

EXTERNAL STRENGTHENING OF
RC BEAMS USING *GIGANTOCHLOA LEVIS*
(*BULUH BETING*) FIBER REINFORCED
COMPOSITE PLATE

CHUI HONG LENG

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. CHIN SIEW CHOO

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 8 JUNE 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : CHUI HONG LENG

ID Number : AA14079

Date : 8 JUNE 2018

EXTERNAL STRENGTHENING OF RC BEAMS USING *GIGANTOCHLOA
LEVIS (BULUH BETING)* FIBER REINFORCED COMPOSITE PLATE

CHUI HONG LENG

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Final Year Project was successfully completed with the support and assistance from various parties. First and foremost, I would like to deliver my utmost gratitude to Faculty Civil Engineering and Earth Resources, University Malaysia Pahang for giving a valuable opportunity in learning on how to conduct research project.

Hereby, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my beloved supervisor, Dr. Chin Siew Choo for her dedication and patience in guiding me through the process of completing the research project. Without your constant suggestions and guidance, this research project may not be able to complete successfully. Moreover, a special thanks to Raub, Pahang for their kindness in supplying the bamboo for this research.

Next, a gratitude thanks to the laboratory technicians of concrete laboratory, Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources, UMP for their assistance in providing me the resources to complete this research.

Not to forget to thank my lovely family members who provide me support and motivate me throughout the completion of this research project. Their continuous love, encouragement and moral support have become the greatest catalyst for me to complete my research.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all my friends who sharing their information and knowledge with me. Your helpful advice, assistant and support ensure my research to be completed on time.

Thank you for all the supports.

ABSTRAK

Dalam pengajian semasa, penggunaan gentian buatan manusia merupakan kaedah yang cekap untuk megukuhkan struktur secara luaran. Namun begitu, kos pembuatan yang tinggi serta pencemaran alam sekitar telah meningkatkan kesedaran orang awam terhadap isu ini. Belakangan ini, gentian semula jadi telah menjadi bahan yang menarik perhatian penyelidik untuk menjalani kajian secara mendalam untuk mencungkil kemungkinan menjadikan gentian semula jadi sebagai pengganti untuk gentian buatan manusia. Satu kajian telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji potensi penggunaan komposit yang diperbuat daripada gentian buluh dan matriks resin epoxy (BFRCP). Gentian buluh yang digunakan dalam kajian ini diperolehi daripada Raub, Pahang. Buluh mentah dan buluh kering telah dikaji dalam segi mekanikal dan fizikal. Kerja uji kaji yang dijalankan dalam segi mekanikal adalah ujian mampatan dan ujian tegangan. Selain itu, plat komposit telah diuji dalam segi mekanikal, iaitu ujian tegangan (ASTM D3039) dan ujian lenturan (ASTM D790-03). BFRCPs telah difabrikasi dengan nisbah isipadu gentian 0% dan 40%. Ujian empat mata titik beban telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kelakuan rasuk konkrit bertetulangan yang mempunyai tetulang rangkai yang penuh dan tanpa tetulang rangkai di bahagian lentur rasuk. Berdasarkan hasil kaji, buluh kering mempunyai kekuatan mekanikal yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan buluh mentah. Namun begitu, kekeringan buluh yang terlampau akan mengganggu kekuatan mekanikalnya. Manakala bagi kajian terhadap plat komposit. Kenaikan kekuatan tengangan sebanyak 374.59% bagi plat komposit bergentian dibanding dengan plat epoxy tulen. Manakala penambahbaikan plat bergentian sebanyak 750.60% dalam segi kekuatan lenturan berbanding dengan plat epoxy tulen. Berdasarkan hasil kajian daripada ujian empat mata titik beban, didapati rasuk konkrit tidak bertetulang yang tidak diperkuatkan (UNST) mempunyai kemerosotan kekuatan sebanyak 6.72% berbanding dengan rasuk konkrit yang mempunyai tetulang rangkai yang penuh. Di samping itu, rasuk konkrit tidak bertetulang yang diperkuatkan (ST) mempunyai kenaikan kekuatan sebanyak 9.30% dan 10.63% berbanding dengan UNST. Selain itu, rasuk yang diperkukuh mampu menampung kekuatan yang sama dengan rasuk yang mempunyai tetulang rangkai penuh. Dari segi retakkan rasuk, rasuk yang diperkukuhkan didapati mempunyai retak di bahagian pinggir plat komposit. Kesimpulannya, pengukuhan luaran rasuk konkrit bertetulangan dengan penggunaan buluh gentian – epoxy komposit didapati berkesan dan berpotensi menggantikan komposit gentian buatan manusia.

ABSTRACT

Synthetic fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) composite is an efficient method for strengthening of reinforced concrete (RC) externally. Unfortunately, the non-renewable, high cost production and environmental harmful of synthetic fibers had increased public awareness towards this issue and hence inevitable arisen the uses of renewable resources. A research had been conducted to investigate the potential of application of bamboo fiber reinforced composite plate (BFRCP) in external strengthening of RC beam. The bamboo fibers used was *Gigantochloa Levis*, also known as *Buluh Beting* which were obtained from Raub, Pahang. Mechanical behaviour of raw and dried bamboo was tested with compression and tensile tests. Besides, the composite plates with 0% and 40% fiber volume were tested for tensile test (ASTM D3039) and flexural test (ASTM D790-03) to identify the mechanical properties. Four-point loading test was conducted to investigate the structural behaviour of RC beams. Based on the result of the mechanical behaviour of bamboo, the dried bamboo showed higher mechanical strength as compared with the raw bamboo. However, the over-dried bamboo will affect the mechanical result. In terms of the composite behaviour, there was an increment of 374.59% of average ultimate tensile strength of fiber reinforced composite as compared to the un-reinforced composite. Whereas, for flexural test, the average ultimate strength of fiber reinforced composite was 11.76 times or 750.60% more than the neat epoxy sample. In terms of structural behavior, it was found that the un-strengthened beam without the shear link in the flexure zone (UNST) has shown a reduction in ultimate load of about 6.72% as compared to the control beam (CB). Whereas, two beams without the shear link in the flexure zone strengthened using BFRCP (ST) had an increment of 9.30% and 10.63% in terms of beam capacity as compared to beam UNST. Besides, both the strengthened beams, ST proven the capability to restore the load carrying capacity of the control beam. In terms of crack pattern, the BFRCP had diverted the cracks from the flexure zone to the edge of the plate for RC beam. Hence, this signifies that BFRCP has potential to be used as an alternative external strengthening material to the synthetic composite plate.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| DECLARATION | |
| TITLE PAGE | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| ABSTRAK | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | v |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | x |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiii |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 Research Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of Study | 4 |
| 1.5 Research Significance | 4 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 6 |
| 2.2 Natural Fiber | 6 |
| 2.3 Bamboo Fiber | 9 |
| 2.3.1 Malaysia bamboo | 11 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 2.4 | Fiber Surface Treatment | 12 |
| 2.4.1 | Alkaline Treatment | 13 |
| 2.5 | Thermoset and Thermoplastic | 15 |
| 2.5.1 | Epoxy Resin | 16 |
| 2.6 | Natural Fiber Reinforced Composite (NFRC) | 16 |
| 2.7 | Fiber Volume Ratio | 18 |
| 2.8 | Mechanical Properties | 19 |
| 2.8.1 | Tensile Test on Fiber Plate (ASTM D3039) | 19 |
| 2.8.2 | Flexural Test on Fiber Plate (ASTM D 790-03) | 20 |
| 2.9 | RC Beam Strengthening Externally Using FRP | 21 |
| 2.9.1 | Synthetic fiber | 22 |
| 2.9.2 | Natural Fiber | 25 |
| 2.10 | Summary | 26 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | 28 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 28 |
| 3.2 | Research Methodology | 29 |
| 3.3 | Preparation of Materials | 30 |
| 3.3.1 | Extraction of Bamboo Fiber | 30 |
| 3.3.2 | Matrix | 31 |
| 3.3.3 | Sikadur® 30 Epoxy Laminating Resin | 32 |
| 3.4 | Fabrication of Bamboo Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Composite Plate (BFRCP) | 33 |
| 3.5 | Preparation of RC Beams | 34 |
| 3.5.1 | Formwork Preparation | 35 |
| 3.5.2 | Steel Reinforcement | 35 |
| 3.6 | Concreting | 36 |

| | | |
|---|---|-----------|
| 3.6.1 | Casting | 36 |
| 3.6.2 | Curing | 39 |
| 3.7 | BFRCP Strengthening System | 40 |
| 3.7.1 | BFRCP Strengthening at the Flexure Zone of RC Beam | 40 |
| 3.8 | Laboratory Testing | 41 |
| 3.8.1 | Density Test of Bamboo | 41 |
| 3.8.2 | Mechanical Properties Test on Beting Bamboo | 42 |
| 3.8.3 | Mechanical Properties Test on BFRCP | 44 |
| 3.8.4 | Four Points Loading Test | 46 |
| 3.9 | Summary | 48 |
| CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | | 49 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 49 |
| 4.2 | Properties of Concrete | 49 |
| 4.2.1 | Slump Test | 49 |
| 4.2.2 | Compression Strength Test | 50 |
| 4.3 | Properties of Beting Bamboo | 51 |
| 4.3.1 | Compression Test | 52 |
| 4.3.2 | Tensile Test | 53 |
| 4.3.3 | Density Test | 55 |
| 4.4 | Mechanical Behaviour of Bamboo Fiber Reinforced Composite Plate | 55 |
| 4.4.1 | Tensile Strength Test (ASTM D3039) | 56 |
| 4.4.2 | Flexural Strength Test (ASTM D709-03) | 57 |
| 4.5 | Strengthening behavior of RC Beams in Flexure Zone | 58 |
| 4.5.1 | Load and Deflection Behaviour | 59 |
| 4.5.2 | Crack patterns | 63 |

| | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 4.5.3 | Failure mode | 66 |
| CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION | | 67 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 67 |
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 67 |
| 5.3 | Recommendation | 68 |
| 5.4 | Limitation of Current Research | 68 |
| REFERENCES | | 69 |
| APPENDIX A GANTT CHART | | 72 |
| APPENDIX B CALCULATION BY VOLUME FOR DIFFERENT FIBER LOADING OF BFRCF | | 73 |
| APPENDIX C DATA SHEET OF EPOXY D.E.R. 331 | | 74 |
| APPENDIX D DATA SHEET OF HARDENER JOINTMINE 905 – 3S | | 75 |
| APPENDIX E DATA SHEET OF SIKADUR® 30 EPOXY LAMINATING RESIN | | 76 |
| APPENDIX F CONCRETE MIX DESIGN COMPUTATION & SUMMARY | | 77 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 2.1 Chemical composition of some natural fibers. | 8 |
| Table 2.2 Mechanical properties of some natural and man-made fibers. | 9 |
| Table 2.3 Comparison of tensile properties of natural fibres. | 10 |
| Table 2.4 Mechanical properties of PHB and composites with bamboo microfibrils. | 11 |
| Table 2.5 Tensile properties of load applying alkali-treated ramie fiber. | 15 |
| Table 2.6 Mechanical properties of pure epoxy and its kenaf reinforced composite. | 20 |
| Table 2.7 Tested beams strengthening schemes. | 22 |
| Table 2.8 Test program and specimen designation. | 24 |
| Table 2.9 Response parameters for tested beams. | 25 |
| Table 2.10 Comparison of the ultimate load ratio. | 26 |
| Table 3.1 Summary of the numbers of composite plate and sample considered in this study. | 48 |
| Table 3.2 Summary of beams specimens. | 48 |
| Table 3.3 Outline of experimental tests included. | 48 |
| Table 4.1 Compressive strength of sample cubes. | 51 |
| Table 4.2 Ultimate compressive strength of bamboo. | 52 |
| Table 4.3 Ultimate tensile strength of bamboo. | 54 |
| Table 4.4 Density of different specimens. | 55 |
| Table 4.5 Tensile strength results. | 56 |
| Table 4.6 Flexural strength of three-point bending test. | 58 |
| Table 4.7 Comparison in terms of ultimate load. | 62 |
| Table 4.8 Comparison in terms of deflection. | 63 |
| Table 4.9 Failure modes of beam specimens. | 66 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 Classification of natural and synthetic fiber. | 7 |
| Figure 2.2 Structural constitution and arrangement of a natural vegetable fiber cell. | 8 |
| Figure 2.3 Schematic presentations of surface modifications of natural fiber. | 13 |
| Figure 2.4 Compressive strength of sisal-epoxy composites. | 14 |
| Figure 2.5 Comparison of stress-strain curve of polyester, vinylester and epoxy. | 16 |
| Figure 2.6 Energy for production of some fibers (MJ/T). | 17 |
| Figure 2.7 Comparison of load vs. displacement of sisal-glass fiber, jute-glass fiber, and sisal-jute-glass fiber reinforced composites. | 18 |
| Figure 2.8 Effect of volume fraction of fiber on mean tensile strength of various natural | 19 |
| Figure 2.9 Variation of the flexural rupture strength with the volume fraction of malva fibers in epoxy composites. | 21 |
| Figure 2.10 Midspan deflection of A serial beams. | 23 |
| Figure 2.11 Midspan deflection of B serial beams. | 23 |
| Figure 2.12 Instrumentation details. | 24 |
| Figure 2.13 Load-deflection response of control, B-NE, and B-CNT specimens. | 25 |
| Figure 2.14 Load Deflection Curve of the different beam specimens. | 26 |
| Figure 3.1 Flow Chart of Methodology | 29 |
| Figure 3.2 Bamboo splitting. | 30 |
| Figure 3.3 Mill-rolling process. | 31 |
| Figure 3.4 D.E.R. 331 epoxy resin and JOINTMINE 905-3S hardener. | 32 |
| Figure 3.5 Sikadur® 30 Epoxy Laminating Resin. | 32 |
| Figure 3.6 Application of mould releasing agent (honey wax). | 34 |
| Figure 3.7 Fabricating composite plate with hand lay-up method. | 34 |
| Figure 3.8 Sealing of formwork. | 35 |
| Figure 3.9 Schematic diagram for RC control beam. | 36 |
| Figure 3.10 Ready mixed concrete from PAMIX Sdn. Bhd. | 36 |
| Figure 3.11 Spacer blocks tied with rebars. | 37 |
| Figure 3.12 Concrete cubes sample for compressive strength tests. | 38 |
| Figure 3.13 Slump test to identify the workability of fresh concrete. | 38 |
| Figure 3.14 Cover the beam with canvas after pouring of concrete. | 39 |
| Figure 3.15 Wetting of gunny bags periodically. | 39 |
| Figure 3.16 Roughening surface of RC beams. | 40 |
| Figure 3.17 Samples for density test. | 41 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 3.18 Water displacement method for determining the green volume. | 41 |
| Figure 3.19 Compressive strength test of bamboo using UTM. | 43 |
| Figure 3.20 Tensile strength test of bamboo using UTM. | 44 |
| Figure 3.21 Tensile test for BFRCP. | 45 |
| Figure 3.22 Flexural test for BFRCP. | 46 |
| Figure 3.23 Schematic diagram of four-point loading. | 47 |
| Figure 3.24 Four-point loading test setup. | 47 |
| Figure 4.1 Slump test. | 50 |
| Figure 4.2 Compression test. | 51 |
| Figure 4.3 Stress vs Strain graph of Compression Test for Raw and Dried bamboo. | 53 |
| Figure 4.4 Cracking and crushing of bamboo. | 53 |
| Figure 4.5 Stress vs Strain graph of Tensile Test. | 54 |
| Figure 4.6 Failure of bamboo splint at node under tensile load. | 55 |
| Figure 4.7 Stress-strain graph of tensile test. | 57 |
| Figure 4.8 Stress-strain graph of flexural test. | 58 |
| Figure 4.9 Load deflection graph for CB. | 59 |
| Figure 4.10 Load deflection graph for UNST. | 60 |
| Figure 4.11 Load deflection graph for ST. | 61 |
| Figure 4.12 Load deflection curve of different beam specimens. | 63 |
| Figure 4.13 Crack patterns for CB 1. | 64 |
| Figure 4.14 Crack patterns for CB 2. | 64 |
| Figure 4.15 Crack patterns for CB 3. | 65 |
| Figure 4.16 Crack patterns for UNST 1. | 65 |
| Figure 4.17 Crack patterns for UNST 2. | 65 |
| Figure 4.18 Crack patterns for UNST 3. | 65 |
| Figure 4.19 Crack patterns for ST 1. | 65 |
| Figure 4.20 Crack patterns for ST 2. | 66 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| % | Percentage |
| mm | Millimetre |
| g/cm ³ | Gram per centimetre cube |
| N | Newton |
| kN | Kilo Newton |
| °C | Degree Celcius |
| g | Gram |
| mm ² | Millimetre square |
| MPa | Mega Pascal |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|--------|---|
| ASTM | American standard testing manual |
| BFRCP | Bamboo fiber reinforced composite plate |
| BFRECP | Bamboo fiber reinforced epoxy composite plate |
| CNT | Carbon nanotube |
| FRP | Fiber Reinforced Polymer |
| LVDT | Linear variable displacement transducers |
| NaOH | Sodium hydroxide |
| NFRC | Natural fiber reinforced composite |
| RC | Reinforced concrete |
| UTM | Universal Testing Machine |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) is a mixture of high strength fibers embedded in a polymer matrix to produce composite material. Commonly, synthetic fibers like carbon and glass are widely used in fabrication of FRP. The fibers are mainly contributing the mechanical properties, whereas polymer matrix responsible for the protection from environmental attack. The resultant composites are used in a range of industries including sporting, leisure, aerospace, automotive and construction (Gurunathan, Mohanty and Nayak, 2015). However, synthetic FRPs have problems in disposing as they do not decompose naturally in the ground. Owing to the fact that FRP had brought environment and sustainability issues, at a recent time, public attention has gone to green materials such as natural fibers as a resource in the field of polymer science through introduction of bio composites (Yildizhan, 2018). Bio-composites, also known as biodegradable composites is a sustainable material with eco-friendly natural fibers are used to replace the synthetic fibers. Moreover, bio-composites help to reduce raw material usage, reduce non-renewable waste as well as cut fossil-fuel consumption.

There are various types of natural fiber which included cellulose based, animal and mineral. For plant or cellulose based fiber, it is divided into different groups according to their function. Bamboo fiber was chosen as the filler because bamboo is a commonly found natural resource in Asia and South America. The mechanical properties of bamboo are relatively high and are comparable to those of wood. It has been traditionally used to build a variety of furniture and living tools. Furthermore, it takes only 6-8 months to grow to its mature size, whereas wood takes about 10 years. Fiber longitudinally aligned in its body provide high strength with respect to its weight which contribute the title of ‘natural glass fiber’(Okubo, Fujii and Yamamoto, 2004). The high

cellulose content with moderate content of lignin approximately 32% and micro-fibrillar angle is relatively small which is 2° – 10° contributed to the tensile strength and proportional to the modulus of elasticity. Hence bamboo fiber is suitable to be used as fiber reinforcement in different matrix (Tong *et al.*, 2017).

Matrix acts as the binding material which bind with fibers to form a composite plate. There are two types of matrix: thermoplastic and thermosetting. Thermoplastics are a plastic polymer material that changes properties when subjected to different temperature. Thermoplastics become soft when heat is applied and have a smooth, hard finish when cooled. It becomes moldable when exceeding a specific temperature and solidifies upon cooling. Meanwhile, thermosetting polymers are liquid state at room temperature prior curing. After undergoing heat treatment, it is in solid state and unable to re-hot deformable due to the difficulty in reforming. Most structural engineering applications used thermosetting plastics for applications as the thermosetting plastics are more advanced over thermoplastics if they are compared to both. Generally, thermosetting plastics are stronger than thermoplastic materials due to the strong covalent bonds between polymer chain that hard to break. Besides, thermosetting has a high crosslink density that provides a good thermal stability and resistance to chemical attack. Thermosetting that usually used for fabrication of composite plate are epoxy resin, vinyl ester resin and polyester resin (Chandra Das and Haque Nizam, 2014). Epoxy resin was used as the matrix to fabricate the bamboo fiber reinforced composite plate in this study.

Therefore, FRP has become more preferable to be used as the external strengthening material instead of rebuilding the structure. The low specific weight, low production cost and high strength of the natural FRP are the attractive features that may replace the synthetic FRP. Hence, retrofitting damaged structures by providing extra strengthening on it is the easiest and convenient method which helps to increase the service period of the structure.

1.2 Problem Statement

Over the last three decades, application of FRP is getting more popular, especially in the construction industry. The high strength-to-volume and high stiffness of synthetic reinforced fibers are the main reasons being chosen as the reinforced fibers (Dong, Wang and Guan, 2013). However, the cost of fabrication of synthetic fiber reinforced composite

plate is much higher compared to the natural fiber reinforced composite plate. Moreover, the main drawback of synthetic reinforced composite plate is non-biodegradable properties which bring a serious adverse effect on the environment.

Non-renewable resources are becoming scarce which had increased public awareness towards this issue and hence inevitable arisen the uses of renewable resources (Faruk *et al.*, 2012). Natural fiber reinforced composites (NFRC) as a replacement for polymer-matrix composite, such as glass of carbon fiber reinforced plastics (GFRP/CFRP) have received considerable attention from public recently. In addition, it is reported that a target was set by US Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the US Department of Energy (DOE) which is the implementation of 10% of basic chemical building blocks replace with renewable resources by 2020 and aimed to increase to 50% by 2050 (Gurunathan, Mohanty and Nayak, 2015). Natural fibers are biodegradable, recyclable and economical in the manufacturing process compared to synthetic fibers. Moreover, natural fibers are low density and high mechanical strength which are better than the traditional reinforcements. Bamboo fiber also known as “natural glass fiber” is capable to be the reinforced fibers in polymeric composite material because of the high specific strength and stiffness which are comparable with glass fibers (Zakikhani *et al.*, 2014).

1.3 Research Objectives

The purpose of this study is to determine the potential use of *Beting* bamboo fiber reinforced composite plate (BFRCP) in external strengthening of reinforced concrete beam. The following are the objectives set in this study:

1. To determine the mechanical properties of *Beting* species of bamboo.
2. To determine the mechanical properties *Beting* bamboo fiber composite plate as external strengthening material.
3. To evaluate the structural behaviour of RC beams strengthened in flexure using bamboo fiber composite plate.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez, vera a, Ruseckaite A, R. and Vazquez, A. (2003) 'Mechanical Properties and Water Absorption Behavior of Composites Made from a Biodegradable Matrix and Alkaline-Treated Sisal Fibers', *COMPOSITE MATERIALS*, 37(17), pp. 1575–1588. doi: 10.1177/002199803035180.
- Bisanda, E. T. N. (2000) 'Effect of alkali treatment on the adhesion characteristics of sisal fibres', *Applied Composite Materials*, 7(5–6), pp. 331–339. doi: 10.1023/A:1026586023129.
- Chandra Das, S. and Haque Nizam, E. (2014) 'Applications of Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites (FRP) in Civil Engineering', *International Journal of Advanced Structures and Geotechnical Engineering ISSN*, 03(03), pp. 2319–5347. Available at: <http://basharesearch.com/IJASGE/1030317.pdf>.
- Cruz, J. and Fangueiro, R. (2016) 'Surface Modification of Natural Fibers: A Review', *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier, 155, pp. 285–288. doi: 10.1016/J.PROENG.2016.08.030.
- Daud, N. M. *et al.* (2018) 'The physical and mechanical properties of treated and untreated Gigantochloa Scortechinii bamboo', *AIP Conference Proceedings AIP Conference Proceedings AIP Conference Proceedings*, 10(10), pp. 20016–20017. doi: 10.1063/1.5022905.
- Dhokal, H. N. *et al.* (2015) 'Effect of basalt fibre hybridisation on post-impact mechanical behaviour of hemp fibre reinforced composites', *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. Elsevier, 75, pp. 54–67. doi: 10.1016/J.COMPOSITESA.2015.04.020.
- Dong, J., Wang, Q. and Guan, Z. (2013) 'Structural behaviour of RC beams with external flexural and flexural-shear strengthening by FRP sheets', *Composites Part B: Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 44(1), pp. 604–612. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.02.018.
- Faruk, O. *et al.* (2012) 'Biocomposites reinforced with natural fibers: 2000-2010', *Progress in Polymer Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 37(11), pp. 1552–1596. doi: 10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2012.04.003.
- Goda, K. *et al.* (2006) 'Improvement of plant based natural fibers for toughening green composites-Effect of load application during mercerization of ramie fibers', *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 37(12), pp. 2213–2220. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2005.12.014.
- Gurunathan, T., Mohanty, S. and Nayak, S. K. (2015) 'A review of the recent developments in biocomposites based on natural fibres and their application perspectives', *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. Elsevier Ltd, 77, pp. 1–25. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2015.06.007.

- Jusoh, N. Z., Ahmad, M. and Azmi, I. (2013) 'Study on Compressive Strength of Semantan Bamboo Culm (*Gigantochloa Scortechinii*)', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 330, pp. 96–100. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.330.96.
- Khalid, N. H. A. and Yatim, J. M. (2011) 'External Strengthening of Reinforced Concrete Beams Using Kenaf Fiber Biocomposite Plates'.
- Ku, H. *et al.* (2011) 'A review on the tensile properties of natural fiber reinforced polymer composites', *Compos Part B Eng*, 42(4), pp. 856–873. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.01.010.
- Kuila, A. and Sharma, V. (2017) *Lignocellulosic Biomass Production and Industrial Applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; Scrivener Publishing LLC. Available at: https://books.google.com.my/books?id=xU8nDwAAQBAJ&dq=mercerization+of+natural+fibers&lr=&source=gbs_navlinks_s (Accessed: 15 April 2018).
- Kumar, D. S. *et al.* (2015) 'Effect of post-curing on thermal and mechanical behavior of GFRP composites', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 75(1). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/75/1/012012.
- Margem, J. I. *et al.* (2015) 'Flexural Behavior of Epoxy Matrix Composites Reinforced with Malva Fiber', *Materials Research*. Materials Research, 18(suppl 2), pp. 114–120. doi: 10.1590/1516-1439.359514.
- Mohammed, L. *et al.* (2015) 'A Review on Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite and Its Applications', *International Journal of Polymer Science*, 2015.
- Nordahlia, A. *et al.* (2012) 'Effects of Age and Height on Selected Properties of Malaysian Bamboo (*Gigantochloa levis*)', *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 24(1), pp. 102–109. Available at: <https://www.frim.gov.my/v1/JTFSONline/jtfs/v24n1/102-109.pdf> (Accessed: 14 May 2018).
- Okubo, K., Fujii, T. and Yamamoto, Y. (2004) 'Development of bamboo-based polymer composites and their mechanical properties', *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 35(3), pp. 377–383. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2003.09.017.
- Ramamoorthy, S. K., Skrifvars, M. and Persson, A. (2015) 'A Review of Natural Fibers Used in Biocomposites : Plant , Animal and Regenerated Cellulose Fibers', (February 2015), pp. 37–41. doi: 10.1080/15583724.2014.971124.
- Rassiah, K. and Ahmad, M. M. H. M. (2013) 'A review on mechanical properties of bamboo fiber reinforced polymer composite', *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci*, 7(8), pp. 247–253.
- Sen, T. and Reddy, H. N. J. (2014) 'Flexural strengthening of RC beams using natural sisal and artificial carbon and glass fabric reinforced composite system', *Sustainable Cities and*

Society. Elsevier, 10, pp. 195–206. doi: 10.1016/J.SCS.2013.09.003.

Tong, F. S. *et al.* (2017) ‘Natural Fiber Composites as Potential External Strengthening Material – A Review’, *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(2), pp. 1–5. doi: 10.17485/ijst/2017/v10i2/110368.

Tong, F. S. (2017) ‘Strengthening of Rc Beams With Openings Using Bamboo Fiber Reinforced Composite (BFRC) Plates’.

Yildizhan, S. (2018) ‘Bio-composite materials: a short review of recent trends, mechanical and chemical properties, and applications’. doi: 10.26701/ems.369005.

Zakikhani, P. *et al.* (2014) ‘Extraction and preparation of bamboo fibre-reinforced composites’, *Materials and Design*. Elsevier Ltd, 63, pp. 820–828. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2014.06.058.