

OPTIMIZATION OF ENZYMATIC  
PRETREATMENT AND SACCHARIFICATION  
PROCESSES OF SAWDUST FOR  
BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION

AMANI MOHAMMAD AHMED HASHABRA

Master of Science

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. ESSAM ABDELLATIF MAKKY  
Position : Associate professor  
Date :

---

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. SHAH SAMIUR RASHID  
Position : Senior Lecturer  
Date :

---

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. AIZI NOR MAZILA BINTI RAMLI  
Position : Senior Lecturer  
Date :



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : AMANI MOHAMMAD AHMAD HASHABRA

ID Number : MKT15002

Date : 8 October 2018

OPTIMIZATION OF ENZYMATIC PRETREATMENT AND  
SACCHARIFICATION PROCESSES OF SAWDUST FOR BIOETHANOL  
PRODUCTION

AMANI MOHAMMAD AHMED HASHABRA

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science

Faculty of Industrial Sciences & Technology  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

October 2018

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity with great pleasure to acknowledge and extend my heartfelt gratitude to the following persons who have made the successful completion of this Master project possible. I am highly grateful to my supervisors Associate professor, Dr. Essam A. Makky, Dr. Shah Samiur Rashid and Dr. Aizi Nor Mazila Binti Ramli for their guidance throughout the course of this work, as well as their contribution towards the realization of this goal. I hereby acknowledge services and efforts of members and technical staffs of Faculty of Industrial Sciences and Technology (FIST) and Central Laboratory Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) towards the success of my study. I wish to express my gratitude to my husband Dr. Abdullah Nasser for his assisting and encouraging me throughout my study period.

Finally, I acknowledge my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents, brothers and sister for their love, dream and sacrifice throughout my life.

Amani M. Hashabra  
September 2018

## ABSTRAK

Penghasilan etanol dari bahan yang boleh diperbaharui dan mampan adalah penting bagi menangani krisis bahan api berasaskan fosil pada masa akan datang. Biojisim lignoselulosa seperti, habuk papan adalah bahan mentah biojisim yang menarik, banyak, murah dan dari sumber bukan makanan untuk pengeluaran etanol yang lebih murah. Walau bagaimanapun, kerana struktur bahan ini yang keras, prarawatan perlu dijalankan untuk pemecahan lignin. Selain itu, kebanyakan teknik prarawatan menggunakan bahan kimia dan tenaga yang mendedahkan ancaman terhadap alam sekitar terhadap prosesnya serdi. Tesis ini mengkaji pengoptimuman secara statistik prarawatan mesra alam dan proses *saccharification* habuk papan untuk pengeluaran bioetanol. Proses pengoptimuman dilakukan terlebih dahulu dengan analisis *one-factor-at-a-time* (OFAT), untuk menunjukkan julat optimum dan kepentingan setiap parameter dalam proses tersebut. Pengoptimuman kedua menggunakan *Face-Centred Central Composite Design* (FCCCD) bagi Kaedah *Response Surface Methodology* (RSM) untuk mengkaji kesan gabungan parameter tertentu. Dalam kajian ini, enzim laccase digunakan untuk prarawatan habuk papan dan hasilnya dinilai dari segi peratusan penurunan berat (%) dan jumlah gula yang dihasilkan (mg / mL). Keadaan prarawatan yang optimum adalah pada suhu 35°C; pH 5; masa, 10 jam; kepekatan enzim, 20 IU/g habuk papan; kepekatan substrat, 5% (w/v); saiz sampel, 1 mm dan agitasi, 150 rpm. Manakala keadaan *saccharification* yang optimum untuk laccase prarawatan habuk papan telah dicapai pada suhu 48 °C, masa 36 jam, kepekatan enzim 30 IU / g habuk papan dan pH 5. Selepas proses pengoptimuman, prarawatan enzim dan proses *saccharification* telah dinilai untuk pengeluaran bioetanol menggunakan *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* sebagai organisma fermenter. Hasil bioetanol telah didapati melalui jumlah gula dan kandungan biojisim sampel masing-masing sebanyak 29.4% dan 9.78%. Proses enzimatik yang optimum ini boleh dianggap sebagai salah satu usaha kemapanan yang boleh diperbaharui yang direka untuk mengurangkan pelepasan gas rumah hijau dan melindungi alam sekitar dari pemanasan global.

## ABSTRACT

A widespread interest has been devoted to the production of ethanol from sustainable renewable materials to compensate for the impending fossil fuel crisis. Lignocellulosic biomasses, such as sawdust and others, are an attractive, abundant, cheap and non-food competitive biomass feedstock for cheaper ethanol production. However, the recalcitrant structure of these materials necessitates a pretreatment process for a complete or partial breakdown of the lignin in the cell wall of these biomasses. Most of the pretreatment techniques require the use of chemicals and energy which poses a threat to the environment and the whole process as well. This study embarked on a statistical optimization of the pretreatment and saccharification processes of sawdust for bioethanol production in an environmentally-friendly manner. The study process involved the screening of the process parameters using One-Factor-At-a-Time (OFAT) to determine the optimum range and significance of each parameter in the process, followed by a statistical optimization process using Face-Centered Central Composite Design (FCCCD) of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) to study the combined effect of certain parameters. In this study, laccase enzyme was used as the ligninolytic agent for sawdust pretreatment. The performance of the pretreatment process at each stage of the study was evaluated based on the weight loss (%) and total sugar produced (mg/mL). The optimized condition for the pretreatment of sawdust using laccase enzyme was achieved as temperature 35 °C, pH 5, time 10 h, laccase enzyme concentration 20 IU/g of sawdust, substrate concentration 5% (w/v), sample size 1 mm, and agitation rate 150 rpm. The optimized condition for the saccharification of the laccase pretreated sawdust was achieved as temperature 48 °C, time 36 h, enzyme concentration 30 IU/g of sawdust, and pH 5. After the optimization of the pretreatment and saccharification processes, the enzyme-pretreated and saccharified sawdust was evaluated for bioethanol production using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as the fermentation organism. The bioethanol yield based on the total sugar content and biomass content was achieved as 29.4% (w/v) and 9.78% (w/v), respectively. These enzymatic pretreatment and saccharification processes can be considered as one of the renewable efforts towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions and environmental protection from global warming.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of study	4
1.5 Thesis organization	4
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Biomass in Malaysia	6
2.3 Composition of Lignocellulosic Material	7
2.3.1 Cellulose	8
2.3.2 Hemicellulose	9
2.3.3 Lignin	10



2.4	Sawdust	12
	2.4.1 Sources of sawdust in Malaysia	12
	2.4.2 Uses of sawdust	15
2.5	Pretreatment	16
	2.5.1 Effective Pre-treatment Method	16
	2.5.2 Factors Affecting Enzymatic Hydrolysis	17
	2.5.3 Types of Biomass Pretreatment Processes	19
2.6	Research Gap	26
	2.6.1 Extracellular Ligninolytic Enzymes	27
2.7	Saccharification	30
	2.7.1 Methods of Saccharification	30
	2.7.2 Factors Affecting Saccharification.	33
2.8	Bioethanol	35
	2.8.1 Bioethanol Production	35
	2.8.2 Bioethanol Generation	36
2.9	Fermentation Process	37
	2.9.1 Agents of Fermentation	38
	2.9.2 Types of Ethanol Fermentation	39
	2.9.3 Factors Affecting Fermentation	40
<b>2.10</b>	<b>Optimization</b>	<b>42</b>
	2.10.1 One-Factor-At-a-Time (OFAT)	42
	2.10.2 Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	42
<b>CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>		<b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Biomass collection and sample preparation.	46

3.3	Biomass Characterization	47
3.3.1	Scanning Electron microscope (SEM)	48
3.3.2	Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy	48
3.3.3	Total Biomass Contents (water soluble content, Lignin, Cellulose, Hemicellulose and Ash)	48
3.4	Determination of Total Carbohydrate content	51
3.5	Determination of Reducing Sugar content	51
3.6	Determination of Laccase Enzyme Activity by ABTS Method	51
3.7	Determination of Cellulase Enzyme Activity	53
3.8	Optimization of the pretreatment Process of Sawdust	53
3.9	FCCCD-RSM Optimization of the sawdust Pretreatment Process	56
3.10	Optimization of the Saccharification Process	56
3.10.1	Effect of Cellulase Enzyme Concentration	57
3.10.2	Effect of Medium pH	57
3.10.3	Effect of Reaction Duration on the Rate of Saccharification	57
3.10.4	Effect of Temperature	58
3.11	RSM Optimization of the Enzymatic Saccharification process	58
3.12	Evaluation of Saccharification Process Condition	58
3.13	Bioethanol Production Evaluation	60
3.13.1	Fermentation of pretreated and saccharified sawdust using <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	60
3.14	Detection of Bio-ethanol in Fermentation Broth	61
3.14.1	Spectrophotometric determination of bioethanol	61
3.14.2	Determination of Bioethanol by Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionization Detector (GC-FID)	62
3.14.3	Calculation of bioethanol concentration	63

<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>64</b>
4.1 Introduction	64
4.2 Sawdust Characterization	64
4.2.1 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	64
4.2.2 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy	65
4.2.3 Constituents of Characterized Sawdust	66
4.3 OFAT Optimization of the Enzymatic Pretreatment Process of Sawdust	67
4.3.1 Effect of laccase enzyme concentration	67
4.3.2 Effect of sawdust Size on the Pretreatment	68
4.3.3 Effect of Sawdust Concentration on the Pre-treatment Process	69
4.3.4 Effect of Reaction Time on the Pretreatment of Sawdust	70
4.3.5 Effect of Temperature on the Pretreatment of Sawdust	71
4.3.6 Effect of pH on the Pretreatment of Sawdust	72
4.3.7 Effect of Agitation Rate on Pretreatment of Sawdust	73
4.4 RSM Optimization of the Enzymatic Pre-treatment Process of Sawdust	74
4.4.1 Validation of the Enzymatic Pretreatment Model	80
4.4.2 Outcome of the Enzymatic Pretreatment of Sawdust	80
4.5 Optimization of the Enzymatic Saccharification of the Pretreated Sawdust using OFAT	81
4.5.1 Effect of Cellulase Enzyme Concentration on the Rate of Saccharification	81
4.5.2 Effect of pH on the Rate of Saccharification	82
4.5.3 Effect of Reaction time on the Rate of Saccharification	83
4.5.4 Effect of Temperature on the Rate of Saccharification	84
4.6 RSM Optimization of Enzymatic Saccharification of Pretreated Sawdust	85
4.6.1 Validation of the Developed Models	91

4.6.2	Highlighted Outcome of Enzymatic Saccharification of Enzyme Pretreated sawdust	91
4.7	Determination of Bioethanol Concentration Fermentation	92
	<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>94</b>
5.1	CONCLUSION	94
5.2	Recommendation	95
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>97</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>110</b>
	<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>121</b>
	<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>125</b>
	<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>128</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Quantity of wood residue generated in Malaysia	7
Table 2.2	Typical chemical composition of various lignocelluloses according to raw materials	12
Table 2.3	Pretreatment processes of Lignocellulosic Biomass	26
Table 2.4	Cellulase-producing Microorganisms	32
Table 2.5	Yeasts and bacteria used for fermentation studies	39
Table 3.1	Design of the parameters for enzymatic pretreatment of sawdust	56
Table 3.2	Design of the parameters for enzymatic saccharification of sawdust	58
Table 4.1	Constituents of sawdust before and after pretreatment	67
Table 4.2	The experimental and predicted values of weight loss (%) response in RSM-FCCCD optimization	75
Table 4.3	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for pretreatment Weight Loss (%) response	78
Table 4.4	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for pretreatment Total Sugar (mg/mL) response	79
Table 4.5	Solutions for the validation of the enzymatic pretreatment model	80
Table 4.6	Predicted and experimental (practical) responses after saccharification of sawdust; weight loss response (R1) and reducing sugar response (R2)	86
Table 4.7	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for weight loss response after saccharification	87
Table 4.8	ANOVA for reducing sugar response after saccharification of pretreated sawdust	87
Table 4.9	Solution for the reducing sugar validation of saccharification model	91
Table 4.10	Bioethanol production and percentage (%) yields	92

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Alignment of wood tissues: a) Adjacent cells, b) cell wall layers. S1, S2, S3 Secondary cell wall layers, P primary wall, ML middle lamella. c) Distribution of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose in the secondary wall.	8
Figure 2.2	Structure of single cellulose	8
Figure 2.3	Structure of Hemicelluloses.	10
Figure 2.4	The building blocks of lignin biosynthesis. p-coumaryl alcohol, coniferyl alcohol, and sinapyl alcohol.	11
Figure 2.5	Examples of linkages found in lignin.	11
Figure 2.6	Wood wastes and wood from logging activities	13
Figure 2.7	Distribution of mills in Peninsular Malaysia	14
Figure 2.8	Waste projection in Malaysia 2000-2020	15
Figure 2.9	Pretreatment process of Lignocellulosic biomass.	16
Figure 2.10	Characteristics of an effective Pre-treatment Process	17
Figure 2.11	Classification of pretreatment techniques	19
Figure 2.12	Oxidation of veratryl alcohol by TvL in the presence of redox mediator	29
Figure 2.13	Combined action of cellulase enzymes in hydrolysis of cellulosic materials	33
Figure 2.14	Top most ethanol producing countries in world.	35
Figure 2.15	Classification of biofuels with examples for generations in secondary type biofuel.	37
Figure 2.16	Second generation bioethanol from different materials	37
Figure 2.17	Ethanol fermentation process and energy production.	38
Figure 2.18	General steps of bioethanol fermentation from lignocellulosic biomass	40
Figure 3.1	The overall workflow of the research study	46
Figure 3.2	Sawdust preparations for testing. where(a) sawdust sample before grinding (b) FRITSCH grinding machine-Germany, (c) sawdust sample after grinding (d) FRITSCH sieving machine-Germany and (e) The sizes obtained after sieving.	47
Figure 3.3	The tools used for preparing disks from sawdust for FTIR analysis.	48

Figure 3.4	Preparation of media and broths used for culture and subculture of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	61
Figure 4.1	Scanning electron microscopy results of present study (a-b3) compared to previous study results (c1-c3).	65
Figure 4.2	FTIR showing absorbance of different sawdust composition at certain wavenumbers before and after pretreatment (a) and (b) respectively.	66
Figure 4.3	Effect of laccase enzyme concentration on enzymatic pretreatment of sawdust using process condition of temperature RT, sawdust concentration 5% (w/v), sawdust size 2 mm, time 4 h, pH 5.	68
Figure 4.4	Effect of sawdust size on enzymatic pretreatment of sawdust using process condition of temperature 35°C, laccase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of sawdust, sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), time 4 h, pH 5.	69
Figure 4.5	Effect of sawdust concentration on enzymatic pretreatment of sawdust using process condition of temperature RT, laccase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of sawdust, sawdust size 2 mm, time 4 h, pH 5.	70
Figure 4.6	Effect of Reaction Time on enzymatic pretreatment of sawdust using process condition of temperature RT, laccase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of sawdust, sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), sawdust size 2 mm, pH 5.	71
Figure 4.7	Effect of temperature on enzymatic pretreatment of sawdust using process condition of laccase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of sawdust, sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), substrate size 2 mm, time 4 h, pH 5.	72
Figure 4.8	Effect of pH on enzymatic pretreatment of Sawdust using process condition of laccase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of Sawdust, Sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), temperature RT, and time 4 h.	73
Figure 4.9	Effect of agitation rate on enzymatic pretreatment of Sawdust using process condition of laccase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of Sawdust, Sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), temperature RT, and time 4 h.	74
Figure 4.10	Experimental/Actual values versus predicted values of weight loss (%) response (a) and Total sugar mg/mL (b) in pretreatment optimization of sawdust.	75
Figure 4.11	The effect of variant temperature and pH on the weight loss response of laccase pretreated sawdust, where: a) contour plot b) three-dimensional (3-D) plot	76

Figure 4.12	The effect of variant temperature and pH on the total sugar response of laccase pretreated sawdust. Where: a) contour plot b) three-dimensional (3-D) plot	77
Figure 4.13	Effect of cellulase enzyme concentration on the saccharification of laccase pretreated sawdust using process condition of 5 % (w/v) sawdust concentration, temperature 50 °C, pH 5 and time 24 h.	82
Figure 4.14	Effect of medium pH on the saccharification of laccase pretreated sawdust using process condition of cellulase enzyme concentration 5 IU/g of sawdust, sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), time 24 h, temperature 50 °C.	83
Figure 4.15	Effect of reaction duration on the saccharification of laccase pretreated sawdust using process condition of cellulase enzyme concentration 10 IU/g of sawdust, sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), pH 5, and temperature 50 °C.	84
Figure 4.16	Effect of temperature on the saccharification of laccase pretreated sawdust using cellulase enzyme concentration 10 IU/g, sawdust concentration 5 % (w/v), time 24 h, pH 5.	85
Figure 4.17	Parity plots of experimental versus predicted values of weight loss and reducing sugar respectively.	86
Figure 4.18	Three-dimensional (3-D) responses a) weight loss and b) reducing sugar showing the effect of temperature, pH and time on saccharification of laccase pretreated sawdust.	89
Figure 4.19	Contour plots for the effect of temperature and pH on a) weight loss, b) reducing sugar production after saccharification of enzyme pretreated sawdust.	90



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

g/g	Gram per gram
IU/g	International Unit per gram
vMax	Maximum velocity (rate of enzyme activity)
°C	Degree Celsius
Hr	Hour
IU	International Unit
mM	mill Molar
mL	Millilitre
Ppm	Part per million
MPa	Mega Pascal
Rpm	Revolution per minute
MW	Mega watt
kDa	Kilo Dalton
Pi	Iso-electric point
Ha	Hectare

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

%Cc	Cellulose content percentage
%Hc	Hemicellulose content percentage
%Lc	Lignin content percentage
ABTS	2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)
AFEX	Ammonia fiber expansion
CCD	Central composite design
DNS	Dinitrosalicylic acid
DoE	Design of experiment
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid
EtOH	Ethanol
FCCCD	Face centered central composite design
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
GC-FID	Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionization Detector
HMF	Hydroxyl methyl furfural
OFAT	One factor at a time
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
RSM	Response surface methodology
RT	Room temperature
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SGB	Second Generation Bio-ethanol
SHF	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation
SSF	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation

## REFERENCES

- Adebakin, I., Adeyemi, A., Adu, J., Ajayi, F., Lawal, A., & Ogunrinola, O. (2012). Uses of sawdust as admixture in production of lowcost and lightweight hollow sandcrete blocks. *American journal of scientific and industrial research*, 3(6), 458-463.
- Ali, S. S., Abomohra, A. E.-F., & Sun, J. (2017). Effective bio-pretreatment of sawdust waste with a novel microbial consortium for enhanced biomethanation. *Bioresource Technology*, 238, 425-432.
- Alvira, P., Tomás-Pejó, E., Ballesteros, M., & Negro, M. (2010). Pretreatment technologies for an efficient bioethanol production process based on enzymatic hydrolysis: a review. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(13), 4851-4861.
- Amiour, S. D., & Hambaba, L. (2016). Effect of pH, temperature and some chemicals on polyphenoloxidase and peroxidase activities in harvested Deglet Nour and Ghars dates. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 111, 77-82.
- Archibald, F., Bourbonnais, R., Jurasek, L., Paice, M., & Reid, I. (1997). Kraft pulp bleaching and delignification by *Trametes versicolor*. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 53(2), 215-236.
- Arends, G., & Donkersloot-Shouq, S. (1985). *An overview of possible uses of sawdust*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Technical Development with Developing Countries.
- Arora, D. S., & Sharma, R. K. (2009). Enhancement in in vitro digestibility of wheat straw obtained from different geographical regions during solid state fermentation by white rot fungi. *Bioresources*, 4(3), 909-920.
- Aswathy, U., Sukumaran, R. K., Devi, G. L., Rajasree, K., Singhanian, R. R., & Pandey, A. (2010). Bio-ethanol from water hyacinth biomass: an evaluation of enzymatic saccharification strategy. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(3), 925-930.
- Aziz, S., & Sarkanen, K. (1989). Organosolv pulping (a review). *Tappi journal*, 72(3), 169-175.
- Bailey, B. K. (1996). Performance of ethanol as a transportation fuel. *Handbook on bioethanol: Production and utilization*, 37-60.
- Bak, J. S., Ko, J. K., Han, Y. H., Lee, B. C., Choi, I.-G., & Kim, K. H. (2009). Improved enzymatic hydrolysis yield of rice straw using electron beam irradiation pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(3), 1285-1290.
- Bar, M. (2001). Kinetics and physico-chemical properties of white-rot fungal laccases.
- Beldman, G., Leeuwen, M. F., Rombouts, F. M., & Voragen, F. G. (1985). The cellulase of *Trichoderma viride*. *The FEBS Journal*, 146(2), 301-308.

- Bodirlau, R., Teaca, C. A., & Spiridon, I. (2009). Preparation and characterization of composites comprising modified hardwood and wood polymers/poly (vinyl chloride). *Bioresources*, 4(4), 1285-1304.
- Bon, E. P., & Ferrara, M. A. (2007). *Bioethanol production via enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulosic biomass*. Retrieved from [www.fao.org/biotech/seminaroct2007](http://www.fao.org/biotech/seminaroct2007)
- Bourbonnais, R., Paice, M., Reid, I., Lanthier, P., & Yaguchi, M. (1995). Lignin oxidation by laccase isozymes from *Trametes versicolor* and role of the mediator 2, 2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonate) in kraft lignin depolymerization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 61(5), 1876-1880.
- Bourbonnais, R., & Paice, M. G. (1992). Demethylation and delignification of kraft pulp by *Trametes versicolor* laccase in the presence of 2, 2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonate). *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 36(6), 823-827.
- Box, G. E., & Wilson, K. B. (1992). On the experimental attainment of optimum conditions. In *Breakthroughs in statistics* (pp. 270-310). New York, NY: Springer.
- Caputi, A., Ueda, M., & Brown, T. (1968). Spectrophotometric determination of ethanol in wine. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 19(3), 160-165.
- Cara, C., Ruiz, E., Oliva, J. M., Sáez, F., & Castro, E. (2008). Conversion of olive tree biomass into fermentable sugars by dilute acid pretreatment and enzymatic saccharification. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(6), 1869-1876.
- Castoldi, R., Bracht, A., de Morais, G. R., Baesso, M. L., Correa, R. C. G., Peralta, R. A., . . . Peralta, R. M. (2014). Biological pretreatment of *Eucalyptus grandis* sawdust with white-rot fungi: study of degradation patterns and saccharification kinetics. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 258, 240-246.
- Chandel, A. K., Kapoor, R. K., Singh, A., & Kuhad, R. C. (2007). Detoxification of sugarcane bagasse hydrolysate improves ethanol production by *Candida shehatae* NCIM 3501. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(10), 1947-1950.
- Chandra, R. P., Bura, R., Mabee, W., Berlin, d. A., Pan, X., & Saddler, J. (2007). Substrate pretreatment: The key to effective enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosics? In *Biofuels* (pp. 67-93). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Chen, F., & Dixon, R. A. (2007). Lignin modification improves fermentable sugar yields for biofuel production. *Nature Biotechnology*, 25(7), 759.
- Cheng, J. (2009). *Biomass to renewable energy processes*: CRC press.
- Chin, K., & H'ng, P. (2013). A real story of bioethanol from biomass: Malaysia perspective. In *Biomass Now-Sustainable Growth and Use*: InTech.
- Chum, H., Johnson, D., Black, S., Baker, J., Grohmann, K., Sarkanen, K., . . . Schroeder, H. (1988). Organosolv pretreatment for enzymatic hydrolysis of

- poplars: I. Enzyme hydrolysis of cellulosic residues. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 31(7), 643-649.
- D'Archivio, A. A., & Maggi, M. A. (2017). Investigation by response surface methodology of the combined effect of pH and composition of water-methanol mixtures on the stability of curcuminoids. *Food Chemistry*, 219, 414-418.
- Datta, R. (1981). Energy requirements for lignocellulose pretreatment processes. *Process Biochemistry*, 16, 16.
- De Bari, I., Nanna, F., & Braccio, G. (2007). SO<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed steam fractionation of aspen chips for bioethanol production: optimization of the catalyst impregnation. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 46(23), 7711-7720.
- De Farias Silva, C. E., Gois, G. N. S. B., Da Silva, L. M. O., Almeida, R. M. R. G., & De Souza Abud, A. K. (2015). Citric waste saccharification under different chemical treatments. *Acta Scientiarum. Technology*, 37(4), 387.
- De la Torre, I., Ravelo, M., Segarra, S., Tortajada, M., Santos, V. E., & Ladero, M. (2017). Study on the effects of several operational variables on the enzymatic batch saccharification of orange solid waste. *Bioresource Technology*, 245, 906-915.
- Dien, B., Cotta, M., & Jeffries, T. (2003). Bacteria engineered for fuel ethanol production: current status. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 63(3), 258-266.
- Dominguez, J., Acebal, C., Jimenez, J., De La Mata, I., Macarron, R., & Castillon, M. (1992). Mechanisms of thermoinactivation of endoglucanase I from *Trichoderma reesei* QM 9414. *Biochemical Journal*, 287(2), 583-588.
- Dunlap, C. E., & Chiang, L.-C. (1980). Cellulose degradation--a common link. *Utilization and Recycle of Agricultural Wastes and Residues*.
- Dwianto, W., Tanaka, F., Inoue, M., & NORIMOTO, M. (1996). *Crystallinity changes of wood by heat or steam treatment* (0049-7916). Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/2433/53214>
- Eriksson, T., Börjesson, J., & Tjerneld, F. (2002). Mechanism of surfactant effect in enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulose. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 31(3), 353-364.
- Fan, L., Gharpuray, M., & Lee, Y. (1987). *Cellulose hydrolysis. biotechnology monographs. volume 3* (Vol. 3). Berlin, Heidelberg,: Springer-Verlag.
- Faulon, J.-L., Carlson, G. A., & Hatcher, P. G. (1994). A three-dimensional model for lignocellulose from gymnospermous wood. *Organic geochemistry*, 21(12), 1169-1179.
- Feijoo, G., Moreira, M. T., Alvarez, P., Lú-Chau, T. A., & Lema, J. M. (2008). Evaluation of the enzyme manganese peroxidase in an industrial sequence for

- the lignin oxidation and bleaching of eucalyptus kraft pulp. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 109(2), 1319-1327.
- Feng, L., & Chen, Z.-l. (2008). Research progress on dissolution and functional modification of cellulose in ionic liquids. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 142(1), 1-5.
- Ferreira, S., Duarte, A. P., Ribeiro, M. H., Queiroz, J. A., & Domingues, F. C. (2009). Response surface optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis of *Cistus ladanifer* and *Cytisus striatus* for bioethanol production. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 45(3), 192-200.
- Fukaya, Y., Hayashi, K., Wada, M., & Ohno, H. (2008). Cellulose dissolution with polar ionic liquids under mild conditions: required factors for anions. *Green Chemistry*, 10(1), 44-46.
- Galbe, M., & Zacchi, G. (2002). A review of the production of ethanol from softwood. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 59(6), 618-628.
- Galhaup, C., Goller, S., Peterbauer, C. K., Strauss, J., & Haltrich, D. (2002). Characterization of the major laccase isoenzyme from *Trametes pubescens* and regulation of its synthesis by metal ions. *Microbiology*, 148(7), 2159-2169.
- Gerchman, Y., Schnitzer, A., Gal, R., Mirsky, N., & Chinkov, N. (2012). A simple rapid gas-chromatography flame-ionization-detector (GC-FID) method for the determination of ethanol from fermentation processes. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11(15), 3612.
- Goldstein, I. S., Pereira, H., Pittman, J., Strouse, B., & Scaringelli, F. (1983). *Hydrolysis of cellulose with superconcentrated hydrochloric acid*. Paper presented at the Biotechnol. Bioeng. Symp, United States.
- Granato, D. (2014). *Mathematical and statistical methods in food science and technology*. New Jersey, United States: John Wiley & Sons.
- Granato, D., & de Araújo Calado, V. M. (2014). The use and importance of design of experiments (DOE) in process modelling in food science and technology. *Mathematical and statistical methods in food science and technology*, 1-18.
- Griffin, W. M., Michalek, J., Matthews, H. S., & Hassan, M. N. A. (2014). Availability of biomass residues for co-firing in Peninsular Malaysia: Implications for cost and GHG emissions in the electricity sector. *Energies*, 7(2), 804-823.
- Gupta, A., & Verma, J. P. (2015). Sustainable bio-ethanol production from agro-residues: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41, 550-567.
- Haaland, P. D. (1989). *Experimental design in biotechnology* (Vol. 105). New York, United States: CRC press.

- Hammel, K. E., Kapich, A. N., Jensen, K. A., & Ryan, Z. C. (2002). Reactive oxygen species as agents of wood decay by fungi. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 30(4), 445-453.
- Harmsen, P., Huijgen, W., Bermudez, L., & Bakker, R. (2010). *Literature review of physical and chemical pretreatment processes for lignocellulosic biomass* (9085857570). Retrieved from <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=NL2012017468>
- Harris, G., & Marshall, M. (2017). *Ash analysis*. New York: Springer.
- Heap, L., Green, A., Brown, D., van Dongen, B., & Turner, N. (2014). Role of laccase as an enzymatic pretreatment method to improve lignocellulosic saccharification. *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 4(8), 2251-2259.
- Heinze, T., Schwikal, K., & Barthel, S. (2005). Ionic liquids as reaction medium in cellulose functionalization. *Macromolecular Bioscience*, 5(6), 520-525.
- Heinzkill, M., Bech, L., Halkier, T., Schneider, P., & Anke, T. (1998). Characterization of laccases and peroxidases from wood-rotting fungi (family Coprinaceae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64(5), 1601-1606.
- Hendriks, A., & Zeeman, G. (2009). Pretreatments to enhance the digestibility of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(1), 10-18.
- Herpoël, I., Jeller, H., Fang, G., Petit-Conil, M., Bourbonnais, R., Robert, J.-L., . . . Sigoillot, J.-C. (2002). Efficient enzymatic delignification of wheat straw pulp by a sequential xylanase-laccase mediator treatment. *Journal of pulp and paper science*, 28(3), 67-71.
- Himmel, M. E., Baker, J. O., & Overend, R. P. (1994). *Enzymatic conversion of biomass for fuels production*. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society
- Holtzapple, M. T., Jun, J.-H., Ashok, G., Patibandla, S. L., & Dale, B. E. (1991). The ammonia freeze explosion (AFEX) process. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 28(1), 59-74.
- Huang, Y., Wei, X., Zhou, S., Liu, M., Tu, Y., Li, A., . . . Tai, H. (2015). Steam explosion distinctively enhances biomass enzymatic saccharification of cotton stalks by largely reducing cellulose polymerization degree in *G. barbadense* and *G. hirsutum*. *Bioresource Technology*, 181, 224-230.
- Imai, M., Ikari, K., & Suzuki, I. (2004). High-performance hydrolysis of cellulose using mixed cellulase species and ultrasonication pretreatment. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 17(2), 79-83.
- Intanakul, P., Krairiksh, M., & Kitchaiya, P. (2003). Enhancement of enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic wastes by microwave pretreatment under atmospheric pressure. *Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology*, 23(2), 217-225.

- Ishmael, U. C., Shah, R. S., Palliah, J. V., Asras, M. F. F., Ahmad, S. S., & Ayodele, V. B. (2016). Statistical modeling and optimization of enzymatic pretreatment of empty fruit bunches with laccase enzyme. *Bioresources*, *11*(2), 5013-5032.
- Jagatee, S., Pradhan, C., Dash, P. K., Sahoo, S., & Mohanty, R. C. (2015). Optimization for saccharification of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batata*) flour for enhanced ethanol production. *International Journal of Science, Technology and Management*, *4*(01), 67-76.
- Jeya, M., Moon, H.-J., Kim, S.-H., & Lee, J.-K. (2010). Conversion of woody biomass into fermentable sugars by cellulase from *Agaricus arvensis*. *Bioresource Technology*, *101*(22), 8742-8749.
- Kadam, K. L., & McMillan, J. D. (2003). Availability of corn stover as a sustainable feedstock for bioethanol production. *Bioresource Technology*, *88*(1), 17-25.
- Kalhorinia, S., Naseeruddin, S., Yadav, K., Goli, J., & Rao, L. (2013). Optimization of acid and enzymatic saccharification of lignocellulosic substrate Water Hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). *Indian Streams Research Journal*, *3*(9), 1-10.
- Kim, S., & Holtzapfle, M. T. (2005). Lime pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis of corn stover. *Bioresource Technology*, *96*(18), 1994-2006.
- Kim, T. H., Choi, C. H., & Oh, K. K. (2013). Bioconversion of sawdust into ethanol using dilute sulfuric acid-assisted continuous twin screw-driven reactor pretreatment and fed-batch simultaneous saccharification and fermentation. *Bioresource Technology*, *130*, 306-313.
- Kirk, T. K., & Cullen, D. (1998). Enzymology and molecular genetics of wood degradation by white-rot fungi. In *Environmentally friendly technologies for the pulp and paper industry* (pp. 273-307). New York: Wiley.
- Kirk, T. K., Tien, M., Johnsrud, S. C., & Eriksson, K.-E. (1986). Lignin degrading activity of phanerochaete chrysosporium burds.: comparison of cellulase-negative and other strains. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *8*(2), 75-80.
- Ko, J. K., Um, Y., Woo, H. M., Kim, K. H., & Lee, S.-M. (2016). Ethanol production from lignocellulosic hydrolysates using engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* harboring xylose isomerase-based pathway. *Bioresource Technology*, *209*, 290-296.
- Krishna, S. H., Reddy, T. J., & Chowdary, G. (2001). Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of lignocellulosic wastes to ethanol using a thermotolerant yeast. *Bioresource Technology*, *77*(2), 193-196.
- Kristensen, J. B., Börjesson, J., Bruun, M. H., Tjerneld, F., & Jørgensen, H. (2007). Use of surface active additives in enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat straw lignocellulose. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *40*(4), 888-895.



- Kumar, D., Yadav, K. K., & Singh, M. (2011). Hydrolysis of wood saw dust by combined chemical pretreatment and enzymatic methods for lignocellulosic saccharification. *GERF Bulletin of Biosciences*, 2(2), 29-32.
- Kumar, P., Barrett, D. M., Delwiche, M. J., & Stroeve, P. (2009). Methods for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for efficient hydrolysis and biofuel production. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 48(8), 3713-3729.
- Larsson, S., Palmqvist, E., Hahn-Hägerdal, B., Tengborg, C., Stenberg, K., Zacchi, G., & Nilvebrant, N.-O. (1999). The generation of fermentation inhibitors during dilute acid hydrolysis of softwood. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 24(3), 151-159.
- Lebo, S., Gargulak, J. D., & McNally, T. J. (2001). Lignin. In *KIRK-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology* (Vol. 10, pp. 0471238961). New Jersey, United States: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi.
- Lee, J.-W., Gwak, K.-S., Park, J.-Y., Park, M.-J., Choi, D.-H., Kwon, M., & Choi, I.-G. (2007). Biological pretreatment of softwood *Pinus densiflora* by three white rot fungi. *The Journal of Microbiology*, 45(6), 485-491.
- Lin, Y., & Tanaka, S. (2006). Ethanol fermentation from biomass resources: current state and prospects. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 69(6), 627-642.
- Liu, H., Zhu, J., & Fu, S. (2010). Effects of lignin– metal complexation on enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(12), 7233-7238.
- Madhavi, V., & Lele, S. (2009). Laccase: properties and applications. *Bioresources*, 4(4), 1694-1717.
- Maki, M., Leung, K. T., & Qin, W. (2009). The prospects of cellulase-producing bacteria for the bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5(5), 500.
- Mamman, A. S., Lee, J. M., Kim, Y. C., Hwang, I. T., Park, N. J., Hwang, Y. K., . . . Hwang, J. S. (2008). Furfural: hemicellulose/xyloxyderived biochemical. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 2(5), 438-454.
- Martinek, R. (1969). Practical clinical enzymology. *The American Journal of Medical Technology*, 31(162), 2005-2007.
- Martinez, A. T., Ruiz-Dueñas, F. J., Martínez, M. J., del Río, J. C., & Gutierrez, A. (2009). Enzymatic delignification of plant cell wall: from nature to mill. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 20(3), 348-357.
- Masran, R., Zanirun, Z., Bahrin, E. K., Ibrahim, M. F., Yee, P. L., & Abd-Aziz, S. (2016). Harnessing the potential of ligninolytic enzymes for lignocellulosic biomass pretreatment. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 100(12), 5231-5246.

- Masuko, T., Minami, A., Iwasaki, N., Majima, T., Nishimura, S.-I., & Lee, Y. C. (2005). Carbohydrate analysis by a phenol–sulfuric acid method in microplate format. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 339(1), 69-72.
- Maulvault, A. L., Camacho, C., Barbosa, V., Alves, R., Anacleto, P., Fogaça, F., . . . Fernandes, J. O. (2018). Assessing the effects of seawater temperature and pH on the bioaccumulation of emerging chemical contaminants in marine bivalves. *Environmental research*, 161, 236-247.
- Mayer, A. M., & Staples, R. C. (2002). Laccase: new functions for an old enzyme. *Phytochemistry*, 60(6), 551-565.
- Mekhilef, S., Saidur, R., Safari, A., & Mustaffa, W. (2011). Biomass energy in Malaysia: current state and prospects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(7), 3360-3370.
- Mes-Hartree, M., Dale, B., & Craig, W. (1988). Comparison of steam and ammonia pretreatment for enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 29(5), 462-468.
- Mood, S. H., Golfeshan, A. H., Tabatabaei, M., Jouzani, G. S., Najafi, G. H., Gholami, M., & Ardjmand, M. (2013). Lignocellulosic biomass to bioethanol, a comprehensive review with a focus on pretreatment. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 27, 77-93.
- Mosier, N., Wyman, C., Dale, B., Elander, R., Lee, Y., Holtzapple, M., & Ladisch, M. (2005). Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 96(6), 673-686.
- Myat, L., & Ryu, G.-H. (2016). Pretreatments and factors affecting saccharification and fermentation for lignocellulosic ethanol production. *Cellulose Chemistry and Technology*, 50, 177-188.
- Myat, L., & Ryu, G. H. (2015). Thermo-mechanical extrusion and sodium hydroxide pretreatments for ethanol production from destarched corn fiber. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 34(3), 823-831.
- Naik, S. N., Goud, V. V., Rout, P. K., & Dalai, A. K. (2010). Production of first and second generation biofuels: a comprehensive review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(2), 578-597.
- Nazhad, M., Ramos, L., Paszner, L., & Saddler, J. (1995). Structural constraints affecting the initial enzymatic hydrolysis of recycled paper. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 17(1), 68-74.
- Ng Wei, Y. (2013). Effect of Sawdust Species and Particle Size on Glucose Production.
- Nwakaire, J., Ezeoha, S. L., & Ugwuishiwu, B. (2013). Production of cellulosic ethanol from wood sawdust. *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal*, 15(3), 136-140.

- Olsson, L., & Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (1996). Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates for ethanol production. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 18(5), 312-331.
- Onsoy, T., Thanonkeo, P., Thanonkeo, S., & Yamada, M. (2007). Ethanol production from Jerusalem artichoke by *Zymomonas mobilis* in batch fermentation. *KMITL Science and Technology Journal*, 7(S1), 55-60.
- Ortega, N., Busto, D., & Perez-Mateos, M. (2001). Kinetics of cellulose saccharification by *Trichoderma reesei* cellulases. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 47(1), 7-14.
- Otulugbu, K. (2012). *Production of ethanol from cellulose (sawdust)*. (Bachelor degree), Arcada University of Applied Sciences,
- Palmqvist, E., & Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (2000). Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: inhibitors and mechanisms of inhibition. *Bioresource Technology*, 74(1), 25-33.
- Pan, X., Xie, D., Kang, K.-Y., Yoon, S.-L., & Saddler, J. N. (2007). Effect of organosolv ethanol pretreatment variables on physical characteristics of hybrid poplar substrates. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 137(1), 367-377.
- Pan, X., Xie, D., Yu, R. W., & Saddler, J. N. (2008). The bioconversion of mountain pine beetle-killed lodgepole pine to fuel ethanol using the organosolv process. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 101(1), 39-48.
- Pandiyani, K., Tiwari, R., Singh, S., Nain, P. K., Rana, S., Arora, A., . . . Nain, L. (2014). Optimization of enzymatic saccharification of alkali pretreated *Parthenium* sp. using response surface methodology. *Enzyme Research*, 2014.
- Parikh, D., Thibodeaux, D., & Condon, B. (2007). X-ray crystallinity of bleached and crosslinked cottons. *Textile Research Journal*, 77(8), 612-616.
- Pérez, J., Muñoz-Dorado, J., de la Rubia, T., & Martínez, J. (2002). Biodegradation and biological treatments of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin: an overview. *International Microbiology*, 5(2), 53-63.
- Pettersen, R. C. (1984). The chemical composition of wood. *The Chemistry of Solid Wood*, 207, 57-126.
- Phuengjayaem, S., Poonsrisawat, A., Petsom, A., & Teeradakorn, S. (2014). Optimization of saccharification conditions of acid-pretreated sweet sorghum straw using response surface methodology. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 6(9), 120.
- Pinto, P. A., Dias, A. A., Fraga, I., Marques, G., Rodrigues, M. A., Colaço, J., . . . Bezerra, R. M. (2012). Influence of ligninolytic enzymes on straw saccharification during fungal pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology*, 111, 261-267.

- Potumarthi, R., Baadhe, R. R., Nayak, P., & Jetty, A. (2013). Simultaneous pretreatment and saccharification of rice husk by *Phanerochete chrysosporium* for improved production of reducing sugars. *Bioresource Technology*, *128*, 113-117.
- Rafiqul, I., & Sakinah, A. M. (2012). Kinetic studies on acid hydrolysis of Meranti wood sawdust for xylose production. *Chemical Engineering Science*, *71*, 431-437.
- Rashid, S. (2011). *Cellulase enzyme production from palm oil mill effluent, characterization, and application*. Ph. D. thesis submitted to International Islamic University, Malaysia,
- Rathna, G. S., Saranya, R., & Kalaiselvam, M. (2014). Bioethanol from sawdust using cellulase hydrolysis of *Aspergillus ochraceus* and fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, *3*(12), 733-742.
- Rico, A., Rencoret, J., del Río, J. C., Martínez, A. T., & Gutiérrez, A. (2014). Pretreatment with laccase and a phenolic mediator degrades lignin and enhances saccharification of Eucalyptus feedstock. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, *7*(1), 6.
- Rodmui, A., Kongkiattakajorn, J., & Dandusitapun, Y. (2008). Optimization of agitation conditions for maximum ethanol production by coculture. *Kasetsart Journal - Natural Science*, *42*, 285-293.
- Roth, S., & Spiess, A. C. (2015). Laccases for biorefinery applications: a critical review on challenges and perspectives. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, *38*(12), 2285-2313.
- Saha, B. C., & Cotta, M. A. (2008). Lime pretreatment, enzymatic saccharification and fermentation of rice hulls to ethanol. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, *32*(10), 971-977.
- Sakimoto, K., Kanna, M., & Matsumura, Y. (2017). Kinetic model of cellulose degradation using simultaneous saccharification and fermentation. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, *99*, 116-121.
- Sakkas, V. A., Islam, M. A., Stalikas, C., & Albanis, T. A. (2010). Photocatalytic degradation using design of experiments: a review and example of the Congo red degradation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *175*(1-3), 33-44.
- Samsudin, M. F. R., Sufian, S., Bashiri, R., Mohamed, N. M., & Ramli, R. M. (2017). Synergistic effects of pH and calcination temperature on enhancing photodegradation performance of m-BiVO<sub>4</sub>. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, *81*, 305-315.
- Sanchez, O. J., & Cardona, C. A. (2008). Trends in biotechnological production of fuel ethanol from different feedstocks. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(13), 5270-5295.
- Santhi, V. S., Bhagat, A. K., Saranya, S., Govindarajan, G., & Jebakumar, S. R. D. (2014). Seaweed (*Eucheuma cottonii*) associated microorganisms, a versatile

- enzyme source for the lignocellulosic biomass processing. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 96, 144-151.
- Shah, S. R., Ishmael, U. C., Palliah, J. V., Asras, M. F. F., & Ahmad, S. S. B. N. W. (2016). Optimization of the Enzymatic Saccharification Process of Empty Fruit Bunch Pretreated with Laccase Enzyme. *Bioresources*, 11(2), 5138-5154.
- Sharma, R., & Arora, D. (2010). Changes in biochemical constituents of paddy straw during degradation by white rot fungi and its impact on in vitro digestibility. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 109(2), 679-686.
- Sharma, S. K., Kalra, K. L., & Grewal, H. S. (2002). Enzymatic saccharification of pretreated sunflower stalks. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 23(3), 237-243.
- Sidiras, D., & Koukios, E. (2004). Simulation of acid-catalysed organosolv fractionation of wheat straw. *Bioresource Technology*, 94(1), 91-98.
- Singh, P., Suman, A., Tiwari, P., Arya, N., Gaur, A., & Shrivastava, A. (2008). Biological pretreatment of sugarcane trash for its conversion to fermentable sugars. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 24(5), 667-673.
- Stenberg, K., Tengborg, C., Galbe, M., & Zacchi, G. (1998). Optimisation of steam pretreatment of SO<sub>2</sub>-impregnated mixed softwoods for ethanol production. *Journal of chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 71(4), 299-308.
- Stenius, P. (2000). *Forest products chemistry*. Atlanta Tappi press.
- Sukumaran, R. K., Singhania, R. R., Mathew, G. M., & Pandey, A. (2009). Cellulase production using biomass feed stock and its application in lignocellulose saccharification for bio-ethanol production. *Renewable Energy*, 34(2), 421-424.
- Sun, Y., & Cheng, J. (2002). Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol production: a review. *Bioresource Technology*, 83(1), 1-11.
- Taherzadeh, M. J., & Karimi, K. (2007). Acid-based hydrolysis processes for ethanol from lignocellulosic materials: a review. *Bioresources*, 2(3), 472-499.
- Taherzadeh, M. J., & Karimi, K. (2008). Pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes to improve ethanol and biogas production: a review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 9(9), 1621-1651.
- Teymouri, F., Laureano-Perez, L., Alizadeh, H., & Dale, B. E. (2005). Optimization of the ammonia fiber explosion (AFEX) treatment parameters for enzymatic hydrolysis of corn stover. *Bioresource Technology*, 96(18), 2014-2018.
- Thurston, C. F. (1994). The structure and function of fungal laccases. *Microbiology*, 140(1), 19-26.
- Tomaszewski, M., Cema, G., & Ziemińska-Buczyńska, A. (2017). Influence of temperature and pH on the anammox process: A review and meta-analysis. *Chemosphere*, 182, 203-214.

- Trevorah, R. M., Huynh, T., Vancov, T., & Othman, M. Z. (2018). Bioethanol potential of *Eucalyptus obliqua* sawdust using gamma-valerolactone fractionation. *Bioresource Technology*, *250*, 673-682.
- Tye, Y. Y., Lee, K. T., Abdullah, W. N. W., & Leh, C. P. (2011). Second-generation bioethanol as a sustainable energy source in Malaysia transportation sector: Status, potential and future prospects. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *15*(9), 4521-4536.
- Valls, C., & Roncero, M. B. (2009). Using both xylanase and laccase enzymes for pulp bleaching. *Bioresource Technology*, *100*(6), 2032-2039.
- Wang, F., Guohua, H., Xiao, J., & Liu, Y. (2011). Improvement in the productivity of xylooligosaccharides from rice straw by feed xylanase with ultrafiltration. *Archives of Biological Sciences*, *63*(1), 161-166.
- Wang, Q., Niu, L., Jiao, J., Guo, N., Zang, Y., Gai, Q., & Fu, Y. (2017). Degradation of lignin in birch sawdust treated by a novel *Myrothecium verrucaria* coupled with ultrasound assistance. *Bioresource Technology*, *244*(Pt 1), 969-974.
- Wei, N., Quarterman, J., & Jin, Y.-S. (2013). Marine macroalgae: an untapped resource for producing fuels and chemicals. *Trends in Biotechnology*, *31*(2), 70-77.
- Wong, D. W. (2009). Structure and action mechanism of ligninolytic enzymes. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, *157*(2), 174-209.
- Wood, I. P., Elliston, A., Ryden, P., Bancroft, I., Roberts, I. N., & Waldron, K. W. (2012). Rapid quantification of reducing sugars in biomass hydrolysates: improving the speed and precision of the dinitrosalicylic acid assay. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, *44*, 117-121.
- Yang, C., Shen, Z., Yu, G., & Wang, J. (2008). Effect and aftereffect of  $\gamma$  radiation pretreatment on enzymatic hydrolysis of wheat straw. *Bioresource Technology*, *99*(14), 6240-6245.
- Yang, Z., Song, W., Cao, Y., Wang, C., Hu, X., Yang, Y., & Zhang, S. (2017). The Effect of Laccase Pretreatment Conditions on the Mechanical Properties of Binderless Fiberboards with Wheat Straw. *Bioresources*, *12*(2), 3707-3719.
- Yee, N. (2013). *Effect of sawdust species and particle size on glucose production*. Universiti Malaysia Pahang,
- Zbinden, M. D. A., Sturm, B. S., Nord, R. D., Carey, W. J., Moore, D., Shinogle, H., & Stagg-Williams, S. M. (2013). Pulsed electric field (PEF) as an intensification pretreatment for greener solvent lipid extraction from microalgae. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *110*(6), 1605-1615.
- Zheng, Y., Pan, Z., & Zhang, R. (2009). Overview of biomass pretreatment for cellulosic ethanol production. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, *2*(3), 51-68.

Zhong, J., Zhang, X., Ren, Y., Yang, J., Tan, H., & Zhou, J. (2014). Optimization of *Bacillus subtilis* cell growth effecting jjean-peptide production in fed batch fermentation using central composite design. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*2, 17(3), 132-136.