

INVESTIGATION ON THE IMPACT OF
SPEED HUMPS TOWARDS TRAVEL DELAYS
IN RURAL ROADS

FARIDA HAMIMI BINTI ISMASAFIE

B.Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : FARIDA HAMIMI BINTI ISMASAFIE

ID Number : AA15230

Date : 30 MAY 2019

INVESTIGATION ON THE IMPACT OF SPEED HUMPS TOWARDS TRAVEL
DELAYS IN RURAL ROADS

FARIDA HAMIMI BINTI ISMASAFIE

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
B.Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“In the name of ALLAH S.W.T, the Most Compassionate and Most Merciful”

Alhamdulillahirabbil’Aalamin, for His mercy and His blessing, I can finish and complete my final year project. Peace and blessing to the great prophet Muhammad SAW.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Intan Suhana binti Mohd Razelan for guidance, criticism, patience, encouragement and experience for my final year projects. Not to forget all my friends who laugh and cry together in the process of helping me completing my final year project.

Thank you to my family especially my father, Ismasafie bin Mohamed and my mother, Wan Norliza binti Wan Besar for endless prayers and supports. Lastly, thank you University Malaysia Pahang, UMP for giving me opportunity to gain experience that I believe it will be useful for my future.

Thank you.

ABSTRAK

Peranti lalu lintas bukan sahaja digunakan di Malaysia malah diseluruh Negara bagi memastikan keselamatan penggunaannya. Antara peranti lalu lintas ialah speed hump, speed bump, speed tables, roundabouts dan lain lain. Peranti lalu lintas adalah untuk memastikan pengawalan terhadap had kelajuan kenderaan. Speed hump adalah asphalt yang ditinggikan merentasi jalan yang biasanya berbentuk parabola, separa bulat atau sinusoidal. Pemasangan speed hump ini biasanya meningkatkan masa perjalanan kerana pemandu terpaksa menjalani proses pengurangan ketika melintasi bongkah. Speed hump biasanya dibuat daripada getah, konkrit, plastik atau asphalt Berdasarkan Arahan Teknik Jalan JKR (18/97) ketinggian untuk speed hump untuk jenis 1 adalah antara 100 mm hingga 150 mm dengan panjang 3000mm dan jenis 2 adalah antara 80 mm hingga 150 mm dengan panjang 6000mm kepada 10000mm. 3 speed hump sinusoidal telah digunakan dalam kajian ini. Ia diukur dengan ketinggian 91mm dan lebar 2800mm. Sementara itu, speed hump 2 mempunyai ketinggian 52mm dengan ketinggian 3070mm dan ketinggian 59mm dan lebar 3020mm untuk ketinggian speed hump 3. Ketinggian dan panjang hump ditentukan oleh pembaris dan meter berjalan manakala jam randik digunakan untuk mengambil masa perjalanan jalan 60m dengan atau tanpa speed hump. Perbezaan dari segi ketinggian dan lebar speed hump ini telah menyebabkan masa yang berbeza diambil. Jumlah masa perjalanan yang diambil untuk jalan raya 60m ini tanpa kehadiran speed hump ialah 3.11sec pada kelajuan 37km / j. Walau bagaimanapun, dengan adanya bonggol ini, jumlah masa perjalanan telah meningkat kepada 5.32sec pada kelajuan yang sama. Masa purata yang diambil untuk menyeberangi hump kelajuan 1 ialah 6.99 saat, 5.53 saat untuk speed hump 2 dan 6.51 saat untuk speed hump 3. Sebagai kesimpulan, ketinggian dan panjang speed hump menyebabkan kelewatan masa yang berlainan

ABSTRACT

Traffic calming device is widely used, not just in Malaysia to increase the safety of the road users including the motorcyclist, bicyclist and pedestrian but also in many countries around the world. Among the calming devices used are speed humps, speed bumps, speed tables, roundabouts, transverse rumble strips, optical speed bars, textured pavement and cat-eye reflectors. This traffic calming is designs or modifies to ensure the uniform speeds of vehicles are controlled. It tends to force the vehicle to slow down. Speed hump is a raised section of asphalt vertically crossing a road. They are usually parabolic, semi-circular or sinusoidal in shape. This speed hump installation usually increase travel times as drivers have to undergo a process of decelerations and accelerations while crossing the hump. Speed humps are usually made from rubber, concrete, plastic or asphalt. Based on Arahan Teknik Jalan JKR (18/97) the height for hump for type 1 is between 100 mm to 150 mm and type 2 is between 80 mm to 150 mm with a length of 3000mm for type 1 and 6000mm to 10000mm for type 2. 3 sinusoidal speed humps were used in this study. Speed hump 1 is measured as having 91mm height and 2800mm width. Meanwhile, speed hump 2 is having a height of 52mm with 3070mm width and 59mm height and 3020mm widths for speed hump 3. The height and length of the speed hump is determined by meter ruler and walking meter and the delay caused by the speed humps is observed by a 60m road without humps travel time and a 60m with humps travel time by stopwatch. The differences in terms of height and width of these speed humps have resulting different time taken and delay across the whole 60m road where these humps is located. Total travel time taken for this 60 m road without the presence of humps was calculated as 3.11sec at the speed of 37km/h. However, with a presence of these humps the total travel time was increased to 5.32sec at the same speed. The average time taken to cross speed hump 1 is 6.99 sec, 5.53 sec for speed hump 2 and 6.51 sec for speed hump 3. As a conclusion, the height and length of a speed humps do resulting different time delay as speed hump 1 is 91mm height and 2800mm width with longest time travel which is 14.35sec, speed hump 2 is 52mm and 3070mm width with longest time travel 11.59sec and speed hump 3 with height 59mm and 3020mm width with longest time travel 11.81sec.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background of Study	2
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Objective	4
1.5 Scope of Study	5
1.6 Significant of Study	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Speed Hump	6
2.2 Speed Hump Worldwide	6
2.3 Speed Hump and Delay	8
2.4 Characteristic of Speed Hump	9
2.5 Summary	10

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	11
3.1 Research Flow Chart	11
3.2 Selection of Study Area	13
3.3 Data Collection	17
3.3.1 Planning	17
3.3.2 Primary Data and Survey on Study Area	17
3.4 Data Analysis	19
3.4.1 Microsoft Excel	19
3.5 Apparatus	20
3.6 Summary	22
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	23
4.1 Data Collection	23
4.1.1 Road Inventory Survey	23
4.1.2 Hump Characteristic	24
4.1.3 Traffic Survey	25
4.2 Data Analysis	25
4.3 Discussion	36
4.4 Summary	37
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	38
5.1 Conclusion	38
5.2 Problem encounter	38
5.3 Recommendation	39
REFERENCES	40
APPENDIX	43

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Types of humps	2
Table 4.1 Classification of Road	23
Table 4.2 Speed Humps 1	24
Table 4.3 Speed Humps 2	24
Table 4.4 Speed Humps 3	24
Table 4.5 Day 1 without Speed Hump	26
Table 4.6 Day 2 without Speed Hump	26
Table 4.7 Day 3 without Speed Hump	27
Table 4.8 Without Speed Hump outputs	27
Table 4.9 Day 1 Speed Hump 1	28
Table 4.10 Day 2 Speed Hump 1	28
Table 4.11 Day 3 Speed Hump 1	29
Table 4.12 Speed Hump 1 outputs	29
Table 4.13 Day 1 Speed Hump 2	30
Table 4.14 Day 2 Speed Hump 2	30
Table 4.15 Day 3 Speed Hump 2	31
Table 4.16 Speed Hump 2 outputs	31
Table 4.17 Day 1 Speed Hump 3	32
Table 4.18 Day 2 Speed Hump 3	32
Table 4.19 Day 3 Speed Hump 3	33
Table 4.20 Speed Hump 3 outputs	33
Table 4.21 Overall Comparison	34

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Research Flow Chart	12
Figure 3.2 Study Area	14
Figure 3.3 60m without hump area	15
Figure 3.4 60m with hump 1 area	15
Figure 3.5 60m with hump 2 area	16
Figure 3.6 60m with hump 3 area	16
Figure 3.7 Study Area	18
Figure 3.8 Data Sheet in Microsoft Excel	19
Figure 3.9 Radar gun	20
Figure 3.10 Stopwatch	21
Figure 3.11 Walking meter	21
Figure 3.12 meter tape ruler	22
Figure 3.13 metal ruler	22
Figure 4.1 Bar Graph comparison	35

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Travel time is a basic measure in transportation. The total time to reach a place from a place is so significant and also been taken as a measuring tool to reach a destination. Delay is the time lost during travel caused by the traffic, control devices, speed or accident. Travel time and delay are often used to ensure the traffic condition such as to measure congestion that occurs in certain area. The result of travel and delay in traffic usually been used to improve the services and traffic devices.

As the result of time delaying yet to reach the destination on time, the road users tend to speeding. Speeding contributes to, with a particular set of circumstances, an accident might be avoided (or its consequences might be less severe) if drivers' speeds had been lower (Stone, 2004). Speeding has been recorded to be a top two cause of accident in Malaysia after the driver's risky driving skills, according from statistic by Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research (MIROS). As to reduce the speed and ensure the safety and reducing motor-vehicle speed, the calming device is created.

Calming device is widely used, not just in Malaysia to increase the road safety for the users including the motorcyclist, bicyclist and pedestrian. Among the calming device are speed humps, speed bumps, speed tables, roundabouts, transverse rumble strips, optical speed bars, and textured pavement and cat-eye reflectors. This traffic calming is design or modifies to ensure the uniform speed of vehicle. It tends to force the vehicle to slow down until 30 miles per hour (mph) or lesser (Berthod, 2011).

Speed hump is a raised section of asphalt across a road. They are usually parabolic, semi-circular or sinusoidal in shape. Based on Arahan Teknik Jalan JKR (18/97) Basic Guidelines on Pedestrian Facilities, there are 2 type of hump. First, type

A will be consider if the road reserve is at least 4.88m(16 feet) While type B will be consider if the road reserve is 20.12m (66 feet).

Table 1.1 Types of humps

	Type A	Type B
Road reserve	4.88m (16 feet)	20.12m (66 feet)
Max height	100mm to 150mm	80mm to 150mm with slope 1:15 to 1:20
Width	3000mm	6000mm to 10000mm

Sources : Arahan Teknik Jalan

Table 1.0 shows comparison of hump types. According to the Spanish road safety annual report (Gobierno, 2009) with the usage of speed hump, the number of accidents on road and urban areas has been decreased by 52% and 36% respectively, in the last 6 years.

Speed hump are one of the most effective and most widely used traffic calming measures in Quebec, North America and in Europe in last decades (Berthod, 2011). They have been installed long enough to establish a fairly precise definition of the conditions in which these measures can reduce speed and increasing time travel while minimizing potential disadvantages (Berthod, 2011).

Speed hump installation caused increasing in time and delaying travel. A speed humps is design to make the driver feels discomfort as they are self-enforcing, but are often opposed by fire and rescue due to concerns of increasing the emergency response time (Ewing, Brown, Ewing, & Brown, 2018).

1.2 Background of Study

Upon reaching a destination by road, people use various types of way including driving themselves. As to reach the destination without delay or on time, the road users tend to speed up. Travel time reaching a destination is emphasized and being stressed as the delay would be disturbing. Delays are caused by traffic signals, stop signs, and yield

signs, among others. The operational delay on the other hand are influenced by other vehicle ineffectiveness as in the case of breakdowns, accidents, parking and manoeuvring problems (Ogunsanya,1983). They can also result from pedestrian crossings, high volume of flow, lack of capacity merging and weaving traffic (Adedimila, 1981).

Delay is at least cause by traffic controller (warden), accident, parking problems, pedestrian crossing, road side hawking and retailing, vehicle breakdown, vehicle turning and manoeuvring problems (Atomode, 2013). In a case study carried at studied intersections, traffic controller or warden cause the delay at highest (Atomode, 2013). Traffic controller is including calming devices such as speed humps, speed bumps, speed tables, roundabouts, transverse rumble strips, optical speed bars and cat-eye reflectors. This traffic calming is design or modifies to ensure the uniform speed of vehicle. It tends to force the vehicle to slow down until 30 miles per hour (mph) or lesser(Atomode, 2013).

Speed humps are usually made from rubber, concrete, plastic or asphalt. Commonly asphalt humps are being used in Malaysia. Reported in Institute of Transportation Engineers, speed humps are the most widely used traffic calming device in the United States as they are able to reduce speeding and cut-through traffic in residential areas. This speed humps also have been reported reducing struck accident involving children in neighbourhood area (Tester, Rutherford, Wald, & Rutherford, 2004).

1.3 Problem Statement

Although speed humps have been proven to reduce speed and make neighbourhoods safer, some claim that they can cause damage to vehicles, increase emergency response time, increase traffic noise and delaying (Jaeger, n.d.). Delaying caused by the speed hump indicate that the aim of the constructing the device is accomplished yet there is no data recorded about how much time the delay caused and is the height and width of the speed hump affect the travel delay.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Wahed, T. A., & Hashim, I. H. (2017). Effect of speed hump characteristics on pavement condition. *Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering (English Edition)*, 4(1), 103–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtte.2016.09.011>
- Adedimila, S. A. (1981): Towards Improving Traffic Flow in Lagos. In Onokomaiya and Ekanem (Ed), *Transportation in Nigeria*. National Development. NISER, Ibadan
- Al-Omari, B. H., & Al-Masaeid, H. R. (2002). Effect of speed humps on traffic delay in Jordan. *Road and Transport Research*, 11(4), 49–55.
- Atkins, C., & Coleman, M. (1997). Influence of traffic calming on emergency response times. *ITE Journal (Institute of Transportation Engineers)*.
- Atomode, T. (2013). Assessment of Traffic Delay Problems and Characteristics at Urban Road Intersections: A Case Study of Ilorin, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science*, 12(4), 06–16. <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-1240616>
- Bekheet, W. (2014). Short term performance and effect of speed humps on pavement condition of Alexandria Governorate roads. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2014.09.009>
- Berthod, C. (2011). Traffic Calming Speed Humps and Speed Cushions. *Conference and Exhibition of the Transportation Association of Canada - Transportation Successes: Let's Build on Them*.
- Dittberner, R. A. (1998). Achieving Support for Traffic Mitigation from Elected Officials and Emergency Services. Retrieved October 2, 2008, from the Institute of Traffic Engineers Web site: <http://www.ite.org/traffic/documents/AHA98B65.pdf>
- Ewing, R., Brown, S. J., Ewing, R., & Brown, S. J. (2018). Toolbox. In *U.S. Traffic Calming Manual*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351179652-3>
- Ewing, R., & Kooshian, C. (1997). U.S. experience with traffic calming. *ITE Journal (Institute of Transportation Engineers)*.
- Highway Planning Unit (HPU) (2002). *Traffic Calming Guidelines*. Kuala Lumpur: Ministry of Works.

- Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). (1999). Traffic Calming: State of the Practice. Retrieved from <http://www.ite.org/traffic/tcstate.asp>.
- Jaeger, R. R. (n.d.). *Traffic Calming-Speed Humps Effect on Emergency Response Times*.
- Karim, M. R., Ibrahim, N. I. & Arif, W. R. (2003). Road humps as traffic calming devices. Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies
- Kouwe, P. (2006). Des Plaines Fire Department Deployment Study. Emergency Services Consulting Inc., Wilsonville, Oregon
- Margaret Parkhill, P.Eng., Rudolph Sooklall, M.A.Sc, Geni Bahar, P.Eng. CITE 2007 Conference held in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Updated Guidelines for the Design and Application of Speed Humps
- Ogunsanya, A. A. (1983): Contribution of Freight Vehicles to Urban Traffic Delays: The Case of Lagos, Nigeria. *The Nigerian Geographical Journal*, 26 (1&2).
- Ogunsanya, A. A. (1984): Traffic Congestion in an Urban Centre: The Case of Ilorin, Nigeria. *The Nigerian Geographical Journal*, 27 (1&2).
- Pakshir, A., Pour, A., Jahandar, N., & Paydar, A. (2012). Roundabout optimal entry and circulating flow induced by road hump. *International Journal of Civil, Architectural Science and Engineering*.
- Shwaly, S., AL-Ayaat, A., & Zakaria, M. H. (2018). Public Evaluation of Speed Humps Performance and Effectiveness. *Civil Engineering Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.28991/cej-0309168>
- Sofi, S. S. A., & Hamsa, A. A. K. (2016). A study on the effects of road humps in reducing speed along local roads in residential areas: Case study of Taman Setiawangsa. *Planning Malaysia*, 14, 55–66.
<https://doi.org/10.21837/pmjournal.v14.i5.183>
- Stone, M., 2004. Adjudication of the Radio 4 Today Programme Speed Tribunal. <http://www.ucl.ac.uk/Stats/research/Resrprts/speed.pdf>
- S. Hallmark, K. Knapp, G. Thomas, et al 2002. Temporary Speed Hump Impact

Evaluation CTRE Project 00-37 Iowa Department of Transportation, Ames

Tester, J. M., Rutherford, G. W., Wald, Z., & Rutherford, M. W. (2004). A Matched Case-Control Study Evaluating the Effectiveness of Speed Humps in Reducing Child Pedestrian Injuries. *American Journal of Public Health*.
<https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.94.4.646>