

3D CFD study on hydrodynamics and mass transfer phenomena for SWM feed spacer with different floating characteristics

K.Y.Toh^a, Y.Y.Liang^{a,}, W.J.Lau^b, G.A.FimbresWeihs^{c,d}*

^aFaculty of Chemical and Process Engineering Technology, College of Engineering Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Lebuhraya Tun Razak, 26300 Gambang, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

^bAdvanced Membrane Technology Research Center, School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia

^cThe University of Sydney, School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, NSW2006, Australia

^dCONACyT Instituto Tecnológico de Sonora, 5 de Febrero 818 Sur, Cd. Obregón, Sonora C.P. 85000, Mexico

ABSTRACT

Enhancing the efficiency of reverse osmosis (RO) applications through the design and modification of spacer geometries for spiral wound membrane (SWM) modules remains a challenging task. In this work, four 3D feed spacer geometries with different degrees of “floating” characteristics are studied using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation to investigate the mechanisms that result in shear stress and mass transfer enhancement. The modelled data reveal that the floating ratio (R_f) is not a determining factor for mass transfer enhancement, as the transport mechanism is more strongly dependent on other geometric characteristics, such as a 2- or 3-layer design. The analysis confirms our hypothesis, as the middle filament in a 3-layer design disrupts the formation of the large streamwise vortex located downstream of the intersection between the top and bottom filaments at $Re_h 200$. This explains why 3-layer spacers (both woven and non-woven) show lower Sherwood number (Sh) than a 2-layer woven (2LW) spacer at $Re_h 200$. However, at a smaller $Re_h (< 100)$, the vortical flow for 2LW is rather weak as a result of reduced membrane region with fluid mixing caused by creeping flow. This has led to the smaller Sh of 2LW compared to the 3-layer spacer.

KEYWORDS: CFD; spiral wound module floating spacer; mass transfer

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2020.04.010>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the research funding supported by Universiti Malaysia Pahang (Project numbers RDU1803116 and PGRS1903127), CONACYT (PDCPN2015-1221 and Cátedras Project 2338) and Instituto Tecnológico de Sonora (PROFAPI fund Project 20190054). First author- K. Y. Toh gratefully acknowledges scholarship offered by Universiti Malaysia Pahang throughout his study.