Environmental, social and governance initiatives and wealth creation for firms : an empirical examination

Rajesh Kumar Bhaskaran^a, Irene Wei Kiong Ting^b, Sujit K. Sukumaran^a, Saraswathy Divakaran Sumod^c ^a Department of Finance, Institute of Management Technology Dubai, Dubai, United Arab Emirates ^b Faculty of Industrial Management, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Gambang, Pahang , Malaysia ^c Department of Strategic Management, Indian Institute of Management Kozhikode, Kozhikode, India

ABSTRACT

This study examines the effect of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) activities on firm performance of 4,887 global companies. Mean difference test shows that firms with a high level of ESG activities are different from their low-ESG counterparts. The two-stage least square results suggest that ESG activities on (a) the welfare for internal stakeholders and best corporate governance practices are beneficial for firm performance and (b) antitakeover mechanisms (pollution control) adopted by firms are negatively (positively) valued by market players. Overall, this is the first study to examine the effects of ESG on the market-based and accounting-based performance of global firms.

KEYWORDS

ESG activities; Internal stakeholders; Corporate governance; Global firms

REFERENCES

- Allouche, J., Laroche, P. (2005) A meta-analytical investigation of the relationship between corporate social and financial performance.
- Aragón-Correa, J.A.
 Strategic proactivity and firm approach to the natural environment (1998) Academy of Management Journal, 41 (5), pp. 556-567.
- Arya, B., Zhang, G. Institutional reforms and investor reactions to CSR announcements: Evidence from an emerging economy (2009) *Journal of Management Studies*, 46 (7), pp. 1089-1112.

 Bansal, P., Gao, J., Qureshi, I. The Extensiveness of Corporate Social and Environmental Commitment across Firms over Time (2014) Organization Studies, 35 (7), pp. 949-966.

5. Barnett, M.L.

Stakeholder influence capacity and the variability of financial returns to corporate social responsibility

(2007) Academy of Management Review, 32 (3), pp. 794-816.