. ICORR 2009. IEEE International Conference on* (pp. 188–193). IEEE.
- Volpe, B. T., Ferraro, M., Krebs, H. I., & Hogan, N. (2002). Robotics in the rehabilitation treatment of patients with stroke. *Current Atherosclerosis Reports*, 4(4), 270–276.
- Wang, X., Li, X., Wang, J., Fang, X., & Zhu, X. (2016). Data-driven model-free adaptive sliding mode control for the multi degree-of-freedom robotic exoskeleton. *Information Sciences*, 327, 246–257.
- Worden, K., & Dulieu-Barton, J. M. (2004). An Overview of Intelligent Fault Detection in Systems and Structures. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 3(1), 85–98.
- World Health Organization. (2016). *World Health Statistics 2016: Monitoring Health for the SDGs Sustainable Development Goals*. World Health Organization.
- Xu, G., Song, A., & Li, H. (2011). Control System Design for an Upper-Limb Rehabilitation Robot. *Advanced Robotics*, 25(1–2), 229–251.
- Yu, W., & Rosen, J. (2010). A novel linear PID controller for an upper limb exoskeleton. In *49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)* (pp. 3548–3553). IEEE.

Yu, W., & Rosen, J. (2013). Neural PID Control of Robot Manipulators With Application to an Upper Limb Exoskeleton. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 43(2), 673–684.

Yusof, Z. M., Ibrahim, Z., Ibrahim, I., Azmi, K. Z. M., Ab Aziz, N. A., Aziz, N. H. A., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Angle modulated simulated Kalman filter algorithm for combinatorial optimization problems. *ARPN J Eng Appl Sci*, 11(7), 4854–4859.

Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8(3), 338–353.

Zhang, J. F., Yang, C. J., Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., & Dong, Y. M. (2008). Modeling and control of a curved pneumatic muscle actuator for wearable elbow exoskeleton. *Mechatronics*, 18(8), 448–457.

Zhang, S., Lee, C. K. M., Chan, H. K., Choy, K. L., & Wu, Z. (2015). Swarm intelligence applied in green logistics: A literature review. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 37, 154–169.