

STRENGTHENING OF RC BEAM
EXTERNALLY USING BAMBOO FIBRE
REINFORCED COMPOSITE:
A STUDY ON *GIGANTOCHLOA
SCORTECHINII*

LEE MEI CHIN

B. ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

Full Name : DR. CHIN SIEW CHOO

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 08.06.2018



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

Full Name : LEE MEI CHIN

ID Number : AA 14074

Date : 08.06.2018

STRENGTHENING OF RC BEAM
EXTERNALLY USING
BAMBOO FIBRE REINFORCED COMPOSITE:
A STUDY ON *GIGANTOCHLOA SCORTECHINII*

LEE MEI CHIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere thanks to Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP), for giving me the opportunity to conduct the research and providing me with all the necessary facilities for the research.

Next, I place on record, my sincere thank you to Dr. Chin Siew Choo, my research supervisor. I am extremely thankful and indebted to her for sharing expertise, sincere valuable guidance and encouragement extended to me. Besides, a special thank the laboratory technicians for their guidance and assistant during the laboratory works for this research. Moreover, I would like to thank by Pamix Sdn Bhd for their kindness in the sponsorship of ready-mix concrete for this research.

Apart from that, I take this opportunity to express gratitude to all friends and teammates for their help and support. I also thank my parents who are always standing by my side and giving me the uncertain encouragement and support. I am also grateful to my partner who supported me through this venture.

Lastly, I also place on board, my sense of gratitude to one and all, who directly and indirectly, lent their hand in this venture.

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan polimer bertetulang gentian semula jadi sebagai pengukuh kepada struktur konkrit secara luaran amat diperlukan untuk menjalankan kerja pemulihan bangunan. Satu kajian mengenai *Gigantochloa Scortechinii* (Buluh Semantan) telah dijalankan untuk menyelidik sifat mekanik buluh dan seratnya untuk digunakan dalam fabrikasi komposit. Dalam kajian ini, buluh diperoleh dari Raub, Pahang. Dari segi sifat mekanik buluh, ujian tegangan dan mampatan antara spesimen dalam keadaan mentah dan kering telah dilakukan. Di samping itu, serat buluh telah dirawat dengan 10 % natrium hidroksida (NaOH) selama 48 jam untuk digunakan dalam fabrikasi plat komposit. Serat buluh dengan ketumpatan 0.75 g/cm^3 dicampur dengan matriks resin epoksi dalam nisbah 2: 3. Kemudian, ujian kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan dijalankan untuk membandingkan ciri-ciri antara plat komposit epoksi dengan 0 % (neat epoxy) dan 40 % serat (BFECF). Kemudian, penglekatan BFECF kepada rasuk konkrit bertetulang sebagai penguat luaran. Ujian pemuatan empat mata dilakukan untuk mengkaji ciri-ciri perubahan struktur antara kawalan (CB), tanpa pengukuhan (USTB) dan pengukuhan (SSTB). Hasil ujian eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa beban muktamad untuk rasuk dengan pengukuhan adalah 16.81 % dan 23.84 % lebih tinggi daripada rasuk kawalan dan rasuk yang tanpa pengukuhan. Dari segi penubuhan retak, retakan lenturan didapati pada spesimen rasuk di bahagian tengah manakala rasuk kawalan gagal dalam ketegangan pepenjuru. Selain itu, keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa kekuatan tegangan dan mampatan spesimen buluh kering adalah lebih tinggi daripada keadaan mentah, iaitu 48.77 % dan 31.68 %. Sehubungan dengan itu, didapati bahawa BFECF adalah 309.73 % dan 820.11 %, lebih tinggi daripada epoksi kemas dari segi kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan. Secara kesimpulannya, plat komposit epoksi buluh mempunyai potensi untuk digunakan sebagai bahan pengukuhan alternatif untuk polimer bertetulang sintetik.

ABSTRACT

The use of fibre reinforced polymer as external strengthening in reinforced concrete (RC) structures which in need to carry out rehabilitation works. A study on *Gigantochloa Scortechnii* (*Buluh Semantan*) has been conducted to investigate the mechanical properties of the bamboo and its fibres to be used in composite fabrication. In this research, the bamboos are obtained from Raub, Pahang. In terms of mechanical properties of bamboo, tensile and compression test between the raw and oven-dried bamboo specimens have been performed. On the other hand, for the composite plate fabrication, the bamboo fibres had been treated with 10 % of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) for 48 hours. The bamboo fibres with a density of 0.75 g/cm^3 are mixed with epoxy resin matrix in the ratio of 2:3. Tensile and flexural strength tests are executed to compare the characteristics between the neat epoxy and bamboo fibre-epoxy composite plate (BFECP). Moreover, the BFECP is bonded to the reinforced concrete (RC) beam as external strengthening material. Four-points loading test is performed to study the structural behaviour between the control (CB), un-strengthened (USTB) and strengthened beam (SSTB). From the results, the tensile and compressive strength of the oven-dried bamboo specimens are higher than in raw condition, which is 48.77 % and 31.68 %, respectively. Meanwhile, the BFECP are 309.73 % and 820.11 %, respectively, greater than neat epoxy in terms of tensile and flexural strength. On the other hand, the ultimate load sustained by the strengthened beam is 16.81 % and 23.84 % higher than control beam and un-strengthened beam, respectively. In terms of crack propagation, the flexural cracks were found in the mid-span of beam specimens while only control beams failed in bending and experienced diagonal tension failure. This signifies that bamboo fibres-epoxy composite plate has potential to be used as an alternative strengthening material for synthetic reinforced polymer.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Objectives	5
1.4 Scope of Study	5
1.5 Research Significance	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Natural Fibre	8
2.2.1 Bamboo Fibre	11
2.3 Fibre Surface Treatments	14

2.3.1	Alkali Treatment	16
2.4	Thermoplastic and Thermoset	19
2.4.1	Resin-Epoxy	19
2.5	Natural Fibre Reinforced Composite	21
2.6	Fibre Volume Ratio	22
2.7	Mechanical Properties Test	23
2.7.1	Tensile Test	24
2.7.1.1	Tensile Test on Bamboo	24
2.7.1.2	Tensile Test on Fibre Plate	25
2.7.2	Flexural Test	26
2.7.2.1	Flexural Test on Bamboo Culm	26
2.7.2.2	Flexural Test on Fibre Plate (ASTM 790-03)	28
2.8	External Strengthening of RC Beam Using FRP	29
2.8.1	Synthetic Fibre	30
2.8.2	Natural Fibre	30
2.9	Shear Reinforcement in RC Beams.	32
2.9.1	Shear Behaviour in a RC Beam with FRP Materials.	32
2.10	Summary	34
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Research Methodology Chart	36
3.3	Preparation of Materials	37
3.3.1	Bamboo Specimen	37
3.3.2	Bamboo Fibre	38

3.3.2.1	Fibre Treatment	40
3.3.3	Epoxy	41
3.3.4	Sikadur-30 Epoxy Laminating Resin	42
3.4	Density Test	44
3.5	Fabrication of Bamboo Fibre Reinforced Epoxy Composite Plate (BFECPP)	46
3.6	Preparation of Specimens	49
3.6.1	Formwork Preparation	50
3.6.2	Steel Reinforcement	51
3.6.3	Concrete	52
3.7	Concreting	53
3.7.1	Casting	53
3.7.2	Curing	54
3.8	BFECPP Strengthening System	55
3.8.1	BFECPP Strengthening Configuration	56
3.9	Laboratory Testing	57
3.9.1	Slump Test	57
3.9.2	Cube Test	57
3.9.3	Four-Points Loading Test	58
3.10	Behaviour of Bamboo Specimen	60
3.10.1	Mechanical Properties Test	60
3.10.1.1	Tensile Test	60
3.10.1.2	Compression Test	61
3.11	Behaviour of Composite	62
3.11.1	Mechanical Properties Test	62
3.11.1.1	Tensile Strength Test on BFECPP	62

3.11.1.2	Flexural Strength Test on BFECF	63
3.12	Summary	65
CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS		67
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Properties of concrete	68
4.2.1	Slump Test	68
4.2.2	Cube Test	68
4.3	Density Test	70
4.4	Mechanical Properties of Bamboo	71
4.4.1	Tensile Test	71
4.4.2	Compression Test	76
4.5	Mechanical Properties of Bamboo Fibre Reinforced Composite Plate	81
4.5.1	Tensile Test (ASTM D3039)	81
4.5.2	Flexural Strength Test (ASTM D790-03)	84
4.5.3	Summary of BFECF Behaviour	86
4.6	Strengthening Behaviour of RC Beams in Flexural	87
4.6.1	Load and Deflection Response	87
4.6.2	Crack Propagation	92
4.6.3	Failure Mode	95
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CONCLUSION		96
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	Conclusion	96
5.3	Recommendations	97
5.4	Limitations of Current Research	98

REFERENCES	99
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A GANTT CHART	104
APPENDIX B CALCULATION OF FABRICATION OF COMPOSITE	105
APPENDIX C DATA SHEET OF EPOXY D.E.R. 331	106
APPENDIX D DATA SHEET OF HARDENER JOINTMINE 905-3S	107
APPENDIX E DATA SHEET OF SIKADUR-30 EPOXY RESIN	108
APPENDIX F CONCRETE MIX DESIGN COMPUTATION & SUMMARY	109
APPENDIX G CALCULATION FOR MODULUS OF ELASTICITY	110

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Composition of a few natural fibres.	13
Table 2.2 Comparative properties of bamboo fibres, glass fibres and their respective reinforced composites.	17
Table 2.3 Properties of typical thermoset polymers used in natural fibre composites.	21
Table 2.4 The flexural properties of various fibre composites at 0.40 volume fraction of fibre.	29
Table 2.5 Percentage increase in the ultimate load carrying capacity.	31
Table 3.1 Summary of Mechanical Properties of Sikadur-30 Epoxy Resin.	43
Table 3.2 Preparation of beam specimens.	50
Table 3.3 Summary of beam specimens.	65
Table 3.4 Summary of experimental test.	66
Table 4.1 Result of cube test of ready-mix concrete.	69
Table 4.2 Result of density test.	71
Table 4.3 Dimensions of bamboo splint samples.	72
Table 4.4 Result of tensile strength test on bamboo specimens.	74
Table 4.5 Ultimate tensile stress and deflection at ultimate load.	75
Table 4.6 Dimensions of bamboo specimens for compression test.	77
Table 4.7 Compressive strength test of bamboo specimens.	79
Table 4.8 Ultimate compressive load and its deflection.	79
Table 4.9 Ultimate load and tensile stress of neat epoxy plate and BFECF.	82
Table 4.10 Ultimate load and flexural strength of neat epoxy and BFECF.	85
Table 4.11 Comparison in term of ultimate load.	90
Table 4.12 Comparison in term of deflection at ultimate load.	91
Table 4.13 Failure modes of the beam specimens.	95

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Classification of natural fibres.	8
Figure 2.2 The chemical composition, moisture content and microfibrillar angle of selected plant fibres.	9
Figure 2.3 The mechanical properties of natural fibres as compared to conventional reinforcing fibres.	10
Figure 2.4 Gigantochloa Scortechini.	11
Figure 2.5 Cross section of bamboo.	13
Figure 2.6 Cell wall polymers responsible for the properties of lignocellulosics.	14
Figure 2.7 Typical structure of untreated (a) and alkali treated (b) cellulosic fibre.	16
Figure 2.8 Tensile strength (a) and elastic modulus (b) of bamboo fibres at different NaOH concentration.	18
Figure 2.9 Chemical formula of Epoxy Resin	20
Figure 2.10 The three-dimensional oxirane ring of epoxy (a), cross-linked structure of cured epoxy (b).	20
Figure 2.11 Tensile strength of several type of fibres composite.	21
Figure 2.12 Average of ultimate tensile strength for different fibre volume fraction.	23
Figure 2.13 Volume fraction of fibres to the tensile strength of different type of fibre reinforced HDPE composites.	23
Figure 2.14 Stress-strain curve of bamboo splint under tensile load.	24
Figure 2.15 The effect of NaOH treatment on tensile strength.	25
Figure 2.16 Influence of different fibres on the tensile strength.	26
Figure 2.17 Cracking of fibres.	27
Figure 2.18 Crushing of fibres.	27
Figure 2.19 Combination of cracking and crushing of fibres.	28
Figure 2.20 Effect of volume fraction of fibre on mean flexural strength of various natural fibre composites.	29

Figure 2.21 Graph of Load Vs Deflection Graph.	30
Figure 2.22 Load VS Deflection curve of different type of specimens.	31
Figure 2.23 Shear in beam without shear reinforcement.	33
Figure 3.1 Bamboo specimens for compression test.	37
Figure 3.2 Bamboo specimens for tensile test.	38
Figure 3.3 Bamboo strips.	39
Figure 3.4 Soaking of bamboo strips in 10% of NaOH solution.	39
Figure 3.5 Process of fibre extraction.	39
Figure 3.6 Bamboo fibres.	40
Figure 3.7 Soaking of fibres in distilled water.	41
Figure 3.8 Dried fibre samples.	41
Figure 3.9 Matrix Polymer (Hardener, Epoxy)	42
Figure 3.10 Ready used Epoxy mixture.	42
Figure 3.11 Sikadur-30 Epoxy Laminating Resin.	44
Figure 3.12 The well mixed and ready used Sikadur-30 epoxy.	44
Figure 3.13 Samples for density test.	45
Figure 3.14 Water displacement method.	45
Figure 3.15 Stainless steel moulds for samples fabrication.	47
Figure 3.16 Application of mould releasing agents, honey wax.	47
Figure 3.17 The ready used bamboo fibres in desired length.	48
Figure 3.18 Fabrication of composite by using hand lay-up method.	48
Figure 3.19 The composite plates.	49
Figure 3.20 Formwork preparation.	51
Figure 3.21 Schematic diagram for RC beam.	51
Figure 3.22 Concrete cover.	52
Figure 3.23 Ready mixed concrete from Pamix Sdn. Bhd.	52

Figure 3.24 Application of sealant on formwork.	53
Figure 3.25 Preparation of concrete cubes.	54
Figure 3.26 Completed beam casting.	55
Figure 3.27 Beam curing process.	55
Figure 3.28 The roughened surface of RC beams.	56
Figure 3.29 BFECF is bonded to the RC beam by using Sikadur-30.	56
Figure 3.30 Slump test.	57
Figure 3.31 Cube test.	58
Figure 3.32 Schematic diagram of loading system for four-points loading test.	59
Figure 3.33 Four-points loading test.	59
Figure 3.34 Tensile test on bamboo splint.	61
Figure 3.35 Compression test of bamboo specimen.	62
Figure 3.36 Tensile test by using Universal Testing Machine.	63
Figure 3.37 Three-points flexural test.	64
Figure 4.1 True slump	68
Figure 4.2 Graph of Average Compressive Strength VS Sample Age.	70
Figure 4.3 (a) and (b) The brittle failure of bamboo splint under tensile load.	73
Figure 4.4 The brittle failure of bamboo splint at node under tensile load.	74
Figure 4.5 Graph of load-deflection for raw condition of bamboo splints under tensile strength test.	75
Figure 4.6 Graph of load-deflection for oven-dried condition of bamboo splints under tensile strength test.	76
Figure 4.7 Stress-strain curve for both conditions under tensile test.	76
Figure 4.8 Failure mode of bamboo specimens: Cracking of fibres (a), Crushing of fibres (b), Mixed modes of failure (c).	78
Figure 4.9 Graph of load-deflection for raw condition of bamboo specimens under compressive strength test.	80

Figure 4.10 Graph of load-deflection for oven-dried condition of bamboo specimens under compressive strength test.	80
Figure 4.11 Stress-strain curve for both conditions under compressive test.	81
Figure 4.12 Graph of load-deflection for neat epoxy (E) under tensile strength test.	83
Figure 4.13 Graph of load-deflection for BFECF (S) under tensile strength test.	83
Figure 4.14 Stress-strain curve for neat epoxy (E) and BFERP (S) under tensile test.	84
Figure 4.15 Load-deflection curves for neat epoxy plates under flexural strength test.	85
Figure 4.16 Load-deflection curves for BFECF under flexural strength test.	86
Figure 4.17 Stress-strain curves of neat epoxy plate (E) and composite plate (S) under flexural strength test.	86
Figure 4.18 Graph of load-deflection of beam of CB.	87
Figure 4.19 Graph of load-deflection of beam of USTB.	88
Figure 4.20 Graph of load-deflection of SSTB.	89
Figure 4.21 Combination of load-deflection curves of CB, USTB, and SSTB.	90
Figure 4.22 Control beam 1.	92
Figure 4.23 Control beam 2.	92
Figure 4.24 Control beam 3.	92
Figure 4.25 Un-strengthened beam 1.	93
Figure 4.26 Un-strengthened beam 2.	93
Figure 4.27 Un-strengthened beam 3.	93
Figure 4.28 Beam strengthened with BFECF 1.	94
Figure 4.29 Beam strengthened with BFECF 2.	94
Figure 4.30 Beam strengthened with BFECF 3.	94

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
mm	Millimetre
g/cm ³	Gram per centimetre cube
mL	Millilitre
N	Newton
kN	Kilo Newton
kN/sec	Kilo Newton per second
°C	Degree Celsius
g	Gram
mm/min	Millimetre per minute
mm ²	Millimetre square
MPa	Mega Pascal
P	Load

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BFERP	Bamboo Fibre-Epoxy Reinforced Composite Plate
FRP	Fibre Reinforced Polymer
FKASA	Faculty Civil Engineering & Earth Resources Laboratory
LVDT	Linear Variable Displacement Transducer
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
RC	Reinforced Concrete
UTM	Universal Testing Machine

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Bamboo is the world's fastest growing woody grass. It grows four feet in a 24 hours period. There are about 1200 species of bamboos and most of them grow in Asia, Africa and Latin America. Bamboo can withstand more tension compared to compression as the bamboo fibres run axially are of highly elastic vascular bundle that has a high tensile strength and comparable with steel. However, due to its low natural durability, it requires to be chemically treated (Sharma, Dhanwantri and Mehta, 2014). Potential usage of bamboo fibre as reinforced composite material is proven in the available studies. Bamboo has a high percentage of amorphous lignin and cellulose which are approximately 10.15% and 73.83%, respectively. This high lignin content makes bamboo fibre brittle compared to other natural plants. However, bamboo fibre is comparable with glass fibre in term of environmental sustainability, mechanical properties and recyclability (Zakikhani *et al.*, 2014).

Fibre reinforced composite (FRC) is also known as fibre reinforced plastic (FRP). Fibre reinforced polymer materials are composites which consist of two major components: high strength fibres reinforcement and polymeric matrices. There are innumerable of distinct sets of properties from combining different fibres and resins. The fibres in these materials are elements that carry loads while providing strength and rigidity. The polymer matrices play their role in conserving the fibre arrangement (position and orientation) and preventing them from any environment and possible damages (Begum and Islam, 2013). Since natural fibres have a lot of favourable properties compared to synthetic fibres like handling and disposal process which lead to environmental issues. Therefore, the application of natural fibres in reinforced composite

plate has increased tremendously in taking over the usage of synthetic fibres such as glass and carbon fibre.

The matrix material used to bind the fibre reinforcement, it shaped the composite component and determines the surface quality. It is also responsible for the load transfer and contribute to environmental protection. A matrix can be polymeric, ceramic or metallic. Polymer matrices are extensively used for composites in commercial. Polymers that are classified as plastics can be divided into two major categories based on their elevated temperature characteristics, which are thermoplastic and thermosetting. A thermoplastic material will flow at elevated temperatures, which also known as crystalline melting point and the solidified polymer can be remodelled several times as necessary by repeating heating and cooling without causing any chemical changes. While thermosetting polymers will not be able to flow upon reheating once they have been successfully moulded by plastic flow at elevated temperature. Although thermoplastic requires a longer time to be produced, but it is easier to mould compared to thermosetting plastic (Hameed, 2012). However, in structural engineering application, thermosetting polymers are widely used as the behaviour of thermoset that unable to be deformed easily as the polymer chains are interlinked. Thermosetting resins that commonly used in binding the fibres to form composite plate are polyester resin, epoxy resin and vinyl esters resin (Chandra Das and Haque Nizam, 2014). In this study, epoxy is used as the matrix in fabricating the bamboo fibre reinforced composite plate.

The use of fibre reinforced polymer as external support of reinforced concrete (RC) structures which in need to carry out rehabilitation works. Those rehabilitation and strengthening works, including maintenance of deteriorated structures, damaged structures due to seismic actions such as earthquake, defective in design stage, such as under designed structures where in need of extra strengthening, and to achieve the stringent design requirement (Chandra Das and Haque Nizam, 2014). Natural fibre such as bamboo fibre used as structural reinforcements in composite as a substitution of synthetic fibre, the weight of the composite is reduced about 10-30% and with outstanding acoustical absorption properties (L.S, Reddy and Nizar, 2013).

1.2 Problem Statement

Malaysia is a densely forested country and forest products such as bamboo, timber and rattan are important sources of income. The potential of growth of the bamboo industry is enormous which has been recognized by researchers. In the last decade, the bamboo development has become the first concern of Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM) in terms of growth and the manufacturing aspects. In Malaysia, there are about 70 species of bamboo where 50 are in Peninsular Malaysia, 30 in Sabah and 20 in Sarawak. The 10 available genera are *Bambusa*, *Chusquea*, *Dendrocalamus*, *Dinochloa*, *Gigantochloa*, *Phyllostachys*, *Racemobambos*, *Schizostachyum*, *Thyrsostachys* and *Yushania* (Azmy and Appanah, 1991).

Strengthening techniques are required for the deteriorated structure to prolong the service period of the building instead of demolition of it. Therefore, the utilization of FRP becomes one of the best choices in supporting the structures externally. This is because synthetic fibres like carbon, glass and aramid yield high strength-to-weight ratio, high strength and stiffness, simple installation and long fatigue life (Dong, Wang and Guan, 2013). However, synthetic fibres are slowly replaced by the use of natural fibres in the production of fibre reinforced polymer composite. Synthetic fibres are less cost effective, poor recycling and non-biodegradable properties which rise negative impacts to the environment (Begum and Islam, 2013).

Natural fibres are more reliable, sustainable, biodegradable and environmental friendly which able to substitute synthetic fibres as structural reinforcement materials due to the increasing environmental concern and public awareness of industrial pollution. Some of the natural fibres are bamboo, sisal, jute and hemp, they have low density with high specific strength and stiffness properties, cost efficient, renewable, and accessible globally (Ku *et al.*, 2011). Hence, with the usage of natural fibres, the environmental problems can be reduced by diminishing the emission of pollutants and greenhouse gas while enhancing the energy recovery. Besides, the application of natural fibres as reinforcement material fabricates with polymeric matrices can enhance their mechanical properties including tensile, flexural and impact properties (Braunschweig, 2016).

The polymeric resin acts as a fibre protection and impede the diffusion process. Hence, mechanical properties of the composite plate are important to investigate the

REFERENCES

- Abdul Khalil, H. P. S. *et al.* (2012) 'Bamboo fibre reinforced biocomposites: A review', *Materials and Design*. Elsevier Ltd, 42, pp. 353–368. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2012.06.015.
- Azmy, H. M. and Appanah, S. (1991) 'Bamboo resources conservation and utilization in Malaysia', *FRIM, Kepong, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.*, pp. 1–13.
- Begum, K. and Islam, M. . (2013) 'Natural Fiber as a substitute to Synthetic Fiber in Polymer Composites : A Review', *Research Journal of Engineering Science*, 2(3), pp. 46–53. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.1.2685.6163.
- Braunschweig, T. U. (2016) 'Effect of alkali treatment on microstructure and mechanical properties of coir fibres , coir fibre reinforced-polymer ...', *CONSTRUCTION & BUILDING MATERIALS*. Elsevier Ltd, 112(March), pp. 168–182. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.02.182.
- Chandra Das, S. and Haque Nizam, E. (2014) 'Applications of Fibber Reinforced Polymer Composites (FRP) in Civil Engineering', *International Journal of Advanced Structures and Geotechnical Engineering ISSN*, 3(3), pp. 2319–5347. Available at: <http://basharesearch.com/IJASGE/1030317.pdf>.
- Daud, N. M. *et al.* (2018) 'The physical and mechanical properties of treated and untreated Gigantochloa Scortechinii bamboo', *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1930. doi: 10.1063/1.5022910.
- Dong, J., Wang, Q. and Guan, Z. (2013) 'Structural behaviour of RC beams with external flexural and flexural-shear strengthening by FRP sheets', *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 44(1), pp. 604–612. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2012.02.018.
- Faruk, O. *et al.* (2012) 'Biocomposites reinforced with natural fibers: 2000-2010', *Progress in Polymer Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 37(11), pp. 1552–1596. doi: 10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2012.04.003.

Gupta, A. K., Ganguly, R. and Mehra, A. S. (2015) 'Bamboo as green alternative to steel for reinforced concrete elements of a low cost residential building', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 20(6), pp. 1523–1545.

Hameed, A. M. (2012) 'The mechanical behavior of thermoplastic and thermosetting polymers in different aqueous environments', pp. 483–497.

Jauhari, N., Mishra, R. and Thakur, H. (2015) 'Natural Fibre Reinforced Composite Laminates - A Review', *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2(4–5), pp. 2868–2877. doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2015.07.304.

Karbhari, V. M. *et al.* (2003) 'Durability Gap Analysis for Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites in Civil Infrastructure', pp. 1–11.

Khalid, N. H. A. and Yatim, J. M. (2011) 'EXTERNAL STRENGTHENING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS USING KENAF FIBER BIOCOMPOSITE PLATES'.

Ku, H. *et al.* (2011) 'A review on the tensile properties of natural fiber reinforced polymer composites', *Composites Part B*, 42, pp. 856–873. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2011.01.010.

L.S, S. kumar, Reddy, D. H. N. J. and Nizar, R. (2013) 'RETROFITTING OF RC BEAMS USING NATURAL FRP WRAPPING (NSFRP) S andeep kumar L.S, Dr.H.N.Jagannatha Reddy, Rumina Nizar 1)', 5(3), pp. 168–178.

Lobovikov, M. *et al.* (2005) 'World bamboo bamboo resources PRODUCTS'.

Manakur, J. *et al.* (2017) 'Experimental Study on Feasibility of Recycled E-Glass Fibre from Fibre Epoxy Composite', 2(2).

Mantia, F. P. La and Morreale, M. (2011) 'Green composites: A brief review',

Composites Part A. Elsevier Ltd, 42(6), pp. 579–588. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2011.01.017.

Munawar, M. A. (2007) ‘Natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites’, (January 2007), pp. 129–144.

Mwaikambo, L. Y. and Ansell, M. P. (2001) ‘Chemical modification of hemp, sisal, jute, and kapok fibers by alkalization’, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 84(12), pp. 2222–2234. doi: 10.1002/app.10460.

Okubo, K., Fujii, T. and Yamamoto, Y. (2004) ‘Development of bamboo-based polymer composites and their mechanical properties’, *Composites Part A*, 35, pp. 377–383. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2003.09.017.

Oushabi, A. *et al.* (2017) ‘The effect of alkali treatment on mechanical , morphological and thermal properties of date palm fibers (DPFs): Study of the interface of DPF e Polyurethane composite’, *South African Journal of Chemical Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 23, pp. 116–123. doi: 10.1016/j.sajce.2017.04.005.

Pickering, K. L., Efendy, M. G. A. and Le, T. M. (2016) ‘A review of recent developments in natural fibre composites and their mechanical performance’, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 83, pp. 98–112. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2015.08.038.

Raju, A. and Mathew, L. A. (2013) ‘Retrofitting of RC Beams Using FRP’, 2(1).

Ratna Prasad, A. V. and Mohana Rao, K. (2011) ‘Mechanical properties of natural fibre reinforced polyester composites: Jowar, sisal and bamboo’, *Materials and Design*. Elsevier Ltd, 32(8–9), pp. 4658–4663. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2011.03.015.

Rattan or Bamboo (2018), Forestry Department of Peninsular Malaysia, viewed 21 March 2018, < <https://www.forestry.gov.my/index.php/en/buluh-dan-rotan>>.

Saba, N. *et al.* (2016) ‘Recent advances in epoxy resin, natural fiber-reinforced epoxy composites and their applications’, *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 35(6), pp. 447–470. doi: 10.1177/0731684415618459.

Saira, P. . *et al.* (2017) ‘Experimental Study of Retrofitted RC Beams Using FRP’, *International Journal of Innovative Research in Advanced Engineering*, 9(4), pp. 2349–2163. doi: 10.26562/IJIRAE.2017.SPAAE10087.

Sanjay, M. R. *et al.* (2016) ‘Applications of Natural Fibers and Its Composites : An Overview’, pp. 108–114.

Seema Jain, R. K. and Jindal, U. C. (1992) ‘Mechanical behaviour of bamboo and bamboo composite’, 27, pp. 4598–4604.

Sharma, P., Dhanwantri, K. and Mehta, S. (2014) ‘Bamboo as a building material and its processing methods’, *International Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, 1(3), pp. 56–61.

Stratford, T. and Burgoyne, C. (2003) ‘Shear Analysis of Concrete with Brittle Reinforcement’, *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 7(4), pp. 323–330. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0268(2003)7:4(323).

Thakur, V. K. and Singha, A. S. (2015) ‘Surface Modification of Biopolymers’, pp. 224–237.

Tong, F. S. *et al.* (2015) ‘The Influence of Alkali Treatment on Physico-chemical Properties of Malaysian Bamboo Fiber : Preliminary Study’.

Zakikhani, P. *et al.* (2014) ‘Extraction and preparation of bamboo fibre-reinforced composites’, *Materials and Design*. Elsevier Ltd, 63, pp. 820–828. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2014.06.058.

Zini, E. and Scandola, M. (2011) 'Green Composites : An Overview', *POLYMER COMPOSITES*. doi: 10.1002/pc.

Zulkarnain, N. A. (2014) 'DEGRADABILITY OF BAMBOO FIBRE REINFORCED'.