THE DEVELOPMENT OF FITTING CONSTANT FOR INTENSITY DURATION FREQUENCY (IDF) CURVE IN KLANG VALLEY

ATHIRAH BINTI ZULKFALI

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

Full Name: PN SHAIRUL ROHAZIAWATI BINTI SAMATPosition: LECTURERDate: 12 JUNE 2018

Full Name: NORASMAN BIN OTHMANPosition: LECTURERDate:12 JUNE 2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

Full Name: ATHIRAH BINTI ZULKFALIID Number: AA14131Date: 12 JUNE 2018

THE DEVELOPMENT OF FITTING CONSTANT FOR INTENSITY DURATION FREQUENCY (IDF) CURVE IN KLANG VALLEY

ATHIRAH BINTI ZULKFALI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, all Praise is upon Allah, the Al Mighty, and peace and prosperity to the noble Prophet, his family, his companions and upon those who follow his path and guide. As depend on Him, I managed to complete the research successfully.

Secondly, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor Pn. Shairul Rohaziawati Binti Samat from Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources, Universiti Malaysia Pahang who provided me the possibility in completing this study. She also contributed in simulating suggestions and encouragement, and helped me to coordinate my project especially in writing this report. Thanks to her comments and advices, I can finish the report easily.

Thirdly, I would like to acknowledge with much appreciation to Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) staffs, for cooperation toward me during my research time. My gratitude also to all lecturers, who involved directly or indirectly, for their encouragement support, advice, and sharing knowledge regarding on my research.

In addition, special thanks go to my friend, Aina Syuhada binti Rosnan and also my entire friend for their understanding and guidance. Thank you for all the great fun moments that we have shared together. Next, a special thanks to everyone that had involved directly or indirectly in my research. Thanks for your kindness. Last but not least, many thanks go to my family whose have given continuous support and encouragement.

ABSTRAK

Lembah Klang adalah salah satu kawasan banjir di mana banjir kilat sering melanda beberapa kawasan di Lembah Klang berikutan hujan lebat. Oleh kerana perubahan iklim yang tidak dijangka, ia mungkin akan menyebabkan peningkatan keamatan dan kekerapan hujan lebat yang melampau di kebanyakan wilayah pada masa akan datang. Hubungan antara Keamatan Tempoh Kekerapan (IDF) adalah salah satu kaedah yang paling biasa digunakan dalam kejuruteraan sumber air, sama ada untuk merancang, mereka bentuk dan mengendalikan projek sumber air, atau untuk pelbagai projek kejuruteraan terhadap banjir. Data IDF di dalam Manual Saliran Mesra Alam Edisi ke-2 (MSMA 2) tidak konsisten untuk setiap tahun kerana iklim Malaysia sentiasa berubah. Selain itu, sistem saliran di bandar selalunya tidak dapat menampung apabila hujan yang hebat terjadi. Data di dalam MSMA2 hanya mewakili bandar-bandar utama sahaja. Ini bermakna bahawa terdapat potensi ralat yang besar dalam ekstrapolasi dijangka masa yang panjang seperti 100 tahun. Oleh itu, lengkung IDF yang baru dan dikemaskini diperlukan sebagai rujukan kepada reka bentuk perparitan dan pengurusan air. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan pemalar kelengkapan berdasarkan kekerapan tempoh intensiti (IDF) menggunakan analisis kekerapan seperti kaedah Gumbel dan Log-Normal di Lembah Klang. Rumusan empirikal yang digunakan untuk menganggarkan pemalar yang sesuai dengan lengkung IDF ialah Persamaan Sherman. Di samping itu, ia juga untuk menganalisis nilai pemalar keamatan hujan di antara MSMA2 dan anggaran pemalar lengkung IDF di Lembah Klang menggunakan Persamaan Sherman. Persamaan Sherman digunakan untuk menghitung pemalar kawasan iaitu pemalar a, b dan c. Peratusan perbezaan keseluruhan diantara Persamaan Sherman dan MSMA 2 menggunakan kaedah Gumbel adalah dari 0% hingga 3200% manakala peratusan Persamaan Sherman dan MSMA 2 menggunakan kaedah Log Normal adalah dari 0% hingga 1000%. Berdasarkan hasilnya, kaedah Log Normal lebih sesuai dan boleh dipercayai untuk Lembah Klang apabila dibandingkan dengan kaedah Gumbel kerana beza peratusan dari Persamaan Sherman dan MSMA 2 untuk kaedah Log Normal adalah lebih kecil daripada kaedah Gumbel.

ABSTRACT

Klang Valley is one of the flood prone areas where flash floods often hit several areas in Klang Valley following the heavy downpour. Due to the unexpected climate change, it will likely result in an increase in the intensity and frequency of extreme precipitation events in most regions in the future. The rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) relationship is one of the most commonly used tools in water resources engineering, either for planning, designing and operating of water resource projects, or for various engineering projects against floods. The data in IDF curve is not consistence for every year because of Malaysia climate always change. Besides, urban drainage systems often cannot cope with intense convective rainfall events. Other than that, in MSMA2 the data only represent for major towns. This means that there is a large potential error in extrapolating to long ARI such as 100 years. Thus a new and updated IDF curve is needed to make as a reference to design drainage and storm water management. The purpose of this study is to develop the fittings constants based on the intensity duration frequency (IDF) using frequency analysis such as Gumbel distribution and Log-Normal distribution in Klang Valley. The empirical formula that is used to estimate the fitting constant of IDF curve is Sherman Equation. Besides, it is to analyze the rainfall intensity values between MSMA2 and estimated fitting constants of IDF curve in Klang Valley using Sherman Equation. The Sherman Equation is used to computed the locality constants which are a,b and c. The overall percentage different of Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Gumbel distribution function is from 0% until 3200% while the percentage different of Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Log Normal distribution function is from 0% until 1000%. Based on the results, Log Normal distribution is more suitable and reliable for Klang Valley when compared to Gumbel distribution as the percentage different of Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Log Normal distribution is smaller than Gumbel distribution.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DEC	CLARATION		
TITI	LE PAGE		
ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii	
ABS	TRAK	iii	
ABS	TRACT	iv	
TAB	BLE OF CONTENT	v	
LIST	Г OF TABLES	ix	
LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	xi	
LIST	LIST OF SYMBOLS xii		
LIST	Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv	
CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	Background Study	1	
1.2	Problem Statement	2	
1.3	Objectives	3	
1.4	Scope of Study	3	
1.5	Significant of Study	4	
CHA	APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5	
2.1	Introduction	5	
2.2	Rainfall	7	
	2.2.1 Depth	7	
	2.2.2 Forms of Rainfall	8	

	2.2.3	Area Distribution	8
2.3	Intensi	ty Duration Frequency (IDF) Curve	9
2.4	Properties of IDF Curve		
2.5	Uses o	f IDF Curve	10
2.6	Develo	opment of Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Curve	11
	2.6.1	Gumbel Distribution	12
	2.6.2	Log Pearson Type III	14
	2.6.3	Log Normal Distribution	15
	2.6.4	Generalized Extreme Value Distribution (GEV)	16
	2.6.5	Normal Distribution Function	17
2.7	Develo	opment of Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Curve Fitting Constants	18
	2.7.1	Method of Storm Occurrence Interval	19
	2.7.2	Estimation of Fitting Constant	20
	2.7.3	Method of Developing Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF)'s	
		Fittings	21
2.8	Develo	opment of Intensity Duration Frequency Curve in Malaysia	23
2.9	Public	ation Results in Use of Method in Asia Pacific Region	24
			•
CHAF	TER 3	METHODOLOGY	26
3.1	Introdu	action	26
3.2	Flow C	Chart	27
3.3	Study	Area	28
3.4	Data Collection 2		29
3.5	Analys	sis Method	30
	3.5.1	Developing the Intensity Duration Frequency Curve	30
	3.5.2	Finding the Fitting Constant of IDF Curve	35

	3.5.3	The Comparison between MSMA 2 with the Empirical Equations	35
CHA	PTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	36
4.1	Introd	uction	36
4.2	Mean	and Standard Deviation	38
	4.2.1	Gumbel Distribution	38
	4.2.2	Log Normal Distribution	38
4.3	Frequ	ency Factor	39
	4.3.1	Gumbel Distribution	39
	4.3.2	Log Normal Distribution	40
4.4	Rainfa	all Intensity	40
	4.4.1	Gumbel Distribution	40
	4.4.2	Log Normal Distribution	43
4.5	Intens	ity Duration Frequency Curve for Every Station	45
	4.5.1	Gumbel Distribution	45
	4.5.2	Log Normal Distribution	56
4.6	Empir	ical Formula for Fitting Constant	67
	4.6.1	Sherman Equation	67
4.7	Comp Equat	arison of Rainfall Intensity Values between MSMA 2 and Sherman	71
	4.7.1	Gumbel Distribution	71
	4.7.2	Log Normal Distribution	83
4.8	Summ	arv	94
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		21
CHA	PTER 5	5 CONCLUSION	95
5.1	Backg	round	95

5.2	Conclusion	95
5.3	Recommendation	97
REFERENCE		98
APPI	ENDIX A MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION	100
APPENDIX B RAINFALL INTENSITY		110
APPI EQU	ENDIX C IDF CURVE AFTER CALCULATING USING SHERMAN ATION	128

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Type of Rainfall Precipitation and Its Intensity	8
Table 2.2	The Example Parameters Obtained by Abha region	19
Table 2.3	The Example of Gumbel Correction Factor	20
Table 2.4	IDF Method in Different Country	24
Table 3.1	List of Station Number with Corresponding Name and Duration	30
Table 3.2	Reduced Mean $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{n}$	32
Table 3.3	Reduced Standard Deviation S _n	32
Table 3.4	Skewness Coefficient and The Recurrence Interval	34
Table 4.1	Rainfall Data for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn. 3116006)	37
Table 4.2	Descriptive Statistics for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn. 3116006)	38
Table 4.3	Descriptive Statistics for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn. 3116006)	39
Table 4.4	Frequency Factor Based on Return Period	40
Table 4.5	Frequency Factor Based on Return Period	40
Table 4.6	Value of Design Rainfall (mm) Data for Various Storm Durations Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn. 3116006)	of 42
Table 4.7	Value of Design Rainfall Intensities (mm/hr) for Various Storm Durations of Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn. 3116006)	42
Table 4.8	Rainfall Depth in mm Before Anti-log for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (3116006)	44
Table 4.9	Value of Design Rainfall (mm) Data for Various Storm Durations Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (3116006)	s of 44
Table 4.10	Value of Design Rainfall Intensities (mm/hr) for Various Storm Durations of Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (3116006).	44
Table 4.11	Slope and Y-intercept from IDF Curve	68
Table 4.12	Y-intercept from IDF Curve from Gumbel Distribution Method	69
Table 4.13	Station and Locality Constants Using Gumbel Distribution	70
Table 4.14	Station and locality Constants Using Log Normal Distribution	70
Table 4.15	The Locality Constant from MSMA 2	71
Table 4.16	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA for Pintu Kawalan P/S Telok Gong (Stn 2913001)	. 2 72
Table 4.17	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA for Sek Keb Kg Sg Lui (Stn 3118102)	. 2 73
Table 4.18	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn 3116006)	. 2 74
Table 4.19	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA for Kg Sg Tua (Stn 3216001)	2 75

Table 4.20	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Ibu Bekalan KM16, Gombak (Stn 3217001)	2 76
Table 4.21	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Empangan Genting Klang (Stn 3217002)	2 77
Table 4.22	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Ibu Bekalan KM 11, Gombak (Stn 3217003)	2 78
Table 4.23	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Kg Kuala Seleh (Stn 3217004)	2 79
Table 4.24	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Kg Kerdas (Stn 3217005)	2 80
Table 4.25	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Air Terjun Sg Batu (Stn 3317001)	2 81
Table 4.26	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Genting Sempah (Stn 3317004)	2 82
Table 4.27	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Pintu Kawalan P/S Telok Gong (Stn 2913001)	2 83
Table 4.28	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Sek Keb Kg Sg Lui (Stn 3118102)	2 84
Table 4.29	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn 3116006)	2 85
Table 4.30	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Kg Sg Tua (Stn 3216001)	2 86
Table 4.31	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Ibu Bekalan KM16, Gombak (Stn 3217001)	2 87
Table 4.32	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Empangan Genting Klang (Stn 3217002)	2 88
Table 4.33	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Ibu Bekalan KM 11, Gombak (Stn 3217003)	2 89
Table 4.34	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Kg Kuala Seleh (Stn 3217004)	2 90
Table 4.35	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Kg Kerdas (Stn 3217005)	2 91
Table 4.36	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Air Terjun Sg Batu (Stn 3317001)	2 92
Table 4.37	The Percentage Different between Sherman Equation and MSMA 2 for Genting Sempah (Stn 3317004)	2 93
Table 4.38	Range of Percentage Different Between MSMA 2 and Sherman Equation for Gumbel Distribution and Log Normal Distribution	94
Table 5.1	Maximum Value of Intensity for Both Methods at Interval 5 minute	es96
Table 5.2	Minimum Value of Intensity for Both Methods at Interval 7200 minutes	96

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Flash Flood in Klang Valley	6
Figure 2.2	An Example of IDF Curve	10
Figure 3.1	The Flow Chart of the Study	27
Figure 3.2	Map of State of Selangor	28
Figure 3.3	Map of Region of Klang Valley	29
Figure 4.1	IDF Curve for JPS Pulau Lumut (Stn 2913122)	45
Figure 4.2	IDF Curve for Sek Men Bandar Tasik Kesuma (Stn 2818110)	46
Figure 4.3	IDF Curve for Pintu Kawalan P/S Telok Gong (Stn 2913001)	46
Figure 4.4	IDF Curve for RTM Kajang (Stn 2917001)	47
Figure 4.5	IDF Curve for Ladang Sg Kapar (Stn 3113087)	47
Figure 4.6	IDF Curve for Setia Alam (Stn 3114085)	48
Figure 4.7	IDF Curve for Pusat Penyelidikan Getah Sg Buloh (Stn 3115079)	49
Figure 4.8	IDF Curve for Sek Keb Kg Sg Lui (Stn 3118102)	49
Figure 4.9	IDF Curve for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn 3116006)	50
Figure 4.10	IDF Curve for Kg Sg Tua (Stn 3216001)	50
Figure 4.11	IDF Curve for Ibu Bekalan KM 16, Gombak (Stn 3217001)	51
Figure 4.12	IDF Curve for Empangan Genting Klang (Stn 3217002)	51
Figure 4.13	IDF Curve for Ibu Bekalan KM 11, Gombak (Stn 3217003)	52
Figure 4.14	IDF Curve for Kg Kuala Seleh (Stn 3217004)	52
Figure 4.15	IDF Curve for Kg Kerdas (Stn 3217005)	53
Figure 4.16	IDF Curve for Air Terjun Sg Batu (Stn 3317001)	54
Figure 4.17	IDF Curve for Genting Sempah (Stn 3317004)	55
Figure 4.18	IDF Curve for JPS Pulau Lumut (Stn 2913122)	56
Figure 4.19	IDF Curve for Sek Men Bandar Tasik Kesuma (Stn 2818110)	57
Figure 4.20	IDF Curve for Pintu Kawalan P/S Telok Gong (Stn 2913001)	57
Figure 4.21	IDF Curve for RTM Kajang (Stn 2917001)	58
Figure 4.22	IDF Curve for Ladang Sg Kapar (Stn 3113087)	58
Figure 4.23	IDF Curve for Setia Alam (Stn 3114085)	59
Figure 4.24	IDF Curve for Pusat Penyelidikan Getah Sg Buloh (Stn 3115079)	60
Figure 4.25	IDF Curve for Sek Keb Kg Sg Lui (Stn 3118102)	60
Figure 4.26	IDF Curve for Ldg Edinburg Site 2 (Stn 3116006)	61
Figure 4.27	IDF Curve for Kg Sg Tua (Stn 3216001)	61
Figure 4.28	IDF Curve for Ibu Bekalan KM 16, Gombak (Stn 3217001)	62

Figure 4.29	IDF Curve for Empangan Genting Klang (Stn 3217002)	62
Figure 4.30	IDF Curve for Ibu Bekalan KM 11, Gombak (Stn 3217003)	63
Figure 4.31	IDF Curve for Kg Kuala Seleh (Stn 3217004)	63
Figure 4.32	IDF Curve for Kg Kerdas (Stn 3217005)	64
Figure 4.33	IDF Curve for Air Terjun Sg Batu (Stn 3317001)	65
Figure 4.34	IDF Curve for Genting Sempah (Stn 3317004)	66
Figure 4.35	IDF Curve for Pintu Kawalan P/s Telok Gong (Stn 2913001)	68
Figure 4.35	Graph of Log K Versus Log T (Stn 2913001)	69

LIST OF SYMBOLS

P _T	The Frequency Precipitation
K	Gumbel Frequency Factor
S	Standard Deviation of P value
Pave	The Average of The Maximum Precipitation in a Specific Duration
Pi	The Individual Extreme Value of Rainfall
n	The Number of Events of Years of Record
T _d	Duration
I _T	Rainfall Intensity
P*	Logarithm of Precipitation
P _T *	The Frequency Precipitation
S*	Standard Deviation of P* Value
P* _{ave}	The Average of The Maximum Precipitation in a Specific Duration
K _T	The Pearson Frequency Factor Which Depends on Return Period (T)
	and Skewness Coefficient (Cs)
Cs	Skewness Coefficient
ξ	The Location Parameter
α	The Scale Parameter
k	The Shape Parameter
m	Rank of Recorded Years
c	Gumbel Correction Factor Which Depend on (m/N) Values
$\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{n}}$	Reduced Mean
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$	Reduced Standard Deviation

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IDF	Intensity Duration Frequency
ARI	Average Recurrence Interval
MSMA	Manual Saliran Mesra Alam
DID	Drainage of Irrigation and Drainage
AMS	Annual Maximum Series
AEP	Annual Exceedance Probability
PDS	Partial Duration Series
GEV	Gumbel Extreme Value
TRMM	Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission
CDF	Cumulative Density Function
PDF	Probability Density Function
GPD	Generalized Pareto Distribution

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

A key requirement for efficient solutions of many water related problems in urban areas needed a detailed understanding of rainfall processes. The heterogeneity of rainfall means that a systematic study is necessary, especially in the tropical region where abundant rainfall often causes severe urban flash floods (Nordila, 2008). Malaysia is one of the countries located at Southeast Asia, close to the equator which is categorised as equatorial, being hot and humid throughout the year (Climatestotravel.com, 2018).

The area of Malaysia at equator zone gives Malaysia experience tropical atmosphere with two sort of monsoon season which are the northeast and southwest through the year. Northeast happen amid November to May bring moisture and more rainfall. Where southwest give wind blowing monsoon inside of May to September (Nur Salbiah Shamsudin, 2016). The climates of the Peninsula and the East differ, as the climate on the peninsula is directly affected by wind from the mainland, as opposed to the more maritime weather of the East. These outcomes give average rainfall in Malaysia in 2500 mm with normal temperature 27°C a year.

In Malaysia, flash flood events occur frequently in urban areas such as the Klang Valley. These events occur mainly during raining season. Flash flood caused an increase in damages and losses in the area. The storms of convective origin are generally known to be responsible for much of flash flood events in Malaysia.

From the rainfall pattern, the data will be utilized to develop rainfall intensity duration frequency (IDF) curves. Intensity duration frequency (IDF) curves are used in many hydrologic designs for the purpose of water managements and flood preventions. The IDF curves available in Malaysia are those obtained from univariate analysis approach which only considers the intensity of rainfalls at fixed time intervals (Ariff, Jemain, Ibrahim, & Wan Zin, 2012). IDF curves can be obtained based on historical data and are usually employed to evaluate the extreme values of precipitation in urban drainage systems. IDF curves can be defined as mathematical tools that express the relation between intensity, duration, and average recurrence interval (ARI) of precipitation. Rainfall IDF ought to be up and coming in accordance with the progressions of rainfall pattern due to worldwide temperature alteration impact and temperature changes.

1.2 Problem Statement

Manual Saliran Mesra Alam (MSMA), is an abbreviation from Malay Language translation of Urban Stormwater Management Manual, it has been widely accepted and use by the engineers as a guideline to make design. The data of IDF curves in MSMA 2 was updated until 2009 only. The data in IDF curve is not consistence for every year because of Malaysia climate always change. Climate change will likely result in an increase in the intensity and frequency of extreme precipitation events in most regions in the future. As a result, IDF values will optimally need to be updated more frequently than in the past and climate change scenarios might eventually be drawn upon in order to inform IDF calculations.

As the change of climate effect the data, a new data is needed. It is very important to keep the efficiency of the drainage for a long time as the ARI is for about 100 years. Besides, rapid urbanization might modify the hydrological processes of a catchment. It is responsible for many water related problems in urban areas, especially in the tropical regions. Urban drainage systems, often cannot cope with intense convective rainfall events. Therefore, the updated data is needed to make as a reference to design drainage and storm water management.

Besides that, there are not all stations in Klang Valley stated in MSMA 2 because in MSMA 2 the data only represent for major towns. This means that there is a large potential error in extrapolating to long ARI such as 100 years. Data of the nearest district area are used to design the area that is not stated in the MSMA 2. Since the place is different, the data of the nearest area is not very efficient to design the drainage system.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are;

- To develop IDF curves using frequency analysis such as Gumbel distribution and Log-Normal distribution in Klang Valley.
- To estimate the fitting constant of Intensity Duration Frequency curve in Klang Valley using Sherman Equation.
- To analyze the rainfall intensity values between MSMA 2 and estimated fitting constants of Intensity Duration Frequency curve in Klang Valley using Sherman Equation.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study involved only some part of the Klang valley as there is no official designation of the boundaries that make up Klang Valley but it is often assumed to comprise the following areas and their corresponding local authorities which are Federal Territory of Kuala Lumpur, Selangor district of Petaling, Selangor district of Klang, Selangor district of Gombak, Selangor district of Hulu Langat.

The data collections are from Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) where Klang Valley area is using Annual Maximum Series (AMS) rainfall data from year 1995 to 2016 to develop IDF curves. The duration of IDF curves from 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 180, 360, 720, 1440, 2880, 4320 and 7200 minutes and the ARI including 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 years.

To construct the IDF curve, there are two methods that are used. The method was Gumbel Distribution and Log Normal Distribution Function. The empirical formula used to determine the IDF curve fitting constants is Sherman Equation. The different percentage of rainfall intensity between MSMA 2 and the estimated fitting constants of Intensity Duration Frequency curve in Klang Valley using Sherman Equation has been analysed.

REFERENCE

- Abdul Aziz, N. (2018, March 16). Rainfall in Malaysia 2008-2015. Retrieved from https://www.ortechnologies.net/blog/posts/rainfall-in-malaysia-2008-2015/
- AlHassoun, S. A. (2011). Developing an empirical formulae to estimate rainfall intensity in Riyadh region. *Journal of King Saud University Engineering Sciences*, 23(2), 81–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2011.03.003
- Ariff, N. M., Jemain, A. A., Ibrahim, K., & Wan Zin, W. Z. (2012). IDF relationships using bivariate copula for storm events in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 470–471, 158–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.08.045
- Awadallah, A. G., ElGamal, M., ElMostafa, A., & ElBadry, H. (2011). Developing Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves in Scarce Data Region: An Approach using Regional Analysis and Satellite Data. *Engineering*, 3(3), 215–226. https://doi.org/10.4236/eng.2011.33025
- Daniell & T.Tabios (2008). Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region. *Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region*, (November).
- Di Baldassarre, G., Brath, A., & Montanari, A. (2006). Reliability of different depth-durationfrequency equations for estimating short-duration design storms. *Water Resources Research*, 42(12), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR004911
- Elsebaie, I. H. (2012). Developing rainfall intensity–duration–frequency relationship for two regions in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of King Saud University Engineering Sciences*, 24(2), 131–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2011.06.001
- Fadhel, S., Rico-Ramirez, M. A., & Han, D. (2017). Uncertainty of Intensity–Duration– Frequency (IDF) curves due to varied climate baseline periods. *Journal of Hydrology*, 547, 600–612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.02.013
- García-Bartual, R., & Schneider, M. (2001). Estimating maximum expected short-duration rainfall intensities from extreme convective storms. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B: Hydrology, Oceans and Atmosphere, 26*(9), 675–681. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1909(01)00068-5
- Ismail Fathy, A. M. N. M. E.-F. M. N., & & Eman Al-Sayed. (2014). Intensity Duration Frequency Curves for Sinai Peninsula, Egypt. *IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Engineering & Technology (IMPACT: IJRET)*, 2(6), 105–112. Retrieved from http://www.impactjournals.us/journals.php?id=77&jtype=2&page=15

Khalid K. Al-anazi, D. I. H. E.-S. (2013). Development of Intensity-Duration-Frequency

Relationships for Abha City in Saudi Arabia. *International J. Computational Engineering Research*, *10*(3), 58–65. Retrieved from http://files.figshare.com/1273945/H03101058065.pdf

- Kouru Takara (2008). Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region. *Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region*, (November).
- Koutsoyiannis, D., Kozonis, D., & Manetas, A. (1998). A mathematical framework for studying rainfall intensity-duration-frequency relationships. *Journal of Hydrology*, 206(1–2), 118–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00097-3
- Le Minh Nhat, YTachikawa, T.Sayama, K. T. (2006). Derivation of Rainfall-Intensity-Frequency Relationships for Short-Duration Rainfall Data. In *International Symposium on Managing Water Supply for Growing Demand* (pp. 89–96).
- Mohd Zaki M.Amin (2008). Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region. *Rainfall Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) Analysis for the Asia Pacific Region*, (November).

MSMA 2nd Edition. (2012). Urban Stormwater Management Manual for Malaysia.

- Nick Millington, S. D. & S. P. S. (2011). THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND Water Resources Research Report By :, (March), 0–93.
- Nordila, A. (2008). Characterization of convective rain in klang valley, malaysia nordila binti ahmad universiti teknologi malaysia. *Characterization of Convective Rain in Klang Valley, Malaysia*.
- Nur Salbiah Shamsudin. (2016). The development of Intensity Duration Frequency curves fitting constant at Kuatna river basin.
- Pereyra-Diaz, D. (2012). D Pereyra-Díaz. *Two Nonlinear Mathematical Models to Estimate the Intensity Duration Return Period of Rainfall Events*, 28(3), 271–277.
- Wong, C. L., Liew, J., Yusop, Z., Ismail, T., Venneker, R., & Uhlenbrook, S. (2016). Rainfall characteristics and regionalization in peninsular malaysia based on a high resolution gridded data set. *Water (Switzerland)*, 8(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/w8110500
- Yu, P. S., Yang, T. C., & Lin, C. S. (2004). Regional rainfall intensity formulas based on scaling property of rainfall. *Journal of Hydrology*, 295(1–4), 108–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.03.003