FACTOR HINDERING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF QLASSIC IN MALAYSIAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

NOR AIN ZAMIZA BINTI AHMAD SALHAR

B. ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I/We* hereby declare that I/We* have checked this thesis/project* and in my/our* opinion, this thesis/project* is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)		
Full Name	:	
Position	:	
Date	:	



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature) Full Name : Nor Ain Zamiza Binti Ahmad Salhar ID Number : Aa14037 Date : 25 June 2018

FACTOR HINDERING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF QLASSIC IN MALAYSIAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

NOR AIN ZAMIZA BINTI AHMAD SALHAR

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank God Almighty for His grace and blessing. With the strength given, I can finish Final Year Project course completely and successfully.

In this limited space, I would like to take this opportunity to express my thanks and appreciation to Mr. Zahrizan Bin Zakaria as my supervisor for the help, support, guidance and cooperation that have been given in the making of this Final Year Project. All the given advices are very useful to me and all the experiences I got during the making of this research project are very meaningful. Without his cooperation, guidance and tolerance, it will be more difficult to complete this research.

Besides that, I would like to thanks Dr. Nurul Nadrah Aqilah Binti Tukimat, coordinator of Final Year Project for Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources, University Malaysia Pahang who have given lots of guidance.

Lastly, I would like to show my appreciation to my parents, friends and all people who have giving me moral support, and directly or indirectly have lent their help in this venture. Thank you for all the advice and kindness.

ABSTRAK

CIDB telah memperkenalkan Sistem Penilaian Kualiti dalam Pembinaan (QLASSIC) pada tahun 2006 untuk membantu meningkatkan kualiti bangunan di Malaysia. Selepas lebih daripada 10 tahun QLASSIC diperkenalkan, masih banyak pemaju dan kontraktor yang tidak mengenali QLASSIC. Ini telah membawa kepada objektif utama untuk menjalankan kajian ini, iaitu untuk mengenal pasti faktor yang menghalang pelaksanaan QLASSIC di Malaysia. Kajian ini menggunakan borang soal selidik sebagai instrumen kajian. Responden untuk kajian ini adalah kakitangan pembinaan dari syarikat pemaju dan syarikat kontraktor. Data yang dikumpul kemudian dianalisis menggunakan Indeks Penting Relatif (RII). Hasil penyelidikan dapat memberikan pemahaman dalam prinsip dan konsep QLASSIC, senarai faktor-faktor yang menghalang pelaksanaan QLASSIC dan terakhir analisis faktor yang paling utama yang menghalang pelaksanaan QLASSIC di Malaysia. Umumnya, kajian ini mendedahkan lima faktor yang menghalang pelaksanaan QLASSIC di Malaysia. Faktor-faktor berikut adalah kecekapan iklan, kekangan masa, kekangan kos, tingkah laku pemain pembinaan dan pekerja mahir yang tidak mencukupi. Di samping itu, kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa faktor yang paling utama yang menghalang pelaksanaan QLASSIC dalam industri pembinaan Malaysia adalah tingkah laku pemain pembinaan. Tingkah laku pemain pembinaan termasuk menolak untuk menerima pendekatan baru, enggan menghadiri mana-mana latihan untuk meningkatkan pengetahuan mereka dalam QLASSIC, enggan menukar, pemain pembinaan cenderung mengurangkan risiko yang dirasakan dalam mengamalkan pendekatan baru dan pendekatan baru akan mewujudkan peranan baru jadi mereka takut ia akan mengambil peranan mereka. Faktor yang paling utama yang menghalang pelaksanaan QLASSIC di Malaysia kemudian diikuti oleh faktor kekangan masa, faktor kecekapan iklan, faktor kekangan kos dan faktor pekerja mahir yang tidak mencukupi. Ringkasnya, kerajaan perlu membuat strategi baru untuk menangani isu ini jika kerajaan mahu melihat industri pembinaan di Malaysia dapat bersaing di peringkat global dan pemain pembinaan Malaysia seperti pemaju dan kontraktor mesti mengubah tingkah laku mereka dengan lebih terbuka dengan pendekatan baru yang dicadangkan oleh kerajaan.

ABSTRACT

CIDB has introduced Quality Assessment System in Construction (QLASSIC) in 2006 to help increasing the quality of building in Malaysia. After more than 10 years QLASSIC has been introduced, there are still many developers and contractors unfamiliar with QLASSIC. This has led to the main objective of conducting this research, namely to identify the factor hindering the implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysia. This research had utilized questionnaire form as the research instrument. The respondents for this research were construction personnel from developer's company and contractor's company. The data collected were then analyzed by using Relative Importance Index (RII). The research outcomes can provide understanding in the principle and concept of QLASSIC, list of factors hindering the implementation of QLASSIC and lastly analysis of the most significant factor hindering the implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysia. In general, this research revealed five factors hindering the implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysia. The factors were advertisement efficiency, time constraint, cost constraint, behavior of construction player and insufficient skilled worker. In addition, this research shown that the most crucial factor hindering the implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysian construction industry was the behavior of construction player. The behavior of construction players included resist to adopt new approach, reluctant to attend any training to enhance their knowledge in QLASSIC, reluctant to change, construction player tend to reduce the perceived risk in adopting a new approach and a new approach will create a new role so they afraid it will take their role. The most crucial factor hindering the implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysia then was followed by time constraint factor, advertisement efficiency factor, cost constraint factor and insufficient skilled worker factor. In a nutshell, government has to make a new strategy to address this issue if government wants to see the construction industry in Malaysia able to compete globally and Malaysian construction players such as developers and contractors must change their behavior by be more open with new approaches suggested by government.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DEC	CLARATION	
TIT	TLE PAGE	
ACH	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABS	STRAK	iii
ABS	STRACT	iv
TAF	BLE OF CONTENT	v
LIS	T OF TABLES	viii
LIS	T OF FIGURES	ix
LIS	T OF SYMBOLS	X
LIS	T OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background of Problem	2
1.3	Problem Statement	3
1.4	Aim and Objectives	4
1.5	Scope of Study	4
1.6	Methodology	5
1.7	Significant of Study	6
1.8	Expected Outcome	6
CHA	APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Definition of quality	7

2.2	Quality Assessment Type in Malaysian Construction Industry		8
2.3	Introduction to QLASSIC		8
2.4	Objective of QLASSIC		9
2.5	Scope of QLASSIC		9
2.6	Component to Be Assessed		10
2.7	Assessment		11
	2.7.1	Weightage	11
	2.7.2	QLASSIC Assessors	13
	2.7.3	Sampling	13
2.8	Factors Hindering the Implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysian Construction		on
	Indust	ry	13
	2.8.1	Behaviour of Construction Player	14
	2.8.2	Advertisement efficiency	14
	2.8.3	Time Constraint	15
	2.8.4	Cost Constraint	15
	2.8.5	Insufficient skilled worker	16
CHAT			17
CHAP	TER 3	METHODOLOGY	17
3.1	Introd	uction	17
3.2	Resear	rch Methodology	17
	3.2.1	Primary Data Sources	17
	3.2.2	Secondary Data Sources	21
3.3	Survey Target 2		21
3.4	Data Analysis 2.		21
3.5	Conclu	usion	22

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

24

4.1	Introduction	24
4.2	Analysis of respondent's company background	24
4.3	Analysis of respondent's position in the company	25
4.4	Analysis of respondent's years of experience in construction industry	25
4.5	Analysis of factors hindering the implementation of QLASSIC in Malaysian construction industry 2	
	4.5.1 Factor 1 – Behaviour of Construction Player	26
	4.5.2 Factor 2 – Advertisement Efficiency	28
	4.5.3 Factor 3 – Time Constraint	29
	4.5.4 Factor 4 – Cost Constraint	31
	4.5.5 Factor 5 – Insufficient skilled worker	32
4.6	Analysis of the most significant factor hindering the implementation of	
	QLASSIC in construction industry in Malaysia	34
СНАР	PTER 5 CONCLUSION	36
5.1	Introduction	36
5.2	Research Conclusion	36
5.3	Research Limitation and Recommendation	38
REFE	CRENCES	39
APPE	NDIX A SAMPLE OF RESEARCH QUESTIONNAIRE	43

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Building grouping guide	12
Table 2.2	Allocation of weightage for components of building construction works according to building category	12
Table 3.1	Five-Level Likert scale table	18
Table 4.1	Behaviour of Construction Player Rank	27
Table 4.2	Advertising Sufficiency Rank	28
Table 4.3	Time Constraint Rank	30
Table 4.4	Cost Constraint Rank	31
Table 4.5	Insufficient Skilled Worker Rank	33
Table 4.6	Rank of the Most Significant Factor Hindering The Implementation of QLASSIC in Construction Industry In Malaysia	34

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Sample of Questionnaire	5
Figure 4.1	Business Nature of Respondent	24
Figure 4.2	Designation of Respondent	25
Figure 4.3	Years of Experience of Respondent	25
Figure 4.4	Behaviour of Construction Player Chart	27
Figure 4.5	Advertising Sufficiency Chart	28
Figure 4.6	Time Constraint Chart	30
Figure 4.7	Cost Constraint Chart	31
Figure 4.8	Insufficient Skilled Worker Chart	33

LIST OF SYMBOLS

N1	Number of Respondents Who Chose "Strongly Disagree"
N2	Number of Respondents Who Chose "Disagree"
N3	Number of Respondents Who Chose "Neutral"

- N4 Number of Respondents Who Chose "Agree"
- N5 Number of Respondents Who Chose "Strongly Agree"

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

QLASSIC	Quality Assessment System in Construction
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board
HBA	House Buyers Association
RII	Relative Importance Index
CONQUAS	Construction Quality Assessment System
BCA	Building and Construction Authority
M&E	Mechanical and Electrical
ACMV	Air-Conditioning and Mechanical Ventilation
SOHO	Small Office Home Office
SOFO	Small Office Flexible Office
SOVO	Small Office Virtual Office
GFA	Gross Floor Area
SV	Supervisor

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Development in Malaysia is at its peak and the construction industry is among the largest contributor to the economy in Malaysia. The construction industry is an economic investment and its relationship with economic development is well posited. Many studies have highlighted the significant contribution of the construction industry to national economic development (Olanrewaju and Abdul-Aziz, 2015).

Unfortunately, the quality of building produce by construction industry in Malaysia is not a good thing to talk about. There are a lot of complaint received by National House Buyers Association (HBA) from house purchaser about the defect of their house. One of the complaint that has been received by HBA is a home owner found some cracks and other defect in their new house and they made a report listing all the defects but there is no defect were rectified after a few months (Chang, 2013).

Quality is a fundamental term in the construction industry. The non-achievement of such a crucial aspect of construction can result in the failure of a construction project and in the dissatisfaction of clients and/or building occupants (Zunguzane, Smallwood and Emuze, 2012). Quality can be affected if there is defect when contractor hand over the project to client. Client must be thinking that construction industry in Malaysia does not have a proper way to deal with this problem.

Building defect is one of the major components of building problems that significantly needed attention. When a building fails to function as it should, we must immediately seek for the determination (Bakri and Mydin, 2014). Some of developers and contractors acted unprofessionally by involving in projects that do not meet the standard especially in housing development. There are a lot of defects to the houses purchased by house buyers especially in terms of material and workmanship (Kasim, 2009).

Unlike other industries, the engineering and construction sector has been slow to adopt new technologies, and has certainly never undergone a major transformation (Schwab, 2016). The truth is Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) has introducing Quality Assessment System in Construction (QLASSIC) as quality assessment during the construction of project. QLASSIC has not been practicing by all developers and contractors even though QLASSIC can help in increasing the quality of product they want to produce because there is a challenges on starting a new system in Malaysia.

There are many factors that may contribute to the successful of producing quality product for construction project in Malaysia. One of the factor that consider as the contributor is by using legitimate monitoring checklist as assessment. QLASSIC is one of the assessment that provided a monitoring checklist as a tools to measuring the quality of product produce by contractors.

QLASSIC is a system or method to measure and evaluate the quality of workmanship of a construction work based on the relevant approved standard. The poor workmanship is the major contributor to poor quality of construction (Kasim, 2009). Therefore, good quality of construction can be achieved by increasing workmanship. The statement shows that Malaysian construction industry need to implement QLASSIC in construction project.

Furthermore, CIDB plans to make its Quality Assessment System in Construction (QLASSIC) mandatory by 2020 (Mohd Hanif, 2014). Now, CIDB is on its way to increase the awareness of the importance of QLASSIC in construction industry in Malaysia (CIDB Malaysia, 2014) and to preparing developers and contractors about QLASSIC system.

1.2 Background of Problem

Housing is a basic social need where families can have a comfortable living. It is a basic necessity that man needs besides eating and drinking. The housing industry has progressed from its early inception from a basic shelter to providing a portrayal of personal success and aspiration, which includes the aspect of safety, love, peace and freedom (Mustafa and Ghazali, 2012).

All individual need to feel safe in their own house. A symbiotic relationship has been suggested to exist between quality and safety performance (Das *et al.*, 2008). A success construction project always have three important components as its goals which are to construct the project according to the contract cost, to construct and complete the project with the time frame of the contract schedule and to ensure the project is constructed according to the specified quality. By producing quality houses, it can guarantee the safety of home buyers.

Over the years, the National House Buyers Association (HBA) has recorded thousands of complaints from house buyers who were not satisfied with the condition of their new homes or the way defects were rectified (Chang, 2013). In Malaysia, various parties in the construction industry have taken the initiative to mitigate this problem. Among them are through the application of quality policies in the organization, providing internal quality training, promoting quality culture at the construction site and implementing quality management systems in project management (Hamzah, 2013).

Therefore, Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) want to mitigate this problem by introducing Quality Assessment System in Construction (QLASSIC) in the year of 2006 to avoid low quality housing delivery in Malaysia. Until 2017, not all developers and contractors in Malaysia are practicing QLASSIC in their construction project.

1.3 Problem Statement

The reoccurrence incidences of defects and failures in buildings concerning leaking pipes and ceiling collapse, including a leaking roof in a building are an embarrassment and far too serious to be ignored. Many criticisms received from public about quality of the building. If this situation is left unanswered and untreated, it will lead to more serious problems in the future upcoming construction projects in Malaysia (Ahzahar *et al.*, 2011). The increasing competition in the construction industry necessitates quality as a prerequisite for the home owners and purchasers.

REFERENCES

Abdul-Rahman, H. *et al.* (2012) 'Negative impact induced by foreign workers: Evidence in Malaysian construction sector', *Habitat International*, 36(4), pp. 433–443. doi: 10.1016/j.habitatint.2012.03.002.

Aguila, A. and Gonzalez, J. W. (2017) 'Technical and Economic Assessment of the Implementation of Measures for Reducing Energy Losses in Distribution Systems', *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 73(1), p. 012018. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/.

Ahzahar, N. *et al.* (2011) 'A study of contribution factors to building failures and defects in construction industry', *Procedia Engineering*, 20, pp. 249–255. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2011.11.162.

Ali, A. S. and Wen, K. H. (2011) 'BUILDING DEFECTS: POSSIBLE SOLUTION FOR POOR CONSTRUCTION WORKMANSHIP', 2(1), pp. 59–69.

Awad Alhaddad, A. (2015) 'The Effect of Advertising Awareness on Brand Equity in Social Media', *International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning*, 5(2), pp. 73–84. doi: 10.17706/ijeeee.2015.5.2.73-84.

Bakri, N. N. O. and Mydin, M. A. O. (2014) 'General Building Defects: Causes, Symptoms and Remedial Work', *European Journal of Technology and Design*, 3(1), pp. 4–17. doi: 10.13187/ejtd.2014.3.4.

Barroso, A. and Llobet, G. (2012) 'Advertising and Consumer Awareness of New, Differentiated Products', *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49(6), pp. 773–792. doi: 10.1509/jmr.11.0045.

Beckford, J. (2016) 'Joseph M. Juran', in *Quality: A Critical Introduction*, pp. 100–108.

Berry, L. L., Parasuraman, A. and Zeithaml, V. A. (1990) 'Delivering Quality Service: Balancing Customer Perceptions and Expectations', *Business*, p. 260. doi: 10.1177/0001699303046002008.

Business Dictionary, O. B. (2018) BusinessDictionary.com - Online Business Dictionary, BusinessDictionary.com. Available at: http://www.businessdictionary.com/definition/quality.html (Accessed: 23 May 2018).

Chang, K. L. (2013) 'New doesn't mean perfect', *The Star*, pp. 1–9. Available at: http://www.thestar.com.my/business/business-news/2013/06/29/new-doesnt-mean-perfect-visavis-purchase-from-housing-developers/.

CIDB (2014) 'CIS 7: 2014 Quality Assessment System for Building COnstruction Works', (45).

CIDB (2016) 'QLASSIC Report 2016: The Journey and Growth of Quality in the Building Construction Industry'.

CIDB Malaysia (2014) 'Awareness Course'.

Das, A. et al. (2008) 'Toward a theory of the linkages between safety and quality', Journal of Operations Management, 26(4), pp. 521–535. doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2007.06.005.

Fields, P. *et al.* (2014) 'What Is Quality? A Management Discipline and the Translation Industry Get Acquainted', *Revista Tradumàtica*, (12), pp. 404–412.

Gómez, M. I., McLaughlin, E. W. and Wittink, D. R. (2014) 'Customer satisfaction and retail sales performance: An empirical investigation', *Journal of Retailing*, 80(4), pp. 265–278. doi: 10.1016/j.jretai.2004.10.003.

Hamzah, N. (2013) 'Pembentukan kerangka kualiti pengurusan pembinaan projek perimahan dalam mengurangkan kecacatan perumahan teres baru siap', 004, p. 2013.

Hossen, M. M., Kang, S. and Kim, J. (2015) 'Construction schedule delay risk assessment by using combined AHP-RII methodology for an international NPP project', *Nuclear Engineering and Technology*, 47(3), pp. 362–379. doi: 10.1016/j.net.2014.12.019.

Kam, K. J., Hilmy, A. and Hamid, A. (2012) 'The relationship between motives and benefits on adopting QLASSIC – CIS 7: 2006 in Malaysia construction industry', *International Journal for Quality Research*, 6(4), pp. 321–332. Available at: http://www.ijqr.net/journal/v6-n4/3.pdf.

Kamardeen, I. (2009) 'Strategic safety management information system for building projects in Singapore', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 16(1), pp. 8–25. doi: 10.1108/09699980910927868.

Kasim, N. D. (2009) 'Building Defect: Case Study at Taman Seri Indah, Pulau Pinang', *Vasa*, (November). Available at: http://medcontent.metapress.com/index/A65RM03P4874243N.pdf.

Kerzner, H. H. R. (2013) Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling, New York. doi: 10.1016/0377-2217(82)90164-3.

Lau Yeong Cherng (2017) 'Application Comparison Between Construction Quality Assessment System (Conquas 21) and Quality Assessment System in Construction (Qlassic) for Construction Project', (January).

Liu, J., Gong, E. and Wang, D. (2018) 'Attitudes and behaviour towards construction waste minimisation : a comparative analysis between China and the USA'. Environmental Science and Pollution Research.

Saint Mary's University, M. (2013) 'Primary vs. Secondary Sources'.

Mohd Hanif, N. H. (2014) 'CIDB looks to make QLASSIC mandatory by 2020', *New Straits Times*, p. B12.

Mustafa, A. and Ghazali, M. (2012) 'House buyers' satisfaction on housing projects in Malaysia: A conceptual framework', *Educational Research*, 3(6), pp. 2141–5161. Available at: http://www.interesjournals.org/ER.

N Manap, Y. G. and N. S. (2017) 'Compulsory of Malaysia's Quality Assessment System in Construction (QLASSIC)', pp. 0–11. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/.

Olanrewaju, A. L. and Abdul-Aziz, A. R. (2015) *Building maintenance processes and practices: The case of a fast developing country, Building Maintenance Processes and Practices: The Case of a Fast Developing Country.* doi: 10.1007/978-981-287-263-0.

Oxford University Press (2014) Oxford Dictionary Online, Oxford University Press. doi: http://oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/digital%2Bnative.

Ross, J. E. (2009) *Total quality management : text, cases, and readings, London: St Lucie Press.* Available https://books.google.co.uk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=NUrTWuZy_3YC&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=tot al+quality+managment+ford&ots=yN_se5IXHm&sig=7E2C4Nz_tQB1LN97CzqQGvAdvI4#v= onepage&q&f=false%0Ahttp://trove.nla.gov.au.

Ruikar, K., Anumba, C. J. and Carrillo, P. M. (2005) 'End-user perspectives on use of project extranets in construction organisations', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 12(3), pp. 222–235. doi: 10.1108/09699980510600099.

Saul, M. (2014) *Questionnaires / Simply Psychology*, 2014. Available at: http://www.simplypsychology.org/questionnaires.html.

Schwab, K. (World E. F. (2016) 'The Fourth Industrial Revolution', *World Economic Forum*, p. 199. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.

Somiah, M. K., Osei-Poku, G. and Aidoo, I. (2015) 'Relative Importance Analysis of Factors Influencing Unauthorized Siting of Residential Buildings in the Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolis of Ghana', *Journal of Building Construction and Planning Research*, 03(03), pp. 117–126. doi: 10.4236/jbcpr.2015.33012.

Sr.Dr Hajah Norizan Ahmad, M. N. S. & I. D. A. A. O. (2014) 'Assessing the implementation of conquas standard amongst contractors towards improving quality of workmanship.pdf'.

Sundar, H. (2014) 'Quality assessment system in construction QLASSIC', Master Builders, (3rd

Quater 2006), pp. 88–90. doi: http://www.cidb.gov.my.

Yeong, E. (2014) 'CIDB promoting QLASSIC'. The Sun Daily, p. 1223170. Available at: http://www.thesundaily.my/news/1223170.

Zakaria, Z. *et al.* (2014) 'Exploring the barriers and driving factors inimplementing Building Information Modeling (BIM) in the Malaysian Construction Industry: A Preliminary Study', *The Journal of The Institution of Engineers, Malaysia*, 75(1), pp. 384–393. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.

Zunguzane, N., Smallwood, J. and Emuze, F. (2012) 'Perceptions of the Quality of Low-Income Houses in South Africa : Defects and Their Causes', *Acta structilia*, 19(1), pp. 19–38.