

FINITE IMPULSE RESPONSE OPTIMIZERS  
FOR SOLVING  
OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

TASIRANSURINI BINTI AB RAHMAN

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



## **SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION**

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

---

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. ZUWAIRIE BIN IBRAHIM  
Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR  
Date :

---

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. NORAZLINA BINTI AB. AZIZ  
Position : LECTURER  
Date :



## **STUDENT'S DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

---

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : TASIRANSURINI BINTI AB RAHMAN

ID Number : PEL16001

Date : AUGUST 2019

FINITE IMPULSE RESPONSE OPTIMIZERS  
FOR SOLVING  
OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

TASIRANSURINI BINTI AB RAHMAN

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements  
for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

AUGUST 2019

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful. Praise is to Allah who gave me the strength and the guidance to accomplish this work.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Professor Dr. Zuwairie bin Ibrahim and to my field supervisor, Dr. Nor Azlina Binti Ab. Aziz for their guidance throughout my research. Many thanks to Assoc. Professor Shunyi Zhao from Jiangnan University who helped me to understand the concept of the UFIR filter.

Secondly, I would also like to thank all members of staffs in the Faculty of Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering, Institute of Postgraduate Studies, and Faculty of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP), as well as Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), and Multimedia University Malaysia (MMU).

To my panels, Dr. Muhammad Sharfi bin Najib, Dr. Ahmad Afif bin Mohd Faudzi, Dr. Dwi Pebriati, Dr. Mohd Shakirin bin Ramli, Dr. Nor Maniha Binti Abd Ghani, Ts. Dr. Nafrizuan bin Mat Yahaya, Dr. Muhammaad Aizat bin Zakaria, Assoc. Professor Dr. Mohd Nizam bin Mohmad Kahar, Assoc. Professor Dr. Rohani Binti Abu Bakar, Prof. Ts. Dr. Kamal Zuhairi bin Zamli, Prof. Dr. Salwani Binti Abdullah, Assoc. Professor Dr. Siti Zaiton Binti Mohd Hashim, Prof. Abdullah bin Ibrahim, Dr. Ahmad Shahir bin Jamaludin, and Dr. Ahmad Fahkri bin Ab. Nasir, thank you for fruitful discussion during either progress presentations, proposal defense, pre-viva, and viva session.

I would like to thank my employer, UTHM and to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for supporting me financially through Skim Latihan Akademik Bumiputera (SLAB), and not forgotten to my guarantors.

Thank you to members of *Legion of SKF and FIROs* for providing interesting comments and support in our series of supervisory meetings.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my parents, Ab Rahman bin Yatim and Che Hasnah Binti Mahmud, my sons, Ahmad Naimdanish bin Muhamad Naim and Ahmad NaimHadeef bin Muhamad Naim, my brother, Tasirihafizan bin Ab Rahman, my sister in law, Aziah Binti Abdollah, my niece, Annur Azimah Binti Tasirihafizan, and to all my relatives and friends for your support and prayers.

## ABSTRAK

Masalah pengoptimuman sering ditemui dalam pelbagai bidang. Pengelasan algoritma metaheuristik berasaskan anggaran telah diperkenalkan bagi menyelesaikan masalah pengoptimuman. Algoritma Kalman Penapis Simulasi (SKF) adalah salah satu algoritma di bawah klasifikasi ini. SKF diilhami oleh rangka kerja penapis Kalman (KF) iaitu penganggar yang popular bagi menyelesaikan masalah anggaran. SKF memerlukan parameter keadaan awalan, ralat kovarian awalan, hingar pengukuran, dan hingar proses untuk beroperasi. Namun, tiada kajian penalaan parameter dijalankan bagi kesemua parameter SKF. Memilih nilai parameter optimal dapat meningkatkan prestasi algoritma. Ini boleh dilakukan melalui eksperimen penalaan parameter. Namun, penalaan beberapa parameter adalah tugas yang mencabar dan memakan masa. Oleh itu, kajian ini cuba mengguna pakai strategi pencarian baru dari penganggar popular yang lain, dinamakan Penapis sambutan dedenyut terhingga saksama lelaran muktamad (UFIR) yang bekerja dengan hanya satu parameter. Penapis UFIR adalah salah satu daripada variasi penapis sambutan dedenyut terhingga (FIR). Penapis FIR diperkenalkan untuk mengatasi had dalam penapis KF yang mempunyai beberapa parameter yang sukar ditentukan dalam aplikasi-nyata. Dalam kerja ini, tiga algoritma baru metaheuristik berasaskan anggaran diperkenalkan. Algoritma pertama adalah algoritma berasaskan ejen-tunggal, dinamakan pengoptimum FIR ejen-tunggal (SAFIRO). Algoritma kedua adalah algoritma berasaskan ejen-berbilang dengan mekanisme kemas kini segerak, dinamakan pengoptimum FIR ejen-berbilang (MAFIRO). Algoritma ketiga adalah algoritma berasaskan agen-berbilang dengan mekanisme kemas kini tak segerak, dinamakan pengoptimum FIR tak segerak (AFIRO). SAFIRO berbeza daripada MAFIRO dari segi bilangan ejen. Manakala, MAFIRO berbeza daripada AFIRO dari segi strategi pencarian lelaran. Ketiga-tiga algoritma ini dipanggil secara pendek sebagai pengoptimum-pengoptimum FIR (FIROs). Setiap ejen FIROs bertanggungjawab mencari penyelesaian dengan melakukan pengukuran dan anggaran. Semasa pengukuran, FIROs menggunakan mutasi rawak bagi penyelesaian terbaik setakat ini beserta kaedah kejitiran tempatan untuk mengimbangi antara proses penjelajahan dan eksploitasi. Nilai pengukuran ini kemudiannya digunakan dalam anggaran bagi menambah baik penyelesaian secara lelaran. Prestasi FIROs diuji dengan menyelesaikan suit tanda aras CEC 2014. Kompetensi FIROs dibandingkan secara statistik dengan empat algoritma metaheuristik sedia ada: SKF, penyelesaian-tunggal SKF (ssSKF), Pengoptimuman kerumunan zarah (PSO), dan algoritma Genetik (GA). Analisis statistik menggunakan ujian Friedman dan ujian Holm post hoc dilaksanakan untuk membariskan prestasi FIROs. Ujian Friedman menunjukkan SAFIRO mempunyai baris tertinggi, diikuti oleh MAFIRO, AFIRO, ssSKF, SKF, PSO, dan GA. Ujian Holm post hoc mendedahkan prestasi SAFIRO nyata lebih baik daripada SKF, ssSKF, PSO, dan GA. Manakala, prestasi kedua-dua MAFIRO dan AFIRO nyata lebih baik daripada PSO dan GA, tetapi setara dengan SKF dan ssSKF. SAFIRO, MAFIRO, dan AFIRO memberikan prestasi yang setara. Walau bagaimanapun, SAFIRO boleh dianggap sebagai algoritma terbaik dengan baris tertinggi Friedman dan jumlah tertinggi prestasi terbaik dalam menyelesaikan suit tanda aras CEC 2014. Penemuan menunjukkan konsep penapis UFIR adalah inspirasi yang baik bagi algoritma metaheuristik. Algoritma-algoritma metaheuristik baru berasaskan penganggaran ini boleh menawarkan hasil yang diharapkan bagi menyelesaikan masalah pengoptimuman.

## ABSTRACT

Optimization problems are frequently found in various fields. The classification of estimation-based metaheuristic algorithms has been introduced for solving optimization problems. Simulated Kalman filter (SKF) algorithm is one of the algorithms under this classification. SKF is inspired by the framework of Kalman filter (KF) which is a popular estimator for solving estimation problems. SKF needs parameters of the initial error covariant, measurement noise, and process noise to operate. Nonetheless, no study on parameter tuning being carried out for all SKF's parameters. Selecting optimal parameters' values may improve an algorithm's performance. This can be done through parameter tuning experiment. However, tuning several parameters is a challenging task and time-consuming. Thus, this study attempts to adopt a new search strategy from another popular estimator, named the Ultimate iterative unbiased finite impulse response (UFIR) filter which works with only one parameter. UFIR filter is one of the variants of the finite impulse response (FIR) filter. FIR filter is introduced to overcome the limitation in KF filter which has several parameters that difficult to be determined in a real application. In this work, three new estimation-based metaheuristic algorithms are introduced. The first algorithm is a single-agent-based algorithm, named Single-agent FIR optimizer (SAFIRO). The second algorithm is a multi-agent-based algorithm with synchronous update mechanism, named Multi-agent FIR optimizer (MAFIRO). The third algorithm is a multi-agent-based algorithm with asynchronous update mechanism, named Asynchronous FIR optimizer (AFIRO). SAFIRO differs from MAFIRO in term of the number of agents. Meanwhile, MAFIRO differs from AFIRO in terms of the iteration search strategy. These three algorithms are called in short as FIR optimizers (FIROs). Each agent in FIROs responsible for searching a solution by performing the measurement and estimation. During measurement, FIROs employ a random mutation of the best-so-far solution with local neighbourhood method to balance between the exploration and exploitation process. This measurement value is then used in the estimation to improve the solution iteratively. The performances of FIROs are tested by solving the CEC 2014 benchmark suite. The competencies of FIROs are statistically compared with four existing metaheuristic algorithms: the SKF, single-solution SKF (ssSKF), Particle swarm optimization (PSO), and Genetic algorithm (GA). Statistical analysis using the Friedman test and Holm post hoc test are performed to rank the performances of FIROs. Friedman test shows that SAFIRO has the highest rank, followed by MAFIRO, AFIRO, ssSKF, SKF, PSO, and GA. Holm post hoc test reveals SAFIRO performed significantly better than SKF, ssSKF, PSO, and GA. Whereas, both MAFIRO and AFIRO performed significantly better than PSO and GA, but equivalent to SKF and ssSKF. SAFIRO, MAFIRO, and AFIRO provide on par performances. However, SAFIRO can be regarded as the best algorithm with the highest ranking of Friedman and the highest number of best performances in solving the CEC 2014 benchmark suite. Findings show that the concept of UFIR filter is a good inspiration for metaheuristic algorithm. These newly estimation-based metaheuristic algorithms can offer promising results for solving optimization problems.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Overview of Optimization and Metaheuristic Algorithms for global optimization problems	1
1.3 Research Motivation	5
1.4 Problem Statement	7
1.5 Research Questions	9
1.6 Research Aim and Objectives	9
1.7 Research Scopes	10
1.8 Research Design	11
1.9 Thesis Outline	12
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>14</b>



2.1	Introduction	14
2.2	An Overview of Classes of Metaheuristic Algorithms	14
2.2.1	Single-agent-based metaheuristic algorithm	21
2.2.2	Multi-agent-based metaheuristic algorithm	23
2.3	Iteration Strategy in Multi-agent-based Metaheuristic	23
2.3.1	Synchronous update mechanism	23
2.3.2	Asynchronous update mechanism	25
2.4	Review on Trends of Metaheuristic Algorithms	29
2.4.1	Standard Benchmark Test Suite	32
2.5	Estimators in the state-space model	35
2.5.1	Overview of the Kalman filter	36
2.5.2	Overview of the Finite Impulse Response Filter	37
2.5.3	Theoretical Background of the Ultimate Iterative Unbiased Finite Impulse Response (UFIR) Filter	38
2.6	Estimation-based metaheuristic algorithms	40
2.6.1	Heuristic Kalman algorithm	41
2.6.2	Simulated Kalman filter algorithm	42
2.7	Research Gap Analysis	44
2.8	Chapter Summary	46
<b>CHAPTER 3 FINITE IMPULSE RESPONSE OPTIMIZERS</b>		<b>48</b>
3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	The Principle of the Finite Impulse Response Optimizers	49
3.3	The Single-agent Finite Impulse Response Optimizer	51
3.3.1	The Procedures of SAFIRO	52
3.3.2	Numerical Example	58
3.4	The Multi-agent Finite Impulse Response Optimizer	70

3.4.1	The Procedures of MAFIRO	73
3.5	The Asynchronous Finite Impulse Response Optimizer	77
3.5.1	The Procedures of AFIRO	79
3.6	Comparisons of UFIR filter and FIROs	83
3.7	Comparisons of SAFIRO, MAFIRO, and AFIRO	83
3.8	Comparisons of SKF algorithm and MAFIRO	86
3.9	Summary	91
 <b>CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTS, RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>92</b>
4.1	Introduction	92
4.2	Experimental Setup	92
4.3	Evaluation of Performances for FIROs and Comparisons with other Optimizers on the CEC 2014 Benchmark Suite	97
4.3.1	Unimodal functions (Fn1 to Fn3)	98
4.3.2	Simple multimodal functions (Fn4 to Fn16)	99
4.3.3	Hybrid functions (Fn17 to Fn22)	100
4.3.4	Composition functions (Fn23 to Fn30)	100
4.3.5	Statistical analysis	110
4.3.6	Convergence behaviour	111
4.3.7	Exploration and exploitation in FIROs	117
4.4	Parameters Analyses for FIROs	131
4.4.1	Analyses of different values of the coefficient and the horizontal length for SAFIRO	131
4.4.2	Analyses of the different number of agents for MAFIRO and AFIRO	135
4.5	Discussion	137

4.5.1	Comparison of FIROs and the other algorithms based on the findings	137
4.5.2	Analysis of SAFIRO, MAFIRO, and AFIRO based on the findings	141
4.6	Chapter Summary	144
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>		<b>145</b>
5.1	Introduction	145
5.2	Conclusion	145
5.3	Research Contribution	150
5.4	Research Limitation	151
5.5	Recommendation for Future Research	151
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>153</b>
<b>APPENDIX A LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>174</b>
<b>APPENDIX B DATA FOR PARAMETER ANALYSIS</b>		<b>175</b>
<b>APPENDIX C TABLE of CHI-SQUARE</b>		<b>185</b>
<b>APPENDIX D TABLE OF Z</b>		<b>186</b>
<b>APPENDIX E FOURTEEN BASIC FUNCTIONS IN CEC 2014 BENCHMARK SUITE</b>		<b>187</b>
<b>APPENDIX F THE 3-D MAP of CEC 2014 BENCHMARK SUITE</b>		<b>190</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	General differences between synchronous and asynchronous iteration strategy	26
Table 2.2	Categories of some existing metaheuristic algorithms (2014 to 2016)	30
Table 2.3	Categories of some existing metaheuristic algorithms (2017 and 2019)	31
Table 2.4	The CEC 2014 benchmark suite	34
Table 3.1	Setting of the sphere function and the SAFIRO's parameters	59
Table 3.2	Random initial measurements and the calculation of the fitness values	60
Table 3.3	Calculations of the new measurement, $Y(1)$	62
Table 3.4	Calculations of the estimation, $X(1)$ at iteration, $t=1$	63
Table 3.5	The value and fitness of the initial $X_{best\_so\_far}$ and $X(1)$	64
Table 3.6	Calculations of the measurement, $Y(2)$ and estimation, $X(2)$	66
Table 3.7	The value and fitness of $X_{best\_so\_far}$ and $X(2)$	66
Table 3.8	Calculations of the measurement, $Y(3)$ and estimation, $X(3)$	67
Table 3.9	The value and fitness of $X_{best\_so\_far}$ and $X(3)$	67
Table 3.10	The value and fitness of $X_{best\_so\_far}$ at $t=0$ until $t=3$	68
Table 3.11	Comparisons of UFIR filter and FIROs	83
Table 3.12	Comparisons of SAFIRO, MAFIRO, and AFIRO	89
Table 3.13	Comparisons of SKF algorithm and MAFIRO	90
Table 4.1	Experimental settings	94
Table 4.2	The parameter settings for SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO	94
Table 4.3	Results of FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO for unimodal functions	102
Table 4.4	Results of FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO for simple multimodal functions	102
Table 4.5	Results of FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO for hybrid functions	104
Table 4.6	Results of FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO for composition functions	105
Table 4.7	Average ranking of the algorithms	111
Table 4.8	Holm post hoc result for $\alpha=0.05$	111
Table 4.9	Average Friedman ranking of different $\beta$ values for $N=4$	133
Table 4.10	Holm post hoc of different $\beta$ values for $N=4$	133

Table 4.11	The rank of SAFIRO's performance with different $\beta$ values for $N=4$ until $N=10$	133
Table 4.12	Average Friedman ranking of different $N$ values for $\beta=10$	134
Table 4.13	Results of Holm post hoc test for different values of $N$	134
Table 4.14	Setting for the number of iteration for each number of agents used	136
Table 4.15	Average Friedman ranking of the different number of agents for MAFIRO	136
Table 4.16	Average Friedman ranking of the different number of agents for AFIRO	136
Table 4.17	Comparisons of the number of the best performances for FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO in solving the CEC 2014 benchmark suite	138

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	A general optimization flow	3
Figure 1.2	Classification of optimization methods	3
Figure 1.3	The flow chart of the major research milestones	12
Figure 2.1	A general flow of evolutionary algorithms	16
Figure 2.2	A general flow of swarm intelligence algorithms	21
Figure 2.3	Flowchart for general steps of a single-agent-based metaheuristic algorithm	22
Figure 2.4	Flowchart for general procedures of multi-agent-based metaheuristic algorithm with synchronous update	24
Figure 2.5	Flowchart for general steps of multi-agent-based metaheuristic algorithm with asynchronous update.	26
Figure 2.6	The strategy of KF for state estimation.	36
Figure 2.7	The strategy of UFIR filter for state estimation (for example, $N=7$ ).	39
Figure 3.1	Tasks for SAFIRO's agent	52
Figure 3.2	The principle of SAFIRO	52
Figure 3.3	The SAFIRO's agent with the example of three dimensions	54
Figure 3.4	The strategy of a local neighbourhood in FIROs	55
Figure 3.5	The plot of $e - \beta \times t/T$ against variants of $\beta$ values	56
Figure 3.6	A graphical representation of SAFIRO operation	57
Figure 3.7	A search surface for sphere function	59
Figure 3.8	Five random initial measurements generated at $t=0$	59
Figure 3.9	The position of SAFIRO's random initial measurements at $t=0$	61
Figure 3.10	The position of SAFIRO's new measurement, $Y(1)$ at iteration, $t=1$	62
Figure 3.11	Five recent measurements required to calculate the $X(1)$	63
Figure 3.12	The estimation of SAFIRO at $t=1$ ( $X(1)$ )	64
Figure 3.13	The position of SAFIRO's estimation, $X(1)$ at iteration, $t=1$	64
Figure 3.14	The estimation of SAFIRO for $t=1$ until $t=3$ ( $X(1)$ until $X(3)$ )	68
Figure 3.15	The position of SAFIRO's estimations for $t=1$ until $t=3$	69
Figure 3.16	The principle of MAFIRO	70
Figure 3.17	Global topology communication among agents	71
Figure 3.18	Tasks for each of MAFIRO agent	72
Figure 3.19	The example of three agents with three dimensions	75
Figure 3.20	A graphical representation of the estimation operation	75

Figure 3.21	Tasks for each of AFIRO agent	78
Figure 3.22	The principle of AFIRO	79
Figure 4.1	Boxplots comparison of FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO	108
Figure 4.2	Convergence curves comparison of FIROs, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO in solving the CEC 2014 benchmark suite	114
Figure 4.3	Trajectories of solutions performed by FIRO's agent	119
Figure 4.4	Search histories performed by FIRO's agent	125
Figure 4.5	Fitness trend of FIRO's agent in solving the CEC 2014 benchmark suite	128
Figure 4.6	Comparisons of the number of the best performance for FIRO, SKF, ssSKF, GA, and PSO in solving the CEC 2014 benchmark suite	139

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$Y$	Measurement of solution
$X$	Estimation of solution
$X_{best\_so\_far}$	The best-so-far solution
$N$	Horizon length
$\beta$	Coefficient
$d$	Dimension for agent/s
$D$	Maximum dimension
$\delta$	Step size/radius for local neighbourhood
$X_{min}$	The lower limit of search space
$X_{max}$	The upper limit of search space
$F_f$	Friedman Statistic
$\chi^2$	Chi-square
$\alpha$	Significant level
$p$ -value	Probability value
$z$	Static value of Holm



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	Artificial bee colony
AFIRO	Asynchronous finite impulse response optimizer
CEC	Congress on evolutionary computation
DTI	Discrete time-invariant
DOF	Degree of freedom
EAs	Evolutionary algorithms
FES	Function evaluation
FIR	Finite impulse response
FIROs	Finite impulse response optimizers
F <sub>n</sub>	Function
GA	Genetic algorithm
GSA	Gravitational search algorithm
GWO	Grey wolf optimizer
HKA	Heuristic Kalman algorithm
KF	Kalman filter
MAFIRO	Multi-agent finite impulse response optimizer
maxFES	Maximum function evaluation
NIA	Nature-inspired algorithm
no.	Number
PSO	Particle swarm optimization
SAFIRO	Single-agent finite impulse response optimizer
SA	Simulated annealing
SI	Swarm-inspired
SKF	Simulated Kalman filter
TS	Tabu Search
UFIR	Ultimate iterative unbiased finite impulse response
VNS	Variable neighborhood search

## REFERENCES

- Ab. Aziz, N. A. (2016). *An Adaptively Switching Iteration Strategy for Population Based Metaheuristics*. University of Malaya.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2007.11.007>
- Ab. Aziz, N. A., Mubin, M., Ibrahim, Z., & Nawawi, S. W. (2015). Statistical Analysis for Swarm Intelligence - Simplified. *International Journal of Future Computer and Communication*, 4(3), 193–197. <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJFCC.2015.V4.383>
- Ab. Aziz, N. A., Abdul Aziz, N. H., Zulkifli, A., Ibrahim, Z., & Ab Rahman, T. (2018). Is Gravitational Search Algorithm's Initial Gravitational Constant a Function Dependent Parameter? In *Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on E-Business and Mobile Commerce - ICEMC* (pp. 60–66). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3230467.3230480>
- Ab. Aziz, N. A., Ibrahim, Z., Abdul Aziz, N. H., & Ab. Rahman, T. (2018). Asynchronous Simulated Kalman Filter Optimization Algorithm. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(4.27), 44–49.  
<https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.27.22478>
- Ab Aziz, N. A., & Ibrahim, Z. (2012). Asynchronous Particle Swarm Optimization for Swarm Robotics. *Procedia Engineering*, 41, 951–957.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.07.268>
- Ab Aziz, N. A., Ibrahim, Z., Mubin, M., & Sudin, S. (2017). Adaptive Switching Gravitational Search Algorithm: An Attempt to Improve Diversity of Gravitational Search Algorithm Through Its Iteration Strategy. *Sadhana*, 42(7), 1103–1121.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-017-0674-0>
- Ab Aziz, N. A., Ibrahim, Z., Nawawi, S. W., Sudin, S., Mubin, M., & Ab. Aziz, K. (2014). Synchronous Gravitational Search Algorithm vs Asynchronous Gravitational Search Algorithm: A Statistical Analysis. *New Trends in Software Methodologies, Tools and Techniques*, 265, 160–169. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-434-3-160>
- Ab Aziz, N. A., Mubin, M., Mohamad, M. S., & Ab Aziz, K. (2014). A Synchronous-asynchronous Particle Swarm Optimisation Algorithm. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/123019>
- Ab Aziz, N. A., Nawawi, S. W., Ibrahim, Z., Ibrahim, I., Mohd Zaidi, M. T., & Mubin, M. (2014). Synchronous vs Asynchronous Gravitational Search Algorithm. *Proceedings - 1st International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Modelling*

and Simulation, *AIMS* 2013, 37–42. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AIMS.2013.14>

- Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ab Aziz, N. A., Ibrahim, Z., Adam, A., Mat Jusof, M. F., Razali, S., & Shapiai, M. I. (2018). An Analysis on the Number of Agents Towards the Performance of the Simulated Kalman Filter Optimizer. In *2018 8th International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation* (pp. 16–21). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMS.2018.00013>
- Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ab Aziz, N. A., Ibrahim, Z., Razali, S., Abas, K. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). A Kalman Filter Approach to PCB Drill Path Optimization Problem. In *IEEE Conference on Systems, Process and Control (ICSPC 2016)* (pp. 16–18). <https://doi.org/10.1109/SPC.2016.7920699>
- Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ibrahim, Z., Ab Aziz, N. A., Mohamad, M. S., & Watada, J. (2018). Single-solution Simulated Kalman Filter Algorithm for Global Optimisation Problems. *Sadhana*, 123(4), 2333–2335. <https://doi.org/12.3456/s78910-011-012-3>
- Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ibrahim, Z., Ab Aziz, N. A., & Razali, S. (2017). Parameter-less Simulated Kalman Filter. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Computer Systems (IJSECS)*, 3(February), 129–137. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.15282/ijsecs.3.2017.9.0031>
- Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ibrahim, Z., Razali, S., & Ab Aziz, N. A. (2016). Estimation-based Metaheuristics : A New Branch of Computational Intelligence. In *The National Conference for Postgraduate Research 2016 (NCON-PGR)* (pp. 469–476). Retrieved from [http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/14583/1/P064\\_pg469-476.pdf](http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/14583/1/P064_pg469-476.pdf)
- Abdullah, J. M., & Rashid, T. A. (2019). Fitness Dependent Optimizer: Inspired by the Bee Swarming Reproductive Process. *IEEE Access*, 7, 43473–43486. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2907012>
- Abedinpourshotorban, H., Shamsuddin, S. M., Beheshti, Z., & Jawawi, D. N. A. (2016). Electromagnetic Field Optimization: A Physics-inspired Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithm. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 26, 8–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2015.07.002>
- Adam, A., Ibrahim, Z., Mokhtar, N., Shapiai, M. I., Mubin, M., & Saad, I. (2016). Feature Selection using Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman filter for Peak Classification of EEG Signals. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 1580. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-3277-z>
- Ahmad Zamri, N., Bhuvanewari, T., Ab. Aziz, N. A., & Abdul Aziz, N. H. (2018). Feature Selection using Simulated Kalman Filter ( SKF ) for Prediction of Body Fat Percentage. In *2018 7th International Conference on Software and Computing*

*Technologies (ICSCT 2018)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274250.3274264>

- Ahn, C. K., Zhao, S., Shmaliy, Y. S., & Sakthivel, R. (2018). A Revisit to Strictly Passive FIR Filtering. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, 65(4), 516–520. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2017.2742145>
- Akyol, S., & Alatas, B. (2016). Plant Intelligence Based Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithms. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-016-9486-6>
- Alatas, B. (2017). Sports Inspired Computational Intelligence Algorithms for Global Optimization. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 1–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-017-9587-x>
- Alcalá-Fdez, J., Sánchez, L., García, S., del Jesus, M. J., Ventura, S., Garrell, J. M., ... Herrera, F. (2009). KEEL: A Software Tool to Assess Evolutionary Algorithms for Data Mining Problems. *Soft Computing*, 13(3), 307–318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-008-0323-y>
- Ali, H. M., Ejaz, W., Lee, D. C., & Khater, I. M. (2019). Optimising the Power using Firework-based Evolutionary Algorithms for Emerging IoT Applications. *The Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET) Networks*, 8(1), 15–31. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-net.2018.5041>
- Amiri, R., Sardroud, J. M., & Soto, B. G. De. (2017). BIM-based Applications of Metaheuristic Algorithms to Support the Decision-making Process: Uses in the Planning of Construction Site Layout. *Procedia Engineering*, 196(June), 558–564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.08.030>
- Anita Christaline, J., Ramesh, R., Gomathy, C., & Vaishali, D. (2018). Nature Inspired Metaheuristics for Improved JPEG Steganalysis. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 77(11), 13701–13720. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-017-4983-4>
- Arasaratnam, I., Haykin, S., & R. Hurd, T. (2010). Cubature Kalman Filtering for Continuous-Discrete Systems: Theory and Simulations. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 58(10), 4977–4993. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSP.2010.2056923>
- Askarzadeh, A. (2014). Bird Mating Optimizer: An Optimization Algorithm Inspired by Bird Mating Strategies. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 19(4), 1213–1228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2013.08.027>
- Aziz, N. A. A., Sudin, S., Mubin, M., Nawawi, S. W., & Ibrahim, Z. (2015). A Random Synchronous- Asynchronous Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm with a New

- Iteration Strategy. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 10(21), 9937–9942. Retrieved from [https://umexpert.um.edu.my/file/publication/00005798\\_131223.pdf](https://umexpert.um.edu.my/file/publication/00005798_131223.pdf)
- Back, T., Hammel, U., & Schwefel, H.-P. (1997). Evolutionary Computation : Comments on the History and Current State. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 1(1), 3–17. <https://doi.org/10.1109/4235.585888>
- Back, T., & Schwefel, H.-P. (1993). An Overview of Evolutionary Algorithms for Parameter Optimization. *Evolutionary Computation*, 1(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1162/evco.1993.1.1.1>
- Bakhshipour, M., Ghadi, M. J., & Namdari, F. (2017). Swarm Robotics Search & Rescue: A Novel Artificial Intelligence-Inspired Optimization Approach. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 57, 708–726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.02.028>
- Baykasoğlu, A., Hamzadayi, A., & Akpinar, S. (2019). Single Seekers Society ( SSS ): Bringing Together Heuristic Optimization Algorithms for Solving Complex Problems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 165, 53–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2018.11.016>
- Beheshti, Z., & Shamsuddin, S. M. (2013). A Review of Population-based Meta-Heuristic Algorithms. *International Journal Advance Soft Computing Application*, 5(1), 1–35. Retrieved from <https://www.academia.edu/3589014>
- Birattari, M., Paquete, L., Stutzle, T., & Varrentrapp, K. (2001). *Classification of Metaheuristics and Design of Experiments for the Analysis of Components*. Darmstadt, Germany. Retrieved from <https://eden.dei.uc.pt/~paquete/papers/AIDA-01-05.pdf>
- Blum, C., & Roli, A. (2003). Metaheuristics in Combinatorial Optimization: Overview and Conceptual Comparison. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 35(3), 268–308. <https://doi.org/10.1145/937503.937505>
- Bonnabel, S., Martin, P., & Salaun, E. (2009). Invariant Extended Kalman Filter: Theory and Application to a Velocity-aided Attitude Estimation Problem. In *48th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC) held jointly with 2009 28th Chinese Control Conference* (pp. 1297–1304). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC.2009.5400372>
- Boussaid, I., Lepagnot, J., & Siarry, P. (2013). A Survey on Optimization Metaheuristics. *Information Sciences*, 237, 82–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.02.041>

- Burke, E. K., Gendreau, M., Hyde, M., Kendall, G., Ochoa, G., Ozcan, E., & Rong, Q. (2013). Hyper-heuristics : A Survey of The State of The Art. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, *64*, 1695–1724. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.2013.71>
- Burke, E. K., Hyde, M., Kendall, G., Ochoa, G., Ozcan, E., & Qu, R. (2009). *A Survey of Hyper-heuristics*. *Computer Science Technical Report*. Retrieved from <http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/~gxo/papers/hhsurvey.pdf>
- Carlisle, A., & Dozier, G. (2001). An Off-The-Shelf PSO. *Population English Edition*, *1*, 1–6. Retrieved from [http://antho.huntingdon.edu/publications/Off-The-Shelf\\_PSO.pdf](http://antho.huntingdon.edu/publications/Off-The-Shelf_PSO.pdf)
- Carlsson, M., Johansson, M., & Larson, J. (2017). Scheduling Double Round-robin Tournaments with Divisional Play using Constraint Programming. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *259*(3), 1180–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.11.033>
- Chen, C. C., Tsai, Y. C., Liu, I. I., Lai, C. C., Yeh, Y. T., Kuo, S. Y., & Chou, Y. H. (2016). A Novel Metaheuristic: Jaguar Algorithm with Learning Behavior. *Proceedings - 2015 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, SMC 2015*, 1595–1600. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SMC.2015.282>
- Chen, C., & Chen, C. (2018). Application of Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm in Vehicle Routing Problem With Time Windows. In *International Conference on Information Resources Management (CONF-IRM)* (pp. 1–8). Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). Retrieved from <http://aisel.aisnet.org/confirm2018/14>
- Chen, X., Gu, Q., Qi, J., & Chen, D. (2010). Applying Particle Swarm Optimization to Pairwise Testing. In *2010 34th Annual IEEE Computer Software and Applications Conference* (pp. 107–116). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMPSAC.2010.17>
- Cheng, M. Y., & Prayogo, D. (2014). Symbiotic Organisms Search: A New Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithm. *Computers and Structures*, *139*, 98–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2014.03.007>
- Cheraghalipour, A., Hajiaghaei-Keshteli, M., & Paydar, M. M. (2018). Tree Growth Algorithm (TGA): A Novel Approach for Solving Optimization Problems. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, *72*(April), 393–414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2018.04.021>
- Civicioglu, P. (2013). Backtracking Search Optimization Algorithm for Numerical Optimization Problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, *219*(15), 8121–8144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.02.017>

- Coleman, V. (1989). *The DEME Model: An Asynchronous Genetic Algorithm*. Retrieved from <https://web.cs.umass.edu/publication/docs/1989/UM-CS-1989-035.pdf>
- Cowling, P., Kendall, G., & Soubeiga, E. (2001). A Hyperheuristic Approach to Scheduling a Sales Summit. In *The Third International Conference on Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling III* (pp. 176–190). Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg. Retrieved from <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=692903#citedby>
- Cox, H. (1964). On the Estimation of State Variables and Parameters for Noisy Dynamic Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.1109/9780470544198.ch33>
- Cuevas, E., Cienfuegos, M., Zaldivar, D., & Perez-Cisneros, M. (2014). A Swarm Optimization Algorithm Inspired in the Behavior of the Social-spider. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(2), 412–425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.07.067>
- Das, C. K., Bass, O., Kothapalli, G., Mahmoud, T. S., & Habibi, D. (2018). Optimal Placement of Distributed Energy Storage Systems in Distribution Networks using Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm. *Applied Energy*, 232, 212–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.07.100>
- De Souza, G. N., De Deus, D. F., Tadaiesky, V., De Araújo, I. M., Monteiro, D. C., & De Santana, Á. L. (2018). Optimizing Tasks Generation for Children in the Early Stages of Literacy Teaching: A Study using Bio-inspired Metaheuristics. *Soft Computing*, 22(20), 6811–6824. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3409-1>
- Del Ser, J., Osaba, E., Yang, X.-S., & Salcedo-Sanz, S. (2019). Bio-inspired Computation: Where We Stand and What's Next. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 48(April), 220–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2019.04.008>
- Derrac, J., García, S., Molina, D., & Herrera, F. (2011). A Practical Tutorial on the use of Nonparametric Statistical Tests as A Methodology for Comparing Evolutionary and Swarm Intelligence Algorithms. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 1(1), 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2011.02.002>
- Dhiman, G., & Kumar, V. (2017). Spotted Hyena Optimizer: A Novel Bio-inspired Based Metaheuristic Technique for Engineering Applications. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 114, 48–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.05.014>
- Dhiman, G., & Kumar, V. (2018). Emperor Penguin Optimizer: A Bio-inspired Algorithm for Engineering Problems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 159(October 2017), 20–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2018.06.001>

- Dogan, B., & Olmez, T. (2015). A New Metaheuristic for Numerical Function Optimization: Vortex Search Algorithm. *Information Sciences*, 293, 125–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2014.08.053>
- Dorigo, M., & Blum, C. (2005). Ant Colony Optimization Theory: A Survey. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 344(2–3), 243–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2005.05.020>
- Dumitrescu, I., & Stutzle, T. (2003). Combinations of Local Search and Exact Algorithms. *Applications of Evolutionary Computing. EvoWorkshops 2003. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2611, 211–223. [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36605-9\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36605-9_20)
- Duong, P. L. T., & Raghavan, N. (2018). Heuristic Kalman Optimized Particle Filter for Remaining Useful Life Prediction of Lithium-Ion Battery. *Microelectronics Reliability*, 81(2018), 232–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microrel.2017.12.028>
- Eberhart, R., & Kennedy, J. (1995). A New Optimizer Using Particle Swarm Theory. In *Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science* (pp. 39–43). <https://doi.org/10.1109/MHS.1995.494215>
- Fathollahi-Fard, A. M., Hajiaghahi-Keshteli, M., & Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R. (2018). The Social Engineering Optimizer (SEO). *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 72(January), 267–293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2018.04.009>
- Fausto, F., Cuevas, E., Valdivia, A., & González, A. (2017). A Global Optimization Algorithm Inspired in the Behavior of Selfish Herds. *BioSystems*, 160, 39–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.07.010>
- Fister Jr., I., Yang, X.-S., Fister, I., Brest, J., & Fister, D. (2013). A Brief Review of Nature-Inspired Algorithms for Optimization. *Electrotechnical Review*, 80(3), 1–7. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.4186>
- Friedland, B. (2012). *Control System Design: An Introduction to State-Space Methods*. Dover Publications Incorporated. Retrieved from <http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=9WRKZlaCnF8C&pgis=1>
- Gandomi, A. H., Yang, X. S., & Alavi, A. H. (2013). Cuckoo Search Algorithm: A Metaheuristic Approach to Solve Structural Optimization Problems. *Engineering with Computers*, 29(1), 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-011-0241-y>
- Glover, F. (1986). Future Paths for Integer Programming and Links to Artificial Intelligence. *Computers and Operations Research*, 13(5), 533–549.



[https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548\(86\)90048-1](https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0305-0548(86)90048-1)

- Glover, F., & Laguna, M. (1993). *Tabu Search Modern Heuristic Techniques for Combinatorial Optimization*. (C. R. Reeves, Ed.). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. Retrieved from <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=166655>
- Hansen, N., Auger, A., Finck, S., & Ros, R. (2010). *Real-Parameter Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking 2010: Experimental Setup*. Retrieved from <https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00462481/document>
- Hansen, N., Auger, A., Mersmann, O., Tusar, T., & Brockhoff, D. (2016). *COCO: A Platform for Comparing Continuous Optimizers in a Black-Box Setting*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.08785>
- Harifi, S., Khalilian, M., Mohammadzadeh, J., & Ebrahimnejad, S. (2019). Emperor Penguins Colony: A New Metaheuristic Algorithm for Optimization. *Evolutionary Intelligence*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12065-019-00212-x>
- Haupt, R. L., & Haupt, S. E. (2014). *Practical Genetic Algorithms (Second Edition)*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/0471671746>
- Heidari, A. A., Mirjalili, S., Faris, H., Aljarah, I., Mafarja, M., & Chen, H. (2019). Harris Hawks Optimization: Algorithm and Applications. *Future Generation Computer Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.02.028>
- Hinson, M. H., & Staddon, J. E. R. (1983). Hill-climbing by Pigeons. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, 39(1), 25–47. Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1901/jeab.1983.39-25>
- Holladay, K., Pickens, K., & Miller, G. (2017). The Effect of Evaluation Time Variance on Asynchronous Particle Swarm Optimization. In *2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)* (pp. 161–168). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.2017.7969309>
- Holland, J. H. (1992). Genetic Algorithms. *Scientific American*, 267(1), 66–72. <https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican0792-66>
- Hultmann Ayala, H. V., dos Santos Coelho, L., & Reynoso-Meza, G. (2017). Heuristic Kalman Algorithm for Multiobjective Optimization. In *International Federation of Automatic Control (IFAC)* (Vol. 50, pp. 4460–4465). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2017.08.374>

- Husseinzadeh Kashan, A. (2014). A New Metaheuristic for Optimization: Optics Inspired Optimization (OIO). *Computers and Operations Research*, 55, 99–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2014.10.011>
- Ibarra-Manzano, O. G. (2011). Filtering of Discrete-Time State-Space Models with the p -Shift Kalman-like Unbiased FIR Algorithm. *Recent Researches in Telecommunications, Informatics, Electronics and Signal Processing*, 71–76. Retrieved from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Filtering-of-discrete-time-state-space-models-with-Ibarra-Manzano/f9a150251ac4ab683d513d6f345da7b327054355>
- Ibrahim, A., Rahnamayan, S., & Martin, M. V. (2014). Simulated Raindrop Algorithm for Global Optimization. In *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering* (pp. 1–8). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCECE.2014.6901103>
- Ibrahim, Z., Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ab. Aziz, N. A., Razali, S., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Simulated Kalman Filter : A Novel Estimation-based Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithm. *Advanced Science Letters*, 22(10), 2941–2946. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2016.7083>
- Ibrahim, Z., Abdul Aziz, N. H., Ab. Aziz, N. A., Razali, S., Shapiai, M. I., Nawawi, S. W., & Mohamad, M. S. (2015). A Kalman Filter Approach for Solving Unimodal Optimization Problems. *ICIC Express Letters*, 9(12), 3415–3422.
- Immonen, E., & Putkonen, A. (2017). An Heuristic Genetic Algorithm for Strategic University Tuition Planning and Workload Balancing. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*, 9653(October), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2017.1316219>
- Jaddi, N. S., Alvankarian, J., & Abdullah, S. (2017). Kidney-inspired algorithm for optimization problems. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 42, 358–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2016.06.006>
- Jain, M., Singh, V., & Rani, A. (2019). A Novel Nature-inspired Algorithm for Optimization : Squirrel Search Algorithm. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 44(June 2017), 148–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2018.02.013>
- Javidy, B., Hatamlou, A., & Mirjalili, S. (2015). Ions Motion Algorithm for Solving Optimization Problems. *Applied Soft Computing*, 32, 72–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2015.03.035>
- Jazwinski, A. H. (1968). Limited Memory Optimal Filtering. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 13(5), 558–563. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1968.1098981>

- Jin, F. U., Jingping, S. U. N., Fei, G., & Songtao, L. U. (2014). Maneuvering Target Tracking with Improved Unbiased FIR Filter. In *IEEE 2014 International Radar Conference* (pp. 1–5). <https://doi.org/10.1109/RADAR.2014.7060289>
- Jr. Fister, I., Mlakar, U., Brest, J., & Fister, I. (2016). A New Population-based Nature-Inspired Algorithm Every Month: Is the Current Era Coming to the End? In *3rd Student Computer Science Research Conference* (pp. 33–37). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.10.039>
- Julier, S. J., & Uhlmann, J. K. (1997). A New Extension of the Kalman Filter to Nonlinear Systems. In *Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering* (pp. 182–193). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.280797>
- K. De, J. (1999). Evolutionary Computation: Recent Developments and Open Issues. In K. Miettinen, P. Neittaanmaki, M. . Makela, & J. Periaux (Eds.), *Evolutionary Algorithms in Engineering and Computer Science* (pp. 43–51). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Retrieved from <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=554112>
- Kadri, A. A., Kacem, I., & Labadi, K. (2016). A Branch-and-bound Algorithm for Solving the Static Rebalancing Problem in Bicycle-Sharing Systems. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 95, 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2016.02.002>
- Kallioras, N. A., Lagaros, N. D., & Avtzis, D. N. (2018). Pity Beetle Algorithm – A New Metaheuristic Inspired by the Behavior of Bark Beetles. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 121(April), 147–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2018.04.007>
- Kalman, R. E. (1960). A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems. *Transaction of the ASME-Journal of Basic Engineering*, 82, 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3662552>
- Karaboga, D., & Basturk, B. (2007). Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) Optimization Algorithm for Solving Constrained Optimization Problems. In *Foundations of Fuzzy Logic and Soft Computing* (pp. 789–798). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72950-1\\_77](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-72950-1_77)
- Kaveh, A., & Dadras, A. (2017). A Novel Meta-heuristic Optimization Algorithm: Thermal Exchange Optimization. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 110, 69–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.03.014>
- Kaveh, A., & Zolghadr, A. (2017). A Novel Meta-heuristic Algorithm: Tug of War. *International Journal of Optimization in Civil Engineering*, 6(4), 469–492. Retrieved from [http://ijocce.iust.ac.ir/browse.php?a\\_id=265&sid=1&slc\\_lang=en](http://ijocce.iust.ac.ir/browse.php?a_id=265&sid=1&slc_lang=en)

- Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE International Conference on Neural Network*, 4, 1942–1948 vol.4.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D., & Vecchi, M. P. (1983). Optimization by Simulated Annealing. *Science*, 220(4598), 671–680. Retrieved from  
<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819830513%293%3A220%3A4598%3C671%3A0BSA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-8>
- Knuth, D. E. (1976). Big Omicron and Big Omega and Big Theta. *SIGACT News*, 8(Apr-June), 18–24. Retrieved from [http://www.phil.uu.nl/datastructuren/10-11/knuth\\_big\\_omicron.pdf](http://www.phil.uu.nl/datastructuren/10-11/knuth_big_omicron.pdf)
- Koohi, S. Z., Abdul Hamid, N. A., Othman, M., & Ibragimov, G. (2019). Raccoon Optimization Algorithm. *IEEE Access*, 7, 5383–5399.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2882568>
- Koza, J. R. (1994). Genetic Programming as a Means for Programming Computers by Natural Selection. *Statistics and Computing*, 4, 87–112. [https://doi.org/Genetic programming as a means for programming computers by natural selection](https://doi.org/Genetic%20programming%20as%20a%20means%20for%20programming%20computers%20by%20natural%20selection) JOHN
- Kwon, W. H., Kim, P. S., & Han, S. H. (2002). A Receding Horizon Unbiased FIR Filter for Discrete-time State Space Models. *Automatica*, 38(3), 545–551.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-1098\(01\)00242-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-1098(01)00242-4)
- Labbi, Y., Attous, D. Ben, Gabbar, H. A., Mahdad, B., & Zidan, A. (2016). A New Rooted Tree Optimization Algorithm for Economic Dispatch with Valve-point Effect. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, 79, 298–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2016.01.028>
- Liang, J. J., Qu, B. Y., & Suganthan, P. N. (2013). *Problem Definitions and Evaluation Criteria for the CEC 2014 Special Session and Competition on Single Objective Real-Parameter Numerical Optimization. Technical Report 201311*. Retrieved from <http://web.mysites.ntu.edu.sg/epnsugan/PublicSite/SharedDocuments/Forms/AllItems.aspx?RootFolder=%2Fepnsugan%2FPublicSite%2FSharedDocuments%2FCEC-2014&FolderCTID=&View=%7BD4F31868-97D8-4779-AE49-9CEC4DC3F310%7D>
- Liang, Y., & Cuevas Juarez, J. R. (2015). A Novel Metaheuristic for Continuous Optimization Problems : Virus Optimization Algorithm. *Engineering Optimization*, (January 2015), 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305215X.2014.994868>
- Liu, L. L., Hu, R. S., Hu, X. P., Zhao, G. P., & Wang, S. (2015). A Hybrid PSO-GA

- Algorithm for Job Shop Scheduling in Machine Tool Production. *International Journal of Production Research*, 53(19), 5755–5781.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2014.994714>
- Lones, M. A. (2019). *Mitigating Metaphors: A Comprehensible Guide to Recent Nature-Inspired Algorithms*. *Neural and Evolutionary Computing* (Vol. arXiv:1902). Edinburgh, United Kingdom. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.08001>
- Mahendru, S., & Agarwal, S. (2019). Feature Selection Using Metaheuristic Algorithms on Medical Datasets. In N. Yadav, A. Yadav, J. Bansal, K. Deep, & J. Kim (Eds.), *Harmony Search and Nature Inspired Optimization Algorithms, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* (Vol. 741, pp. 923–937). Springer, Singapore.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0761-4>
- Mandal, S. (2018). Elephant Swarm Water Search Algorithm for Global Optimization. *Sadhana*, 43(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-017-0780-z>
- McNabb, A., & Seppi, K. (2014). Serial PSO Results Are Irrelevant in a Multi-core Parallel World. In *2014 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)* (pp. 3143–3150). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.2014.6900226>
- Md Yusof, Z., Ibrahim, Z., Adam, A., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Ab Rahman, T., Muhammad, B., ... Muhammad, M. S. (2018). Distance Evaluated Simulated Kalman Filter with State Encoding for Combinatorial Optimization Problems Zulkifli. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.27), 22–29.  
<https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.27.22431>
- Md Yusof, Z., Ibrahim, Z., Ibrahim, I., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Ab Aziz, N. A., Abd Aziz, N. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016a). Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman Filter Algorithm for Combinatorial Optimization Problems. *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(7), 4854–4859. Retrieved from [http://www.arpnjournals.org/jeas/research\\_papers/rp\\_2016/jeas\\_0416\\_4036.pdf](http://www.arpnjournals.org/jeas/research_papers/rp_2016/jeas_0416_4036.pdf)
- Md Yusof, Z., Ibrahim, Z., Ibrahim, I., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Ab Aziz, N. A., Abd Aziz, N. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016b). Distance Evaluated Simulated Kalman Filter Algorithm for Combinatorial Optimization Problems. *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(7), 4911–4916. Retrieved from [http://www.arpnjournals.org/jeas/research\\_papers/rp\\_2016/jeas\\_0416\\_4045.pdf](http://www.arpnjournals.org/jeas/research_papers/rp_2016/jeas_0416_4045.pdf)
- Md Yusof, Z., Satiman, S. N., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Muhammad, B., Razali, S., Ibrahim, Z., ... Ismail, S. (2015). Solving Airport Gate Allocation Problem using Simulated Kalman Filter. *International Conference on Knowledge Transfer*, 875–885. Retrieved from <http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/15722>

- Merrikh-Bayat, F. (2015). The Runner-root Algorithm: A Metaheuristic for Solving Unimodal and Multimodal Optimization Problems Inspired by Runners and Roots of Plants in Nature. *Applied Soft Computing*, 33, 292–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2015.04.048>
- Meshkat, M., & Parhizgar, M. (2018). Sine Optimization Algorithm (SOA): A Novel Optimization Algorithm by Change Update Position Strategy of Search Agent in Sine Cosine Algorithm. *Proceedings - 3rd Iranian Conference on Signal Processing and Intelligent Systems, ICSPIS 2017, 2017–Decem*, 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSPIS.2017.8311581>
- Mirjalili, S. (2015a). Moth-flame Optimization Algorithm: A Novel Nature-inspired Heuristic Paradigm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 89, 228–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.07.006>
- Mirjalili, S. (2015b). The Ant Lion Optimizer. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 83, 80–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2015.01.010>
- Mirjalili, S. (2016a). Dragonfly Algorithm: A New Meta-heuristic Optimization Technique for Solving Single-objective, Discrete, and Multi-objective Problems. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(4), 1053–1073. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-015-1920-1>
- Mirjalili, S. (2016b). SCA: A Sine Cosine Algorithm for Solving Optimization Problems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 96, 120–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.12.022>
- Mirjalili, S., Gandomi, A. H., Mirjalili, S. Z., Saremi, S., Faris, H., & Mirjalili, S. M. (2017). Salp Swarm Algorithm: A Bio-inspired Optimizer for Engineering Design Problems. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.07.002>
- Mirjalili, S., & Lewis, A. (2016). The Whale Optimization Algorithm. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 95, 51–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2016.01.008>
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Lewis, A. (2014). Grey Wolf Optimizer. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 69, 46–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007>
- Moghdani, R., & Salimifard, K. (2018). Volleyball Premier League Algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 64, 161–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2017.11.043>
- Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Ibrahim, Z., Pebrianti, D., Mat Jusof, M. F., Abdul Aziz, N. H., & Ab.Aziz, N. A. (2019). Enhancing Simulated Kalman Filter Algorithm using

- Current Optimum Opposition-based Learning. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing & Mechatronics*, 01(01), 1–13.  
<https://doi.org/10.15282/mekatronika.v1i1.157>
- Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Md Yusof, Z., Satiman, S. N., Muhammad, B., Razali, S., Ibrahim, Z., ... Abd Aziz, N. H. (2016). Solving Airport Gate Allocation Problem using Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman Filter. In *The National Conference for Postgraduate Research 2016 (ncon-pgr)* (pp. 875–885). Retrieved from <http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/15722/>
- Mohd Sabri, N., Puteh, M., & Mahmood, M. R. (2013). A Review of Gravitational Search Algorithm. *International Journal Advance Soft Computing and Applications*, 5(3), 1–39. Retrieved from [http://home.ijasca.com/data/documents/ijasc08\\_published.pdf](http://home.ijasca.com/data/documents/ijasc08_published.pdf)
- Mousavirad, S. J., & Ebrahimpour-Komleh, H. (2017). Human Mental Search: A New Population-based Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithm. *Applied Intelligence*, 47(3), 850–887. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-017-0903-6>
- Muhammad, B., Ibrahim, Z., Ghazali, K. H., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Ab Aziz, N. A., Abd Aziz, N. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2015). A New Hybrid Simulated Kalman Filter and Particle Swarm Optimization for Continuous Numerical Optimization Problems. *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 10(22), 17171–17176. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/3abc/bcf2d447af7832e7be6ffa8ad7a8a69da0d3.pdf>
- Muhammad, B., Ibrahim, Z., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Abas, K. H., Ab Aziz, N. A., Abd Aziz, N. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Performance Evaluation of Hybrid SKF Algorithms : Hybrid SKF-PSO and Hybrid SKF-GSA. In *The National Conference for Postgraduate Research 2016 (NCON-PGR)* (pp. 865–874). Retrieved from <http://umpir.ump.edu.my/id/eprint/15724/>
- Muhammad, B., Mat Jusof, M. F., Shapi'ai, M. I., Adam, A., Md Yusof, Z., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., ... Mokhtar, N. (2018). Feature Selection using Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman Filter for Peak Classification of EEG Signals. In *2018 8th International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation Feature*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMS.2018.00010>
- Mussi, L., Cagnoni, S., & Daolio, F. (2009). Empirical Assessment of the Effects of Update Synchronization in Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceeding of the AIIA Workshop on Complexity, Evolution and Emergent Intelligence*, (1), 1–10. Retrieved from [https://mailserver.di.unipi.it/ricerca/proceedings/AIIA09workshops/CEEI/Mussi\\_al.pdf](https://mailserver.di.unipi.it/ricerca/proceedings/AIIA09workshops/CEEI/Mussi_al.pdf)

- Ojstersek, R., Hankun, Z., Shifeng, L., & Buchmeister, B. (2018). Improved Heuristic Kalman Algorithm for Solving Multi-Objective Flexible Job Shop Scheduling Problem. In *Procedia Manufacturing* (Vol. 17, pp. 895–902). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.10.142>
- Ojstersek, R., Zhang, H., Palcic, I., & Buchmeister, B. (2017). Use of Heuristic Kalman Algorithm for JSSP. In *XVII International Scientific Conference on Industrial Systems* (pp. 72–77). Retrieved from <https://www.iim.ftn.uns.ac.rs/is17/papers/13.pdf>
- Pakrashi, A., & Chaudhuri, B. B. (2016). A Kalman Filtering Induced Heuristic Optimization Based Partitional Data Clustering. *Information Sciences*, 369, 704–717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2016.07.057>
- Pijarski, P., & Kacejko, P. (2019). A New Metaheuristic Optimization Method: the Algorithm of the Innovative Gunner (AIG). *Engineering Optimization*, 0273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305215X.2019.1565282>
- Pombeiro, H., Machado, M. J., & Silva, C. (2017). Dynamic Programming and Genetic Algorithms to Control an HVAC System: Maximizing Thermal Comfort and Minimizing Cost with PV Production and Storage. *Sustainable Cities and Society Journal*, 34(May), 228–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.05.021>
- Pramada, S. K., Mohan, S., & Sreejith, P. K. (2017). Application of Genetic Algorithm for the Groundwater Management of a Coastal Aquifer. *ISH Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 5010(October), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09715010.2017.1378597>
- Puchalsky, W., Ribeiro, G. T., da Veiga, C. P., Freire, R. Z., & Santos Coelho, L. dos. (2018). Agribusiness Time Series Forecasting using Wavelet Neural Networks and Metaheuristic Optimization: An Analysis of the Soybean Sack Price and Perishable Products Demand. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 203(July 2017), 174–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.06.010>
- Qi, X., Zhu, Y., & Zhang, H. (2017). A New Meta-heuristic Butterfly-Inspired Algorithm. *Journal of Computational Science*, 23, 226–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocs.2017.06.003>
- Rada-Vilela, J., Zhang, M., & Seah, W. (2011a). A Performance Study on Synchronous and Asynchronous Updates in Particle Swarm Optimization. *The 13th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2001576.2001581>
- Rada-Vilela, J., Zhang, M., & Seah, W. (2011b). Random Asynchronous PSO. In *The 5th International Conference on Automation, Robotics and Applications* (pp. 220–



- 225). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICARA.2011.6144885>
- Rada-Vilela, J., Zhang, M., & Seah, W. (2013). A Performance Study on Synchronicity and Neighborhood Size in Particle Swarm Optimization. *Soft Computing*, 17(6), 1019–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-013-1015-9>
- Rajeswari, K., & Neduncheliyan, S. (2017). Genetic Algorithm Based Fault Tolerant Clustering in Wireless Sensor Network. *The Institution of Engineering and Technology*, 11(12), 1927–1932. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-com.2016.1074>
- Ram Jethmalani, C. H., Simon, S. P., Sundareswaran, K., Srinivasa Rao Nayak, P., & Padhy, N. P. (2016). Auxiliary Hybrid PSO-BPNN-Based Transmission System Loss Estimation in Generation Scheduling. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 13(4), 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2016.2614659>
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi-pour, H., & Saryazdi, S. (2009). GSA: A Gravitational Search Algorithm. *Information Sciences*, 179(13), 2232–2248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2009.03.004>
- Rueda, J. L., & Erlich, I. (2015). MVMO for Bound Constrained Single-objective Computationally Expensive Numerical Optimization. In *2015 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation* (pp. 1011–1017). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.2015.7257000>
- Salmani, M. H., & Eshghi, K. (2017). A Metaheuristic Algorithm Based on Chemotherapy Science : CSA. *Journal of Optimization*, 2017. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3082024>
- Samsuddin, S., Othman, M. S., & Yusuf, L. M. (2018). A Review of Single and Population-based Metaheuristic Algorithms Solving Multi Depot Vehicle Routing Problem. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Computer Systems (IJSECS)*, 4(2), 80–93. <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijsecs.4.2.2018.6.0050>
- Saremi, S., Mirjalili, S., & Lewis, A. (2017). Grasshopper Optimisation Algorithm: Theory and Application. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 105, 30–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.01.004>
- Shadravan, S., Naji, H. R., & Bardsiri, V. K. (2019). The Sailfish Optimizer: A Novel Nature-inspired Metaheuristic Algorithm for Solving Constrained Engineering Optimization Problems. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 80(January), 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2019.01.001>
- Sharafi, Y., Khanesar, M. A., & Teshnehlal, M. (2016). COOA: Competitive

- Optimization Algorithm. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 30, 39–63.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2016.04.002>
- Shayanfar, H., & Gharehchopogh, F. S. (2018). Farmland Fertility: A New Metaheuristic Algorithm for Solving Continuous Optimization Problems. *Applied Soft Computing*, 71, 728–746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.07.033>
- Shmaliy, Y. S., Khan, S., & Zhao, S. (2016). Ultimate Iterative UFIR Filtering Algorithm. *Measurement*, 92, 236–242.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2016.06.029>
- Shmaliy, Y. S., Neuvo, Y., & Khan, S. (2018). Review of Unbiased FIR Filters , Smoothers , and Predictors for Polynomial Signals. *Frontier in Signal Processing*, 2(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.22606/fsp.2018.21001>
- Shmaliy, Y. S., & Simon, D. (2013). Iterative Unbiased FIR State Estimation: A Review of Algorithms. *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, 2013(1), 113.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-6180-2013-113>
- Shmaliy, Y. S., Zhao, S., & Ahn, C. K. I. (2017). Unbiased Finite Impulse Response Filtering. *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, (October).  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/MCS.2017.2718830>
- Singh, M., Patel, R. N., & Neema, D. D. (2019). Robust Tuning of Excitation Controller for Stability Enhancement using Multi-objective Metaheuristic Firefly Algorithm. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 44(February 2018), 136–147.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2018.01.010>
- Singh, S. P., & Kesavan Nair, N. (2017). Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm for Inverter Complex Wave Reduction Under Line-load Variations. *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, 1–15.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0142331216687019>
- Sörensen, K. (2013). Metaheuristics — The Metaphor Exposed. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, 00, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/itor.12001>
- Spears, W. M., Jong, K. A. De, Back, T., Fogel, D. B., & Garis, H. De. (1993). An Overview of Evolutionary Computation. In P. B. Brazdil (Ed.), *Machine Learning: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence)*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-56602-3\\_163](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-56602-3_163)
- Srivatsan, R. A., & Choset, H. (2016). Multiple Start Branch and Prune Filtering Algorithm for Nonconvex Optimization. In *The 12th International Workshop on*

- The Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics*. Retrieved from <https://www.ri.cmu.edu/publications/multiple-start-branch-and-prune-filtering-algorithm-for-nonconvex-optimization/>
- Storn, R., & Price, K. (1997). Differential Evolution – A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for Global Optimization over Continuous Spaces. *Journal of Global Optimization*, *11*, 341–359.
- Suganthan, P. N. (2014). Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm MATLAB code. Retrieved from <http://www.ntu.edu.sg/home/epnsugan/>
- Tabari, A., & Ahmad, A. (2017). A New Optimization Method: Electro-Search Algorithm. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, *103*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2017.01.046>
- Talbi, E. G. (2009). *Metaheuristics from Design to Implementation*. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated, Publication. Retrieved from <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Metaheuristics%3A+From+Design+to+Implementation+-p-9780470278581>
- Tanabe, R., & Fukunaga, A. S. (2014). Improving the Search Performance of SHADE Using Linear Population Size Reduction. *2014 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.2014.6900380>
- Thoiba Meetei, K. (2014). A Survey : Swarm Intelligence vs. Genetic Algorithm. *International Journal of Science and Research*, *3*(5), 231–235. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ee7f/4a1bb8525944951527645b0a62b929313404.pdf>
- Ting, C. K., Liao, X. L., Huang, Y. H., & Liaw, R. T. (2017). Multi-vehicle Selective Pickup and Delivery using Metaheuristic Algorithms. *Information Sciences*, *406–407*, 146–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.04.001>
- Topal, A. O. (2017). *A Novel Metaheuristic Algorithm: Dynamic Virtual Bats Algorithm for Global Optimization*. Epoka University. Retrieved from <http://dspace.epoka.edu.al/handle/1/1812>
- Topal, A. O., & Altun, O. (2016). A Novel Meta-heuristic Algorithm: Dynamic Virtual Bats Algorithm. *Information Sciences*, *354*, 222–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2016.03.025>
- Toscano, R., & Ivan, I. A. (2014). Robust Structured Controllers for Piezoelectric Microactuators. *ISA Transactions*, *53*(6), 1857–1864.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2014.08.009>

- Toscano, R., & Lyonnet, P. (2009). Heuristic Kalman Algorithm for Solving Optimization Problems. *IEEE Transaction on Systems, Man and Cybernetics.*, 39(5), 1231–44. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCB.2009.2014777>
- Toscano, R., & Lyonnet, P. (2009a). Mixed H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>∞</sub> Residual Generator Design via Heuristic Kalman Algorithm. In *7th IFAC Symposium on Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety of Technical Processes*. Retrieved from <http://rosario.toscano.free.fr/HKAfdi.pdf>
- Toscano, R., & Lyonnet, P. (2009b). Robust PID Controller Tuning Based on the Heuristic Kalman Algorithm. *Automatica*, 45(9), 2099–2106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automatica.2009.05.007>
- Toscano, R., & Lyonnet, P. (2012). A Kalman Optimization Approach for Solving Some Industrial Electronics Problems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 59(11), 4456–4464. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2011.2169637>
- Uymaz, S. A., Tezel, G., & Yel, E. (2015). Artificial Algae Algorithm (AAA) for Nonlinear Global Optimization. *Applied Soft Computing*, 31, 153–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2015.03.003>
- Van Den Bergh, F., & Engelbrecht, A. P. (2006). A Study of Particle Swarm Optimization Particle Trajectories. *Information Sciences*, 176(8), 937–971. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2005.02.003>
- Varaee, H., & Ghasemi, M. R. (2016). Engineering Optimization Based on Ideal Gas Molecular Movement Algorithm. *Engineering with Computers*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-016-0457-y>
- Vazquez-Olguin, M., Shmaliy, Y. S., & Ibarra-Manzano, O. G. (2017). Distributed Unbiased FIR Filtering With Average Consensus on Measurements for WSNs. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 13(3), 1440–1447. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2653814>
- Wolpert, D. H., & Macready, W. G. (1997). No Free Lunch Theorems for Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 1(1), 67–82. <https://doi.org/10.1109/4235.585893>
- Wu, D., & Gao, H. (2015). Study on Asynchronous Update Mechanism in Particle Swarm Optimization. *14th International Symposium on Communications and Information Technologies, ISCIT 2014*, 90–93.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCIT.2014.7011876>

- Xue, S., Zhang, J., & Zeng, J. (2009). Parallel Asynchronous Control Strategy for Target Search with Swarm Robots. *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*, 1(3), 151–163. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIC.2009.023811>
- Yang, J., Peng, Z., Pei, Z., Guan, Y., Yuan, H., & Wu, L. (2018). Remaining Useful Life Assessment of Lithium-ion Battery based on HKA-ELM Algorithm. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, 13(2018), 9257–9272. <https://doi.org/10.20964/2018.10.51> Interna
- Yang, X.-S. (2012). Flower Pollination Algorithm for Global Optimization. In J. Durand-Lose & N. Jonoska (Eds.), *Unconventional Computation and Natural Computation. UCNC 2012. Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (Vol. 7445, pp. 240–249). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32894-7\\_27](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32894-7_27)
- Yang, X.-S. (2013). Optimization and Metaheuristic Algorithms in Engineering. In X.-S. Yang, A. H. Gandomi, S. Talatahari, & A. . Alavi (Eds.), *Metaheuristics in Water, Geotechnical and Transport Engineering* (Vol. 356, pp. 1–23). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20859-1>
- Yapici, H., & Cetinkaya, N. (2019). A New Meta-heuristic Optimizer: Pathfinder Algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ASOC.2019.03.012>
- Zamli, K. Z., Din, F., Kendall, G., & Ahmed, B. S. (2017). An Experimental Study of Hyper-heuristic Selection and Acceptance Mechanism for Combinatorial T-way Test Suite Generation. *Information Sciences*, 399, 121–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.03.007>
- Zhang, J., Xiao, M., Gao, L., & Pan, Q. (2018). Queuing Search Algorithm: A Novel Metaheuristic Algorithm for Solving Engineering Optimization Problems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 63, 464–490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2018.06.036>
- Zhang, Q., Wang, R., Yang, J., Lewis, A., Chiclana, F., & Yang, S. (2018). Biology Migration Algorithm: A New Nature-inspired Heuristic Methodology for Global Optimization. *Soft Computing*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3381-9>
- Zhao, S., Shmaliy, Y. S., Ahn, C. K., & Liu, F. (2018). Adaptive-Horizon Iterative UFIR Filtering Algorithm with Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 65(8), 6393–6402. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2017.2784405>
- Zhou, Y., Wang, Y., Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Wu, K. (2017). A Novel Path Planning

Algorithm Based on Plant Growth Mechanism. *Soft Computing*, 21(2), 435–445.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-016-2045-x>

Zoghby, N. El, Loscri, V., Natalizio, E., & Cherfaoui, V. (2014). Robot Cooperation and Swarm Intelligence. In *Wireless Sensor and Robot Networks: From Topology Control to Communication Aspects* (pp. 168–201). World Scientific Publishing Company. Retrieved from <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00917542/document>