

THE FLUCTUATION OF LONG-TERM
STREAMFLOW PATTERN WITH
CONSIDERED THE CLIMATE CHANGE
IMPACTS

MISRIYAH BINTI HELMAN

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor's Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : Dr. Nurul Nadrah Aqilah Binti Tukimat

Position : Senior Lecturer

Date : 12th June 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : Misriyah Binti Helman

ID Number : AA14254

Date : 12th June 2018

THE FLUCTUATION OF LONG-TERM STREAMFLOW PATTERN WITH
CONSIDERED THE CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

MISRIYAH BINTI HELMAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge and thanks the following important people who have supported me, not only during the course of this final year project, but throughout my Bachelor Degree.

Firstly, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nurul Nadrah Aqilah Tukimat, for her unwavering support, guidance and insight throughout this research project.

I would like to dedicate this work to my beloved family, believed in me, supported me and encouraged me through my ups and downs.

And finally, I would also like to thank to all my close friends, batchmates and coursemates. You have all helped me in many ways and the support to focus on this hugely rewarding and enriching process.

May God bless all of you.

ABSTRAK

Peningkatan suhu permukaan global sebagai tindak balas terhadap perubahan komposisi atmosfera akan memberi kesan kepada corak hidrologi tempatan dan sumber air. Keadaan ini kemudiannya akan membawa kepada keperluan penilaian terhadap kesan perubahan iklim. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan corak iklim semasa dan meramalkan aliran sungai pada masa hadapan dengan menggunakan *Statistical Downscaling Model* (SDSM) dan *Identification Of Unit Hydrograph & Component Flow From Rainfall, Evaporation & Streamflow Data* (IHACRES). Dalam kajian ini, siasatan dilakukan oleh potensi IHACRES untuk meramalkan perubahan aliran sungai dalam jangka panjang yang dipengaruhi oleh pembolehubah atmosfera berskala besar yang memberi tumpuan kepada Sg. Yap, Pahang. Kajian ini dilakukan dengan penentuan dan pengesahan dari 26 data NCEP-reanalysis dan *General Circulation Models* (GCMs), dan seterusnya ramalan hujan dan suhu masa depan dari tiga senario pelepasan gas rumah hijau (RCP2.6, RCP4.5 dan RCP8.5). Berdasarkan hasil penentuan dan pengesahan, SDSM menghasilkan prestasi yang baik dalam simulasi suhu dan prestasi rendah dalam simulasi hujan. Unjuran purata suhu bulanan untuk tahun-tahun akan datang (2020-2099) untuk setiap senario, diperkirakan terdapat penurunan suhu purata dari September hingga Disember mencapai -6.13% (RCP2.6), -5.94% (RCP4.5) dan -6.25% (RCP8.5) manakala peningkatan trend dari Januari hingga Ogos mencapai +7.07% (RCP2.6), +6.80% (RCP4.5) dan +7.00% (RCP8.5). Sementara itu, untuk purata unjuran hujan bulanan pada 2020-2099, peningkatan hujan bulanan dari September hingga Disember mencapai +67.39% (RCP2.6), +71.14% (RCP4.5) dan +66.60% (RCP8.5) manakala menurunkan trend dari Januari hingga Ogos mencapai -69.69% (RCP2.6), -46.11% (RCP4.5) dan -39.00% (RCP8.5). Di samping itu, model IHACRES dapat menunjukkan simulasi aliran sungai yang baik dalam kajian ini. Unjuran corak aliran sungai di masa depan (2020-2099), bersamaan dengan unjuran iklim masa depan yang menurun dengan membandingkan trend masa depan dengan rekod sejarah, aliran sungai untuk purata bulanan menurun dengan jelas -52.00%, -54.68% dan -53.05% untuk senario RCP2.6, RCP4.5 dan RCP8.5. Keadaan fluktuasi aliran sungai pada masa depan dapat dilihat dengan jelas dari corak aliran sungai bulanan, tahunan dan dekad dalam senario yang berbeza.

ABSTRACT

The escalation of global surface temperature in response to the alter of composition of the atmosphere will notably effect upon local hydrological patterns and water resources. This circumstance will later lead to the necessity for an assessment of climate change impacts. The main purpose of this study is to determine the current climate pattern and predict the future streamflow by using the applicability of statistical downscaling model (SDSM) and identification of unit hydrographs and component flows from rainfall, evaporation and streamflow data (IHACRES) models, respectively. In this study, the investigation was done by the potentiality of IHACRES to predict the fluctuation of long-term streamflow influenced by large-scale atmospheric variables which focused on Sg. Yap, Pahang. The study was done by the calibration and validation of from 26 NCEP-reanalysis data and the general circulation models (GCMs) outputs, and the subsequent the prediction of future rainfall and temperature in term of three different greenhouse gas emission scenarios (RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5). Based on the calibration and validation results, SDSM produced good performance in temperature simulation and low performance in rainfall simulation. The projection of mean monthly temperature for future years (2020-2099) for each scenario, it is predicted there is decreasing mean temperature from September to December achieving -6.13% (RCP2.6), -5.94% (RCP4.5) and -6.25% (RCP8.5) while the increasing trends from January to August reaching +7.07% (RCP2.6), +6.80% (RCP4.5) and +7.00% (RCP8.5). Meanwhile, for average monthly rainfall projection in 2020-2099, the increasing monthly rainfall from September to December achieving +67.39% (RCP2.6), +71.14% (RCP4.5) and -+66.60% (RCP8.5) while the decreasing trends from January to August reaching -69.69% (RCP2.6), -46.11% (RCP4.5) and -39.00% (RCP8.5). On top of that, IHACRES model able to show reliable simulation of streamflow in this study. The projection of future streamflow pattern (2020-2099), corresponding to the downscaled future climate projection by comparing the future trends with the historical records, the average mean monthly streamflow is decreasing obviously with -52.00%, -54.68% and -53.05% for the scenario RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively. The fluctuation of future flows is can be clearly seen from its pattern of monthly, annual and decade inflow in the different scenarios.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRAK	v
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem of Statements	2
1.3 Objective of Study	5
1.4 Scope of Study	5
1.5 Significant of Study	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 General Circulation Models (GCMs)	10
2.3 Climate Change Forecasting Using Downscaling Method	15
2.3.1 Dynamical Downscaling	15
2.3.2 Statistical Downscaling	16
2.4 Statistical Downscaling Modelling (SDSM)	19

2.5	Rainfall-Runoff Modelling	22
2.5.1	Metric Model	23
2.5.2	Conceptual Model	24
2.5.3	Hybrid Model	25
2.6	Identification of Unit Hydrograph & Component Flow From Rainfall, Evaporation & Streamflow Data (IHACRES)	26
2.6.1	Limitation of IHACRES	30
2.7	Summary of Literature Review	31
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM)	35
3.2.1	Climate Scenario Generation Process	37
3.2.2	Predictand Quality Control	38
3.2.3	Screening of Downscaling Predictors	38
3.2.4	Model Calibration & Validation	40
3.3	IHACRES Model	41
3.3.1	Model Calibration & Validation	44
3.4	Description of Study Area	45
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		48
4.1	Introduction	48
4.2	Future Temperature & Rainfall Pattern With Considered The Climate Changes Impact	49
4.2.1	Performances of NCEP Predictors Screening	49
4.2.2	Performances of Calibration & Validation	50

4.2.3	Historical Trend of Temperature & Rainfall	52
4.2.4	Projection Trend of Temperature & Rainfall	54
4.2.4.1	Temperature Projection Trend	55
4.2.4.2	Rainfall Projection Trend	61
4.3	Long-Term Changes of Streamflow	66
4.3.1	Performances of IHACRES Calibration & Validation	66
4.3.2	Historical Trend of Streamflow	67
4.3.3	Projection Trend of Streamflow	68
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATIONS		76
5.1	Introduction	76
5.1.1	The Long-Term Climate Trend	76
5.1.2	Pattern Changes of Streamflow Volumes in 2020-2099	78
5.2	Recommendations	79
REFERENCES		80

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Evolution of the Hadley Centre GCM	11
Table 2.2	Comparison of Statistical And Dynamical Downscaling	17
Table 2.3	Comparison of SDSM with Other Climate Change Models	20
Table 2.4	Comparison of IHACRES with Other Rainfall-Runoff Models	28
Table 3.1	Summary of The Methodology by SDSM Model	35
Table 4.1	Performances of Calibration & Validation of Temperature	49
Table 4.3	Performances of Calibration & Validation of Rainfall	50
Table 4.4	Calibrated Model Parameters Value For IHACRES Model	66
Table 4.5	Performances of Simulation Results Of Streamflow Model	67

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Global Carbon Dioxide Emission by Region, 1990-2012	9
Figure 2.2	A Conceptual Structure of Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere GCM	13
Figure 2.3	The Spatial Downscaling Concept	14
Figure 2.4	The Generic Structure Of IHACRES Using Non-Linear Module & Linear Module	27
Figure 3.1	Framework for The Methodology of The Study	34
Figure 3.2	The SDSM 4.2 Scenario Generation Process	37
Figure 3.3	Generic Structure Of IHACRES	43
Figure 3.4	Location of Study Area	47
Figure 4.1	Calibration & Validation Results of Temperature Simulation	51
Figure 4.2	Calibration & Validation Results of Rainfall Simulation	52
Figure 4.4	Historical Trend of Monthly Mean Temperature At Kuantan Station	64
Figure 4.5	Historical Trend of Annual Mean Temperature At Kuantan Station	53
Figure 4.6	Historical Trend of Monthly Rainfall At Sg. Yap Station	54
Figure 4.7	Historical Trend of Annual Rainfall in Sg. Yap Station	54
Figure 4.7	Comparison of Historical & Projection of Average Monthly Temperature Trend for Year 2020-2049 in Different Scenarios	66
Figure 4.8	Comparison of Historical & Projection of Average Monthly Temperature Trend for Year 2050-2079 in Different Scenarios	67
Figure 4.9	Comparison of Historical & Projection of Average Monthly Temperature Trend for Year 2080-2099 in Different Scenarios	67
Figure 4.10	Prediction of Average Annual Temperature Trend for Year 2020-2099 for Kuantan in RCP2.6 Scenario	69

Figure 4.11	Prediction of Average Annual Temperature Trend for Year 2020-2099 for Kuantan in RCP4.5 Scenario	69
Figure 4.12	Prediction of Average Annual Temperature Trend for Year 2020-2099 for Kuantan in RCP8.5 Scenario	70
Figure 4.13	Projection of Average Decade Mean Temperature at Kuantan in RCP2.6 Scenario	70
Figure 4.14	Projection of Average Decade Mean Temperature at Kuantan in RCP4.5 Scenario	71
Figure 4.15	Projection of Average Decade Mean Temperature at Kuantan in RCP8.5 Scenario	71
Figure 4.16	Comparison of Historical & Projection of Average Monthly Rainfall Trend for Year 2020-2049 in Different Scenarios	73
Figure 4.17	Comparison of Historical & Projection of Average Monthly Rainfall Trend for Year 2040-2049 in Different Scenarios	73
Figure 4.18	Comparison of Historical & Projection of Average Monthly Rainfall Trend For Year 2080-2099 In Different Scenarios	74
Figure 4.19	Prediction of Average Annual Rainfall Trend for Year 2020-2099 for Sg. Yap in RCP2.6 Scenario	74
Figure 4.20	Prediction of Average Annual Rainfall Trend for Year 2020-2099 for Sg. Yap in RCP4.5 Scenario	75
Figure 4.21	Prediction of Average Annual Rainfall Trend for Year 2020-2099 For Sg. Yap In RCP8.5 Scenario	75
Figure 4.22	Projection of Average Decade Average Rainfall at Sg. Yap in RCP2.6 Scenario	76
Figure 4.23	Projection of Average Decade Average Rainfall at Sg. Yap in RCP4.5 Scenario	76
Figure 4.24	Projection of Average Decade Average Rainfall at Sg. Yap in RCP4.5 Scenario	76

Figure 4.26	Calibration & Validation Results of Streamflow Simulation	67
Figure 4.27	Historical Average Monthly Streamflow in Sg. Yap	68
Figure 4.28	Historical Average Annual Streamflow in Sg. Yap	68
Figure 4.29	Comparison of Historical & Projection Average Monthly Streamflow in Different Time Intervals & Scenarios	80
Figure 4.30	Predicted Average Monthly Inflow in 2020-2099 For RCP2.6	71
Figure 4.31	Predicted Average Monthly Inflow in 2020-2099 For RCP4.5	71
Figure 4.32	Predicted Average Monthly Inflow in 2020-2099 For RCP8.5	71
Figure 4.33	Projection of Annual Average Inflow During Year 2020-2099 in RCP2.6	83
Figure 4.34	Projection of Annual Average Inflow During Year 2020-2099 in RCP4.5	83
Figure 4.35	Projection of Annual Average Inflow During Year 2020-2099 in RCP8.5	83
Figure 4.36	Projection of Average Decade Average Inflow at Sg. Yap in RCP2.6 Scenario	85
Figure 4.37	Projection of Average Decade Average Inflow at Sg. Yap in RCP4.5 Scenario	84
Figure 4.38	Projection of Average Decade Average Inflow at Sg. Yap in RCP8.5 Scenario	85

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Presently, demands on water resources are vastly increasing throughout the world. Thus, decision making in the prediction of future of hydrological pattern within a context of climate change impact requires relevant models in hydrological studies. Rainfall-runoff models have been extensively applied as the standard tools designed for hydrological study such as hydrological forecasting, climate change impact studies, water resources management, flood assessment, streamflow prediction and land use impact estimation. It involves with the complex task in interacting various complex transformation process from rainfall to runoff. Thus, the rainfall-runoff models have been introduced. They are can be classified into 3 difference models: (1) metric model, (2) conceptual model, and (3) hybrid model which combination of metric and conceptual model or so called as conceptual-metric model. Metric model is the approach with the simplest model among the three types of model. Conceptual modelling basically describes all the components of hydrological processes or simplified as conceptualization and this leads to a system interconnected store. Meanwhile, hybrid model will be used the simplicity of metric model to overcomes the parameter uncertainty and conceptual will be functioned for continuous simulation of the rainfall-runoff model.

Nowadays, global rainfall patterns are being influenced by climate change. It is mainly resulted from the concentration of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the atmospheric system (Gulacha and Mulungu, 2017). Climate change assessment also has leads to the changes of rainfall and rainfall-related extremes such as maximum daily rainfall, number

of rainy days, average rainfall intensity, heavy rainfall days, extreme rainfall days, and rainfall concentration index. (Ab. Ghani et al., 2012) states that the climate change has begins to transform the rainfall pattern in Malaysia and may create extreme flood events in more frequently at several states. It is significant to consider the climate change impacts on the hydrologic process for a robust and resilient water management approach (Amin et al., 2017).

The application of Identification of Unit Hydrograph and Component Flow from Rainfall, Evaporation and Streamflow Data (IHACRES) (Jakeman et al., 1990) model has been used in this study to obtain the rainfall-runoff relationship which applied widely for the land use and climate change studies, as well as regionalization ungauged catchments (Lee et al., 2005). It optimized the conceptual model to capture the hydrological processes which requires three sets of data, there are data are observed rainfall, temperature and observed streamflow. The model consists of a nonlinear module to convert rainfall effective and linear module to route this effective rainfall to streamflow and it does not require the assumptions of constraints. Moreover, the relationship between the climate change and streamflow can be analysed by this hydrological model by using the output from downscaling method to make it as the input. Statistical Downscaling Method (SDSM) is used to downscale the coarse Global Circulation Models (GCMs) to local scales by involving predictor predictand relationship (Gulacha and Mulungu, 2017). SDSM has an ability to converting coarse spatial resolution into fine resolution and involving of generating station data of a specific area.

1.2 Problem of Statements

Based on the 5th Assessment Report (AR5) of the Inter-Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the global average temperature with considered land and ocean was increased to 0.85°C over the period of 1800 to 2012 and 0.78°C over the period of 2003 to 2012. This trend is under all the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs), the global mean surface temperature which projected by RCPs for year 2081 to 2100 shows the increment value of 0.3-1.7°C (RCP 2.6), 1.1-2.6°C (RCP 4.5), 1.4-3.1°C (RCP 6.0), and 2.6-4.8°C (RCP 8.5). Considering the warming trend in Malaysia, average temperature increase of 0.5°C to 1.5°C recorded in Peninsular Malaysia and East Malaysia

(Malaysian Meteorological Department, 2009). Due to the global temperature, the rainfall peaks also getting more extreme in many region of the world and these changes in rainfall are leading to changes in river streamflow since streamflow is increasing during wet season and decreasing during dry season. (Amin et al., 2017) found that the overall mean monthly streamflow increases significantly during the future period in Kelantan and Pahang watersheds. On top of that, the streamflow assessment is important for water resource management as its information is useful water resource appraisal and allocation; engineering design such as reservoirs, bridges, roads, culvert and treatment plants; operation for reservoirs, power production and navigation; identification of past, ongoing and future land use, water use and climate; flood planning and warning; streamflow forecasting; support the quality of sampling; and characterizing and evaluating instream conditions for habitat assessment, instream flow requirements and recreation.

Sungai Yap is one of the small rivers forming the Sungai Pahang River Basin. In January 2017 Jerantut recorder as the worst-affected by flooding with 1,078 evacuees and one of the highest number of evacuees among other districts of Pahang (Bernama, 2017). The unexpected heavy rainfall was one of the main reasons of the flooding and the flood has been caused massive destruction of land use infrastructure, agriculture and irrigation system, loss of live and properties, which ultimately giving Government the revenue loss. Flood events are often catastrophic leads to damages of physical and social life. From the past decades, increasing flood incidences have been observed due to variations of rainfall patterns, climate change condition (Zaidi et al., 2014).

Therefore, to understand the climate change impacts on the unpredictable streamflow pattern, researches have been started and actively doing the study on the effects of climate change with the help of development of various and widely used of climate modelling (global and regional) in predicting and analysing its effect to the fluctuating streamflow in the past, now and future. The simulation of the climate change modelling is done by General Circulation Models (GCMs) which employs the numerical models of the general circulation using horizontal grid resolution and vertical grid resolution over the globe including finer spatial resolution, associated with more complex orography of the region and different greenhouse gases emission scenarios (Taylor et al, 2012). Unfortunately, GCMs are restricted in their usefulness for local impact studies by

REFERENCES

- Ab. Ghani, A., Chang, C.K., Leow, C.S., Zakaria, N.A., 2012. Sungai Pahang digital flood mapping: 2007 flood. *Int. J. River Basin Manag.* 10, 139–148.
- Abushandi, E.H., Merkel, B.J., 2011. Application of IHACRES rainfall-runoff model to the wadi Dhuliel arid catchment, Jordan. *J. Water Clim. Chang.*
- Ahooghalandari, M., Khiadani, M., Kothapalli, G., 2015. Assessment of Artificial Neural Networks and IHACRES models for simulating streamflow in Marillana catchment in the Pilbara, Western Australia. *Aust. J. Water Resour.* 19, 116–126.
- Amin, M.Z.M., Shaaban, A.J., Ercan, A., Ishida, K., Kavvas, M.L., Chen, Z.Q., Jang, S., 2017. Future climate change impact assessment of watershed scale hydrologic processes in Peninsular Malaysia by a regional climate model coupled with a physically-based hydrology modelo. *Sci. Total Environ.*
- Beven, K.J., 2012. Rainfall-runoff modelling: the primer, *Rainfall-Runoff Modelling: The Primer: Second Edition.*
- Campozano, L., Tenelanda, D., Sanchez, E., Samaniego, E., Feyen, J., 2016. Comparison of Statistical Downscaling Methods for Monthly Total Precipitation: Case Study for the Paute River Basin in Southern Ecuador. *Adv. Meteorol.* 2016.
- Chen, H., Xu, C.-Y., Guo, S., 2012. Comparison and evaluation of multiple GCMs, statistical downscaling and hydrological models in the study of climate change impacts on runoff. *J.*
- Chien, H., J-F Yeh, P., Knouft, J.H., 2013. Modeling the potential impacts of climate change on streamflow in agricultural watersheds of the Midwestern United States. *J. Hydrol.* 491, 73–88.
- Croke, B., Andrew, F., Spate, J., Cuddy, S., 2005. *IHACRES User Guide.*
- Croke, B.F.W., Jakeman, A.J., 2004. A catchment moisture deficit module for the IHACRES rainfall-runoff model. *Environ. Model. Softw.*
- Duan, K., Mei, Y., 2014. A comparison study of three statistical downscaling methods and their model-averaging ensemble for precipitation downscaling in China. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 116, 707–719.

- Gasim, M.B., Toriman, M.E., Idris, M., Lun, P.I., Kamarudin, M.K.A., Nor Azlina, A.A., Mokhtar, M., Mastura, S.A.S., 2013. River flow conditions and dynamic state analysis of Pahang river. *Am. J. Appl. Sci.*
- Gulacha, M.M., Mulungu, D.M.M., 2017. Generation of climate change scenarios for precipitation and temperature at local scales using SDSM in Wami-Ruvu River Basin Tanzania. *Phys. Chem. Earth* 100, 62–72.
- Hansen, D.P., Ye, W., Jakeman, A.J., Cooke, R., Sharma, P., 1996. Analysis of the effect of rainfall and streamflow data quality and catchment dynamics on streamflow prediction using the rainfall-runoff model IHACRES. *Environ. Softw.* 11, 193–202.
- Harun, Z.H.S., Hassan, Z., 2012. Application of Statistical Downscaling Model for Long Lead Rainfall Prediction in Kurau River Catchment of Malaysia. *Malaysian J. Civii Eng.* 24, 1–12.
- Hashmi, M.Z., Shamseldin, A.Y., Melville, B.W., 2011. Comparison of SDSM and LARS-WG for simulation and downscaling of extreme precipitation events in a watershed. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.*
- Hassan, Z. Bin, Harun, S. Bin, 2011. Statistical Downscaling for Climate Change Scenarios of Rainfall and Temperature. *United Kingdom-malaysia-irel. Eng. Sci. Conf. 2011 (UMIES 2011)*.
- Huang, J., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Xu, C., Wang, B., Yao, J., 2011. Estimation of future precipitation change in the Yangtze River basin by using statistical downscaling method. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.* 25, 781–792.
- IPCC, 2014. Summary for Policymakers, *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
- Javeed, Y., Apoorva, K.V., 2015. Flow Regionalization Under Limited Data Availability – Application of IHACRES in the Western Ghats. *Aquat. Procedia.*
- Khan, M.S., Coulibaly, P., Dibike, Y., 2006. Uncertainty analysis of statistical downscaling methods. *J. Hydrol.*

- Kokkonen, T., Koivusalo, H., Karvonen, T., 2001. A semi-distributed approach to rainfall-runoff modelling - A case study in a snow affected catchment. *Environ. Model. Softw.*
- Koukidis, E.N., Berg, A.A., 2017. Sensitivity of the Statistical DownScaling Model (SDSM) to reanalysis products Sensitivity of the Statistical DownScaling Model (SDSM) to Reanalysis Products 5900.
- Lansigan, F.P., Dationf, M.J.P., Guiam, E.G. a, 2013. Comparison of Statistical Downscaling Methods of Climate Projections in Selected Locations in The Philippines. 12th Natl. Conv. Stat. 25.
- Lee, H., McIntyre, N., Wheater, H., Young, A., 2005. Selection of conceptual models for regionalisation of the rainfall-runoff relationship. *J. Hydrol.* 312, 125–147.
- LITTLEWOOD, I.G., CROKE, B.F.W., 2008. Data time-step dependency of conceptual rainfall—streamflow model parameters: an empirical study with implications for regionalisation. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 53, 685–695.
- Liu, J., Yuan, D., Zhang, L., Zou, X., Song, X., 2016. Comparison of three statistical downscaling methods and ensemble downscaling method based on Bayesian model averaging in upper Hanjiang River Basin, China. *Adv. Meteorol.* 2016.
- Liu, Z., Xu, Z., Charles, S.P., Fu, G., Liu, L., 2011. Evaluation of two statistical downscaling models for daily precipitation over an arid basin in China. *Int. J. Climatol.* 31, 2006–2020.
- Loyeh, N.S., Jamnani, M.R., 2017. Comparison of different rainfall-runoff models performance : A case study of Liqvan catchment , Iran 315–322.
- Lun, P.I., Gasim, M.B., Toriman, M.E., Rahim, S.A., Kamaruddin, K.A., 2011. Hydrological Pattern of Pahang River Basin and Their Relation To Flood Historical Event. *J. e-Bangi* 6, 29–37.
- Mahmood, R., Babel, M.S., 2014. Future changes in extreme temperature events using the statistical downscaling model (SDSM) in the trans-boundary region of the Jhelum river basin. *Weather Clim. Extrem.* 5, 56–66.
- Malaysian Meteorological Department, 2009. Climate Change Scenarios For Malaysia (2001 - 2099). *Malaysian Meteorol. Dep.* January, S, 1–84.

- Mohammad, S., Alredaisy, A., 2011. Recommending the IHACRES model for water resources assessment and resolving water conflicts in Africa. *J. Arid Land* 3, 40–48.
- Narimani, N., Fakheri, A., Darbandi, S., Asadi, E., 2017. The rainfall – runoff simulation with Hybrid method (Case study : the catchment basin of Sofi Chay) 4, 87–100.
- Post, D.A., Vaze, J., Viney, N., Chiew, F.H.S., 2005. Regionalising the hydrologic response of ungauged catchments using the SIMHYD, IHACRES, and Sacramento rainfall-runoff models. *MODSIM 2005 Int. Congr. Model. Simul.* 406235, 2883–2889.
- Shin, M.-J., Kim, C.-S., 2016. Assessment of the suitability of rainfall-runoff models by coupling performance statistics and sensitivity analysis. *Hydrol. Res.* 1–23.
- Song, X., Kong, F., Zhan, C., Han, J., 2012. Hybrid Optimization Rainfall-Runoff Simulation Based on Xinanjiang Model and Artificial Neural Network 1033–1041.
- Tan, M.L., Ficklin, D.L., Ibrahim, A.L., Yusop, Z., 2014. Impacts and uncertainties of climate change on streamflow of the Johor River Basin, Malaysia using a CMIP5 General Circulation Model ensemble. *J. Water Clim. Chang.* 5, 676.
- Tangang, F.T., Juneng, L., Salimun, E., Sei, K.M., Le, L.J., Muhamad, H., 2012. Climate change and variability over Malaysia: Gaps in science and research information. *Sains Malaysiana* 41, 1355–1366.
- Tavakol-Davani, H., Nasser, M., Zahraie, B., 2013. Improved statistical downscaling of daily precipitation using SDSM platform and data-mining methods. *Int. J. Climatol.*
- Tegegne, G., Park, D.K., Kim, Y., 2017. Comparison of hydrological models for the assessment of water resources in a data-scarce region, the Upper Blue Nile River Basin. *J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud.* 14, 49–66.
- Vaze, J., Jordan, P., Beecham, R., Frost, A., Summerell, G., Vaze, J., Jordan, P., Beecham, R., Frost, A., Summerell, G., 2011. Guidelines for rainfall-runoff modelling Towards best practice model application, eWater Cooperative Research Center. Wilby, R.L., Dawson, C.W., 2013. Statistical DownScaling Model – Decision Centric (SDSM-DC).

- Wilby, R.L., Dawson, C.W., 2013. The statistical downscaling model: Insights from one decade of application. *Int. J. Climatol.* Wilby, R.L., Dawson, C.W., Barrow, E.M., 2002. sdsms — a decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 17, 147–159.
- Ye, W., Bates, B.C., Viney, N.R., Sivapalan, M., 1997. RESOURCES Performance of conceptual rainfall-runoff models in low-yielding ephemeral catchments catchments with ephemeral streams involve highly nonlinear appreciation of the ability to predict streamflow in these very difficult cases than in humid Austr 33, 153–166.
- Zaidi, S.M., Akbari, A., Ishak, W.M.F., 2014. A Critical review of Floods History in Kuantan River Basin: Challenges and Potential Solutions. *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Geo-Environment* 5.
- Zehtabian, G.R., Salajegheh, A., Malekian, A., Boroomand, N., Azareh, A., 2017. Evaluation and comparison of performance of SDSM and CLIMGEN models in simulation of climatic variables in Qazvin plain.
- Zhou, J., He, D., Xie, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, Y., Sheng, H., Guo, H., Zhao, L., Zou, R., 2015. Integrated SWAT model and statistical downscaling for estimating streamflow response to climate change in the Lake Dianchi watershed, China. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.*