STUDY ON THE CONSTRUCTION WASTES GENERATION AT KUALA TERENGGANU

NOOR RAHIMAH BINTI MAMAT

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering.

(Supervisor's Signature) Full Name : HASMANIE BINTI ABDUL HALIM Position : LECTURER Date : 28 JUNE 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature) Full Name : NOOR RAHIMAH BINTI MAMAT ID Number : AA14224 Date : 28 JUNE 2018

STUDY ON THE CONSTRUCTION WASTES GENERATIONS AT KUALA TERENGGANU

NOOR RAHIMAH BINTI MAMAT

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my supervisor, Madam Hasmanie Binti Abdul Halim of the Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources at Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP). The door to Madam Hasmanie office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my thesis or writing. She consistently allowed this paper to be my own work, but steered me in the right the direction whenever she thought I needed it.

Then, I would like to thank Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) for giving me this opportunity to complete the study. Through this, I have gained a lot of experience, knowledge, and have been exposed to circumstances that cannot be learned in the classroom or from a book, and it will certainly be useful in the future.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my friends for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

ABSTRAK

Nowadays, the increasing quantity of municipal solid waste has causes serious environmental problem which requires a better solution in handling the wastes that generate. Construction waste is considered as part of the municipal solid waste. Construction wastes that produce in the construction process contributes a large amount to municipal solid waste. For that reason, a proper way of handling construction wastes is significance in reducing the negative impacts towards the environment, social, and economy.

This first objective of the study is to estimate the quantity of waste generation at selected construction sites near Kuala Terengganu. Other than that, this study also to investigate the current practices or C&D waste management on sites. Lastly the objective of this research is to identify the strategies taken by the companies in minimizing waste at construction sites. Quantitative method is used as a data collections for this study. This is related with taken a sampling from a certain population. For this study, questionnaire had been given to the 32 person from 32 sites.

The responded to questionnaire about total estimated waste generated, method of disposing and the method how the managed the waste. Survey information was obtained through the respond from reviewer and all responses remained confidential. Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the data..

From the survey, there a few types of waste found during the all phase of construction. The most commons five of type of waste generated at selected 32 constructions sites are wood (26%), followed by steel 23%, brick 22%, concrete 18% and lastly plastic (11%). Besides, the respondents believed that there are a few factors which contribute to the waste generation. However, the respondents agreed that design changes during the construction phase contribute the highest factor of waste generation. Most of the sites managed to disposed the waste by sending the waste to the disposal centre which are lefal in Malaysia. The legal landfill in Kuala Terengganu is located at Kampung Sungai Ikan, Terengganu and Sungai Kerak, Marang A few action has been taken in order in minimizing waste. the respondents believed that the worker should cut the material like steel reinforcements, timber or pipes properly to reduce the waste.

Lastly, it is essential for the contractors to follow Site Waste Management Plans (SWMPs) in order to manage the construction waste more effectively.

ABSTRACT

Sisa pembinaan yang dihasilkan dianggap sebagai sebahagian daripada sisa pepejal perbandaran. Sisa-sisa pembinaan yang dihasilkan dalam proses pembinaan menyumbang kepada sejumlah besar kepada sisa pepejal perbandaran. Kini, peningkatan jumlah sisa pepejal menyebabkan masalah alam sekitar yang serius yang memerlukan penyelesaian yang lebih baik dalam menangani sisa-sisa yang menghasilkan. Atas sebab itu, cara yang betul untuk mengendalikan sisa pembinaan adalah penting dalam mengurangkan kesan negatif terhadap alam sekitar, sosial dan ekonomi.

Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menganggarkan kuantiti penjanaan sisa di tapak pembinaan terpilih berhampiran Kuala Terengganu. Selain itu, kajian ini juga untuk mengkaji strategi yang diambil oleh syarikat dalam meminimumkan sisa pembinaan. Akhir sekali objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji amalan C & D semasa dan pengurusan pembinaan sisa. Kaedah kuantitatif digunakan sebagai koleksi data untuk kajian ini. Ini berkaitan dengan mengambil sampel dari penduduk tertentu. Untuk kajian ini, soal selidik telah diberikan kepada 32 orang dari 32 tapak.

Maklumat tinjauan telah diperolehi melalui respons daripada pengulas dan semua jawapan masih sulit. Statistik deskriptif digunakan untuk menganalisis data.Daripada tinjauan, terdapat beberapa jenis sisa yang terdapat semasa fasa pembinaan berjalan. Kebanyakan lima jenis sisa yang dijana di 32 tapak pembinaan ialah kayu (26%), diikuti oleh keluli 23%, bata 22%, konkrit 18% dan terakhir plastik (11%). Selain itu, responden berpendapat bahawa terdapat beberapa faktor yang menyumbang kepada penjanaan sisa. Walau bagaimanapun, responden bersetuju bahawa perubahan reka bentuk semasa fasa pembinaan menyumbang faktor penjanaan sisa tertinggi. Kebanyakan tapak pembinaan ini berjaya melupuskan sisa itu dengan menghantar sisa ke pusat pelupusan yang lefal di Malaysia. Tapak pelupusan di Kuala Terengganu terletak di Sungai Ikan, Terengganu dan Sungai Kerak, Marang Beberapa tindakan telah diambil untuk meminimumkan sisa dan para responden percaya bahawa pekerja harus memotong bahan seperti besi bar, kayu atau paip dengan baik untuk mengurangi sisa di tapak pembinaan.

Akhir sekali, Sangat penting bagi kontraktor untuk mengikuti Rancangan Pengurusan Sisa (SWMPs) untuk menguruskan sisa pembinaan dengan lebih berkesan

TABLE OF CONTENT

DEC	CLARATION		
TIT	LE PAGE		
ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii	
ABS	TRAK	iii	
ABS	TRACT	iv	
TAB	BLE OF CONTENT	v	
LIST	Г OF TABLES	ix	
LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	X	
LIST	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii		
CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	Background of Study	1	
1.2	Problem Statement	2	
1.3	Objectives	4	
1.4	Scope of Work	4	
1.5	Significant of Work	5	
CHA	APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6	
2.1	Introduction	6	
2.2	Definition of Waste	8	
2.3	Types of Waste	8	
2.4	The Global Waste Constructions Industry	11	
	2.4.1 Construction Waste in United Kingdom	11	

	2.4.2	Construction Waste in Thailand	12
	2.4.3	Construction Waste in Singapore	13
	2.4.4	Construction Waste in Hong Kong	14
	2.4.5	Construction Waste in Malaysia	15
2.5	Metho	d of Waste In Malaysia	17
2.6	Acts in	n Malaysia	19
2.7	Waste	Mangement Hierarchy	22
2.8	The Fa	actors of Waste Generations	25
	2.8.1	Design Changes	25
	2.8.2	Material Handlings	25
	2.8.3	Procurement Stage	26
	2.8.4	Workers	26
	2.8.5	Management	27
2.9	Types	of Co struction Wastes	27
	2.9.1	Concrete	27
	2.9.2	Reinforcement	28
	2.9.3	Wood	28
	2.9.4	Bricks and blocks	28
	2.9.5	Pipes or plastics	28
2.10	Source	e of Materials Wastes	29
	2.10.1	1 Direct Waste	29
	2.101	2 Indirect Waste	30
CHAI	PTER 3	METHODOLOGY	32
3.1	Introd	uction	32
3.2	Source	s of Data	34

	3.2.1	Primary Data	34
	3.2.2	Secondary Data	34
	3.2.3	Interviews	34
	3.2.4	Questionnaire Surveys	35
	3.2.5	On-site Sampling	35
	3.2.6	Observations	35
3.3	Types	of Questions	36
3.4	Proces	sing and Analyzing Data For Result	37
	3.4.1	Microsoft Excell	37
	3.4.2	SPSS Software	37

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 39

4.1	Introd	uction	39
4.2	Data (Compilations	39
	4.2.1	Class of Contractors	39
	4.2.2	Types of Buildings	42
	4.2.3	The Overall Costs of Buildings	42
4.3	Total	of Waste Generated	43
	4.3.1	Concrete or Mortar Waste	44
	4.3.2	Wood or Plywood Waste	44
	4.3.3	Steel Bar Reinfrocement Waste	45
	4.3.4	Bricks or Blocks Waste	46
	4.3.5	Pipes or Plastics Waste	47
4.4	Factor	rs of Waste Generated	48
4.5	Metho	od of Waste Disposal	50

4.6	Strategies to Minimize Waste Generated At Construction Sites	52
CHA	PTER 5 CONCLUSION	54
5.1	Introduction	54
5.2	Conclusion	54
5.3	Recommendations	57
REFERENCES 5		58
APPENDIX A SAMPLE APPENDIX 1 61		
APPENDIX B SAMPLE APPENDIX 1		66
APPENDIX C SAMPLE APPENDIX 1		68

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Total Waste Generated At Thailand Year 2005	12
Table 2.2: Percentage Of Waste	17
Table 2.3: List Number of Operating and Non-Operating Landfills in Malaysia	18
Table 2.4: Authorities involving in construction waste management	20
Table 2.5: Categories of Direct Waste	30
Table 2.6: Categories of Indirect Waste	31
Table 3.1: Type of questionnaire n	36
Table 4.1: Scale Used	48
Table 4.2: The Frequency Of Factor Of Waste Generation At Construction Sites	48
Table 4.3: Factor Of Waste Generation Based On Its Ranked	49
Table 4.4: Scale Used	50
Table 4.5: The Frequency Of Methods Of Disposal	50
Table 4.6: The Method Of Waste Disposal Based On Its Ranked	50
Table 4.7 :Scale Used	52
Table 4.8: The Frequency Of Strategies In Minimizing Waste Constructions	52
Table 4.9: The Strategies To Minimize The Waste Construction Based On Its Ranked	52

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Type of Waste	8
Figure 2.2: Waste of Timber	9
Figure 2.3: Waste of Plywood	9
Figure 2.4: Demolition Waste	10
Figure 2.5: Waste of Bricks	10
Figure 2.6: Waste Management Trends In UK	11
Figure 2.7: Dumpsite Shock in Malaysia	16
Figure 2.8: Construction Debris Problem At MRR2 Of Jalan Genting	16
Figure 2.9: Locations of Landfills in Malaysia	19
Figure 2.10: Waste Management Hierarchy	23
Figure 2.11: Waste Mnagement Hierarchy	27
Figure 3.1: The Flowchart of Research	33
Figure 4.1: The Percentage Of Class Of Contractors Involved In The Study	40
Figure 4.2: The Number Of Contractor Based On Its Classifications	40
Figure 4.3: Type Of Buildings Based On Its Classifications	41
Figure 4.4: Percentages Of Buildings Based On Their Classifications	41
Figure 4.5: The Overall Cost of The Project	42
Figure 4.6: The Composition Of Waste Generated At Construction Site	43
Figure 4.7: The Estimated Weight Of Concrete And Mortar	44
Figure 4.8: The Estimated Weight Of Wood Or Plywood	45
Figure 4.9: The Estimated Rate Of Steel Reinforcement Bar Waste	45
Figure 4.10: The Estimated Rate Of Steel Reinforcement Bar Waste	46
Figure 4.11: The Estimated Rate Of Pipe Or Plastics Waste	47
Figure 5.1: Brick Waste	68
Figure 5.2: Steel Waste	68
Figure 5.3: Timber Waste	70
Figure 5.4: Hardened Concrete	70
Figure 5.5: The Used Wood	71
Figure 5.6: The Roro Bin	71

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASD	Architectural Services Department
CIDB	Construction Industry Director Board
C&D	Constructions & Demolition
ICI	Industrila, commercial & institutional
M&R	Maintenance & Renovations
NEA	Suspended Solids
PE	Ployethlene
PS	Tioyeunene
PWD	Polystrene
PVC	Public Work Department
WMP	PolivinylChloride
WRFP	Waste Management Plan
	Waste Reduction Framework Plan

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Managing waste can be challenging for industrial, commercial and institutional (ICI) sectors. Organizations must deal with a wide variety of materials, large volume of waste and behaviours of many customers, visitors and others from within and outside of the province. There is no action can meets the needs of all ICI sector organizations. However, a strategic solid waste management planning approach will help to define solid solutions. The management of constructions wastes is a global environmental issues faced by the some countries around the worlds.

Construction waste generation has been identified as one of the major issues in the constructions industry. In fact, this uptake of building activities results in about 30% of the total annual waste generation worldwide (Jun et al., 2011; DEFRA, 2015; EC, 2015). This thus puts immense pressure on the depleting landfill sites and affects the environment adversely. To ensure the conservation of natural resources and to reduce the cost and impacts of waste disposal, effective waste management practices must be put in place. This will ensure the flow of construction material in a closed loop to minimise waste generation, preserve natural resources and reduce demand for landfills. To achieve this, effective management strategies such as waste reduction, component reuse and material recycling are needed to divert Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW) from landfills (Scotia, 2013)

According to Fishbein (1998), construction and demolition (C&D) debris frequently comprises 10%-30% of the waste received at many landfill sites around the world. In United Kingdom, the rates of wastages that related to its construction industry may be assumed as high as 10% -15% compared to waste generated in Autralia, United States of America and Canada respectively. (McGarth et. Al., 2000). Over the decades, building construction activities have generated the largest volume of waste across the globe (Osmani, 2013). This waste could be attributed to the constant uptake of construction, demolition and renovation activities during which villages are built into towns, towns into cities and cities into mega cities (Jaillon and Poon, 2014).

Other than that, during the last two decades, extensive building and infrastructure developments are the factors led to an increase in construction waste generation in Malaysia. A study showed that 28.34% of waste comes from industrial and construction waste in the Central and Southern regions of Malaysia (Mohd Nasir et. Al, 1998). This is because when the construction is widen from one time to time, it generated more construction waste in Malaysia. Finally, it will gives more bad impacts to our environment and surroundings too.

Integrated waste resource management planning will allow the companies to perform a comprehensive strategy that can be stay effective if there is changing economic, social, material and environmental conditions. In some cases, the best method and efficient cost to manage waste is through waste minimizing as a primary focus for most integrated waste management plans. Lastly, together with that, specific missions and targets wil be the defined in a plan

1.2 Problem Statement

Nowadays, the biggest environmental problems in Malaysia is arise when the generation of solid waste rose up from day to day. The increasing number of population has led to the increasing of C&D waste and it has become a big issue to be solved. According to Zia & Devada in year 2007, the waste generated are from sources like domestic, industrial and commercial and at the same time it showed as an increasing trends throughout the world. On the other hand, construction waste is one of the factor the waste generated and it is categorized under solid waste. Besides, construction and demolition (C&D) waste consists of materials which are normally produced to construction, demolition and renovation projects. It can be identifid as source of waste for all organizations in the ICI sector. C&D waste are included soil, asphalt, brick, mortar, drywall, plaster, cellulose, fibreglass fibres, lumber, wood, asphalt and manymore (Nova Scotia Solid Waste-Resource Management Regulations., 1996). On top of that, the solid waste management plays an important role in maintaining a sustainable environment.

From the sustainability aspects, waste management is not a small issue and it is only becoming worst. Nowadays there are many current discussions focus on creating sustainability for the construction design, the industry waste practices also have a big impact on constructions long term sustainability. With the built environment sector demanding 40% of the world extracted materials and constructions waste making the largest contribution to waste streams in many countries, the fact is that it is simply unsustainable for construction to continue its linear approach to waste which are "make, use, and dispose". One of the big reason being that is the construction industry are running out of places to manage the waste.

Besides, inadequate waste management practices can be a serious drain on project profit margins. As a general rule, the more waste produced by a certain project, the less profitable it will be. The cost of buying of unused and wasted materials, labour cost associated with waste handling, cost of waste storage transportation and handling, annual increases in landfill tax are the factors that will cut the project profitability.

REFERENCES

- Abu Eusuf, M., Ibrahim, M., & Islam, R. (2012). The Construction and Demolition waste in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planner*, *X*, 99–124.
- Agyekum, K. (2012). Minimizing materials wastage at the construction stage of a project through the implementation of lean construction, (April), 204.
- Architectural Services Department (ASD), "General Specification, Architectural Services Department, HKSAR Government, Hong Kong," cited in "A sustainable framework of "green" specification for construction in Hong Kong," P.T.I. Lam, E.H.W. Chan, C.K. Chau, and C.S. Poon pp.16-33, 2011
- Bhagwat, R. (2008). A dissertation submitted to The University of Manchester for the degree of Master of Science in Environmental Sciences, Policy, and Management (MESPOM) in the Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences.
- Dajadian, S. A., & Koch, D. C. (2014). Waste Management Models and Their on Construction Sites. *International Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 3(3), 91–98. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijcem.20140303.02
- Faridah, A., H., A., Hasmanie, A., H., Hasnain, M., I., (2004), A study on construction and demolition waste from buildings in Seberang Perai, Proceeding of 3rd National Conference in Civil Engineering, Copthorne Orchid, Tanjung Bungah, Malaysia.
- Fishbein, B. (1998). Building for the future: Strategies to reduce construction and demolition waste in municipal projects. Waste prevention: promoting waste prevention and design of less wasteful products, 5, 102.
- Foo, L. C., Abdul Rahman, I., Asmi, A., Nagapan, S., & Khalid, K. I. (2013). Classification and quantification of construction waste at housing project site. *International Journal of Zero Waste Generation*, 1(1), 1–4. Retrieved from http://www.zwgm.org/index.php/zerowastejournal/article/view/4
- H. Zia and V. Devadas. Municipal Solid Waste Management in Kanpur, India: Obstacles and Prospects. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal. 2007. vol. 18(1): pp. 89-108.
- Hamzeh, F., Bergstrom, E., Built, T., Review, H. E., Alinaitwe, H., & Agyekum, K. (2010). The key Barriers to Implementing Sustainable Construction in West Bank Palestine The key Barriers to Implementing Sustainable Construction in West Bank Palestine. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*, 14(April), 1–17.

- Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Poon, C.S., Yu, A.T.W., Ng, L.H., 2001. Onsite sorting of construction and demolition waste in Hong Kong. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 32 (2), 157e172. Poon, C.S., Yu, A.T.W., Jaillon, L., 2004. Reducing building waste at construction sites in Hong Kong. Construct. Manag. Econ. 22 (5), 461e470.
- Inglis, C. (2001). Brickwork and Blockwork, 237–244. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203478981.pt5
- Jaillon, L., Poon, C. S., & Chiang, Y. H. (2009). Quantifying the Waste Reduction Potential of Using Prefabrication in Building Construction in Hong Kong. International Journal of Integrated Waste Management, Science and Technology, 29(1): 309-20.
- J. L. Hao, M. J. Hills, and V. W. Y. Tam, "The effectiveness of Hong Kong's Construction Waste Disposal Charging Scheme," Waste Management and Research, vol. 26, pp 553-558, 2008.
- Nagapan, S., Rahman, I. A., & Asmi, A. (2012). Construction Waste Management: Malaysian Perspective. The International Conference on Civil and Environmental Engineering Sustainability IConCEES 2012, 2(April), 1–11.
- Nagapan, S., Rahman, I. A., Asmi, A., & Adnan, N. F. (2013). Study of site's construction waste in Batu Pahat, Johor. *Proceedia Engineering*, 53, 99–103. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.02.015
- Osman, W., Udin, Z., & Salleh, D. (2012). Adoption Level of Sustainable Construction Practices: A Study on Malaysia's Construction Stakeholders. Journal of Southeast Asian Research, 2012.
- Osmani, M., 2013. Design waste mapping: a project life cycle approach. Proc. ICE-Waste Resour. Manag.166,114e127
- Papargyropoulou, E., Preece, D. C., Padfield, D. R., & Abdullah, A. A. B. (2011). Sustainable construction waste management in Malaysia: a constructor's perspective. *Management and Innovation for a Sustainable Built Environment*, (June), 1–10. Retrieved from http://misbe2011.fyper.com/proceedings/documents/224.pdf
- Peng, C.L.; Scorpio, D.E.; Kitbert, C.J. (1997). Strategies for Successful Construction and Demolition Waste Recycling Operations. Journal of construction Management and Economic, 15(1), 49-58.
- Poon, C., Jaillon, L., 2002. A Guide for Minimizing Construction and Demolition Waste at the Design Stage. Deptartmentof Civil and Structural Engineering, The

- Sciences, P., & Bhagwat, R. (2008). Site Waste Management Practices in Construction Industry in United Kingdom.
- Scotia, N. (2013). Management Guide for Construction and Demolition Debris, (November), 1–28.
- Troschinetz, A. M., & Mihelcic, J. R. (2009). Sustainable recycling of municipal solid waste in developing countries. *Waste Management*, 29(2), 915–923.
- Wang, J., Li, Z., Tam, V.W.Y., 2015. Identifying best design strategies for construction waste minimization. J. Clean. Prod. 92, 237e247. Wu, Z., Yu, A.T.W., Shen, L., 2017. Investigating the determinants of contractor's construction and demo
- Wolsink, M. (2010). Contested environmental policy infrastructure: Socio-political acceptances of renewable energy, water and waste facilities. Journal of Conflict Mediation and Social Impact Assessment, 30 (5), 302-311.