

TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF BIO-INSPIRED
VERTICAL AXIS WIND TURBINE

ASHWINDRAN NAIDU S/O
SANDERSAGRAN

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :

Position :

Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name :

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : ASHWINDRAN NAIDU S/O SANDERASAGRAN

ID Number : MMV18001

Date :

TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF BIO-INSPIRED VERTICAL AXIS WIND TURBINE

ASHWINDRAN NAIDU S/O SANDESAGRAN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Master of science

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JANUARY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my supervisor Dr Azizuddin Abd Aziz of Faculty of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering Technology at Universiti Malaysia Pahang. The door to Dr Azizuddin office was always open whenever I ran into a trouble spot or had a question about my research or writing. He consistent provided moral and financial support at times of need. He has been a supportive supervisor and always has steered me in the right the direction in academic and life.

I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Ahmed Nurye Oumer of the Faculty of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering Technology at Universiti Malaysia Pahang as the second reader of this thesis, and I am gratefully indebted for his very valuable comments on this thesis.

I would also like to acknowledge Mr Muhammad Muhtaram Mansor as for being supportive and catered help at time of needs.

I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents Mr Sanderasagran & Madam Ponnamah for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. Finally, I would like to acknowledge my siblings and their spouses for their financial support and allowing me to stay at their place from time to time. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

ABSTRAK

Penuaian tenaga menandakan perkembangan pertumbuhan industri dan kuasa ekonomi dan sosioekonomi di rantau ini. Penggunaan bahan api fosil tanpa peraturan yang betul mengakibatkan kenaikan gas rumah kaca. Bilah turbin angin semasa direka untuk memenuhi persekitaran khusus, lokasi geografi dan beban angin. Oleh itu, ini mengakibatkan prestasi buruk dan tidak mencukupi di kawasan beban angin yang tidak konsisten seperti Malaysia. Oleh itu, pengubahsuaian reka bentuk sedang dilakukan pada morfologi bilah turbin angin untuk menyesuaikan diri dengan pelbagai persekitaran beban angin. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji prestasi tiga turbin angin biofuel yang dicadangkan. Berdasarkan kelajuan angin yang tidak konsisten di Malaysia disebabkan oleh pengaruh cuaca bermusim. Oleh itu, reka bentuk pisau baru dicadangkan untuk menampung potensi kelajuan angin di Malaysia. Reka bentuk yang dicadangkan adalah produk hibridisasi reka bentuk yang diilhami oleh unsur alam. Oleh itu, penyiasatan dinamik bendalir komputeran teori turbin yang dicadangkan dijalankan relatif dalam 2D dan berdasarkan andaian teori. Kaedah isipadu terhingga disesuaikan untuk menganalisis turbin yang dicadangkan di bawah konfigurasi grid tidak konformal. Turbin yang dicadangkan disimulasikan di bawah konfigurasi pengiraan yang sama; formulasi berangka; konfigurasi pemecah. Penyiasatan adalah berdasarkan 'Unsteady Reynolds rata-rata Persamaan Navier-Stokes' yang tidak stabil dan dua model persamaan pengangkutan bergolak persamaan. Turbin dianalisis dari segi pekali pengekstrakan kuasa (C_p), berbanding dengan batas Betz sebagai penanda aras. Untuk kesederhanaan turbin yang dicadangkan dilabelkan sebagai Reka Bentuk 1; Reka bentuk 2; Reka bentuk 3. Reka bentuk 1 dimodelkan dalam dua skala yang besar dan mikrosek. Sementara itu Reka Bentuk 2 dan Reka Bentuk 3 dimodelkan dalam mikroskop. Turbin ini disimulasi di bawah pengaruh kelajuan freestream 8 m/s seperti yang dipotong laju untuk turbin. Reka bentuk 1 disimulasikan pada lima nisbah kelajuan hujung rendah yang berbeza. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa turbin bertindak balas dengan baik pada $\lambda = 0.2$ dan $\lambda = 0.3$ dengan pekali kuasa positif $C_p = 0.029$ dan $C_p = 0.025$ masing-masing yang relatif rendah berbanding dengan turbin seretan yang konvensional. Sementara itu, nisbah kelajuan hujung, $\lambda = 0.4$, $\lambda = 0.6$ dan $\lambda = 0.9$ menunjukkan ketidakstabilan yang tinggi dalam penjanaan momen dan pengekstrakan kuasa negatif yang tinggi. Hasil yang dihasilkan menunjukkan pekali kuasa negatif yang memberi kesan kepada prestasi turbin. Rongga rongga mengalami tekanan buruk yang tinggi kerana geometri penjuru yang tajam dalam mengembalikan bilah yang mengakibatkan putaran bilah memajukan. Oleh itu, disimpulkan bahawa, penambahbaikan reka bentuk perlu dilakukan pada geometri rongga rongga untuk memastikan kelancaran putaran sementara tanpa bilah yang mempengaruhi satu sama lain. Sedangkan untuk Design 2 pada $\lambda = 1.3$ ayunan berangka stabil selepas 480° dengan nilai puncak stabil stabil iaitu $C_m = 0.32$. Purata pekali momen keseluruhan adalah $C_m = 0.1886$. Sementara itu, pada $\lambda = 1.7$ turbin menghasilkan nilai pekali momen purata $C_m = 0.153991$ dan menunjukkan corak tingkah laku hampir sama seperti $\lambda = 1.3$ dalam pengekstrakan tenaga angin. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa turbin yang dicadangkan dengan empat bilah yang terdiri daripada AoA aerofoil NREL S819 tetap dilakukan dengan baik pada $\lambda = 1.3$ dan $\lambda = 1.7$ dengan menghasilkan purata pekali kuasa purata stabil iaitu $C_p = 0.245$ dan $C_p = 0.262$. Tambahan pula, magnitud kekusaran bagi setiap nisbah kelajuan hujung dianalisis. Ia telah diperhatikan bahawa, kesan bangun yang diakibatkan oleh tepi trailing telah mempengaruhi prestasi pisau berikut. Sementara itu, keputusan Reka bentuk 3 menunjukkan bahawa reka bentuk S-4 menunjukkan kestabilan numerik relatif kepada konfigurasi pengiraan dan keputusan pekali momen yang lebih baik berbanding dengan turbin angin Savonius. Pada $\lambda = 0.59$, turbin angin yang dicadangkan menunjukkan peningkatan sebanyak 7.2% dan mengurangkan kepada 4% pada $\lambda = 0.94$. Berdasarkan analisis dinamik bendalir komputeran morfologi yang dicadangkan menunjukkan bahawa pelaksanaan desain melalui bioinspirasi adalah bertanggungjawab tetapi memerlukan analisis lebih lanjut dan penyelidikan eksperimental untuk alat penuaian angin yang efisien dan adaptif.

ABSTRACT

Energy harvesting signifies the development of industrial and power growth of economy and socio-economy in a region. The use of fossil fuels without proper regulation resulted in rise of greenhouse gases. Current wind turbine blades are designed to cater specific environment, geographical location and wind load. Hence, this resulted in poor and inadequate performance at inconsistent wind load regions such as Malaysia. Hence, design modification is being done on wind turbine blade morphology in order to adapt to various wind load environment. This aim of the present study is to investigate the performance of three proposed novel bioinspired wind turbine. Based upon the inconsistent wind speed in Malaysia due to the seasonal weather influence. Hence a novel blade design is being proposed to accommodate the wind speed potential of Malaysia. The proposed design is the product of design hybridization inspired by elements of nature. Thus, the theoretical computational fluid dynamic numerical investigation of the proposed turbines was conducted relative in 2D and based on theoretical assumption. Finite volume method approach was adapted to analyze the proposed turbines under non-conformal grid configuration. The proposed turbines are simulated under the similar computational configuration; numerical formulation; solver configuration. The transient numerical investigation is based on Unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equation and two equation turbulent transport numerical model. The turbines are analyzed in terms of power extraction coefficient (C_p), relative to Betz limit as benchmark. For simplicity the proposed turbines are labeled as Design 1; Design 2; Design 3. Design 1 is modelled in two scales which is large and microscale. Meanwhile Design 2 and Design 3 is modelled in microscale. The turbine is simulated under the influence of freestream velocity of 8 m/s as cut in speed for the turbines. Design 1 is simulated at five different low tip speed ratios. Results shows that the turbine responded well at $\lambda = 0.2$ and $\lambda = 0.3$ with positive power coefficient of $C_p = 0.029$ and $C_p = 0.025$ respectively which is relatively low in comparison to conventional drag driven turbines. Meanwhile, tip speed ratio, $\lambda = 0.4$, $\lambda = 0.6$ and $\lambda = 0.9$ indicated high instability in moment generation and high negative power extraction. Generated result indicates negative power coefficient which has impacted the performance of the turbine. The cavity vane experiences high adverse pressure due to its sharp cornered geometry in returning blade which consequently impacted the rotation of the advancing blade. Therefore, it is concluded that, design improvement needs to be done on the cavity vane geometry to ensure smooth transient in rotation without the blade affecting one another. As for Design 2 at $\lambda = 1.3$ the numerical oscillation stabilizes after 480° with an average stable peak value of $C_m = 0.32$. The average of total moment coefficient was $C_m = 0.1886$. Meanwhile, at $\lambda = 1.7$ the turbine generated an average moment coefficient value of $C_m = 0.153991$ and showed almost similar behavior pattern as $\lambda = 1.3$ in wind energy extraction. The result showed that the proposed turbine with four blades composed of fixed AoA aerofoil NREL S819 performed well at $\lambda = 1.3$ and $\lambda = 1.7$ by generating a stable average power coefficient value of $C_p = 0.245$ and $C_p = 0.262$ respectively. Furthermore, the vorticity magnitude of each tip speed ratio was analyzed. It was observed that, wake effects induced by the trailing edge had affected the performance of the following blade. Meanwhile, Design 3 result shows that, design S-4 displayed numerical stability relative to the computational configuration and improved moment coefficient result compared to Savonius wind turbine. At $\lambda = 0.59$, the proposed wind turbine shows an improvement of 7.2% and reduces to 4% at $\lambda = 0.94$. Based on the computational fluid dynamic analysis of the proposed morphology indicates that design implementation via bioinspiration is liable but requires further analysis and experimental investigation for efficient and adaptive wind harvesting device.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Study	1
1.2 Blade design in wind energy industry	2
1.3 Offshore wind facilities	3
1.4 Bioinspired engineering application	4
1.5 Computational fluid dynamics	4
1.6 Problem Statement	5
1.7 Objective	7
1.8 Scope of Study	7

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Background on Wind Turbine	10
2.3 History on Vertical Axis Wind Turbines	14
2.4 Mathematical Models	15
2.5 Turbulent Model Equations	17
2.6 CFD Segregated Algorithm Terminologies	19
2.6.1 SIMPLE vs SIMPLEC	20
2.6.2 PISO	20
2.6.3 COUPLE	21
2.7 Related work	21
2.8 Mesh Discretization	26
2.9 Y^+ and Boundary layer	28
2.10 Biologically inspired wind turbine application	29
2.11 Summary	31
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	32
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Phase 1	32
3.3 Phase 2	32
3.4 Phase 3	33
3.5 Design concept	34
3.6 Manifold topology	35
3.7 Computational hardware specifications	37
3.8 General computational configuration	37
3.9 Model geometry and configuration of proposed designs	38

3.9.1	Parametric geometry configurations of Design 1	38
3.9.2	Parametric geometry configurations of Design 2	44
3.9.3	Parametric geometry configurations of Design 3	48
3.10	Mesh topology and Domain Configuration	50
3.10.1	Domain and mesh configuration of Design 1	51
3.10.2	Domain and mesh configuration of Design 2	57
3.10.3	Domain and mesh configurations of Design 3	59
3.11	Turbulent Modelling	60
3.12	Boundary Condition	62
3.12.1	Boundary condition of Design 1	63
3.12.2	Boundary condition of Design 2	65
3.12.3	Boundary condition of Design 3	66
3.13	Numerical Performance Parameter	66
3.13.1	Numerical parameters of Design 1 and microscale Design 1	67
3.13.2	Numerical parameters of Design 2	68
3.13.3	Numerical parameters of Design 3	68
3.14	CFD Solver configuration	69
3.14.1	Solver Configuration of Design 1	70
3.14.2	Solver configuration of Design 2	72
3.14.3	Solver configuration of Design 3	72
3.15	Grid sensitivity study	73
3.15.2	Mesh densities sensitivity study of Design 3	77
3.16	Time step dependence study for static mesh mode of Design 1	78
3.17	Mesh Refinement and Optimization	79
3.18	Quantitative Data Analysis	79
3.19	Conclusion	80

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	82
4.1 Introduction	82
4.2 CFD numerical result	83
4.2.1 Design 1 CFD result and analysis	83
4.2.2 Design 2 CFD result and analysis	108
4.2.3 Design 3 CFD result and analysis	116
4.3 Comparative analysis among proposed biohybrid designs	124
4.4 Conclusion	126
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	127
5.1 Introduction	127
5.2 Conclusion	127
5.3 Future work and recommendation	129
REFERENCES	131

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Mesh metric quality range	28
Table 3.1	Geometrical parameter and cavity vane dimension	42
Table 3.2	Cavity vane dimension	44
Table 3.3	Geometrical parameter	44
Table 3.4	Geometry parameter	48
Table 3.5	Rotor blade properties	50
Table 3.6	Design parameters	50
Table 3.7	Domain dimension, mesh size and computational time for mesh	51
Table 3.8	Mesh Parameters and configurations	56
Table 3.9	Mesh parameters of Savonius wind turbine	59
Table 3.10	Mesh parameters	60
Table 3.11	Boundary condition parameters	65
Table 3.12	Boundary condition parameters	66
Table 3.13	Solver configurations	72
Table 3.14	Solver configurations	73
Table 3.15	Different rotating zone construction mesh computation time	75
Table 4.1	Average moment at $\lambda = 0.59$ and $\lambda = 0.94$.	110

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Wind turbine configuration: (a) Vertical axis wind turbine, (b) Horizontal axis wind turbine	10
Figure 2.2	Lift for generation illustration.	12
Figure 2.3	Monthly wind speed in Malaysia.	14
Figure 2.4	Savonius wind turbine operation mechanism	16
Figure 2.5	Turbulent Models Hierarchy	19
Figure 2.6	Near wall function and boundary layer description	29
Figure 3.1	Research methodology flow chart	36
Figure 3.2	Blade design adaptation: (a) Albatross wing outline blade design, (b) Blade cross-section based on tulip flower bucket vane	40
Figure 3.3	Blade design adaption: (a) Pitcher plant blade design curvature and configuration, (b) Pitcher plant blade design vane structure.	40
Figure 3.4	(a) Overlapping area comparison between Savonius and proposed design, (b) Boolean subtracted geometry for CFD simulation.	41
Figure 3.5	Rotation configuration and geometric parameter	41
Figure 3.6	Cavity vane: (a) Dimension of cavity vane, (b) Cavity vane A, B, C with different aspect ratio.	41
Figure 3.7	(a) Proposed blade geometry, (b) blade geometry comparison, (c) simplified blade geometry, (d) Infinitesimal element of the geometry.	44
Figure 3.8	Proposed design configurations	45
Figure 3.9	(a) Savonius wind turbine, (b) proposed wind turbine based on blade shape S-4	46
Figure 3.10	Parametric proposed shape and Savonius configuration	47
Figure 3.11	Savonius wind turbine design parameter and configuration	47
Figure 3.12	2D rotating domains: (a) Savonius wind turbine, (b) Proposed wind turbine based on blade shape S-4	47
Figure 3.13	Proposed wind turbine configuration: (a) Proposed wind turbine design, (b) adapted morphology, (c) Wind turbine configuration.	49
Figure 3.14	Domains: (a) Main domain illustration, (b) Sub-domains at elevation of 4 m presented turbine's blade for static mesh simulation, (c) Rotating sub domain for sliding mesh technique.	52
Figure 3.15	Mesh interfaces: (a) Mesh interfaces and interior zone visualization, (b) interface gaps, (c) Overlapping mesh interfaces.	55
Figure 3.16	Mesh configuration: (a) Mesh resolution of static mesh, (b) Conformal mesh, (c) Non-conformal mesh, (d) Inflation layers at blade wall surface.	56

Figure 3.17	Domain configuration: (a) Overall configuration and dimension, (b) rotating domain, (c) Inflation layer around blade region.	57
Figure 3.18	Domains configuration and mesh topology: (a) Main domain (virtual wind tunnel), (b) Dynamic domain of Savonius wind turbine, (c) dynamic domain of proposed wind turbine	59
Figure 3.19	Proposed wind turbine configuration: (a) Proposed wind turbine design, (b) Adapted morphology.	60
Figure 3.20	Schematic representation of wind direction segments.	68
Figure 3.21	Schematic representation of wind direction segments.	69
Figure 3.22	Static drag mesh dependence study at 0° : (a) Drag coefficient blade 1, (b) Drag coefficient blade 2, (c) Drag coefficient blade 3	74
Figure 3.23	Mesh dependence study of fine and medium mesh: (a) Drag coefficient blade 1, (b) Drag coefficient blade 2, (c) Drag coefficient blade 3.	76
Figure 3.24	Mesh sensitivity study: Moment coefficient result at $\lambda = 0.59$ under fine and medium densities.	77
Figure 3.25	Total moment coefficient vs azimuthal angle	78
Figure 3.26	Time-step sensitivity study of blade 1 at 0° .	79
Figure 3.27	General data extraction process of Design 1	80
Figure 4.1	Blades configuration at different angular position with upwind direction: (a) 0° angular position, (b) 45° angular position, (c) 90° angular position.	84
Figure 4.2	Static drag at 0° : (a) drag coefficient blade 1, (b) drag coefficient blade 2, (c) drag coefficient blade 3.	85
Figure 4.3	Static moment at 0° : (a) drag coefficient blade 1, (b) drag coefficient blade 2, (c) drag coefficient blade 3.	85
Figure 4.4	Static drag at 45° : (a) Drag coefficient blade 1, (b) Drag coefficient blade 2, (c) Drag coefficient blade 3	86
Figure 4.5	Static moment at 45° : (a) Moment coefficient blade 1, (b) Moment coefficient blade 2, (c) Moment coefficient blade 3	86
Figure 4.6	Static drag at 90° : (a) Drag coefficient blade 1, (b) Drag coefficient blade 2, (c) Drag coefficient blade 3	87
Figure 4.7	Static moment at 90° : (a) Moment coefficient blade 1, (b) Moment coefficient blade 2, (c) Moment coefficient blade 3	87
Figure 4.8	Drag coefficient of individual blades at 8 m/s.	89
Figure 4.9	Lift coefficient of individual blades at 8 m/s.	90
Figure 4.10	Moment coefficient of individual blades at 8 m/s.	91
Figure 4.11	(a) Drag coefficient blade 1, (b) Drag coefficient blade 2, (c) Drag coefficient blade 3.	92
Figure 4.12	(a) Lift coefficient blade 1, (b) Lift coefficient blade 2, (c) Lift coefficient blade 3.	93

Figure 4.13	(a) Moment coefficient blade 1, (b) Moment coefficient blade 2, (c) Moment coefficient blade 3.	93
Figure 4.14	Aerodynamic forces: (a) Drag force of blade 1;2;3, (b) Lift force of blade 1;2;3, (c) Moment of blade 1;2;3.	96
Figure 4.15	Static pressure contour: (a) 24°, (b) 360°, (c) 720, (d) 1080°, (e) streamline contour at 1440°.	97
Figure 4.16	Moment coefficient of blade 1;2;3 at different tip speed ratios.	100
Figure 4.17	Individual blade drag coefficient at $\lambda = 0.2$.	100
Figure 4.18	Total drag coefficient at $\lambda = 0.2$.	101
Figure 4.19	Individual drag coefficient at $\lambda = 0.9$.	101
Figure 4.20	Total drag coefficient at $\lambda = 0.9$.	101
Figure 4.21	CFD contour result at $\lambda = 0.9$: (a) Static pressure, (b) Velocity magnitude (c) Velocity magnitude at rotating domain region.	103
Figure 4.22	Vorticity magnitude: (a) $\lambda = 0.2$, (b) $\lambda = 0.9$, (c) Advancing blade 1, (d) Advancing blade 2.	105
Figure 4.23	Static pressure distribution along the blade region by x -vector diction at $\lambda = 0.2$.	106
Figure 4.24	Static pressure distribution along the blade region by x -vector diction at $\lambda = 0.9$.	107
Figure 4.25	Power coefficient vs tips peed ratio of Design 1.	107
Figure 4.26	Turbulent sensitivity study of RNG, SST and standard $k-\omega$.	108
Figure 4.27	Moment coefficient of proposed shapes.	109
Figure 4.28	Moment coefficient of proposed and Savonius wind turbine:	111
Figure 4.29	(a) Savonius @ 0°, (b) Proposed @ 0°, (c) Savonius @ 30°, (d) Proposed @ 30°, (e) Savonius @ 90°, (f) Proposed @ at 90°.	113
Figure 4.30	Blade pressure distribution of Savonius and proposed turbine at $\lambda = 0.59$: (a) Blade 1, (b) Blade 2.	114
Figure 4.31	Vorticity magnitude result at $\lambda = 0.59$: (a) Savonius wind turbine, (b) Proposed wind turbine	115
Figure 4.32	Velocity magnitude in stationary frame at $\lambda = 0.94$ and 90° angular position: (a) Savonius wind turbine, (b) Proposed wind turbine	116
Figure 4.33	Power coefficient vs tips speed ratio	116
Figure 4.34	Total moment coefficient vs azimuthal angle	117
Figure 4.35	Total moment coefficient vs azimuthal angle at different tip speed ratios	119
Figure 4.36	Total moment coefficient vs azimuthal angle	120
Figure 4.37	Total lift coefficient vs azimuthal angle	120
Figure 4.38	Moment coefficient of blade 1-4 vs azimuthal angle	121
Figure 4.39	Lift coefficient of blade 1-4 vs azimuthal angle	121

Figure 4.40	Total moment coefficient vs flow time at $\lambda = 1.7$	122
Figure 4.41	Power coefficient vs tip speed ratio.	123
Figure 4.42	Vorticity magnitude at different tip speed ratio.	124
Figure 4.43	Moment coefficient result at of Design 1; Design 2; Design 3 at 100 RPM	126
Figure 4.44	Power coefficient vs λ of Design 1; Design 2; Design 3.	126

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A.R	Aspect ratio
S_A	Swept area
C_{pr}	Pressure coefficient
C_p	Power coefficient
C_m	Moment coefficient
C_d	Drag coefficient
C_l	Lift coefficient
C_t	Torque coefficient
D	Diameter
D_r	Rotor diameter
D_h	Hydraulic diameter
ε	Rate of dissipation of kinetic energy
E	Energy
F_l	Lift force
F_D	Drag force
I	Turbulent intensity
K	Turbulent kinetic energy
K_E	Kinetic energy
l	Turbulent length scale
M	Moment
ω	Specific rate of dissipation of kinetic energy
ω_r	Angular velocity
μ_t	Turbulent dynamic viscosity
μ	Laminar dynamic viscosity
u_f	Frictional velocity
Y^+	Y plus wall function
ρ	Density
P_w	Power
P	Pressure
U_∞	Free stream velocity
Re	Reynolds number

μ	Dynamic viscosity
O	Rotor hub diameter
σ	Standard deviation
T	Torque
V_m	Mean velocity

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BEM	Blade element momentum
CFD	Computational fluid dynamics
COUPLE	Pressure velocity coupling scheme
DES	Detached Eddy Simulation
DMS	Double multiple streamtube
DNS	Direct Numerical Simulation
ERF	Explicit Relaxation Factor
FiT	Feed in Tariff
FSM	Fractional Step Method
GRI	General Richardson Extrapolation
Gg	Gigagrams
HAWT	Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine
LES	Large eddy simulations
NGO	Non-Government Organization
NACA	National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
NSE	Navier-Stokes equation
PDE	Partial differential equations
PISO	Pressure linked equation with split operators
RAM	Random access memory
RANS	Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes
R.E	Renewable energy
Ri	Robustness index
RSM	Reynolds stress model
RNG	Renormalized group
RPM	Revolution per minute
SEDA	Sustainable energy development authority
SIMPLE	Semi implicit method for pressure linked equations
SIMPLEC	Semi implicit method for pressure linked equations consistent
SST	Shear stress transport
SSM	Single streamtube model

PIV	Particle image velocimetry
TSR	Tip speed ratio
TUI	Text user interface
UDF	User defined function
URF	Under relaxation factor
ORF	Over relaxation factor
URANS	Unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes
VAWT	Vertical axis wind turbine
VOS	Voluntary observation ship

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A1	DESIGN CONCEPT SYNTHESIS-DESIGN SCREENING	144
APPENDIX A2	DESIGN CONCEPT-DESIGN SCORING	145
APPENDIX A3	NREL S819 AEROFOIL GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES	146
APPENDIX A4	NREL S819 AERODYNAMIC PROPERTIES AT STANDARD	147
APPENDIX A5	NREL S819 AERODYNAMIC PROPERTIES VIA Q-BLADE AT 4°	148
APPENDIX A6	TIME STEP SENSITIVITY STUDY	149
APPENDIX B1	MESH RESOLUTION STUDY	152
APPENDIX C1	DESIGN 1 TECHNICAL DRAWING	155
APPENDIX C2	DESIGN 2 TECHNICAL DRAWING	156
APPENDIX C3	DESIGN 3 TECHNICAL DRAWING	157
APPENDIX D	LIST OF PUBLICATION	158
APPENDIX E	LIST OF AWARDS	159

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, W. S. W., Osman, M., Ab Kadir, M. Z. A., & Verayiah, R. (2019). The Potential and Status of Renewable Energy Development in Malaysia. *Energies*, *12*(12), 2437. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12122437>
- Adedipe, O., Abolarin, M. S., & Mamman, R. O. (2018). A Review of Onshore and Offshore Wind Energy Potential in Nigeria. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, *413*(1), 012039. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/413/1/012039>
- Afshari, F., Ghasemi, H., Sahin, B., & Cocci, R. (2018). ScienceDirect On numerical methods ; optimization of CFD solution to evaluate fluid flow around a sample object at low Re numbers. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, *152*, 51–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2018.04.004>
- Ahmad, Salman, & Tahar, R. M. (2014). Selection of renewable energy sources for sustainable development of electricity generation system using analytic hierarchy process: A case of Malaysia. *Renewable Energy*, *63*, 458–466. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2013.10.001>
- Ahmad, Shamshad, a, W. H. W. M., Bawadi, M. a, & a, M. S. S. (2006). Analysis of Wind Speed Variations and Estimation of Weibull Parameters for Wind Power Generation in Malavsia. *October*, *18*(4), 476–482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2006.05.013>
- Ahmad Zaman, A. A., Hashim, F. E., & Yaakob, O. (2019). Satellite-Based Offshore Wind Energy Resource Mapping in Malaysia. *Journal of Marine Science and Application*, *18*(1), 114–121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11804-019-00066-w>
- Ahmed Ahmedov, Krasimir Tujarov, G. P. (2014). Methodology for Numerical Modeling the Performance of Vertical Axis Wind Turbines. *Dep*, *1000*, 0–03.
- Akwa, J. V., Alves Da Silva Júnior, G., & Petry, A. P. (2012). Discussion on the verification of the overlap ratio influence on performance coefficients of a Savonius wind rotor using computational fluid dynamics. *Renewable Energy*, *38*(1), 141–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.07.013>
- Al-Faruk, A., & Sharifian, A. (2016). Geometrical optimization of a swirling Savonius wind turbine using an open jet wind tunnel. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, *55*(3), 2055–2064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2016.07.005>
- Albani, A., & Ibrahim, M. Z. (2013). *Preliminary Development Of Prototype Of Savonius Wind Turbine For Application In Low Wind Speed In Kuala Terengganu , Malaysia*. *2*(3), 102–108.
- Alfred, K., & Manoj, N. (2016). Vertical axis wind turbine : Aerodynamic modelling and its testing in wind tunnel. *Procedia - Procedia Computer Science*, *93*(September), 1017–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2016.07.305>

- Almohammadi, K. M., Ingham, D. B., Ma, L., & Pourkashan, M. (2013). Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) mesh independency techniques for a straight blade vertical axis wind turbine. *Energy*, 58, 483–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.06.012>
- Amponsah, N. Y., Troldborg, M., Kington, B., Aalders, I., & Hough, R. L. (2014). Greenhouse gas emissions from renewable energy sources: A review of lifecycle considerations. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 39, 461–475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.087>
- ANSYS Inc. (2013). ANSYS Fluent User' s Guide Releasde 15.0. *Knowledge Creation Diffusion Utilization*, 15317(November), 724–746.
- ANSYS Inc. (2016). *ANSYS Meshing Advanced Techniques - PADT Lunch & Learn Series*. 1–72.
- Balakrishnan, K., Sharma, A., & Ali, R. (2017). Comparison of Explicit and Implicit Finite Element Methods and its Effectiveness for Drop Test of Electronic Control Unit. *Procedia Engineering*, 173, 424–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.12.042>
- Bedon, G., Raciti Castelli, M., & Benini, E. (2012). Evaluation of the Effect of Rotor Solidity on the Performance of a H-Darrieus Turbine Adopting a Blade Element-Momentum Algorithm. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 69(9), 994–999.
- Brusca, S., Lanzafame, R., & Messina, M. (2014). Design of a vertical-axis wind turbine: how the aspect ratio affects the turbine's performance. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*, 5(4), 333–340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-014-0129-x>
- Cao, L., Wang, H., Ji, Y., Wang, Z., & Yuan, W. (2012). Analysis on the influence of rotational speed to aerodynamic performance of vertical axis wind turbine. *Procedia Engineering*, 31, 245–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.01.1019>
- Castelli, M. R., & Benini, E. (2011). *Comparison between Lift and Drag-Driven VAWT Concepts on Low-Wind Site AEO*. 5(11), 669–674.
- Chan, C. M., Bai, H. L., & He, D. Q. (2018). *Blade shape optimization of the Savonius wind turbine using a genetic algorithm*. 213(January), 2017–2019.
- Chipindula, J., Botlaguduru, V., Du, H., Kommalapati, R., & Huque, Z. (2018). Life Cycle Environmental Impact of Onshore and Offshore Wind Farms in Texas. *Sustainability*, 10(6), 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10062022>
- Chu, Y. J., & Chong, W. T. (2017). A biomimetic wind turbine inspired by *Dryobalanops aromatica* seed: Numerical prediction of rigid rotor blade performance with OpenFOAM®. *Computers and Fluids*, 159, 295–315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2017.10.012>

- Cognet, V., Courrech Du Pont, S., Dobrev, I., Massouh, F., & Thiria, B. (2017, February 15). Bioinspired turbine blades offer new perspectives for wind energy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 473, p. 20160726. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2016.0726>
- Cornelis, G., Govinda, K., & Timilsina, R. (2009). *Wind Power Development Economics and Policies*. (March). Retrieved from <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/705351468149669645/pdf/WPS4868.pdf>
- Daskiran, C. (2016). *Steady State and Transient Computational Study of Multiple Hydrokinetic Turbines*. Lehigh University.
- Dendy Satrio, I Ketut Aria Pria Utama, and M. (2018). The influence of time step setting on the CFD simulation result of vertical axis tidal current turbine. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Sciences*, 12(1), 3399–3409.
- Deshmukh, S. J. (2018). *Design and Development of Vertical Axis Wind Turbine*. (July 2017). <https://doi.org/10.21013/jte.ICSESD201728>
- Didane, D. H., Rosly, N., Zulkafli, M. F., & Shamsudin, S. S. (2017). Evaluation of Wind Energy Potential as a Power Generation Source in Chad. *International Journal of Rotating Machinery*, 2017, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3121875>
- Driss, Z., Mlayeh, O., Driss, S., Driss, D., Maaloul, M., & Abid, M. S. (2015). Study of the bucket design effect on the turbulent flow around unconventional Savonius wind rotors. *Energy*, 89, 708–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.06.023>
- Elkhoury, M., Kiwata, T., Nagao, K., Kono, T., & ElHajj, F. (2018). Wind tunnel experiments and Delayed Detached Eddy Simulation of a three-bladed micro vertical axis wind turbine. *Renewable Energy*, 129, 63–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.05.096>
- Ferrari, G., Federici, D., Schito, P., Inzoli, F., & Mereu, R. (2017). CFD study of Savonius wind turbine: 3D model validation and parametric analysis. *Renewable Energy*, 105, 722–734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.12.077>
- Ferreira, C. J. S. S. (2009). The near wake of the VAWT: 2D and 3D views of the VAWT aerodynamics. *T.U.Delft Thesis*, 304. <https://doi.org/urn:NBN:nl:ui:24-uuid:ff6eaf63-ac57-492e-a680-c7a50cf5c1cf>
- Fertin, G., Jamshidi, S., & Komusiewicz, C. (2015). Towards an algorithmic guide to Spiral Galaxies. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 586, 26–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2015.01.051>
- Fish, F. E., Weber, P. W., Murray, M. M., & Howle, L. E. (2011). The tubercles on humpback whales' flippers: Application of bio-inspired technology. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 51(1), 203–213. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icr016>
- Fluent, A. (2013). ANSYS FLUENT Theory Guide Release 15.0. *Ansys Inc*.

- Gasch, R. (2012). *Wind Power Plants* (Robert Gasch & J. Tvele, Eds.). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-22938-1>
- Gulve, P., & Barve, D. S. (2014). Design and Construction of Vertical Axis Wind Turbine. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering And Technology (IJMET)*, 5, 148–155.
- Hadi Ali, M. (2013). Experimental Comparison Study for Savonius Wind Turbine of Two & Three Blades At Low Wind Speed. *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) Www.Ijmer.Com*, 3(5), 2978–2986.
- Hameed, M. S. (2013). Evaluation of Aerodynamic Forces over a Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Blade through CFD analysis. *Journal of Applied Mechanical Engineering*, 02(01), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9873.1000116>
- Hara, Y., Hara, K., & Hayashi, T. (2012). Moment of Inertia Dependence of Vertical Axis Wind Turbines in Pulsating Winds. *International Journal of Rotating Machinery*, 2012, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/910940>
- Herrera, C., Correa, M., Villada, V., Vanegas, J. D., García, J. G., Nieto-Londoño, C., & Sierra-Pérez, J. (2019). Structural design and manufacturing process of a low scale bio-inspired wind turbine blades. *Composite Structures*, 208(October 2018), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.08.061>
- Ho, L. W. (2016). Wind energy in Malaysia: Past, present and future. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 53, pp. 279–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.08.054>
- Hondo, H. (2005). Life cycle GHG emission analysis of power generation systems: Japanese case. *Energy*, 30(11–12), 2042–2056. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2004.07.020>
- Hua, X., Zhang, C., Wei, J., Hu, X., & Wei, H. (2019). Wind turbine bionic blade design and performance analysis. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 60, 258–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvcir.2019.01.037>
- Ibrahim, H. N. (2011). Towards Renewable Energy. *Tenaga Link Malaysia*, 1(10), 1–20.
- Ibrahim, M. Z., Yong, K. H., Ismail, M., Albani, A., & Muzathik, A. M. (2014). Wind characteristics and gis-based spatial wind mapping study in Malaysia. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 9(2), 1–20.
- Ikeda, T., Tanaka, H., Yoshimura, R., Noda, R., Fujii, T., & Liu, H. (2018). A robust biomimetic blade design for micro wind turbines. *Renewable Energy*, 125, 155–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.02.093>
- Irena, & Iea-etsap. (2016). Wind Power About IRENA. *Wind Power Technology Brief*, (March).

- Islam, M., Ting, D. S.-K., & Fartaj, A. (2008). Aerodynamic models for Darrieus-type straight-bladed vertical axis wind turbines. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(4), 1087–1109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2006.10.023>
- Jamanun, M. J., Misaran, M. S., Rahman, M., & Muzammil, W. K. (2017). Performance Investigation of A Mix Wind Turbine Using A Clutch Mechanism at Low Wind Speed Condition. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 217(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/217/1/012020>
- Jatap, M. (2017). Twist Angle Analysis of Helical Vertical Axis Wind Turbine (Vawt) Using Q-Blade. *International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering and Technology*, 3(8), 2454–7875.
- Javidinejad, A. (2012). FEA Practical Illustration of Mesh-Quality-Results Differences between Structured Mesh and Unstructured Mesh. *ISRN Mechanical Engineering*, 2012, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/168941>
- Kaushik, A., & Kumar, A. (2016). Numerical Study of Steady One Dimensional Incompressible Flow through a Nozzle Using SIMPLE Algorithm. In *International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences* (Vol. 3).
- Keivanpour, S., Ramudhin, A., & Ait Kadi, D. (2017). The sustainable worldwide offshore wind energy potential: A systematic review. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, 9(6), 065902. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5009948>
- Kirke, B. K., & Lazauskas, L. (1991). Enhancing the Performance of Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Using a Simple Variable Pitch System. *Wind Engineering*, 15(4), 187–195. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43750355>
- Konstantinidis, E. I., & Botsaris, P. N. (2016). Wind turbines: current status, obstacles, trends and technologies. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 161(1), 012079. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/161/1/012079>
- Kumara, E. A. D., Hettiarachchi, N., & Jayathilake, R. (2017). Review Paper: Overview of the Vertical Axis Wind Turbines. In *International Journal of Scientific Research and Innovative Technology* (Vol. 4).
- Lam, H. F., & Peng, H. Y. (2016). Study of wake characteristics of a vertical axis wind turbine by two- and three-dimensional computational fluid dynamics simulations. *Renewable Energy*, 90, 386–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.01.011>
- Lee, Y. T., & Lim, H. C. (2015). Numerical study of the aerodynamic performance of a 500W Darrieus-type vertical-axis wind turbine. *Renewable Energy*, 83, 407–415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.04.043>
- Lei, H., Zhou, D., Bao, Y., Li, Y., & Han, Z. (2017). Three-dimensional Improved Delayed Detached Eddy Simulation of a two-bladed vertical axis wind turbine. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 133, 235–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2016.11.067>

- Lentink, D., Dickson, W. B., Van Leeuwen, J. L., & Dickinson, M. H. (2009). Leading-edge vortices elevate lift of autorotating plant seeds. *Science*, 324(5933), 1438–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1174196>
- Li, C., Xiao, Y., Xu, Y. lin, Peng, Y. xin, Hu, G., & Zhu, S. (2018). Optimization of blade pitch in H-rotor vertical axis wind turbines through computational fluid dynamics simulations. *Applied Energy*, 212(December 2017), 1107–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.12.035>
- Lip-Wah, H., Ibrahim, S., SutarjiKasmin, Omar, C. M. C., & Abdullah, A. M. (2012). Review of Offshore Wind Energy Assessment and Siting Methodologies for Offshore Wind Energy Planning in Malaysia. *American International Journal of Contemporary Research*, 2(12), 72–85. Retrieved from http://www.aijcrnet.com/journals/Vol_2_No_12_December_2012/8.pdf
- M. A. Salehi and R. Rahimi. (2008). Comparison Between 2D and 3D Transient Flow Simulation of Gas – Liquid Dynamics in Two- Phase Cylindrical Bubble Column Reactors By Cfd. *International Journal of Chemical Sciences*, 6(2), 857–872.
- M.Ragheb. (2018). Wind generators history ©. *Wind Generation History*.
- Maldonado, R. D., Huerta, E., Corona, J. E., Ceh, O., León-Castillo, A. I., Gómez-Acosta, M. P., & Mendoza-Andrade, E. (2014). Design, simulation and construction of a Savonius wind rotor for subsidized houses in Mexico. *Energy Procedia*, 57, 691–697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.10.224>
- Mao, Z., & Tian, W. (2015). Effect of the blade arc angle on the performance of a Savonius wind turbine. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(5), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814015584247>
- Marinić-Kragić, I., Vučina, D., & Milas, Z. (2019). Concept of flexible vertical-axis wind turbine with numerical simulation and shape optimization. *Energy*, 167, 841–852. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.11.026>
- Marten, D., & Wendler, J. (2013). QBLADE: an open source tool for design and simulation of horizontal and vertical axis wind turbines. *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, 3(3), 264–269.
- Marten, David, Lennie, M., Pechlivanoglou, G., Nayeri, C. N., & Paschereit, C. O. (2015). Implementation, Optimization and Validation of a Nonlinear Lifting Line Free Vortex Wake Module Within the Wind Turbine Simulation Code QBlade. *Volume 9: Oil and Gas Applications; Supercritical CO2 Power Cycles; Wind Energy*, (June), V009T46A019. <https://doi.org/10.1115/GT2015-43265>
- Martínez, E., Sanz, F., Pellegrini, S., Jiménez, E., & Blanco, J. (2009). Life cycle assessment of a multi-megawatt wind turbine. *Renewable Energy*, 34(3), 667–673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.05.020>
- Masdari, M., Tahani, M., Naderi, M. H., & Babayan, N. (2019). Optimization of airfoil Based Savonius wind turbine using coupled discrete vortex method and salp swarm algorithm. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 222, 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.237>

- Mekhilef, S., & Chandrasegaran, D. (2011). Assessment of off-shore wind farms in Malaysia. *IEEE Region 10 Annual International Conference, Proceedings/TENCON*, 1351–1355. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TENCON.2011.6129028>
- Mekhilef, S., Safari, A., & Chandrasegaran, D. (2012). Feasibility study of off-shore wind farms in Malaysia. *Energy Education Science and Technology Part A: Energy Science and Research*, 29(1), 519–530.
- Menni, Y., Azzi, A., & Chamkha, A. J. (2018). Optimal thermo aerodynamic performance of s-shaped baffled channels. *Journal of Mechanical and Engineering Sciences*, 12(3), 3888–3913. <https://doi.org/10.15282/jmes.12.3.2018.10.0341>
- Menter, F. R. (1994). Two-Equation Eddy-Viscosity Turbulence Models for Engineering Applications. *AIAA Journal*, 32(8). Retrieved from <https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/abs/10.2514/3.12149>
- Minami, S., & Azuma, A. (2003). Various flying modes of wind-dispersal seeds. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 225(1), 1–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5193\(03\)00216-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5193(03)00216-9)
- Mirjalili, S. (2015). Moth-flame optimization algorithm: A novel nature-inspired heuristic paradigm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 89, 228–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.07.006>
- Mishra, A., Kumar, G., & De, A. (2019). Prediction of separation induced transition on thick airfoil using non-linear URANS based turbulence model. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 33(5), 2169–2180. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12206-019-0419-6>
- Mohamed, M. H., Ali, A. M., & Hafiz, A. A. (2015). CFD analysis for H-rotor Darrieus turbine as a low speed wind energy converter. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 18(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2014.08.002>
- Mohan Kumar, P., Surya, M. R., Sivalingam, K., Lim, T.-C., Ramakrishna, S., & Wei, H. (2019). Computational Optimization of Adaptive Hybrid Darrieus Turbine: Part 1. *Fluids*, 4(2), 90. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fluids4020090>
- Mohd, D., Fauzan, F., Faizal, M., Chelvan, R. K., & Amirah, A. (2017). Energy, Economic and Environmental Impact of Wind Power in Malaysia. *International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Management*, 2(7). Retrieved from www.ijasrm.com
- Mohotti, D., Wijesooriya, K., & Dias-da-Costa, D. (2019). Comparison of Reynolds Averaging Navier-Stokes (RANS) turbulent models in predicting wind pressure on tall buildings. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 21, 1–17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2018.09.021>
- Morton, H. R., & Mendelsohn, B. (2007). Introduction to Topology. *The Mathematical Gazette*, 48(366), 458. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3611741>

- Nakahashi, K., Kim, L. S., & Fujita, T. (2003). *Building-Cube Method Designed for Large Scale Flow Computations on Parallel Computers* (K. Matsuno, A. Ecer, N. Satofuka, J. Periaux, & P. B. T.-P. C. F. D. 2002 Fox, Eds.). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044450680-1/50055-3>
- Nobile, R., Vahdati, M., Barlow, J. F., & Mewburn-Crook, A. (2014a). Unsteady flow simulation of a vertical axis augmented wind turbine: A two-dimensional study. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, *125*(July), 168–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2013.12.005>
- Nobile, R., Vahdati, M., Barlow, J. F., & Mewburn-Crook, A. (2014b). Unsteady flow simulation of a vertical axis augmented wind turbine: A two-dimensional study. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, *125*, 168–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2013.12.005>
- Nomura, N., Inaba, A., Tonooka, Y., & Akai, M. (2001). Life-cycle emission of oxidic gases from power-generation systems. *Applied Energy*, *68*(2), 215–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619\(00\)00046-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-2619(00)00046-5)
- Noori, M., Kucukvar, M., & Tatari, O. (2015). Economic input-output based sustainability analysis of onshore and offshore wind energy systems. *International Journal of Green Energy*, *12*(9), 939–948. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2014.890103>
- Ntinis, G. K., Shen, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, G. (2018). Evaluation of CFD turbulence models for simulating external airflow around varied building roof with wind tunnel experiment. *Building Simulation*, *11*(1), 115–123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-017-0369-9>
- Parra-Santos, M. T., Uzarraga, C. N., Gallegos, A., & Castro, F. (2015). Influence of Solidity on Vertical Axis Wind Turbines. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics, Electronics and Computers*, *3*(3), 215. <https://doi.org/10.18100/ijamec.42848>
- Pfeifhofer, G., & Tributsch, H. (2014). The Flight of Albatross — How to Transform It into Aerodynamic Engineering? *Engineering*, *6*(July), 427–438. <https://doi.org/10.4236/eng.2014.68045>
- Pitcher, T. J., Magurran, A. E., & Edwards, J. I. (1985). Schooling mackerel and herring choose neighbours of similar size. *Marine Biology*, *86*(3), 319–322. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00397518>
- Qin, N., Howell, R., Durrani, N., Hamada, K., & Smith, T. (2011). Unsteady Flow Simulation and Dynamic Stall Behaviour of Vertical Axis Wind Turbine Blades. *Wind Engineering*, *35*(4), 511–527. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000333635>
- Ramponi, R., & Blocken, B. (2012). CFD simulation of cross-ventilation flow for different isolated building configurations: Validation with wind tunnel measurements and analysis of physical and numerical diffusion effects. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, *104–106*(0), 408–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2012.02.005>

- Rezaeiha, A., Kalkman, I., & Blocken, B. (2017). CFD simulation of a vertical axis wind turbine operating at a moderate tip speed ratio: Guidelines for minimum domain size and azimuthal increment. *Renewable Energy*, *107*, 373–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.02.006>
- Rezaeiha, A., Montazeri, H., & Blocken, B. (2018). Towards accurate CFD simulations of vertical axis wind turbines at different tip speed ratios and solidities: Guidelines for azimuthal increment, domain size and convergence. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *156*(September 2017), 301–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.11.026>
- Rinaldi, E., Colonna, P., & Pecnik, R. (2015). Flux-conserving treatment of non-conformal interfaces for finite-volume discretization of conservation laws. *Computers and Fluids*, *120*(October), 126–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2015.07.017>
- Rogowski, K., & Maroński, R. (2015). CFD computation of the Savonius rotor. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics*, (February 2015), 37. <https://doi.org/10.15632/jtam-pl.53.1.37>
- Rolland, S., Newton, W., Williams, A. J., Croft, T. N., Gethin, D. T., & Cross, M. (2013). Simulations technique for the design of a vertical axis wind turbine device with experimental validation. *Applied Energy*, *111*, 1195–1203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.04.026>
- Roy, S., & Saha, U. (2013). Review on the numerical investigations into the design and development of Savonius wind rotors. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* (Vol. 24). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.03.060>
- Roy, S., & Saha, U. K. (2015). Wind tunnel experiments of a newly developed two-bladed Savonius-style wind turbine. *Applied Energy*, *137*, 117–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.10.022>
- Salcedo-Sanz, S., Gallo-Marazuela, D., Pastor-Sánchez, A., Carro-Calvo, L., Portilla-Figueras, A., & Prieto, L. (2014). Offshore wind farm design with the Coral Reefs Optimization algorithm. *Renewable Energy*, *63*, 109–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2013.09.004>
- Salleh, N. F., Chew, B. C., & Hamid, S. R. (2017). Feasible application of offshore wind turbines in Labuan Island, Sabah for energy complementary. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *1818*(March), 020047. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4976911>
- Sarlak, H., Nishino, T., Martínez-Tossas, L. A., Meneveau, C., & Sørensen, J. N. (2016). Assessment of blockage effects on the wake characteristics and power of wind turbines. *Renewable Energy*, *93*, 340–352. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.01.101>
- Sayed, M. A., Kandil, H. A., & Shaltot, A. (2012). Aerodynamic analysis of different wind-turbine-blade profiles using finite-volume method. *Energy Conversion and Management*, *64*, 541–550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2012.05.030>

- Schubel, P. J., & Crossley, R. J. (2012). Wind Turbine Blade Design. *Energies*, 5(9), 3425–3449. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en5093425>
- Schubel, P. J., & Crossley, R. J. (2014). Wind turbine blade design. *Wind Turbine Technology: Principles and Design*, 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b16587>
- Seidel, C., Jayaram, S., Kunkel, L., & Mackowski, A. (2017). Structural Analysis of Biologically Inspired Small Wind Turbine Blades. *International Journal of Mechanical and Materials Engineering*, 12(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40712-017-0085-3>
- Sellami, T., Jelassi, S., Berriri, H., Darcherif, A. M., & Mimouni, M. F. (2018). Finite volume free vibration analysis of a new aerodynamic styling wind turbine. *Wind Engineering*, 42(5), 397–410. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309524X18780403>
- Shah, S. R., Kumar, R., Raahemifar, K., & Fung, A. S. (2018). Design, modeling and economic performance of a vertical axis wind turbine. *Energy Reports*, 4, 619–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2018.09.007>
- Shaheen, M., El-Sayed, M., & Abdallah, S. (2015). Numerical study of two-bucket Savonius wind turbine cluster. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 137, 78–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2014.12.002>
- Shahizare, B., Nazri Bin Nik Ghazali, N., Chong, T. W., Tabatabaeikia, S. S., & Izadyar, N. (2016). Investigation of the Optimal Omni-Direction-Guide-Vane Design for Vertical Axis Wind Turbines Based on Unsteady Flow CFD Simulation. *Energies*, Vol. 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en9030146>
- Shamsuddin, A. H. (2012). Development of renewable energy in Malaysia strategic initiatives for carbon reduction in the power generation sector. *Procedia Engineering*, 49, 384–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.10.150>
- Sharliza, N., Safaai, M., Noor, Z., Hashim, H., & Ujang, Z. (2011). *Projection of CO 2 Emissions in Malaysia*. (October 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ep>
- Sharma, S., & Sharma, R. K. (2017). CFD investigation to quantify the effect of layered multiple miniature blades on the performance of Savonius rotor. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 144, 275–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.04.059>
- Sheldahl, R. E., Feltz, L. V., & Blackwell, B. F. (2008). Wind tunnel performance data for two- and three-bucket Savonius rotors. *Journal of Energy*, 2(3), 160–164. <https://doi.org/10.2514/3.47966>
- Siddiqui, M., Fonn, E., Kvamsdal, T., & Rasheed, A. (2019). Finite-Volume High-Fidelity Simulation Combined with Finite-Element-Based Reduced-Order Modeling of Incompressible Flow Problems. *Energies*, 12(7), 1271. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12071271>
- Siti Khadijah, N., Azami, Z., Ahmad Mahir, R., Mohd Said, Z., Kamarulzaman, I., & Kamaruzzaman, S. (2009). Analyzing the East Coast Malaysia Wind Speed Data. *International Journal of Energy and Environment*, 3(2).

- Soe, T. M., & Khaing, S. Y. (2017). Comparison of Turbulence Models for Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation of Wind Flow on Cluster of Buildings in Mandalay. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 7(8), 337–350.
- Srinivasan, C., Ajithkumar, G., Arul, S., Arulprasath, G., & Dharunbabu, T. M. (2017). Design of Combined Savonius-Darrieus Wind Turbine. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 14(02), 60–70. <https://doi.org/10.9790/1684-1402056070>
- Stempeck, A., Hassanalian, M., & Abdelkefi, A. (2018). Aerodynamic performance of albatross-inspired wing shape for marine unmanned air vehicles. *2018 Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations Conference*. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2018-3899>
- Subramanian, A., Yogesh, S. A., Sivanandan, H., Giri, A., Vasudevan, M., Mugundhan, V., & Velamati, R. K. (2017). Effect of airfoil and solidity on performance of small scale vertical axis wind turbine using three dimensional CFD model. *Energy*, 133, 179–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.05.118>
- Suffer, K., Usubamatov, R., Quadir, G., & Ismail, K. (2015). Modeling and numerical simulation of a vertical axis wind turbine having cavity vanes. *Proceedings - International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation, ISMS, 2015-Septe*, 479–484. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMS.2014.88>
- Sun, X., Cao, Z., Zhuang, Y., Huang, D., & Cao, Y. (2018). Numerical modeling and optimization of a power augmented S-type vertical axis wind turbine. *International Journal of Green Energy*, 15(1), 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2017.1307750>
- Sun, X., Chen, Y., Cao, Y., Wu, G., Zheng, Z., & Huang, D. (2016). Research on the aerodynamic characteristics of a lift drag hybrid vertical axis wind turbine. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 8(1), 168781401662934. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1687814016629349>
- Suzuki, M. (2012). Numerical Analysis of Horizontal-Axis Wind Turbine Characteristics in Yawed Conditions. *Open Journal of Fluid Dynamics*, 02(04), 331–336. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojfd.2012.24A041>
- Tan, J. (2017). *Simulation of Morphing Blades for Vertical Axis Wind Turbines*. Concordia University.
- Tescione, G., Ragni, D., He, C., Simão Ferreira, C. J., & van Bussel, G. J. W. (2014). Near wake flow analysis of a vertical axis wind turbine by stereoscopic particle image velocimetry. *Renewable Energy*, 70, 47–61. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.02.042>
- Tian, Wen-long, Zhaoyong, M., Zhang, B., & Li, Y. (2017). Shape Optimization of a Savonius Wind Rotor with Different Convex and Concave Sides. In *Renewable Energy* (Vol. 117). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.10.067>

- Tian, Wenlong, Mao, Z., Zhang, B., & Li, Y. (2018). Shape optimization of a Savonius wind rotor with different convex and concave sides. *Renewable Energy*, *117*(October), 287–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.10.067>
- Tian, Wenlong, Song, B., VanZwieten, J., & Pyakurel, P. (2015). Computational Fluid Dynamics Prediction of a Modified Savonius Wind Turbine with Novel Blade Shapes. *Energies*, *8*(8), 7915–7929. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en8087915>
- Tjiu, W., Marnoto, T., Mat, S., Ruslan, M. H., & Sopian, K. (2015). Darrieus vertical axis wind turbine for power generation II: Challenges in HAWT and the opportunity of multi-megawatt Darrieus VAWT development. *Renewable Energy*, *75*, 560–571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.10.039>
- Tony Burton, Nick Jenkins, David Sharpe, E. B. (2011). *Wind Energy Handbook, 2nd Edition* (2nd Editio). Wiley.
- Torresi, M., Fortunato, B., Pascazio, G., & Camporeale, S. M. (2011). CFD analysis of a savonius rotor in a confined test section and in open field. *Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo, 1*(January), 799–809. <https://doi.org/10.1115/GT2011-45877>
- Tremeac, B., & Meunier, F. (2009). Life cycle analysis of 4.5MW and 250W wind turbines. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *13*(8), 2104–2110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.01.001>
- Tummala, A., Velamati, R. K., Sinha, D. K., Indraja, V., & Krishna, V. H. (2016). A review on small scale wind turbines. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *56*, 1351–1371. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.027>
- Vogel, S. (1989). Drag and Reconfiguration of Broad Leaves in High Winds. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *40*(8), 941–948. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/40.8.941>
- Wan nik, W. sani, Ahmad, M., Ibrahim, M. Z., Samo, K., & Muzathik, A. (2011). Wind energy potential at East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. In *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research* (Vol. 2).
- Wang, Haidong, Wang, H., Gao, F., Zhou, P., & John, Z. (2018). Literature review on pressure – velocity decoupling algorithms applied to built-environment CFD simulation. *Building and Environment*, *143*(May), 671–678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.07.046>
- Wang, Huimin, Wang, J., Yao, J., Yuan, W., & Cao, L. (2012). Analysis on the aerodynamic performance of vertical axis wind turbine subjected to the change of wind velocity. *Procedia Engineering*, *31*, 213–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.01.1014>
- Wang, S., Bell, J. R., Burton, D., Herbst, A. H., Sheridan, J., & Thompson, M. C. (2017). The performance of different turbulence models (URANS, SAS and DES) for predicting high-speed train slipstream. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, *165*(February), 46–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2017.03.001>

- Wang, Y., & Sun, T. (2012). Life cycle assessment of CO₂ emissions from wind power plants: Methodology and case studies. *Renewable Energy*, 43(2012), 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.12.017>
- Wenehenubun, F., Saputra, A., & Sutanto, H. (2015). An Experimental Study on the Performance of Savonius Wind Turbines Related With The Number Of Blades. *Energy Procedia*, 68, 297–304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.03.259>
- Wenlong, T., Baowei, S., & Zhaoyong, M. (2015). Conceptual design and numerical simulations of a vertical axis water turbine used for underwater mooring platforms. *International Journal of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering*, 5(4), 625–634. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ijnaoe-2013-0158>
- Whittlesey, R. W., Liska, S., & Dabiri, J. O. (2010). Fish schooling as a basis for vertical axis wind turbine farm design. *Bioinspiration and Biomimetics*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-3182/5/3/035005>
- Wilhelm, J. P., Panther, C. C., Pertl, F. A., & Smith, J. E. (2009). Vortex analytical model of a circulation controlled vertical axis wind turbine. *Proceedings of the ASME 3rd International Conference on Energy Sustainability 2009, ES2009*, 2(January), 993–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1115/ES2009-90348>
- Wolfe, W. P. (1997). CFD Calculations of S809 Aerodynamic Characteristics. *AIAA Journal*, 85000. Retrieved from <https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/6.1997-973>
- Yin, R., & Chow, W. K. (2003). Comparison of Four Algorithms for Solving Pressure-Velocity Linked Equations in Simulating Atrium Fire. *International Journal on Architectural Science*, 4(1), 24–35.
- Zemamou, A. M. (2017). Review of savonius wind turbine design and performance. *Energy Procedia*, 141, 383–388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.11.047>
- Zhang, B., Song, B., Mao, Z., Tian, W., Li, B., & Li, B. (2017). A novel parametric modeling method and optimal design for Savonius wind turbines. *Energies*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en10030301>
- Zhao, B., Hou, D., Chen, H., Wang, Y., & Qiu, J. (2014). Optimization Design of a Double-Channel Pump by Means of Orthogonal Test, CFD, and Experimental Analysis. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/545216>
- Zhu, Y., & Shao, C. (2017). The steady and vibrating statuses of tulip tree leaves in wind. *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters*, 7(1), 30–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2016.12.002>