

EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND  
OPTIMIZATION OF COATED AND UNCOATED  
CARBIDE TOOL IN DRILLING AISI 316 L  
AUSTENITE STAINLESS STEEL USING  
MINIMUM QUANTITY LUBRICATION  
TECHNIQUE

MUKHTAR BIN MALIK

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
(MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION OF COATED AND  
UNCOATED CARBIDE TOOL IN DRILLING AISI 316 L AUSTENITE  
STAINLESS STEEL USING MINIMUM QUANTITY LUBRICATION TECHNIQUE

MUKHTAR MALIK

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Manufacturing Engineering)

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECEMBER 2018



## **SUPERVISOR DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Manufacturing Engineering

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :IR DR AHMAD RAZLAN BIN YUSOFF

Position :ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date :



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : MUKHTAR BIN MALIK

ID Number : PMF08002

Date :

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to Almighty Allah to give me a guide in this research with patient and confidence in delivering the knowledge through research.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation neither especially to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr Ahmad Razlan Bin Yusoff for his constant guidance, invaluable knowledge and constructive idea in leading me to accomplish this research. I am wholeheartedly thankful to his for giving me, his valuable time and attention for providing us a systematic way for completing my research.

Furthermore, I would thank to all lab and workshop staff for providing me assistance in overcome various problems encountered during conducting an experiment for this thesis. Thanks to the support staff of many friends and colleagues with gratitude. I wish to acknowledge all of them.

Deepest gratitude and grateful to all of my families, especially my wife, Azizah bt Muda, who gave me real love, pray, support, understanding, patience, hope and happiness during this study. Finally, I am indebted to late my father and mother for their encouragement and endless support in giving me strength as well as and source of inspiration during this study

## ABSTRAK

Proses pemesinan moden menghadapi tekanan kos yang berterusan dan jangkaan kualiti yang tinggi. Bagi mengekalkan daya saing, syarikat harus terus mengenalpasti peluang pengurangan kos dalam pengeluaran, mengeksploitasi peluang-peluang ekonomi, dan terus meningkatkan proses pengeluaran. MQL menyingkirkan air dan cecair penyejuk berasaskan minyak dan menggantikan mereka dengan pelincir berkuantiti kecil bercampur dengan udara. Aliran udara-minyak ini secara tepat telah disukat dan dihantar ke sisi alat pemotong itu. Dalam kajian ini, analisis prestasi penggerudian karbida bersalut TiAlN dan karbida padu pada bahan AISI 316L Austenite Stainless Steel menggunakan Pelincir Berkuantiti Minimum (MQL) telah dinilai dari segi kekasaran permukaan, jangka hayat mata alat dan ketepatan saiz lubang gerudi. Projek ini memberi tumpuan kepada penggerudian lubang kecil pada keluli tahan karat austenit dengan menggunakan mesin kisar kelajuan tinggi. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menentukan keadaan optimum kelajuan memotong, kadar suapan dan titik sudut mata alat ketika penggerudian keluli tahan karat austenit menggunakan mata alat karbida dan karbida bersalut. Reka bentuk experiment digunakan untuk mengenal pasti 12 eksperimen yang ditetapkan berdasarkan 3 tahap untuk setiap parameter input. Main effect analysis dan ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) merupakan kaedah analisis untuk membandingkan prestasi antara matalat solid dan bersalut TiAlN. First order dan second order analisa regression akan digunakan untuk mendapatkan jangkaan tepat untuk proses optimisasi. Kaedah optimisasi single dan pelbagai akan digunakan untuk mendapatkan kelajuan memotong, kadar suapan dan titik sudut mata alat yang optimum. Sudut pemotong merupakan parameter yang paling berpengaruh untuk matalat bersalut, manakala kadar suapan merupakan parameter paling berpengaruh untuk solid karbida. Mata gerudi karbida bersalut digunakan untuk optimisasi menunjukkan halaju pemotongan 110m/min, kadar uluran 0.15 mm/rev dan 110 darjah sudut pemotongan menghasilkan 35.5 minit jangka hayat, 1.062 um kekasaran permukaan dan 6.051 ketepatan geometri lubang. Ujilari pengesahan menunjukkan kadar kesilapan yang rendah diantara pemesinan sebenar berbanding yang dianggarkan dengan sudut pemotongan, kadar suapan dan halaju pemotongan boleh menghasilkan pemotongan yang optimum. Secara amnya mata gerudi karbida bersalut boleh dioptimum dalam proses penggerudian keluli tahan karat AISI 316L menggunakan kaedah MQL untuk mendapatkan kualiti dan produktiviti yang kehendaki.

## ABSTRACT

Modern machining processes face continuous cost pressures and high quality expectations. To remain competitive a company must continually identify cost reduction opportunities in production, exploit economic opportunities, and continuously improve production processes. Minimum Quantity Lubricants (MQL) eliminates large quantities of water and oil-based coolants and replaces them with a small quantity of lubricant mixed with air delivered to the cutting tool's edge. In this study, performance analysis of solid and TiAlN coated carbide tool in drilling AISI 316L Austenite Stainless Steel using MQL based on surface roughness, tool life and dimensional error as the responses. This project focuses on the drilling small hole on the austenite stainless steel by using high speed milling machine. This research was carried out to analyse and optimize machining parameters of cutting speed, feed rate and tool geometry of point of angle using coated and uncoated tools. Design of Experiment was applied to identify 12 number experiment settings based on three levels for each input parameters. Two analyses of Main Effect Analysis and then verified by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) were employed to compare the performance between coated and uncoated tools. Before optimize the 3 input parameters, first order and second order regression were applied to determine the highest accuracy prediction can be used for optimization stage. Both single and multiple optimization were conducted to search the optimal cutting speed, feed rate and tool geometry of point based on based on surface roughness, tool wear and dimensional error. According to Main Effect Analysis and ANOVA results, it is showed that point angle is the most influence input parameters for TiAlN coated tool, however, feed rate is the most influence input parameters for uncoated tool. Second order regression was used for high accuracy prediction compared to first order. Coated tools was used for optimization showed that multi optimum cutting parameters are 110 m/min of cutting velocity, 0.150 mm/rev of feed rate and 110° to achieve 35.5 tool life, 1.062 um surface roughness and 6.051 hole accuracy. Confirmation showed that less error from prediction and actual experimental to confirm coated tool with certain point, feed rate and cutting speed can produce the optimal machining performance. Under MQL condition, coated carbide tool can be optimized for drilling AISI 316L Austenite Stainless Steels to satisfy the product quality and productivity.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Aims and Objectives	5
1.4 Research Scope	6
1.5 Thesis Organization	7
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.1.1 AISI 316L Austenite Stainless Steel	9
2.1.2 Drilling	13



2.2	Cutting Tool	16
2.3	Cutting Tool Materials	17
2.3.1	Cemented Tungsten Carbides	17
2.3.2	TiAlN Coating	18
2.3.3	Twist drill	19
2.3.4	Drill point angle and clearance	20
2.3.5	Drilling facts and problems	21
2.3.6	Drill failure	24
2.3.7	Peck drilling	24
2.4	Work-piece material	26
2.4.1	Austenite stainless steel	27
2.4.2	Material properties	28
2.5	Austenite stainless steel machinability	28
2.5.1	Machining of austenite stainless steel	30
2.5.2	Machining parameters	31
2.6	Effect on Machining Parameters to Machining Performance	35
2.7	High-speed machine	36
2.8	Minimum Quantity Lubricants (MQLs)	37
2.9	Machining Performance	39
2.9.1	Dimensional Accuracy	39
2.9.2	Surface Integrity	39
2.9.3	Surface Roughness	40
2.10	Effective parameters on surface roughness in drilling	41
2.11	Design of Experiment	44
2.11.1	Factorial Design	46
2.11.2	Response Surface Methodology	49

2.12	Summary of previous research	50
2.13	Summary	54
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>56</b>
3.1	Introduction	56
3.2	Machine	57
3.3	Material and Sample Preparations	58
3.4	Workpiece Material	58
	3.4.1 Key Properties	59
3.5	Cutting Tools	60
3.6	Machining Procedure	61
3.7	Peck Drilling approach	62
3.8	Minimum Quantity Lubrication (MQL)	63
3.9	Tool Wear Measurement	64
3.10	Investigation of Surface Finish	66
3.11	Microstructural Analysis	67
	3.11.1 Data Sources and Data Analysis	67
3.12	Dimensional Accuracy	70
3.13	Expected findings and Summary	71
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>		<b>72</b>
4.1	Introduction	72
4.2	Effect of Cutting Parameters on machining performance of TiAlN Coated Carbide Tool	72
	4.2.1 Evaluation of Surface Roughness	72
	4.2.2 Evaluation of Tool Life	77

4.2.3	Evaluation of Hole Accuracy	80
4.3	Effect of cutting parameters on machining performance of Uncoated Carbide Tool	82
4.3.1	Evaluation of Surface Roughness	83
4.3.2	Evaluation of Tool Life	86
4.3.3	Evaluation of Hole Accuracy	89
4.4	Discussion between coated and uncoated tools performance	91
4.5	Optimization Characteristic using Desirability Function	94
4.6	Development of Second Order Model (RSM)	95
4.7	Optimization of Machining Performance	96
4.7.1	Surface roughness	96
4.7.2	Tool life	99
4.7.3	Hole accuracy	100
4.8	Results for Single Response Optimization	102
4.9	Results for Multi-Objective Optimization	107
4.10	Confirmation Run	110
4.11	Summary for Chapter 4	111
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>113</b>
5.1	Conclusion	113
5.2	Knowledge Contribution	115
5.3	Recommendations and Future Works	116

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>APPENDIX A1: AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCES, 8(4) SPECIAL 2014, PAGES: 332-339 AENSI JOURNALS AUSTRALIAN JOURNAL OF BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCES ISSN:1991-8178 JOURNAL</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>APPENDIX A2: ADVANCED NANOTECHNOLOGY IN ENGINEERING AND MEDICAL SCIENCE (ANEMS 2017) CONFERENCE 20-21 NOVEMBER 2017 LANGKAWI, MALAYSIA</b>	<b>132</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Chemical composition of austenite AISI 316L steel(in wt%)	29
Table 2.2	Physical property of austenite AISI 316L.	29
Table 2.3	Geometry and coating details	42
Table 3.1	Machining parameters	58
Table 3.2	Composition ranges for 316L austenite stainless steel	59
Table 3.3	Mechanical properties of 316L stainless steels	59
Table 3.4	Typical physical properties for 316 grade stainless steels.	59
Table 3.5	Grade specifications for 316L stainless steels.	60
Table 3.6	Geometry of the twist drill	60
Table 3.7	The summary of independent variables of the drilling experiments for each type of tool	68
Table 3.8	Design plan for drilling experiment for TiAlN coated drill (Actual)	68
Table 3.9	Design plan for drilling experiment for uncoated/solid carbide (actual)	68
Table 4.1	Experimental result for TiAlN coated carbide drill.	73
Table 4.2	ANOVA table for surface roughness (SR)	75
Table 4.3	ANOVA table for tool life (TL)	79
Table 4.4	ANOVA for hole accuracy using factorial model using TiAlN coated carbide drill	81
Table 4.5	Experimental result for uncoated carbide drill	82
Table 4.6	ANOVA for surface roughness using factorial model when using uncoated Carbide tool	84
Table 4.7	ANOVA result for tool life when using uncoated carbide tool	87
Table 4.8	ANOVA for hole accuracy when using uncoated carbide tool	90
Table 4.9	Results based on second-order CCD for TiAlN coated tool	95
Table 4.10	Constraints for optimization of cutting parameters and surface roughness	103
Table 4.11	Solution of optimized cutting parameters for surface roughness	103
Table 4.12	Constraints for optimization of cutting parameters and tool life	104
Table 4.13	Solution of optimized cutting parameters for tool life	105
Table 4.14	Constraints for optimization of cutting parameters and hole accuracy	105
Table 4.15	Solution of optimized cutting parameters for hole accuracy	106

Table 4.16	Set of goals for local optimization in drilling of 316L Austenite Stainless steel when using coated carbide tool	108
Table 4.17	Solution of optimized cutting parameters for uncoated tool	109
Table 4.18	Output from the point prediction in drilling 316L Austenite Stainless steel using TiAlN coated carbide tool	111
Table 4.19	Confirmation experiments in drilling 316L Austenite Stainless steel using TiAlN coated carbide tool	111

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Types of Austenite stainless steel.	11
Figure 2.2	Percentage of Nickel and Chromium on stainless steel.	12
Figure 2.3	Web lies inside the drill (top) and drill hardness zones (bottom). Source: Machine Shop Know-How, Frank M. Marlow , Metal Arts Press, First Edition, © March, 2010.	14
Figure 2.4	TiAlN-coated layer.	18
Figure 2.5	Main parts of the twist drill.	19
Figure 2.6	Drill angle at an angle of 118° is suitable for most general work. A drill point at an angle of 60° to 90° is used for soft material. On the other hand, a drill point at an angle of 135° to 150° is the best for hard materials.	20
Figure 2.7	Wear at the outer corners of the drill.	22
Figure 2.8	Chisel point breakdown.	22
Figure 2.9	Cutting angle; (a) excessive clearance, and (b) insufficient clearance.	23
Figure 2.10	Web is the tapered central position of the body that joints the lands.	24
Figure 2.11	Cutting lips with unequal angles.	24
Figure 2.12	Peck drilling.	26
Figure 2.13	Comparative machinability based on free machining of stainless steel. Source: National Screw Machine product Association	31
Figure 2.14	Surface roughness measurements, Source: Chen & Liao 2003	43
Figure 2.15	Surface roughness values with different cutting fluids applied, Source: Nouari et al., 2005	43
Figure 2.16	Surface roughness of AISI 1045 steel finished with different coated drills after drilling 12 holes at spindle speeds of 310 and 480 rpm.	44
Figure 2.17	Fractional fraction of factorial design.	48
Figure 2.18	Central composite design for RSM.	48
Figure 2.19	Three-dimensional response surface and corressponding contour plot. Source: Minitab Inc., USA	50
Figure 3.1	Schematic diagram of the experimental approach that used in this research	56
Figure 3.2	CNC High Speed Milling.	57
Figure 3.3	Workpiece material preparation and spacing for machined hole	59
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of drilling tool	61

Figure 3.5	Sample of TiAlN coated tool drill (point angle ( $\alpha$ ) = 1300)	61
Figure 3.6	Experimental setting	62
Figure 3.7	Machining set up	62
Figure 3.8	Customized peck drilling cycle	63
Figure 3.9	Minimum Quantity Lubrication (MQL) set up	64
Figure 3.10	Optical/video measuring system for measuring tool wear progression	65
Figure 3.11	Surface roughness tester for measuring surface profile of workpiece	66
Figure 3.12	Handysurf model E-35A and measurement location	66
Figure 3.13	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	67
Figure 3.14	Flowchart outlining the steps undertaken in statistical analysis	69
Figure 3.15	Coordinate Measuring Machine	70
Figure 3.16	Measurement of diameter for dimensional accuracy	71
Figure 4.1	Main effects for surface roughness in factorial model when using TiAlN coated carbide tools	74
Figure 4.2	Pareto chart for effects on surface roughness	74
Figure 4.3	(a) Normal probability plot of residuals and (b) Residuals vs. Run	76
Figure 4.4	Main plots of surface roughness ( $R_a$ ) versus (a) cutting speed (b) feed rate (c) point angle	77
Figure 4.5	Main effects for tool life when using TiAlN coated carbide tool	77
Figure 4.6	Pareto chart for effects on tool life	78
Figure 4.7	Main plots of tool life (TL) versus (a) cutting speed (b) feed rate (c) point angle.	80
Figure 4.8	Main plots of hole accuracy versus (a) cutting speed, (b) feed rate and	81
Figure 4.9	Main effects for surface roughness in factorial model when using uncoated carbide tools	84
Figure 4.10	Main plots of surface roughness ( $R_a$ ) versus (a) cutting speed (b) feed rate (c) point angle	85
Figure 4.11	Wear progress for Uncoated carbide drill for Cutting speed 110m/min, feed rate 0.15 and point angle 110 degrees.	86
Figure 4.12	Main effects for tool life in factorial model when using uncoated carbide tools.	87
Figure 4.13	Main plots of tool life versus (a) cutting speed (A), (b) feed rate (B), (c) point angle (C) and interaction (d) Cutting speed vs. feed rate (AB) (e) cutting speed vs. point angle (AC).	88



Figure 4.14	Main effects for hole accuracy when using uncoated carbide tools.	89
Figure 4.15	Pareto chart for the hole accuracy.	90
Figure 4.16	Main plots of hole accuracy versus (a) cutting speed (b) feed rate (c) point angle	91
Figure 4.17	Effect of parameter (point angle, feed rate) on surface roughness for coated and uncoated carbide tools	92
Figure 4.18	Effect of parameters (point angle (C), cutting speed (A)) on tool life for coated/uncoated carbide tools	93
Figure 4.19	Effect of parameters (point angle, cutting speed) on hole accuracy for coated/uncoated carbide tools.	94
Figure 4.20	Main and 3D surface plots of surface roughness (Ra) (a) effect of C & B, (b) effect of A & B and (c) effect of A & C	97
Figure 4.21	Formation of Build-Up Edge (BUE)	98
Figure 4.22	Counter plot for surface roughness againsts point angle and feed rate	98
Figure 4.23	Main and 3D surface plots of tool life (a) effect of A & C, (b) effect of B & C and (c) effect of A & B	100
Figure 4.24	Main and 3D surface plots of hole accuracy (a) effect of C & B, (b) effect of B & A and (c) effect of C & A	102
Figure 4.25	Desirability bar graph for surface roughness	104
Figure 4.26	Desirability bar graph for tool life	105
Figure 4.27	Desirability bar graph of hole accuracy	106
Figure 4.28	Desirability bar graph for multi-responses	108
Figure 4.29	3D plot graph of desirability	110

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Factor of cutting speed
B	Factor of feed rate
C	Factor of point angle
$D_A$	Average hole diameter
$D_T$	Tool diameter
$F_z$	Cutting force/thrust force (N)
$fr$	feed rate (mm/rev)
$R_a$	Surface roughness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
T	Tool life (minute)
$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k$	Input variables
$\Theta$	Point angle (degree)
V	Cutting speed (m/min)
y	Response
$\varepsilon$	Error
%	percent
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Degree celsius
mm	Millimetre
$\mu\text{m}$	Micrometre
$\text{\AA}$	Angstrom
MPa	Mega Pascal
W	Watt
N	Newton
wt	Weight
K	Degree Kelvin
Ni	Nickel
Cu	Copper
Fe	Iron
Mo	Molybdenum
Al	Aluminium
Ti	Titanium
Mn	Manganese
Si	Silicon

C	Carbon
Cr	Chromium
Nb	Niobium
N	Nitrogen
WC	Tungsten carbide

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CCD	Central composite design
CMM	Coordinate measuring machine
DE	Diameter error (mm)
HV	Hardness Vickers
HK	Hardness Knoop
FCC	Face-centered cubic
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
PA	Point angle
BUE	Built-up edge
MRR	Material removal rate
H.S.S	High speed steel
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
CBN	Cubic boron nitride
PCD	Polycrystalline diamond
PVD	Physical vapor deposition
CVD	Chemical vapor deposition
DOE	Design of Experiment
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
HRC	Hardness Rockwell unit
RSM	Response surface methodology
ANOVA	Analysis of variance

## REFERENCES

- Abdalla, H. S., Baines, W., McIntyre, G., & Slade, C. (2007). Development of novel sustainable neat-oil metal working fluids for stainless steel and titanium alloy machining . Part 1 . Formulation development. *International Journal Advance Technology*, (34), 21–33. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-006-0585-4>
- Akasawa, T., Sakurai, H., Nakamura, M., Tanaka, T., & Takano, K. (2003). Effects of free-cutting additives on the machinability of austenitic stainless steels. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 144, 66–71. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136\(03\)00321-2](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(03)00321-2)
- Alabdullah, M., Ashwin, P., Junior, N., & Guy, L. (2016). Experimental and finite element analysis of machinability of AL-6XN super austenitic stainless steel. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9766-y>
- Astakhov, V. P. (2006). Effects of the cutting feed, depth of cut, and work piece diameter on the tool wear rate; Springer-Verlag London Limited 2006.
- Astakhov, V. P., & Galitsky, V. V. (2008). The combined influence of various design and process parameters of gundrilling on tool life : experimental analysis and optimization. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 36, 852–864. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-006-0903-x>
- Baddoo, N. R. (2008). Stainless steel in construction: A review of research, applications, challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 64(11), 1199–1206.
- Balajia, M., Murthyb, B. S. N., & N. Mohan Raoc. (2016). Optimization of Cutting Parameters in Drilling Of AISI 304 Stainless Steel Using Taguchi and ANOVA. *Procedia Technology*, 25(Raerest), 1106–1113. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2016.08.217>
- Bonnet, C., Valiorgue, F., Rech, J., Bergheau, J. M., Gilles, P., & Claudin, C. (2008). Development of a friction modelling method in dry cutting of AISI 316L austenitic stainless steels. *International Journal Material Forming*, (1), 1211–1214. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12289-008-0>
- Bouزيد, L., Boutabba, S., & Girardin, F. (2014). Simultaneous optimization of surface roughness and material removal rate for turning of X20Cr13 stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 74, 879–891. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6043-9>

- Brecher, C., Esser, M., & Witt, S. (2009). Manufacturing Technology Interaction of manufacturing process and machine tool. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 58(2), 588–607. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2009.09.005>
- Çaydaş, U., Hasçalık, A., Buytoz, Ö., & Meyveci, A. (2011). Performance Evaluation of Different Twist Drills in Dry Drilling of AISI 304 Austenitic Stainless Steel Performance Evaluation of Different Twist Drills in Dry Drilling of AISI 304 Austenitic Stainless Steel. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 6914(March 2017). <http://doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2010.520790>
- Chatterjee, S., Abhishek, K., Sankar, S., & Datta, S. (2014). NSGA-II Approach of Optimization to Study the Effects of Drilling Parameters in AISI-304 Stainless Steel. *Procedia Engineering*, 97, 78–84. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.12.227>
- Chen, M., Liu, G., & Zhang, X. (2017). Optimization Studies On Hole-Making Tools For High-Performance Cutting Austenitic Stainless Steel. *Machining Science and Technology*, 11, 183–200. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10910340701339994>
- Chen, Y. C., & Liao, Y. S. (2003). Study on wear mechanisms in drilling of Inconel 718 superalloy. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 140, 269–273. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136\(03\)00792-1](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-0136(03)00792-1)
- Cheng, X., Jin, S., Liao, T., & Jiang, F. (2016). Optimizing the geometric parameters of chamfered edge for rough machining Fe – Cr – Ni stainless steel. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9736-4>
- Ching-kao, C., & Lu, H. S. (2007). The optimal cutting-parameter selection of heavy cutting process in side milling for SUS304 stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 34, 440–447. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-006-0630-3>
- Chuang, K. H., Hwang, K. S., Chuang, K. H., & Hwang, K. S. (2010). Free machining PIM 316L stainless steels Free machining PIM 316L stainless steels. *Powder Metallurgy*, 53(March). <http://doi.org/10.1179/003258909X12502679013611>
- Chuangwen, X., Ting, X., Huaiyuan, L., Zhicheng, S., & Hongbing, J. (2016). Friction , wear , and cutting tests on 022Cr17Ni12Mo2 stainless steel under minimum quantity lubrication conditions. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9406-6>
- Cicek, A., Kivak, T., Uygur, I., & Nursel Altan. Ozbek. (2014). Machinability of AISI 316 Austenitic Stainless Steel Twist Drills Machinability of AISI 316 Austenitic Stainless Steel With Cryogenically Treated M35 High-Speed Steel Twist Drills. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 134, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1115/1.4007620>

- Ciftci, I. (2006). Machining of austenitic stainless steels using CVD multi-layer coated cemented carbide tools. *Tribology International*, 39(6), 565–569.
- Cook, N. (1975). What is Machinability? In Proceedings from an International Symposium on Influence of Metallurgy on Machinability (pp. 1–10).
- Dhananchezian, M., Kumar, M. P., Sornakumar, T., Dhananchezian, M., Kumar, M. P., & Sornakumar, T. (2017). Cryogenic Turning of AISI 304 Stainless Steel with Modified Tungsten Carbide Tool Inserts Cryogenic Turning of AISI 304 Stainless Steel with Modified. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 6914(March). <http://doi.org/10.1080/10426911003720821>
- Diniz, A. E., Machado, Á. R., & Corrêa, J. G. (2016). Tool wear mechanisms in the machining of steels and stainless steels. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 3157–3168. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-8704-3>
- Dolins, S. (2003). Work-hardening in the drilling of austenitic stainless steels. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 133, 63–70.
- Dudzinski, D., Devillez, A., Moufki, A., Larrouquère, D., Zerrouki, V., & Vigneau, J. (2004). A Review of Development Towards Dry and High Speed Machining of Inconel 718 Alloy. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 44, 439–456.
- Dureja, J. S., Singh, R., Singh, T., Singh, P., Dogra, M., & Bhatti, M. S. (2015). Performance Evaluation of Coated Carbide Tool in Machining of Stainless Steel ( AISI 202 ) under Minimum Quantity Lubrication ( MQL ). *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology*, 2(2), 123–129. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s40684-015-0016-9>
- El-Tamimi, A. M., & El-Hossainy, T. M. (2008a). Investigating the Machinability of AISI 420 Stainless Steel Using Factorial Design Investigating the Machinability of AISI 420. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 6914(23), 419–426. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10426910801974838>
- El-Tamimi, A. M., & El-Hossainy, T. M. (2008b). Investigating the Tool Life , Cutting Force Components , and Surface Roughness of AISI 302 Stainless Steel Material Under Oblique Machining Investigating the Tool Life , Cutting Force Components , and Surface Roughness of AISI 302 Stainless Steel. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 6914(23), 427–438. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10426910801974846>
- Elhami, S., Razfar, M. R., Farahnakian, M., & Rasti, A. (2013). Application of GONNS to predict constrained optimum surface roughness in face milling of high-silicon austenitic stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 975–986. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-012-4382-y>

- Ezugwu, E. O., Bonney, J., & Yamane, Y. (2003). An overview of the machinability of aeroengine alloys. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, *134*, 233–253.
- Ezugwu, E. O., Fadare, D. A., Bonney, J., Silva, R. B. Da, & Sales, W. F. (2005). Modelling the correlation between cutting and process parameters in high-speed machining of Inconel 718 alloy using an artificial neural network. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, *45*, 1375–1385. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2005.02.004>
- Faverjon, P., & Orset, M. (2015). Optimization of a drilling sequence under MQL to minimize the thermal distortion of a complex aluminum part. *Production Engineering Research Development*, *9*, 505–515. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11740-015-0614-y>
- Flachs, J. R., Salahshoor, M., & Melkote, S. N. (2014). Mechanistic models of thrust force and torque in step-drilling of Al7075-T651. *Production Engineering Research Development*, *8*, 319–333. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11740-014-0531-5>
- Gok, K., Gok, A., & Kisioglu, Y. (2015). Optimization of processing parameters of a developed new driller system for orthopedic surgery applications using Taguchi method. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (76), 1437–1448. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6327-0>
- Gopalsamy, B. M., & Mondal, B. (2009). Optimisation of machining parameters for hard machining: grey relational theory approach and ANOVA. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, *45*, 1068–1086. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-009-2054-3>
- Griffiths, B. J. (1987). Mechanisms of White Layer Generation with Reference to Machining Processes and Deformation Processes. *ASME Transactions, Journal of Tribology*, *109*, 525–530.
- Haggerty, W. A. (1960). The Effect of Drill Symmetry on Performance. In *ASTME Technical Paper No. 254*.
- Hamdan, A., Sarhan, A. A. D., & Hamdi, M. (2012). An optimization method of the machining parameters in high-speed machining of stainless steel using coated carbide tool for best surface finish. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 81–91. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3392-5>
- Heinemann, R., Hinduja, S., Barrow, G., & Petuelli, G. (2006). Effect of MQL on the tool life of small twist drills in deep-hole drilling. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, *46*, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2005.04.003>
- Hillery, M. T., & Shuaib, I. (1999). Temperature effects in the drilling of human and bovine bone. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, *93*, 302–308.



- Hong, S. Y., & Broomer, M. (2000). Economical and ecological cryogenic machining of AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel. *Clean Products and Processes*, 2, 157–166.
- Hsieh, J.-F., & Lin, P. D. (2005). Drill point geometry of multi-flute drills. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 26(5), 466–476. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-003-2027-x>
- Isabel, A., Barreiro, F. J., Norberto, L., Lacalle, L. De, & Martínez-pellitero, S. (2012). Behavior of austenitic stainless steels at high speed turning using specific force coefficients. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (62), 505–515. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3846-9>
- ISO3685. (1993). Tool-life testing with single-point turning tools. *ISO 3685 Second Edition 1993-11-15, 1993*, 1–48. <http://doi.org/10.7763/IJCTE.2012.V4.544>
- Jahan, M. P., Wong, Y. S., & Rahman, M. (2010). A comparative experimental investigation of deep-hole micro-EDM drilling capability for cemented carbide ( WC-Co ) against austenitic stainless steel ( SUS 304 ). *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (46), 1145–1160. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-009-2167-8>
- Jayabal, S., & Natarajan, U. (2010). Optimization of thrust force , torque , and tool wear in drilling of coir fiber-reinforced composites using Nelder – Mead and genetic algorithm methods. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 371–381. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-010-2605-7>
- Jiang, L., Hanninen, H., Paro, J., & Kauppinen, V. (1996). Active Wear and Failure Mechanisms of TiN-Coated High Speed Steel and TiN-Coated Cemented Carbide Tools When Machining Powder Metallurgically Made Stainless Steels. *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A*, 27, 2796–2808.
- Jianxin, D., Jiantou, Z., Hui, Z., & Pei, Y. (2011). Wear mechanisms of cemented carbide tools in dry cutting of precipitation hardening semi-austenitic stainless steels. *Wear*, 270(7–8), 520–527. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2011.01.006>
- Junior, A. B., & Diniz, A. E. (2009). Tool wear and tool life in end milling of 15 – 5 PH stainless steel under different cooling and lubrication conditions. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 43, 756–764. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-008-1744-6>
- Jurko, J., & Panda, A. (2012). Identification the tool wear mechanisms and forms at drilling of a new stainless steels. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 3, 127–132. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aasri.2012.11.022>

- Kao, W. H., Su, Y. L., Yao, S. H., & Luan, J. M. (2005). The optimum composition of Me – C : H coatings on drills for cutting performance. *Materials Science and Engineering A*, 398, 233–240. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2005.03.024>
- Kelly, J. F., & Cotterell, M. G. (2002). Minimal lubrication machining of aluminium alloys, *120*, 327–334.
- Kim, G., Kwon, W., & Chu, C. (1999). Indirect cutting force measurement and cutting force regulation using spindle motor current. *International Journal Manufacturing Science Technology*, 1, 46–54.
- Klocke, F., & Krieg, T. (1999). Coated Tools for Metal Cutting – Features and Applications. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, 48(2), 515–525. [http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-8506\(07\)63231-4](http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-8506(07)63231-4)
- Komanduri, R., & Brown, R. H. (1981). On the Mechanics of Chip Segmentation in Machining. *Journal of Engineering Industry (Trans. ASME)*, (103), 33–51.
- Korkut, I., Kasap, M., Ciftci, I., & Ulvi. Seker. (2004). Materials & Design Determination of optimum cutting parameters during machining of AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel. *Material and Design*, 25, 303–305. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2003.10.011>
- Koyee, R. D., Eisseler, R., & Schmauder, S. (2014). Application of Taguchi coupled Fuzzy Multi Attribute Decision Making ( FMADM ) for optimizing surface quality in turning austenitic and duplex stainless steels. *Measurement*, 58, 375–386. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2014.09.015>
- Krar, S. F., Gill, A. R., & Smid, P. (2005). *Technology of Machine Tools, Sixth Edition*.
- Ku, W., Hung, C., & Lee, S. (2011). Optimization in thermal friction drilling for SUS 304 stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 935–944. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-010-2899-5>
- Kulkarni, A. P., Joshi, G. G., & Sargade, V. G. (2017). Performance of PVD AlTiCrN coating during machining of austenitic stainless steel Performance of PVD AlTiCrN coating during machining of austenitic stainless steel. *Surface Engineering*, 29, 402–407. <http://doi.org/10.1179/1743294413Y.0000000130>
- Kumar, S., Dubey, A. K., & Pandey, A. K. (2013). Computer-Aided Genetic Algorithm Based Multi-Objective Optimization of Laser Trepan Drilling. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 14(7), 1119–1125. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12541-013-0152-5>
- Kuram, E., & Ozcelik, B. (2016). Micro-milling performance of AISI 304 stainless steel using Taguchi method and fuzzy logic modelling. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 817–830. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-014-0916-5>

- Kuriakose, S., Kumar, P., & Bhatt, J. (2017). Journal of Materials Processing Technology Machinability study of Zr-Cu-Ti metallic glass by micro hole drilling using micro-USM. *Journal of Materials Processing Tech.*, 240, 42–51. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2016.08.026>
- Kurt, M., Bagci, E., & Kaynak, Y. (2009). Application of Taguchi methods in the optimization of cutting parameters for surface finish and hole diameter accuracy in dry drilling processes, 458–469. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-007-1368-2>
- Kuzu, A. T., Berenji, K. R., & Bakkal, M. (2016). Thermal and force modeling of CGI drilling. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 82, 1649–1662. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7466-7>
- Li, B., Hu, Y., & Wang, H. (2007). Analysis and simulation for a parallel drill point grinder Part I: kinematics , workspace and singularity analysis. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (31), 915–925. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-005-0265-9>
- Liew, W. Y. H. (2017). Experimental Study on the Performance of Coated Carbide Tools in the Ultra-Precision Machining of Stainless Steel Experimental Study on the Performance of Coated Carbide Tools in the Ultra-Precision Machining of Stainless Steel. *Journal of Tribology Transactions*, 52, 293–302. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10402000802302508>
- Liew, W. Y. H., Lu, Y. G., Ding, X., Ngoi, B. K. A., & Yuan, S. (2004). Performance of uncoated and coated carbide tools in the ultra-precision machining of stainless steel. *Tribology Letters*, 17(4), 851–857.
- Liew, W. Y. H., Ngoi, B. K. A., & Lu, Y. G. (2003). Wear characteristics of PCBN tools in the ultra-precision machining of stainless steel at low speeds, 254, 265–277. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1648\(03\)00002-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1648(03)00002-4)
- Lin, T. (2002). Cutting behavior of a TiN-coated carbide drill with curved cutting edges during the high-speed machining of stainless steel. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 127, 8–16.
- Lin, T., & Shyu, R. (2000). Improvement of Tool Life and Exit Burr using Variable Feeds when Drilling Stainless Steel with Coated Drills. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (16), 308–313.
- Lindgren, B. (1980). *Constant Feed Force Machinability Test, A Method Study*. Göteborg: Chalmers University of Technology.
- Lobato, F. S., Sousa, M. N., Silva, M. A., & Machado, A. R. (2014). Multi-objective optimization and bio-inspired methods applied to machinability of stainless steel. *Applied Soft Computing*, 22, 261–271. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2014.05.004>

- Lochner, R. H., & Matar, J. E. (1990). *Designing for Quality An Introduction to the Best of Taguchi and Western Methods of Statistical Experiment Design*. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Machado, A. R., & Wallbank, J. (1997). The effect of extremely low lubricant volumes in machining. *Wear*, *210*, 76–82.
- Mahdavinejad, R. A., & Saeedy, S. (2011). Investigation of the influential parameters of machining of AISI 304 stainless steel. *Indian Academy of Sciences*, *36*(December), 963–970.
- Mannan, M., & Alsagoff, S. (2004). Hole Quality in Drilling of Annealed Inconel 718. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Two NAMRC Conference, Charlotte, USA*.
- Maranhão, C., & Davim, J. P. (2010). Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory Finite element modelling of machining of AISI 316 steel : Numerical simulation and experimental validation. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, *18*(2), 139–156. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2009.10.001>
- Maurel-Pantel, Fontaine, M., & Michel, G. (2013). Experimental investigations from conventional to high speed milling on a 304-L stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Technology*, *69*, 2191–2213. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-5159-7>
- Meena, A., & Mansori, M. El. (2011). Study of dry and minimum quantity lubrication drilling of novel austempered ductile iron ( ADI ) for automotive applications. *Wear*, *271*(9–10), 2412–2416. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2010.12.022>
- Mijanovic, K., & Sokovic, M. (2001). Ecological aspects of the cutting fluids and its influence on quantifiable parameters of the cutting processes. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, *109*(1–2), 181–189.
- Min, S., Ming, H., Yuan, F., & Hwa, B. (2009). International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture Friction drilling of austenitic stainless steel by uncoated and PVD AlCrN- and TiAlN-coated tungsten carbide tools. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, *49*, 81–88. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2008.07.012>
- Mondol, K., Azad, M. S., & Puri, A. B. (2015). Analysis of micro-electrical discharge drilling characteristics in a thin plate of Ti – 6Al – 4 V. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, *(76)*, 141–150. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-5414-y>
- Montgomery, D. C. (2001). *Practical Experiment Design for Engineers and Scientist* 3rd Edition, John Waley & sons, Inc. New York.

- Muñoz-escalona, P., Shokrani, A., & Newman, S. T. (2015). Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing Influence of cutting environments on surface integrity and power consumption of austenitic stainless steel. *Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 36, 60–69. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2014.12.013>
- Myers, R. H., & Montgomery, D. C. (2002). *Response Surface Methodology*, 2nd edn. JohnWiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Nomani, J., Pramanik, A., Hilditch, T., & Littlefair, G. (2013). Machinability study of first generation duplex ( 2205 ), second generation duplex ( 2507 ) and austenite stainless steel during drilling process. *Wear*, 304(1–2), 20–28. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2013.04.008>
- Nouari, M., List, G., Girot, F., & Gehin, D. (2005). Effect of machining parameters and coating on wear mechanisms in dry drilling of aluminium alloys. *International Journal Machine Tools & Manufacture*, 45, 1436–1442. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2005.01.026>
- Oh, Y. T., Kwon, W. T., & Chu, hong N. (2004). Drilling torque control using spindle motor current and its effect on tool wear. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 24, 327–334. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-002-1490-0>
- Olsson, J., & Snis, M. (2007). A new generation of stainless steels for desalination plants. *Desalination*, 205(1–3), 104–113.
- Palanisamy, D., Senthil, P., & Senthilkumar, V. (2015). The effect of aging on machinability of 15Cr – 5Ni precipitation hardened stainless steel. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*, 16(1), 53–63. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.acme.2015.09.004>
- Patra, K., Anand, R. S., Steiner, M., & Biermann, D. (2015). Experimental Analysis of Cutting Forces in Microdrilling of Austenitic Stainless Steel (X5CrNi18-10). *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 30(2), 248–255. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2014.941867>
- Patra, K., Jha, A. K., Szalay, T., Ranjan, J., & Monostori, L. (2017). Artificial neural network based tool condition monitoring in micro mechanical peck drilling using thrust force signals. *Precision Engineering*, 48, 279–291. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2016.12.011>
- Prabhu, T., & Arulmurugu, A. (2014). Experimental And Analysis Of Friction Drilling On Aluminium And Copper. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology (IJMET)*, 5(5), 123–132.

- Rao, R. V., & Kalyankar, V. D. (2014). Optimization of modern machining processes using advanced optimization techniques: a review. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 73, 1159–1188. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-5894-4>
- Reiter, A. E., Brunner, B., Ante, M., & Rechberger, J. (2006). Investigation of several PVD coatings for blind hole tapping in austenitic stainless steel. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 200, 5532–5541.
- Sathyanarayanan, G., Kane, G. E., Sowerby, R., & Chandrasekaran, N. (1989). A Search for a Short Term Test to Assess the Machinability of Stainless Steels. *Journal Material Shaping Technology*, 7(3), 161–167.
- Shao, H., Liu, L., & Qu, H. L. (2007). Machinability study on 3 % Co – 12 % Cr stainless steel in milling, 263, 736–744. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2007.01.074>
- Sharif, M. N., Pervaiz, S., & Deiab, I. (2017). Potential of alternative lubrication strategies for metal cutting processes: a review. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 89, 2447–2479. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9298-5>
- Sharidan, M. and S. Sharif ( 2014). Performance Evaluation On Drilling Of Austeniticstainless Steel 316l Using Hss Tool. *Journal Mechanical* 37(2): 18-28.
- Sharman, A. R. C., Amarasinghe, A., & Ridgway, K. (2007). Tool life and surface integrity aspects when drilling and hole making in Inconel 718. *Journal of Material Processing Technology*, 200, 424–432. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2007.08.080>
- Silverman, D. E., Chu, B., Jacoby, B. E., & Samuel, J. (2017). Evaluation of micro-drilling technologies for metal- injection-molded 420 stainless steel. *Machining Science and Technology*, 20(3), 368–385. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10910344.2016.1191025>
- Siow, P. C., Dayou, S., & Liew, W. Y. H. (2011). Investigation Of The Tool Wear And Surface Finish In Low-Speed Milling Of Stainless Steel Under Flood And Mist Lubrication The Tool Wear And Surface Finish In Low-Speed Milling Of Stainless Steel. *Machining Science and Technology*, 344(15), 284–305. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10910344.2011.600185>
- Smith, C. (2007). Why use duplex stainless steel?, in The Fabricator. FMA Communications Inc: thefabricator.com.
- Soratgar, M. (1987). An Analysis of The Effectiveness of Reaming as a Secondary Operation for Hole Production. Texas Tech University.

- Sultan, A. Z., Sharif, S., & Kurniawan, D. (2015a). Chip Formation When Drilling AISI 316L Stainless Steel using Carbide Twist Drill. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2(February), 224–229. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.039>
- Sultan, A. Z., Sharif, S., & Kurniawan, D. (2015b). Effect of Machining Parameters on Tool Wear and Hole Quality of AISI 316L Stainless Steel in Conventional Drilling. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2(February), 202–207. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.035>
- Sumesh, A. S., & Shibu, M. E. (2016). Optimization Of Drilling Parameters For Minimum Surface Roughness Using Taguchi Method. *Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 12–20.
- Tang, L., & Guo, Y. F. (2014). Electrical discharge precision machining parameters optimization investigation on S-03 special stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Technology*, 1369–1376. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-5380-4>
- Tekiner, Z., & Yesilyur, S. (2004). Investigation of the cutting parameters depending on process sound during turning of AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel. *Material and Design*, 25, 507–513.
- Thangaraj, A., & Langenstein, M. (1990). An Investigation Into the Relationships Between Hole Quality and Drilling Forces. In *Proceedings of Manufacturing International, Part 4, Advances in Materials and Automation* (pp. 149–157). New York: ASME.
- Thompson, J. (2013). Drilling Hard Material Reduced cutting conditions, reinforced cutting edges the key to successful hard drilling.
- Tonshoff, H. K., Spintig, W., Konig, I., & Neises, A. (1994). Machining of Holes Developments in Drilling Technology. *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*.
- Toor, I., H, U., Hyun, P. J., & Kwon, H. S. (2008). Development of high Mn–N duplex stainless steel for automobile structural components. *Corrosion Science*, 50(2), 404–410.
- Trent, E. M., & Wright, P. . (2000). Metal cutting Mechanics. In *Metal cutting Mechanics*. Butterworth Heinemann, Boston, Massachusetts USA.
- Turkovich, B. F. (1981). Survey on Material Behaviour in Machining. *Annals of the CIRP*, 2(30), 145–153.
- Uysal, A., Altan, M., & Altan, E. (2012). Effects of cutting parameters on tool wear in drilling of polymer composite by Taguchi method. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (58), 915–921. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3464-6>

- Voronenko, B. (1997). Austenitic-ferritic stainless steels: A state-of-the-art review. *Metal Science and Heat Treatment*, 39(10), 428–437.
- Walsh, R. A. (2006). McGraw-Hill Machining and Metalworking Handbook.
- Wang, X., Huang, C., Zou, B., Liu, H., & Wang, J. (2013). Effects of geometric structure of twist drill bits and cutting condition on tool life in drilling 42CrMo ultrahigh-strength steel. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (64), 41–47. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-012-4026-2>
- Wang, Y., Yan, X., Li, B., & Tu, G. (2012). The study on the chip formation and wear behavior for drilling forged steel S48CS1V with TiAlN-coated gun drill. *International Journal of Refractory Metals and Hard Materials*, 30(1), 200–207. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmhm.2011.08.010>
- Weinert, K., & Petzoldt, V. (2004). Machining of NiTi based shape memory alloys, 378(October 2003), 180–184. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2003.10.344>
- Xiong, L. (2016). The energy conservation optimization design of the cutting edges of the twist drill based on Dijkstra ' s algorithm. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 889–900. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-015-7165-4>
- Xu, X., Huang, S., Wang, M., & Yao, W. (2016). A study on process parameters in end milling of AISI-304 stainless steel under electrostatic minimum quantity lubrication conditions. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-9417-3>
- Yan, L., Yang, W., Jin, H., & Wang, Z. (2012). Analytical modelling of microstructure changes in the machining of 304 stainless steel. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, (58), 45–55. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-011-3384-5>
- Yang, S., Yongjie, C., Li, Z., Haitao, F., Jia, P., Min, L. I. U., ... Zhilin, L. I. U. (2011). Effect of Radial Depth on Vibration and Surface Roughness During Face Milling of Austenitic Stainless Steel \*. *Trans. Tianjin University*, (2009), 336–339. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12209>
- Zhang, Y., Zou, P., Li, B., & Liang, S. (2015). Study on optimized principles of process parameters for environmentally friendly machining austenitic stainless steel with high efficiency and little energy consumption. *International Journal Advance Manufacturing Technology*, 79, 89–99. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-014-6763-x>
- Zhuang, L., & Wu, Di. (2010). Effect of Free-cutting Additives on Machining Characteristics of Austenitic Stainless Steels. *Journal Material Science Technology*, 26(9), 839–844. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S1005-0302\(10\)60134-X](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1005-0302(10)60134-X)



Zou, P., Kim, M. Il, & Liu, F. (2016). Modeling and optimization of grinding parameters for custom-oriented twist drill with a Biglide parallel machine. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-016-8805-z>