# LOW FLOW ANALYSIS FOR KUANTAN RIVER BASIN

# NUR AIN IZANI BINTI A RAHIM

B. ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : PN. NADIATUL ADILAH BINTI AHMAD ABDUL GHANI

Position : LECTURER

Date : 11 JUNE 2018

.



## STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

\_\_\_\_\_

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NUR AIN IZANI BINTI A RAHIM

ID Number : AA14081

Date : 11 JUNE 2018

## LOW FLOW ANALYSIS FOR KUANTAN RIVER BASIN

## NUR AIN IZANI BINTI A RAHIM

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements

for the award of the

Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

**JUNE 2018** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Alhamdulillah, in the name of Allah, first of all I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Allah SWT for the guidance and help in giving me a strength along my journey to complete my final year project. Praise and peace be upon to our Prophet Muhammad S.A.W., his family and his companion.

I would like to gratefully acknowledge my supervisor, Madam Nadiatul Adilah Binti Ahmad Abdul Ghani for her time spending on assisting and guiding me through the process of finishing this research, giving full support and countless advice until I complete my thesis. Thank you for giving me a piece of chance to perform in completion process of my final year project very well.

Besides, I would like to thank to our final year project's team member for helping me, giving continues support and advice and be with me whenever I need during completing my thesis. Thousand thanks also to my beloved parent, A Rahim Bin A Majid and Hapsah Binti Abd Jabar, my brothers Hafiz, Yazid and my sister Aisyah for giving the endless support in many way while facing the hard time to finish the thesis and be with me through thick and thin.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Kajian analisis aliran rendah dilakukan untuk menyediakan maklumat permukaan air yang diperlukan untuk kegiatan seperti pengawasan kualiti air, penilaian habitat biologi, reka bentuk infrastruktur serta perancangan dan pengurusan bekalan air. Perangkaan aliran, termasuk tempoh tahunan aliran rekod dan purata aliran minimum 7 hari dikira pada satu stesen aliran sungai (gauging) yang terletak di Bukit Kenau. Bagi stesen aliran sungai (ungauging), 17 tadahan di Lembangan Sungai Kuantan telah dipilih untuk menganalisis aliran rendah, termasuk aliran rendah 1, 4, 7 dan 30 hari berturut-turut dengan selang berulang sebanyak 1.5, 2.33, 5, 10, 20 dan 50 tahun. Data aliran purata harian diambil dari Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran (JPS) dari tahun 1975 hingga 2014. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menganggarkan aliran rendah dan menganalisis aliran rendah dari tahun 1975 hingga 2014. Di samping itu, data aliran rendah membantu untuk menunjukkan kemungkinan terdapatnya air di sungai apabila timbul konflik antara bekalan dan permintaan air. Kedudukan data aliran (gauging) dianalisis dengan menggunakan persamaan Weibull. Manakala untuk data aliran (ungauging), persamaan regresi dalam Prosedur Hidrologi No. 12 digunakan untuk menganggarkan aliran rendah. Analisis kekerapan regresi digunakan untuk menganggarkan nilai aliran rendah di aliran aliran stesen yang tidak terjejas. Dari analisis stesen (gauging), aliran minimum tertinggi ialah 36.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s pada tahun 2012 manakala aliran minimum terendah adalah 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>/s pada tahun 2013. Sementara dari stesen (ungauging), kawasan lembangan memberi kesan kepada pelepasan. Semakin besar kawasan tadahan, semakin tinggi pelepasan air. Sg. Chereh dengan keluasan sebanyak 227.51 km² mempunyai pelepasan tertinggi dalam 50 tahun dengan 0.87 m<sup>3</sup>/s manakala Sg. Isap dengan kawasan terkecil iaitu 4 km<sup>2</sup> mempunyai pelepasan terendah iaitu 0.00216 m<sup>3</sup>/s.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Low flow analysis study is done to provide decision makers with surface-water information needed for activities such as water-quality regulation, biological habitat assessment, infrastructure design, and water-supply planning and management. The flow statistics, which included annual period of record flow durations and average minimum flow 7-day were computed at one streamflow-gaging stations which located at Bukit Kenau. For streamflow-ungauging station, 17 catchment in Kuantan River Basin were selected to analyse low flows, including the 1, 4, 7 and 30 consecutive-day low flows with recurrence intervals of 1.5, 2.33, 5, 10, 20 and 50 years. Daily mean streamflow data were taken from Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) from year 1975 until 2014. The main objective of this study is to estimate the low flow and analyse the low flow trend from year 1975 until 2014. In addition, low flow data helps to indicate the probable availability of water in streams when conflict arise between water supply and demand. Streamflow gauging station is rank by using Weibull's equation while for ungauging station, the regression equation in Hydrological Procedure No. 12 were used to estimate the low flow. Regional frequency analysis were developed for estimating low flow values at streamflow ungauged station. From gauging station analysis, the highest minimum flow is 36.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s in year 2012 while the lowest minimum flow is 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>/s in year 2013. Meanwhile from ungauging station, area of the basin give impact to the discharge as the larger the area, the higher the discharge. Chereh with an area of 227.51 km<sup>2</sup> has the highest discharge in 50 years with 0.87 m<sup>3</sup>/s while Sg. Isap with the smallest area with only 4 km<sup>2</sup> has the lowest discharge which is 0.00216 m<sup>3</sup>/s.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

# **DECLARATION**

TITLE	PAGE
-------	------

ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABS	STRAK	iii
ABS	TRACT	iv
TAB	BLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST	Γ OF TABLES	vii
LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	X
LIST	Γ OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii	
CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of Work	3
1.5	Significance of Study	4
CHA	APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Introduction	5
	2.1.1 Low Flow History	6
	2.1.2 Difference between Low Flow and Drought	6
2.2	Physical Characteristics of Basin	7

	2.2.1 Land Use	7	
2.3	Low Flow Characteristics	7	
2.4	Factors affecting Low Flows		
2.5	Previous Study		
2.6	Method of Low Flows	12	
	2.6.1 Weibull Probability Exceedance	12	
	2.6.2 Log Pearson Type III	12	
	2.6.3 Gumbel Distribution	12	
2.7	Streamflow	13	
	2.7.1 Gauged Streamflow Station	13	
	2.7.2 Ungauged Streamflow Station	14	
СНАН	PTER 3 METHODOLOGY	16	
3.1	Introduction	16	
3.2	Flow Chart of Research Methodology	17	
3.3	Study Area		
3.4	Data Collection 2		
3.5	5 Method Use		
	3.5.1 Gauging Streamflow	20	
	3.5.2 Ungauging Streamflow	22	
СНАН	PTER 4 ANALYSIS AND RESULT	29	
4.1	Introduction	29	
4.2	Gauging Streamflow Station	29	
4.3	Difference between Low Flow and High Flow	40	
4.4	Ungauging Streamflow Station	40	

4.5 Discussion		68
	4.5.1 Comparison between 5 and 50 years	68
СНА	APTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	71
5.1	Conclusion	71
5.2	Recommendation	72
REF	REFERENCES	

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Low Flow using the theory of Extreme Value Distribution	11
Table 3.1	Sub Basin area in Kuantan River Basin	19
Table 3.2	7 day low flow estimates	24
Table 3.3	Coefficients of Regional MAM Equations	27
Table 3.4	Result of Dimensionless Regional Frequency Analysis for Regions	
	RC1, RC2, RC3 and RC4.	27
Table 4.1	Daily mean streamflow data in 2005	30
Table 4.2	Average of 7 days in one year for 2005	31
Table 4.3	Average for 7 days flow for year 2005 until 2014	33
Table 4.4	Minimum average 7 days from year 2005 until 2014	34
Table 4.5	Rank data from year 2005 until 2014 by using Weibull's formula	35
Table 4.6	Discharge (Q) vs Probability (P)	35
Table 4.7	Minimum average flow for 7 days from year 1975 until 2014	38
Table 4.8	Rank data from year 1975 until 2014 by using Weibull's formula	39
Table 4.9	Ungauged streamflow for Ulu Sg. Kuantan	42
Table 4.10	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Chereh	45
Table 4.11	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Reman	46
Table 4.12	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Sebarau	48
Table 4.13	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Nadak	49
Table 4.14	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Charu	50
Table 4.15	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Riau	52
Table 4.16	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Panching	53
Table 4.17	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Ah Tong	55
Table 4.18	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Salak	56
Table 4.19	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Pandan	58

Table 4.20	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Belat	59
Table 4.21	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Pinang	61
Table 4.22	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Tiram	62
Table 4.23	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Talam	63
Table 4.24	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Galing	65
Table 4.25	Ungauged streamflow for Sg. Isap	66

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1	Flow Chart of Methodology	17
Figure 3.2	Kenau Station	18
Figure 3.3	Sub basin and boundaryfor Kuantan River Basin	19
Figure 3.4	Steps to analyse streamflow data	20
Figure 3.5	Map A	25
Figure 3.6	Map B	26
Figure 3.7	Low Flow Frequency Curves for Region RC3	28
Figure 4.1	Minimum average flow from year 2005 until 2014	36
Figure 4.2	Minimum average flow from year 1975 until 1984	36
Figure 4.3	Minimum average flow from year 1995 until 2004	37
Figure 4.4	Minimum flow pattern for 7 days from year 1975 until 2014	40
Figure 4.5	Pattern low flow for Ulu Sg. Kuantan	43
Figure 4.6	Pattern low flow for Sg. Chereh	45
Figure 4.7	Pattern low flow for Sg. Reman	47
Figure 4.8	Pattern low flow for Sg. Sebarau	48
Figure 4.9	Pattern low flow for Sg. Nadak	49
Figure 4.10	Pattern low flow for Sg. Charu	51
Figure 4.11	Pattern low flow for Sg. Riau	52
Figure 4.12	Pattern low flow for Sg. Panching	54
Figure 4.13	Pattern low flow for Sg. Ah Tong	55
Figure 4.14	Pattern low flow for Sg. Salak	57
Figure 4.15	Pattern low flow for Sg. Pandan	58
Figure 4.16	Pattern low flow for Sg. Belat	60
Figure 4.17	Pattern low flow for Sg. Pinang	61
Figure 4.18	Pattern low flow for Sg. Tiram	62

Figure 4.19	Pattern low flow for Sg. Talam	64
Figure 4.20	Pattern low flow for Sg. Galing	65
Figure 4.21	Pattern low flow for Sg. Isap	67

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\mu, \gamma$	Location parameter
σ	Distribution scale
α	Scale parameter
β	Shape parameter
D	Duration of low flow period (days)
p	Probability of non-exceedance
n	Number of sampled value

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

KRB Kuantan River Basin

DID Department of Irrigation and Drainage

HTAA Hospital Tengku Ampuan Afzan

AREA Catchment area in km<sup>2</sup>

Annual minimum 7 day average streamflow with a 2 year

recurrence interval

7Q10 Annual minimum 7 day average streamflow with a 10 year

recurrence interval

HP12 Hydrological Procedure No. 12

MAR Mean Annual Rainfall

MAM Mean Annual Minimum flow

WMO World Meteorological Organization

EV1 Extreme value distribution type I

## **CHAPTER 1**

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Background

The term of 'low flow' must be clarified first before started doing analysis of low flow hydrology. This term depends on the understanding of each different group of different interests. In most cases, some people considered low flow as the actual flow in the rivers that occur throughout the dry season or an important part of the flow regime of any stream while others may be concerned with the length of time and situation arise between the flood events (e.g. in erratic). It is commonly obtained from the discharge of groundwater or surface from lakes or stream, melting glacier or swamps.

Kuantan River Basin (KRB) and its tributaries acts as one of the important river basins in Pahang as it supplies the water needs for residents and also, these rivers drain the major rural, agricultural as well as urban and industrial areas for Kuantan District. As the growth of populations were gradually increase in urban and rural area, the competition in the district could become more profound for the water resources. Recently, DID urged to use emergency allocation to resolve Kuantan's water woes problems arising from broken water barrier which caused a drop in water level at the Kobat Barrage Gate. Furthermore, the problem not only affected residential areas but also strategic facilities like Hospital Tengku Ampuan Afzan (HTAA) and health clinics (New Straits Time, 2018). Therefore, low flow analysis are needed to manage water resources for the upcoming challenge.

It can also be used to characterize the trend flow of a certain magnitude at a location of interest on a stream. Unfortunately during dry periods, the large water resources available could not provide enough water needs for daily life including nature

and wildlife. Hence, all water management will aim at low flows. The continuous flow rate during dry period will affect the optimization of surface water resources. Besides, seasonal changes in rainfall will explained the illustration of annual variation in most streams. Commonly in many area, the lowest flows usually occur at the end of rainy days or beginning of sunny days. However, it could not be predict as each stream is different and any particular year can be an anomaly regarding if and when low flows occur. The magnitude and duration of low flows can vary significantly from year to year.

The streamflow gaging station network in the Kuantan River basin, which is Kenau Station is an important asset in managing the basin's water resources as it gives the necessary data for quantifying water availability, establishing decisions on water use, and determining instream flow requirements. It is automated to monitor streamflow, usually at 15-minute intervals.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Low flows is a major factor in water demand and supply, water management and operation of reservoirs, and in maintaining the environmental flows to conserve the environment. In Malaysia, floods will occur in some areas during the monsoon season. Instead, it becomes drought during the transition period. This phenomenon could lead to serious harm and safety measure is required to prevent this occurrence. In addition, 28 of the 80 water treatment plants across Pahang recorded low levels due to drought and affected plants acquiring their water resources from the Sungai Pahang river. Low level reading is also due to sand preventing river flow in the water intake point. This causes the water to overflow elsewhere (The Star Online, 2014). Nevertheless, high-flow events are sudden and can put human life at risk, whereas streamflow droughts (i.e. low flows) develop slowly and can affect a large area.

Consequently, the economic loss during low-flow periods can be much bigger than during floods (Pushpalatha et al., 2011; Shukla et al., 2012). Furthermore, low flow during the dry season can cause negative effects on the river ecosystem. According to Hebert et al. (2003), as pressures on rivers become more important during low flows, some conflicts between the different water uses can arise, especially between instream

water use and water abstraction demand. Therefore, this study is important to provide the benefit to water users in the Kuantan River Basin.

The analysis of low flow data can help to indicate the probable availability of water in streams when the conflict between water supply and demand to arise. River low flows can lead to severe consequences in water quality and river ecological status (Whitehead et al., 2009). Thus, the analysis will helps other researcher to choose the right time to do sampling for water quality. Low flows can be calculated from streamflow data collected at gaging station in Kenau River.

## 1.3 Objectives

Objective of the study are:

- i. To estimate low flow.
- ii. To analyse the trend of low-flow frequencies from year 1975 until 2014.

## 1.4 Scope of Work

The study was carried out in KRB. There are only one gaging streamflow available which is located at Bukit Kenau. The area of Kenau basin is 135.93 km². In this study, we can compute period of record 7 day low flow frequency statistic (e.g. 7Q10) at Kenau River. Low-flow frequency statistics, such as the 7Q10 (defined as the mean low streamflow that occurs over 7 consecutive days with a 10-year recurrence interval) were calculated for the streamflow-gaging stations in and near the basin. In summary, rainfall, water level and stream flow data is very important in analysis of hydrological process. Furthermore, this study includes data collection work. For data collection, we need to do site visit at Department of Irrigation and Drainage at Indera Mahkota, Kuantan. Stream flow data have been retrieved from DID database from year 1975 until 2014. However, there are missing data found from year 1985 until 1994.

#### REFERENCES

- 28 water treatment plants in Pahang drying up, The Star Online, Retrieved from <a href="https://www.thestar.com">https://www.thestar.com</a>. [27 February, 2014].
- Bhaduri, B. (2000) Assessing Watershed-Scale, Long-Term Hydrologic Impacts of Land-Use Change Using a GIS-NPS Model. Environmental Management, 26(6), 643-658.
- Curran, C.A, Eng, K, & Konrad, C.P. (2012) Analysis of Low Flows and Selected Methods for Estimating Low-Flow Characteristics at Partial-Record and Ungaged Stream Sites in Western Washington. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigation Report 2012-5078, 46.
- Curran, C.A & Olsen, T.D. (2009) Estimating Low-Flow Frequency Statistics and Hydrologic Analysis of Selected Streamflow Gaging Stations, Nooksack River Basin, Northwestern Washington Canada. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5170, 44.
- Crochemore, L, Ramos, M & Pappenberger, F. (2016) *Bias correcting precipitation* forecasts to improve the skill of seasonal streamflow forecasts. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, Europian Geoscience Union, 20 (6), pp.3601-3618.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID). (2009) *Hydrology and Water Resources, Jabatan Pengaliran dan Saliran Malaysia, Vol. 4*.
- Eash, D.A & Barnes, K.K. (2017) Methods for Wstimating Selected Low-Flow Frequency Statistics and Harmonic Mean Flows for Streams in Iowa. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2012-5171, 99.
- Erfen, Y, Adnan, M.S, Ali, N.C, Amat, N.F & Zahudi, Z.M. (2015) *Comparison of Distribution Methods of Low Flow Analysis for Bandar Segamat, Johor*. Applied Mechanics and Materials Vols. 773-774, pp 1266-1270.
- Grandry, M, Gailliez, S, Sohier, C, Verstraete, A & Degre, A. (2013) *A method for low-flow estimation at ungauged sites: a case study in Wallonia (Belgium)*. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 1319-1330.

- Hayes, D. C. (1991) *Low-Flow Characteristics of Streams in Virginia*. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2374.
- Kuala Lumpur Post. (2012) Kuantan *No Christmas for Residents, Poor Drainage System Blamed*. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.kualalumpurpost.net/kuantan-no-christmas-for-residents-poor-drainage-system-blamed/?id=3">http://www.kualalumpurpost.net/kuantan-no-christmas-for-residents-poor-drainage-system-blamed/?id=3</a>. [20 Apr, 2014].
- Lenhart, T, Eckhardt, K, Fohrer, N & Frede, H.G. (2002) *Comparison of two different approaches of sensitivity analysis*. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth 27, 645-654.
- Mandal, U & Cunnane, C. (2009) Low-Flow Prediction for Ungauged River Catchments in Ireland. Irish National Hydrology Seminar (pp. 34-48)
- Mittal, N, Bhave, A.G, Mishra, A & Singh, R. (2015) Impact of human intervention and climate change on natural flow regime. Water Resources Management. ISSN 0920-4741.
- Mostofi, Zadeh S. (2012) Low Flow Frequency Study for Newfoundland and Labrador.

  M.Eng. Thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL.
- Raji, P, Uma, E & Shyla, J. (2011) *Rainfall-Runoff Analysis of a compacted area*. Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Journal. Manuscript No.1547, Vol.13, No.1.
- Rantz, S. (1982) *Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 1. Measurement of Stage and Discharge.* U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2175.
- Raviwan, K & Konyai, S. (2012) Low Flow Analysis and Possible Impact of the Mekong River. APCBEE Procedia, Volume 1, p. 309-317.
- Riduan, H, *DID urged to use emergency allocation to resolve Kuantan's water woes.*New Straits Time, Retrieved from <a href="https://www.nst.com">https://www.nst.com</a>. [25 January, 2018]
- Riggs, H. (1972) Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey. U.S. Geological Survey, book 4, chap. B1, 18 p.
- Riggs, H. (1986) *Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey* . U.S. Geological Survey , book 4, chap. A2, 15 p.

- Risley, J, Stonewall, A & Haluska, T. (2008) Estimating Flow-Duration and Low-Flow Frequency Statistics for Unregulated Streams in Oregon. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5126, 22.
- Schreffler, C.L. (1998) Low-Flow Statistics of Selected Streams in Chester Country, Pennsylvania. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation Report 98-4117.
- Shao, Q, Zhang, L, Chen, Y.D & Singh, P.V. (2009) A new method for modelling flow duration curves and predicting streamflow regimes under altered land-use condition / Une nouvelle méthode de modélisation des courbes de débits classés et de prévision des régimes d'écoulement sous conditions modifiées d'oc. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 54:3, 606-622.
- Shukla, S & Lettenmaier, D.P. (2011) Seasonal hydrologic prediction in the United States: understanding the role of initial hydrologic conditions and seasonal climate forecast skill. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 15, 3529–3538.
- Sivapalan, M, Takeuchi, K, Franks, S.W, Gupta, V.K, Karambiri, H, Lakhsmi, V, Liang, X, McDonnell, J.J, Mendiondo, E.M, O'Connell, P.E, Oki, T, Pomeroy, J.W, Schertzer, D, Uhlenbrooke, S & Zehe, E. (2003) *IAHS Decade on Predictions in Ungauged Basins (PUB), 2003–2012: Shaping an exciting future for the hydrological sciences*. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 48:6, 857-880.
- Smakhtin, V. (2000) *Low flow hydrology: a review*. Journal of Hydrology 240 (2001) 147–186.
- Smakhtin, V.Y, Watkins, D.A & Hughes, D.A. (1995) *Preliminary analysis of low-flow characteristics of South African rivers*. ISSN 0378-4738, Water SA Vol.21 No. 3.
- Tongal, H., Demirel, M.C & Booij, M.J. (2013) Seasonality of low flows and dominant processes in the Rhine River. Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess (2013) 27:489–503.
- Trainer, F.W. & Watkins, F.A. (1975) *Geohydrologic Reconnaissance of the Upper Potomac River Basin*. U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2035.

- Wang, D & Cai, X. (2010) Comparative study of climate and human impacts on seasonal baseflow in urban and agricultural watersheds. Geophysical Research Letters, Vol. 37, L06406.
- Whitehead, P.G, Wilby, R.L, Battarbee, R.W, Kernan, M & Wade, A.J. (2009) *A review of the potential impacts of climate change on surface water quality*. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 54:1, 101-123.
- Zabet, S. (2012) A Comparison of 7Q10 Low Flow between Rural and Urban Watersheds in Eastern United States. Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee.