UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

PENGISYT	PENGISYTIHARAN TESIS			
Nama Penuh Penulis : <u>Wan Sulaima</u>	Nama Penuh Penulis : <u>Wan Sulaiman Bin Wan Mohamad</u>			
Tarikh Lahir : <u>11 Januari 19</u>	9 <u>80</u>			
Tajuk: A Study of E3 DOF Revol	nergy Utilisation in A Variable Link Length lute Articulated Manipulator			
Sesi Akademik : <u>Semester 2 2</u>	010/2011			
Saya mengaku bahawa tesis ini dikla	sifikasikan sebagai:			
SULIT	(Mengandungi maklumat sulit di bawah Akta Rahsia Rasmi 1972)			
√ TERHAD	(Mengandungi maklumat terhad seperti yang dinyatakan oleh organisasi di mana penyelidikan telah dijalakan)			
CAPAIAN TERBUKA Saya bersetuju tesis saya diterbitkan sebagai capaian terbuka atas talian (seluruh teks)				
Saya mengaku Universiti Malaysia Pahang mempunyai hak berikut:				
 Tesis ini adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Pahang Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Pahang mempunyai hak untuk membuat salinan bagi tujuan penyelidikan sahaja Perpustakaan mempunyai hak untuk membuat salinan tesis bagi tujuan pertukaran akademik 				
Tandatangan	Tandatangan Penyelia			
800111-06-5241	PROF MADYA DR WAN AZHAR			
NO. KAD PENGENALAN	BIN WAN YUSOFF NAMA PENYELIA			
Tarikh: 4 Julai 2011Tarikh: 4 Julai 2011				

A STUDY OF ENERGY UTILISATION IN A VARIABLE LINK LENGTH 3 DOF REVOLUTE ARTICULATED MANIPULATOR

WAN SULAIMAN BIN WAN MOHAMAD

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering in Mechanical

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JULAI 2011

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering in Mechanical.

SignatureName of Supervisor:DR. WAN AZHAR BIN WAN YUSOFFPosition:ASSOCIATE PROFESSORDate:4th JULAI 2011

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. This thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted for award of other degree.

SignatureName:WAN SULAIMAN BIN WAN MOHAMADID Number:MMM 07001Date:4th JULAI 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	ii
STUDENT'S DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Research Motivation	1
1.2	Research Problem Statements	4
1.3	Research Objectives	6
1.4	Research Scope	7
1.5	Research Methodology	7
1.6	Thesis Arrangement	8

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction		10
2.2	History of Robotics		
2.3	Classificatio	on of Robots	14
2.4	Robot Appli	cations	15
2.5	Robot Comp	ponents	16
2.6	Types of Joi	nt Motions	17
2.7	Common Ki	nematics Arrangements	19
2.8	Robot Work	spaces	24
2.9	Path and Tra	ajectory Planning	25
	2.9.1	Linear Segments With Parabolic Blending	27
2.10	Robotic Opt	imization	31
	2.10.1	Optimization on Trajectory	31
	2.10.2	Optimization on Torque	32
	2.10.3	Optimization on Energy	34
2.11	Summary of	Robotics Optimization	35
2.12	Background	of Link Length Optimization	36
2.13	Energy		39
	2.13.1	Kinematics of A Rigid Body	41
	2.13.2	Kinetics of A Rigid Body	43
2.14	Statement or Relation	f Problem Pertaining to the Energy and Energy-Torque	43

	2.14.1	case 1: Fixed Length of Links	46
	2.14.2	case 2: Variable Length of Links	48
	2.14.3	case 3: Energy-Torque Relation	51
2.15	Summary of	the Literature Review	53

CHAPTER 3 DEVELOPMENT OF ENERGY FORMULATION

3.1	Introduction	n	55
3.2	The Configu Revolute Ar	ration and Specifications of the Variable Link Length 3 DOF ticulated Manipulator	55
	3.2.1	Payload	61
	3.2.2	Fixed Length of the Manipulator	61
	3.2.3	Workspace	62
3.3	Developmen DOF Revolu	nt of the Dynamics Parameters of the Variable Link Length 3 Ite Articulated Manipulator	63
3.4	Transforma	tion of Frames For the 3 DOF Manipulator	71
3.5	Inverse Kin	ematics for 3 DOF Articulated Manipulator	73
3.6	Detailed Dev Planar Mani	velopment of the Average Energy Formulation for the 2 DOF pulator	77
	3.6.1	step 1: Finding the Displacements of Each Mass Center	79
	3.6.2	step 2: Finding the Velocities of Each Mass Centers	83
	3.6.3	step 3: Kinetic Energy	86
	3.6.4	step 4: Potential Energy	88
	3.6.5	step 5: Energy	92
3.7	Summary		94

CHAPTER 4 SIMULATION AND RESULTS

4.1	Introduction			95
4.2	Simulation Ob	Simulation Objectives		96
4.3	Simulation Se	t-up an	d Methodology	96
4.4	Simulation Pro	ogramr	ning	97
4.5	Simulation Sco	ope		100
4.6	Simulation Re	sults a	nd Discussions	101
	4.6.1	Fraject	ory 1	102
	4.0	5.1.1	First movement of trajectory 1	104
	4.0	5.1.2	Second movement of trajectory 1	107
	4.0	5.1.3	Third movement of trajectory 1	109
	4.0	5.1.4	Fourth movement of trajectory 1	111
	4.6.2	Fraject	ory 2	115
4.7	Conclusions			118
4.8	Summary			119

CHAPTER 5 EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS

5.1	Introduction	n	120
5.2	Experiment	al Objectives	120
5.3	Experiment	al Set-up	121
	5.3.1	Experimental Set-up: Three DOF Articulated Manipulator	122

	5.3.2	Experin	nental Set-up: Personal Computers	122
	5.3.3	Experin	mental Set-up: AC Servomotors and Drivers	132
	5.3.4	Experin	nental Set-up: Electrical System	133
5.4	Experimen	tal Scope		133
5.5	Results and	d Discussio	ons	134
	5.5.1	Graph 1	Patterns	134
	5.5.2	Overall	Result	144
		5.5.2.1	AC Servomotor 1	145
		5.5.2.2	AC Servomotor 2	146
		5.5.2.3	AC Servomotor 3	147
	5.5.3	Discuss	sions of the Simulation and Experimental Results	148
	5.5.4	Discus the Ex	ssions of the Discrepancies of the Percentage Saving of perimental Results	149
5.6	Conclusior	18		152
5.7	Summary			152

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1	Introduction	153
6.2	Research Summary	153
6.3	Research Conclusions	154
6.4	Future Research	156

REFERENCES	158
APPENDIX	163

LIST OF TABLES

Table No	. Title	Page
2.1	Summary of robotic events	13
2.2	Combination of link length manipulation	49
2.3	The effects of angular displacements according to the combination of link1 and link 2	50
3.1	The specification of the variable 3 DOF articulated manipulator	57
4.1	Trajectories motion of the manipulator	101
4.2	Length of some link's combinations and their values	102
4.3	The average potential and kinetics energy of mass centers for each link's combination for the first movement of the trajectory 1	105
4.4	The average potential and kinetics energy of mass centers for each link's combination for the second movement of the trajectory 1	108
4.5	The average potential and kinetics energy of mass centers for each link's combination for the third movement of the trajectory 1	110
4.6	The average potential and kinetics energy of mass centers for each link's combination for the fourth movement of the trajectory 1	112
4.7	The distance traveled by the end effector for the trajectory 1	114
4.8	The distance traveled by the end effector for the trajectory 2	117
5.1	Command directions of AC servomotors	132
5.2	Overall experimental results for some combination of link lengths for all AC servomotors	144

5.3	The overall result for the AC servomotor 1	145
5.4	The average torque, angular velocity, angular acceleration, total displaced angles and total works for some combinations of link length for AC servomotor 2	146
5.5	The average torque, angular velocity, angular acceleration, total displaced angles and total works for some combinations of link length for AC servomotor 3	147
5.6	The external work from the experimental and the average effective work from the simulation for some combination of link length	149
5.7	The discrepancies of the percentage saving	150
6.1	The link length ratio for some industrial robotics	156

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	lo. Title	Page	
1.1	Robotics contribution in variety of fields	2	
1.2	Yearly installations of multipurpose industrial robots for 1995-2000 and forecasts for 2001-2004	3	
2.1	Comparison of labor cost and robot labor cost	12	
2.2	Types of robot joint motions. (a) Linear , (b) Rotational motion, (c) and (d) representation of linear and rotational motion respectively	18	
2.3	Symbolic representation of an articulated manipulator	19	
2.4	Symbolic representation of Spherical manipulator	20	
2.5	Symbolic representation of SCARA manipulator	21	
2.6	Symbolic representation of Cylindrical manipulator	22	
2.7	Symbolic representation of Cartesian manipulator	23	
2.8	Typical workspaces for robot configurations	24	
2.9	Point-to-point motion for two degree-of-freedom robot	26	
2.10	Continuous motion for two degree-of-freedom robot	26	
2.11	The rotation of a single arm robot	27	
2.12	Angular displacement, velocity and acceleration based on LSPB trajectory planning	28	
2.13	Particle <i>dm</i> in a spatial motion.	42	
2.14	Two-degree-of-freedom planar manipulator	44	
2.15	Elbow up configuration	45	

2.16 Elbow down configuration 45 2.17 Solution for the 2 DOF planar manipulator to reach point B 48 based on fixed length of links The variable manipulator (Type 1- Shortened link 1 and 2.18 50 Lengthened link 2) 3.1 The configuration of the variable 3 DOF articulated manipulator 56 3.2 The (a) configuration and (b) the exploded view of the 58 manipulator non-moving part 3.3 59 The (a) configuration and (b) the exploded view of the first link of the manipulator 3.4 The (a) configuration and (b) the exploded view of the second 60 link of the manipulator 3.5 The (a) configuration and (b) the exploded view of the Third link 60 of the manipulator 3.6 62 The work volume of the articulated manipulator from the side and top view 3.7 The manipulator as viewed from X_0 - Z_0 plane 67 3.8 The manipulator as viewed from Y_0 - Z_0 plane 69 3.9 Links' coordinates systems for the 3 DOF articulated 71 manipulator 3.10 Configuration of 3 DOF manipulator after projecting onto X_1 - Y_1 73 plane 3.11 Configuration of 3 DOF manipulator projected onto the plane 75 formed by links 2 and 3 3.12 Two DOF planar manipulator 78 3.13 The initial condition of the 2 DOF manipulator 89

3.14	The final condition of the 2 DOF manipulator	91
4.1	The flow chart of the energy computation programming	98
4.2	Pseudocode for the average energy programming	99
4.3	Total average energy for the trajectory 1	103
4.4	The total average energy and distance traveled by the end- effector for the trajectory 1	115
4.5	Total average energy for the trajectory 2	116
4.6	The total average potential, kinetics, energy and distance traveled by the end-effector for the trajectory 2	118
5.1	The overall diagram of the experimental set-up	122
5.2	The Personal Computer-Numerical Controller	123
5.3	(a) Trapezoidal velocity profile and (b) Pulse trains for the trapezoidal velocity profile	125
5.4	Interpolator trajectory for an AC servomotor	129
5.5	Parallel programming for the interpolator trajectory	130
5.6	The result of <i>Fixed-Length</i> for AC Servomotor 1	136
5.7	The result of <i>ShortestL</i> ₂ - <i>LongestL</i> ₃ link for AC Servomotor 1	137
5.8	The result of <i>Fixed-Length</i> for AC Servomotor 2	139
5.9	The result of <i>ShortestL</i> ₂ - <i>LongestL</i> ₃ link for AC Servomotor 2	140
5.10	The result of <i>Fixed-Length</i> for AC Servomotor 3	142

5.11	The result of $ShortestL_2$ -LongestL ₃ link for AC Servomotor 3	143
5.12	The comparison of <i>Fixed-Length</i> of AC servomotor 1 between the simulation and commanded angular velocity	151

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ωorθ	Angular velocity
d	Distance
heta	Angular position/displacement
$\alpha \text{ or } \ddot{\theta}$	Angular acceleration
Θ, Φ	Angles
t	Time
t _b	Blending time
Ε	Energy
Eactual	Actual energy
e_e, e_t, e_m	Electrical, thermal and mechanical efficiency respectively
τ	Torque
V	Velocity vector
R	Displacement vector
Κ	Kinetic energy
Р	Potential energy
M,m	Mass
h	Height
Ι	Mass Moment of Inertia/ Product inertia
L	Lagrangian Function
8	Gravitational acceleration
L	Link length
X, Y, Z	Axes

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- LSPB Linear segment parabolic blending
- PC Personal computer
- AC Alternating current
- DOF Degree of freedom
- 2D Two-dimensional
- 3D Three-dimensional
- PE Potential Energy
- KE Kinetic Energy
- TE Total Energy

ABSTRACT

Determination of manipulator link lengths is one of the important criteria in robotic design. Previous researches on link lengths optimization did not take much into account on the energy consumed by a manipulator's actuators. The purpose of this study is to find the minimum energy utilization for a 3 DOF revolute articulated manipulator to perform certain point-to-point task by varying the link lengths. The lengths of the second and third link of the developed manipulator can be varied accordingly. The investigation of energy for different link length combinations was carried out theoretically and experimentally. In the simulation, the work-energy method was constituted in order to determine the average mechanical energy of the manipulator. In the experiments, the actual energy of the system was calculated by multiplying the reading torque with the angular displacement of each link. Both energy for different link length combinations from the simulation and experiment were compared with the energy consumed by the fixed manipulator link length. These comparison yielded percentage savings. Then, the percentage savings from the simulation were compared with the percentage savings obtained from the experiments. The simulation shows that, different trajectory of motions results in different link length combinations that could give optimum average energy utilization. Results of the simulations and experiments show that, improved of mechanical energy utilization could be achieved by having variable link length of manipulator rather than having fixed length of manipulator's arms. The result of optimized link length from the experiment shows that the saving of energy utilization could be achieved up to 16.73 % corresponding to the 19.66 % saving obtained from the simulation. All in all, the use of the variable link length manipulator is utterly important as far as energy saving is concerned.

REFERENCES

- Ashitava, Ghosal. 2006. *Robotics Fundamental Concepts and Analysis*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Bagchi, Sandipak. 2005. Design optimization and synthesis of manipulators based on various manipulation indices. Ms Thesis. University of Texas.
- Banga, V. K., Singh, Y., and Kumar, R. 2007. Simulation of robotic arm using Genetic Algorithm and AHP. Proceedings of World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, pp. 95-101.
- Baruh, Haim 1999. Analytical dynamics. WCB: McGraw-Hill.
- Berner, D. F. and Snyman, J. A. (1998). The Influence of Joint Angle Constraints on the Optimum Design of a Planar Robot Manipulator Following a Complicated Prescribed Path. An International Journal Computers and Mathematics with Applications 37: 111-124.
- Bobrow, JE, Dubowsky S, Gibson JS. 1985. Time-optimal control of robotic manipulators. *International Journal Robotics*. **4**(3):3–17.
- Brady, M. Hollerbach, J.M., Johnson, T.L., T. Lozano-Perez, and Mason, M. T.1982. Robot Motion: Planning and Control. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Brian, Bramer, Susan Bramer 1997. C For Engineer: 2nd ed. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Chettibi, Taha. 2006. Synthesis of dynamic motions for robotic manipulators with geometric path constraints. *Journal of Mechatronics*. 16: 547–563.
- Craig J.J. 2005. Introduction to Robotics: Mechanics and control. 3rd ed. New Jersey. Prentice Hall.
- Copty, N. 2008. *OpenMP specifications 3.0.* SD West, Santa Clara, CA: Sun Microsystems.
- Corke, PI. 1996. A Robotics toolbox for MATLAB. *Journal of Robotics and Automation*. **3**(N1): 24–32.

- Denavit, J, and R.S. Hartenberg. 1955. A kinematic notation for lower- pair mechanisms based on matrices. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*. 108: 215-221.
- Dombre, Etienne, Wisama Khalil. 2007. Robot manipulators: modeling, performance, analysis, and control. UK: ISTE ltd.
- Friedman, R. 2009. OpenMP compilers (online). http://openmp.org/wp/openmp-compilers/ (November 2009).
- Garg, Devendra P. and Kumar, Manish. 2002. Optimization techniques applied to multiple manipulators for path planning and torque minimization. *Journal of Engineering Application of Artificial Intelligent*. **15**: 241–252.
- Gasparetto, A. and Zanotto, V. 2006. A new method for smooth trajectory planning of robot manipulators. *Journal of mechanism and machine theory*. **42**: 455–471.
- Guillaume, Morel., Iagnemma, Karl. and Dubowsky, Steven. 1999. The precise control of manipulators with high joint-friction using base force/torque sensing. *Journal of Automatica*. **36**: 931-941.
- Hibbeler, R.C. 2004. *Engineering mechanics dynamics*: 3rd ed. Singapore: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Hannah, J. and Stephens, R.C. 1970. *Mechanics of machines*. 3rd ed. UK: Edward Arnold Ltd.
- Herman, Przemysław. 2008. Dynamical couplings reduction for rigid manipulators using 3 generalized velocity components. *Mechanics Research Communications*. **35**: 553-561.
- Huang, B., Milenkovic, V. 1983. Kinematics of major robot linkages. *Robotics International of SME*, pp. 16–31.
- Hossain Md.Zubaer, Souvenir Muhammad and TAGM Zaki Nuruddin Jubery. 2004. Dynamics analysis of 2-link revolute joint robot manipulator. 3rd International Conference on Electrical & Computer Engineering ICECE, pp. 222-225.
- Jazar, Reza N. 2007. Theory of Applied Robotics: kinematics, dynamics, and control. New York: Springer.

- Kang, GS. and McKay DN. 1985. Minimum-time control of robotic manipulators with geometric path constraints. *IEEE Trans Automation Control*; AC-30(6).
- Karlik, Bekir, Aydin. Serkan. 1999. An improved approach to the solution of inverse kinematics problems for robot manipulators. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. **13** (2000): 159-164.
- Klafter, Richard D., Chmielewski Thomas A., Negin Michael. 2006. *Robotics Engineering, An integrated approach.* India: Prentice- Hall of India Private Limited.
- Koren, Yoram. 1976. Robotics for Engineers. USA: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Koren, Yoram. 1983. Computer Control of Manufacturing Systems. USA: McGraw-Hill, inc.
- Kucuk, Serdar. and Bingul, Zafer. 2006. Comparative study of performance indices for fundamental robot manipulators. Journal of Robotics and Autonomous Systems. **54**: 567–573.
- Leary, Warren. 1992. Robot named Dante to explore inferno of Antarctic volcano. *The New York Times*. 8 December: B7.
- Loduha, T.A., Ravani, B. 1995. On first-order decoupling of equations of motion for constrained dynamical systems. *Transactions of the ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*. **62**: 216–222.
- Lou, Yunjiang. 2006. *Optimal design of parallel manipulator*. Ms Thesis. The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.
- Marcelo R.M. Crespo Da Silva. 2004. Intermediate Dynamics: complemented with simulations and animations. USA: McGraw-Hill.
- Mark, Austin, Chancogne David. 1999. Engineering Programming C, Matlab, Java. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Mattson, T. dan Eigenmann, R. 1999. OpenMP: an API for writing portable SMP application software. (Online). http://www.openmp.org/presentations/sc99/sc99_tutorial.pdf (Februari 2010)

- Meriam, J.L., L.G.Kraige. 2003. *Engineering Mechanics Dynamics:* 5th ed. USA: John Wiley and Son, Inc.
- Merkel, H., Thomas, C. 1998. Optimization of Robotic Manipulator Base Position Using Total Joint Motion. Phd. Thesis. North Dakota State University.
- Mooring, B.W., Roth, Z.S., Driels, M.R., 1991. Fundamentals of Manipulator Calibration. USA : Wiley.
- Morel, G. and Dubowsky, S. 1996. The Precise Control of Manipulators with Joint Friction: A base force/torque sensor method. *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on robotics and automation*. **1**:360-365.
- Niku, Saeed. 2001. Introduction to Robotics; analysis, systems, application. USA: Prentice Hall.
- Nise. Norman S, 2003. Control System Engineering: 4th ed. USA: John Wiley and Son, Inc.
- Palm III, William J. 2005. Introduction to Matlab 7 for Engineers. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Rehg, James A. 2003. Introduction to Robotics in CIM Systems: 5th ed. USA: Prentice Hall.
- Salisbury, Kenneth, Jr. 1998. The Heart of Microsurgery, *Mechanical Engineering*, pp. 46-41.
- Saramago, S.F.P and Steffen Jr., V.1997.Optimization of the trajectory planning of robot manipulators taking into account of the dynamics of the system. *Journal of mechanical and machine*. **33**(7): 883-894.
- Schildt, Herbert 1997. Teach Yourself C: 3rd ed. USA: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Schilling R.J. 1990. Fundamental of Robotics: Analysis and control. USA: Prentice Hall Inc.
- Shigley Joseph E., Charles R.Mischke. 2001. *Mechanical Engineering Design*.6th ed. Singapore : McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Spong, Mark., Hutchinson, Seth., and Vidyasagar. 2006. *Robot Modeling and Control*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, inc.

- Sun, S.D., A.S. Morris, A.M.S. Zalzala. 1996. Trajectory planning of multiple coordinating robots using genetic algorithms. *Journal of Robotica*. 14: 227–234.
- Tabarah, E. B. Benhabib, R.G. Fenton. 1994. Optimal motion coordination of two robots–a polynomial parameterization approach to trajectory resolution. *Journal of Robotic*. 11 (7): 615–629.
- The international Federation of Robotics, and the United Nations, "World robotics 2001," Statistics, Market Analysis, Forecasts, Case studies and Profitability of Robot Investment, United Nations Publication, New York and Geneva, 2001.
- Tsai, Ying Chien. 1982. *Synthesis of robots/manipulators for a prescribed working space*. Phd. Thesis. Oklahama State University.
- Wan Yusoff, W.A. 2003. *Efficient reference-pulse CNC interpolator utilizing general parametric curve*. Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Wells, Dare A. 1967. Theory and Problems of Lagrangian Dynamics, with a Treatment of Euler's Equations of Motion, Hamilton's Equations, and Hamilton's Principles. USA: McGraw-Hill McGraw-Hill., Inc.
- Zha, Xuan F. 2002. Optimal pose trajectory planning for robot manipulators. *Journal of Mechanism and Machine Theory*. **37**: 1063–1086.
- Zhihong, Man. 2005. Robotics: 2nd ed. Singapore: Prentice –Hall.