

DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABILITY
PERFORMANCE MODEL FOR
TURNING PROCESS BY USING NEURAL
NETWORK MODEL

HADI BIN ABDUL SALAAM

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : NURUL AKMAL BINTI CHE LAH

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : HADI BIN ABDUL SALAAM

ID Number : PMM12003

Date :

DEVELOPMENT OF SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE MODEL
FOR TURNING PROCESS BY USING NEURAL NETWORK MODEL

HADI BIN ABDUL SALAAM

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Manufacturing & Mechatronics Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Allah s.w.t for giving me this opportunity and time to complete my thesis despite a lot of challenges that I have to go through along the journey. I would also like to thank my dearest family especially my mother, Puan Hamidah Binti Muhamed and my father, Abdul Salaam Bin Mohd Anver for their continuous support and encouragement to me to complete my thesis and study although at the same moment I was down.

Special thanks to my main and foremost supervisor, Prof. Dr. Zahari Bin Taha and the new replacement supervisor Dr. Nurul Akmal Binti Che Lah along with my Co-Supervisor Dr. Tuan Muhammad Yusoff Shah Bin Tuan Ya who continuously supervised and guide me in completing my Ph.D. journey. At the same time, I would like to thank Malaysian Technical University Network (MTUN) under the Ministry of Education Malaysia which provides a grant for me to do the research.

Lastly, I would like to thank my colleagues in IMAMS Laboratory and other people who involved directly or indirectly for their cooperation for me to complete my Ph.D. study.

ABSTRAK

Konsep kemapanan alam sekitar pertama kali diperkenalkan oleh Harlem Brundtland pada tahun 1980-an, terdiri daripada tiga kriteria iaitu ekonomi, alam sekitar dan sosial. Penyelidikan terkini mengenai petunjuk prestasi yang digunakan pada masa kini semakin dipersoalkan oleh para penyelidik lain kerana ia sukar difahami dan di nilai. Keaslian kajian yang dijalankan adalah bertumpu kepada pembangunan kaedah penilaian kemampanan baharu berdasarkan situasi di Malaysia; tertumpu kepada proses melarik dan pengoptimuman parameter pemotongan. Dari perspektif pembuatan, kos pengeluaran berfungsi mengukur kemampanan ekonomi sebuah syarikat dalam jangka masa panjang. Alam sekitar pula adalah ukuran impak kesan daripada aktiviti pembuatan terhadap alam sekitar. Aspek sosial adalah rumit untuk dinilai; tetapi apabila merujuk kepada aktiviti pengeluaran, kesihatan pekerja boleh dipertimbangkan. Tiga jenis penilaian digunakan setelah mendapat maklum balas daripada responden yang bekerja di bidang pembuatan iaitu kos pembuatan, impak kesan alam sekitar, impak ergonomik dan satu kriteria tambahan iaitu tenaga yang digunakan semasa proses pembuatan *pneumatic nipple hose connector*. Kriteria tenaga diperkenalkan bagi mengatasi kelemahan *principal component analysis (PCA)*. Jumlah kos pembuatan terdiri daripada enam jenis iaitu bahan mentah, alat pemotongan besi, cecair penyejuk pemotongan, cecair pelincir, tenaga elektrik dan tenaga manusia. Penilaian kesan alam sekitar yang digunakan adalah impak kitar semula bahan buangan dan tenaga terhadap alam sekitar. Impak mata alat, cecair penyejuk dan cecair pelincir terhadap alam sekitar tidak diambil kira kerana sumbangannya adalah terlalu kecil jika dibandingkan dengan jumlah bilangan produk yang boleh dihasilkan. Penilaian ergonomik yang digunakan adalah *The Revised NIOSH Weight Lifting Index* di mana ia mengukur impak kepada para pekerja semasa melakukan aktiviti mengangkat barang di dalam kilang. Pengiraan indeks ini mengambil kira data berat seunit bahan mentah yang digunakan dimana ianya juga digunakan di dalam pengiraan impak kitar semula bahan buangan dan kos bahan mentah. Kajian ini juga menggunakan kaedah *neural network* dan *inversed neural network*. Data yang diperolehi daripada kaedah pengiraan secara teori dan eksperimen dibandingkan bagi tujuan penentusahan di mana perbezaan penentusahan adalah kurang daripada 12%. Data eksperimen digunakan kerana model *neural network* menyediakan keputusan yang komprehensif berbanding data yang diperolehi melalui kaedah pengiraan secara teori. Data input yang digunakan untuk membangunkan model *neural network* dibandingkan dengan data eksperimen dengan penentusahan adalah kurang daripada 5%. Seterusnya, data *input* dan *output* eksperimen yang digunakan kemudiannya dibalikkan dengan *input* digunakan sebagai *output* dan sebaliknya untuk mendapatkan parameter pemotongan optimum menggunakan model *inversed neural network*. Bagi mengoptimumkan parameter pemotongan, nilai minimum daripada setiap kriteria digunakan. Data tersebut diuji bagi tujuan pengesahan dan penentusahan dengan matlamat peratusan perbezaan kurang daripada 5%. Kelajuan pemotongan optimum dan *feedrate* adalah 55.25 m / min dan 0.10 mm / rev bagi Aluminium 6061 dan 82.00 m / min dan 0.10 mm / rev untuk bahan Tembaga C3604. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini membuktikan kaedah penilaian yang digunakan boleh mendapatkan parameter pemotongan optimum. Penambahan kriteria tenaga yang diperkenalkan bertujuan mengawal data tenaga semasa proses ramalan disebabkan kaedah penjumlahan yang digunakan di dalam setiap kriteria. Kaedah yang diperkenalkan ini boleh digunakan dalam menentukan parameter pemotongan bagi pelbagai proses pemesinan di masa hadapan.

ABSTRACT

Sustainability concept was introduced by Harlem Brundtland in the 1980s, consists of three evaluation criteria's; namely economics, environmental and social. However, recent research on the indicator used had increasingly called into question where the indicator is difficult to be assessed and the measurement is indirect. The novelty of the present study is to focus on the development of new sustainability assessment methods based on Malaysia industry scenario, demonstrating the new sustainability assessment model focusing on a turning process and optimized the assessment model to obtain the optimum cutting parameter. In the manufacturing industry perspective, manufacturing costs criteria is known to measure the company economic sustainability. Whilst, environmental criteria is a measure of the impact of manufacturing activities on the environment. The social criteria can be measured by using the production operator health. In the present study, three main sustainability evaluation methods are used after getting feedback from the survey respondents which mostly works in the manufacturing industry. They are the total manufacturing costs, environmental impact, ergonomics impact and combined with energy criteria used during the manufacturing process of a pneumatic nipple hose connector. Energy criteria was introduced because of the implementation of principal component analysis (PCA) disadvantage. The total manufacturing costs consists of six cost assessments which include raw material, tool, coolant, lubricant, energy and manpower. The environmental impact assessments used are chip recycling impact and energy impact. Cutting tool impact, coolant impact and lubricant impact did not take into account as the contribution of impact to the environment is too small when compared to the number of the produced product. The ergonomic assessment used is The Revised NIOSH Weight Lifting Index as the method measures the potential impact of the worker during lifting activities. The index calculation requires raw material mass data as this also used either in chip recycling impact and raw material cost assessment. The present study also highlights the usage of neural network and inversion of the neural network model assessment. The data obtained from both theoretical and experimental methods were compared for their validity which is proved to be less than 12%. The experimental data used for the development of neural network model provides comprehensive results in comparison to the theoretical data. Additionally, inputs data tested using the developed neural network model produced the predicted neural network results for all the four criteria. These data were compared with the experimental data for validation and showed the value of less than 5%. Later, the input and output experimental data used are then inversed with the input is used as an output and (vice-versa) to obtain the optimum cutting parameters by using the inversion of neural network model method. For optimization of cutting parameters, the minimum values from each criteria were selected. These parameters were tested for verification and validation purpose in both experimental and theoretical assessment methods. The targeted percentage difference used at this stage is 5%. The results of optimum cutting speed and feedrate obtained in this project is 55.25 m/min for cutting speed and 0.10 mm/rev for Aluminum 6061 and 82.00 m/min and 0.10 mm/rev for Brass C3604 material. As a conclusion, this study proved that sustainability assessment method can be used to select optimum cutting parameters. Additional energy criteria being introduce able to specifically control the energy data since the summation of all assessment data being used in each criterion. In the future, the proposed method can be applied in other machining process for a better machining parameter optimization in others machining process.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Statement	1
1.2 Objective	2
1.3 Scope	3
1.4 PhD Novelty	3
1.5 Thesis Arrangement	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Sustainability	7
2.3 Sustainability Concept: Overview of The Existing Sustainability Assessment Practises	10
2.3.1 Economics Criteria	13

2.3.2	Environmental Criteria	17
2.3.3	Social Criteria	21
2.4	Turning Process	33
2.5	Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Method	36
2.5.1	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Model	38
2.5.2	Artificial Neural Network Inverse (ANNi)	45
2.6	Research Survey	47
 CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		 53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Project Methodology Summary	53
3.3	Problem Statement Formulation	56
3.4	Questionnaire Survey to Proposed Assessment Method for Each Criterion	56
3.5	Product Case Study: Pneumatic Nipple Hose Connector	57
3.6	Machining Process and Cutting Parameters	60
3.7	Sustainability Criteria Assessment Method	62
3.7.1	Theoretical Methodology	63
3.7.2	Experimental Methodology	72
3.8	Optimization by Using Machine Learning Method	75
 CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		 79
4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Survey Questionnaire Results	79
4.3	Raw Material Testing Results	85
4.4	Theoretical Calculation Results – Economics Criteria	87
4.4.1	Raw Material Cost	87

4.4.2	Coolant and Lubricant Cost	88
4.4.3	Energy Cost	90
4.4.4	Labor Cost	90
4.4.5	Tool Cost	91
4.5	Theoretical Calculation Results - Environmental Impact Criteria	105
4.5.1	Chip Re-cycling Impact	105
4.5.2	Energy Impact	106
4.6	Theoretical Calculation Results – Energy Consumed Criteria	106
4.7	Theoretical Calculation Results – The NIOSH Revised Weight Lifting Index	113
4.8	Experimental Results	115
4.9	Predicted Results by Neural Network Model	125
4.10	The Inversed Neural Network Model Results	135
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		139
5.1	Introduction	139
5.2	Conclusion	139
5.3	Recommendation for Future Works	141
REFERENCES		142
APPENDIX A QUESTIONNAIRE		154
APPENDIX B ALUMINIUM 6061 TOOL LIFE		156
APPENDIX C BRASS C3604 TOOL LIFE		165

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of economic criteria indicator used in the sustainability measurement.	11
Table 2.2	Summary of environmental criteria indicator used in the sustainability measurement.	11
Table 2.3	Summary of social criteria indicator used in the sustainability measurement.	12
Table 2.4	The summary of horizontal multiplier (HM) values.	28
Table 2.5	The summary of vertical multiplier (VM) values.	29
Table 2.6	The summary of distance multiplier (DM) values.	30
Table 2.7	The summary of the asymmetric multiplier (AM) values.	31
Table 2.8	The summary of frequency multiplier (FM) values.	32
Table 2.9	The summary of hand to object coupling classifications.	32
Table 2.10	The summary of coupling multiplier values.	33
Table 2.11	Summary on the comparison points for data collection methods.	48
Table 3.1	The set of cutting parameters used for rough and fine cutting using CNC Turning machine.	61
Table 4.1	Summary on the composition of Brass C3604 based on the percentage obtained from reference and test.	86
Table 4.2	Summary on the composition of Aluminium 6061 based on the percentage obtained from reference and test.	86
Table 4.3	Cutting tool price bought from the supplier.	91
Table 4.4	Surface roughness values for TNMG 160408 insert till tool wear with the depth of cut of 0.50 mm.	93
Table 4.5	Surface roughness values for TNMG 160408 insert till tool wear with the depth of cut of 0.25 mm.	94
Table 4.6	Surface roughness values for VCMT 160408 insert till tool wear with the depth of cut of 0.25 mm.	95
Table 4.7	Average hole diameter measurement for Aluminium 6061 workpiece when drilling by using High-Speed Steel Center Drill tool with cutting speed of 9.426 m/min, federate 0.10 mm/rev and 0.10 mm depth of cut.	98
Table 4.8	Average hole diameter measurement for Aluminium 6061 workpiece when drilling through the hole by using High-Speed Steel Drill tool diameter 10.00 mm with cutting speed of 30.00 m/min, federate 0.10 mm/rev with the depth of cut of 1.00 mm.	99
Table 4.9	Average hole diameter measurement for Aluminium 6061 workpiece when drilling through the hole by using High-Speed Steel Drill tool diameter 13.00 with cutting speed of 30.00 m/min, federate 0.10 mm/rev with the depth of cut of 1.00 mm.	99

Table 4.11	Energy consumed during machine set up process.	107
Table 4.12	Overall summaries of the theoretical data for manufacturing cost, amount of energy used, environmental impact and ergonomics assessment.	114
Table 4.13	Summary of the experimental data conducted in this study; where A = Aluminium 6061, B = Brass C3604, the number represents the cutting parameters option and the number in a bracket represents the number of the experiment.	116
Table 4.14	The summary of raw material weight recorded in the experimental method.	117
Table 4.15	Summary of the percentage difference between theoretical and experimental data for Manufacturing Cost, Energy, Environmental and Ergonomics criteria.	118
Table 4.16	Summary of experimental results, predicted results and the percentage difference between predicted and experimental for manufacturing cost, energy, environmental and ergonomic criteria.	129
Table 4.17	Input values used for the inversed neural network model to obtain the optimum cutting parameters.	135
Table 4.18	Summary of manufacturing cost, energy, environmental and ergonomics criteria results calculated theoretically by using optimized cutting parameters proposed by the neural network model.	138
Table 4.19	Summary of manufacturing cost, energy, environmental and ergonomics criteria results determine experimentally by using optimized cutting parameters proposed by the neural network model.	138

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Summary of United Nations sustainable development goals.	9
Figure 2.2	Worker hands exert force when gripping a small tool	23
Figure 2.3	Example of (a) force needed to lift and (b) force needed to pull an object.	23
Figure 2.4	Working body posture parts movement for neck, back, shoulder and wrist	24
Figure 2.5	Repeatedly lifting heavy box stressed body muscles again and again	24
Figure 2.6	Summary of Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA)	25
Figure 2.7	Summary of Rapid upper limb assessment (RULA)	26
Figure 2.8	Graphical presentation of horizontal and vertical location.	28
Figure 2.9	Graphical presentation of asymmetric angle.	31
Figure 2.10	Types of Lathe machine; (a) Conventional (b) CNC Turning Machine.	34
Figure 2.11	Various machining process can be done by using a lathe machine	35
Figure 2.12	Interconnection of the human brain and the similarity of the neural network; (A) Human neuron, (B) Neuron or hidden unity, (C) Biological synapse, (D) Neural network synapse	39
Figure 2.13	Neural network mathematical function framework.	41
Figure 3.1	General framework used to complete this study.	54
Figure 3.2	Summary of detail process flow taken to complete the project.	55
Figure 3.3	Pneumatic nipple hose connector.	57
Figure 3.4	Spectrometer used to determine the material grade.	58
Figure 3.5	New design of pneumatic nipple hose connector (a) Redesign (b) Fabricated	59
Figure 3.6	Okuma LB15-II CNC Turning Machine	60
Figure 3.7	From the left TNMG160408, VCMT160404 and 16ERG60 insert used in the turning and threading process.	61
Figure 3.8	Mahr MarSurf PS1 surface roughness tester.	65
Figure 3.9	Specific cutting force, Kc values for Aluminium 6061 and Brass C3604.	70
Figure 3.10	Production floor layout arrangement.	71
Figure 3.11	AND Digital weight scale used to weight the raw material.	73
Figure 3.12	A set of Fluke 437-II Power harmonic analyzer.	74
Figure 3.13	Fluke 437-II Power Harmonic Analyzer cable setup for three-phase connections.	75

Figure 3.14	Fluke 437-II Power Harmonic Analyzer cable setup for neutral and ground connections.	75
Figure 4.1	Respondent feedback on (a) age, (b) highest education background and (c) working organisation.	81
Figure 4.2	Respondent feedback on their (a) working position and (b) working experience.	82
Figure 4.3	Respondent feedback on (a) economic, (b) environmental and (c) social criteria assessment methods.	84
Figure 4.4	(a) Brass C3604 Material (b) Aluminum 6061 testing sample.	86
Figure 4.5	The classification of tall, base and height in hexagon volume calculation.	87
Figure 4.6	Aluminium 6061 raw material used in the tool life experiment.	93
Figure 4.7	The 16ERG60 Thread insert conditions upon the tool wear for (a) Aluminium 6061 (b) Brass C3604 Materials.	96
Figure 4.8	Aluminium 6061 block dimension 200 mm x 200 mm x 55 mm.	97
Figure 4.9	Samples of the completed experiment workpiece.	97
Figure 4.10	The drill cutting tool conditions after the machining process where (a) is for 10.00 mm, (b) 13.00 mm and (c) 14.50 mm in diameter.	101
Figure 4.11	Drill tool worn findings by Ghasemi et al., (2018).	102
Figure 4.12	Raw material sample length measured at 5.54 cm.	117
Figure 4.13	Summary of total manufacturing cost comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	119
Figure 4.14	Summary of total manufacturing cost comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	119
Figure 4.15	Summary of total energy consumed comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	121
Figure 4.16	Summary of total energy consumed comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	121
Figure 4.17	Summary of total environmental assessment comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	123
Figure 4.18	Summary of total environmental assessment comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	123
Figure 4.19	Summary of The NIOSH weight lifting index comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	124

Figure 4.20	Summary of The NIOSH weight lifting index comparisons between theoretical and experimental for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	125
Figure 4.21	Summary of Training, Validation and Testing for Regression value for Aluminium 6061 material by using hidden neuron = 5.	127
Figure 4.22	Summary of Training, Validation and Testing for Regression value for Brass C3604 material by using number of hidden neuron = 5.	128
Figure 4.23	Summary of the total manufacturing cost comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	131
Figure 4.24	Summary of the total manufacturing cost comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	131
Figure 4.25	Summary of the total energy consumed comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	132
Figure 4.26	Summary of the total energy consumed comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	132
Figure 4.27	Summary of the amount of carbon released comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	133
Figure 4.28	Summary of the amount of carbon released comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	133
Figure 4.29	Summary of the NIOSH weight lifting index comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Aluminium 6061 nipple hose connector.	134
Figure 4.30	Summary of the NIOSH weight lifting index comparisons between theoretical, experimental and predicted results for Brass C3604 nipple hose connector.	134
Figure 4.31	Summary of Training, Validation and Testing for inversed neural network regression value for Aluminium 6061 material by using number of hidden neuron = 9.	136
Figure 4.32	Summary of Training, Validation and Testing for inversed neural network Regression value for Brass C3604 material by using number of hidden neuron = 9.	137
Figure 5.1	Summary of proposed manufacturing sustainability performance model	140

LIST OF SYMBOLS

V_b	Flank wear
E_e	Machine power consumption impact
LCI(e)	Electricity emission intensity
PSm	Spindle motor power consumption
PFM	Feed motor power consumption
ΣPP	Feed motor power consumption
C_e	Coolant impact consumption
LCI(cp)	Coolant production emission intensity
LCI(cd)	Coolant disposal emission intensity
T_c	Total coolant amount
LCI(w)	Water distribution emission intensity
T_w	Total water amount
M_t	Machining time
MTTR	Mean time to replenish coolant
LO_e	Lubricant oil impact consumption
MTTD	Mean time to discharge lubricant
L_d	Amount of lubricant discharge
LCI(lp)	Lubricant production emission intensity
LCI(LD)	Lubricant disposal emission intensity
Ch_e	Chip recycling impact
W_pV	Workpiece volume
pV	Product volume
d	Material density
LCI(M)	Metal chip recycling emission intensity
RWL	Recommended weight limit
LC	Load constant = 23kg
HM	Horizontal multiplier
VM	Vertical multiplier
DM	Distance multiplier
AM	Asymmetric multiplier
FM	Frequency multiplier

CM	Coupling multiplier
b	The bias term / the neuron's threshold
w	vector
x	Vector
N_h	Number of hidden neuron
N	Number of input
H	The approximation and the gradient
J	Jacobian matrix
W	The connection weight
E_ω	The sum of squared network weights
E_D	The sum of network error
$P(A B)$	The posterior probability of A conditional on B
$P(B A)$	The prior of B conditional on A
$P(B)$	The non-zero prior probability of event B
$P(D \alpha, \beta, M)$	The likelihood function of D for given α, β, M
$P(\alpha, \beta M)$	The uniform prior density for the regularization parameters
$P(D M)$	The normalization factor
V_c	Cutting speed
a_p	Depth of cut
f_n	Feedrate
K_c	Specific cutting force (N/mm ²)
D_c	Material diameter
l_m	Cutting / machined length
n	Spindle speed

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A1	Aluminium machined with cutting parameters option 1
B1	Brass machined with cutting parameters option 1
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
DOSH	Department of Safety and Health
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPEAT	Electronics Product Environmental Assessment Tool
ETT	Energy Tracking Tool
GLM	Generalized Linear Model
GPR	Gaussian Process Regression
HSS	High Speed Steel
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
kg	Kilogram
kgCO ₂	Kilogram Carbon Dioxide
kW	Kilo Watt
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour
L	Liter
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCE	Life Cycle Costing
MSE	Mean Square Error
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
OECD	The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
Ra	The arithmetic mean roughness
REBA	Rapid Entire Body Assessment
RULA	Rapid Upper Limb Assessment
RM	Ringgit Malaysia
Rpm	Revolution Per Minute
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SVM	Support Vector Machine
UMP	Universiti Malaysia Pahang

REFERENCES

- Ahmat, N. H. C., Arendt, S. W., & Russell, D. W. (2019). Effects of minimum wage policy implementation: Compensation, work behaviors, and quality of life. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 81, 229-238.
- Álvarez, M. E. P., Bárcena, M. M., & González, F. A. (2017). On the sustainability of machining processes. Proposal for a unified framework through the triple bottom-line from an understanding review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 3890-3904.
- Ariffin, S. Z., Razlan, A., Ali, M. M., Efendee, A., & Rahman, M. (2018). *Optimization of Coolant Technique Conditions for Machining A319 Aluminium Alloy Using Response Surface Method (RSM)*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- Ashrafi, S. A., Davoudinejad, A., & Niazi, A. (2013). *Investigations into Effect of Tool Wear on Surface Integrity in Dry Turning of Al6061*. Paper presented at the Advanced Materials Research.
- ASM Aerospace Specification Metals Inc. (2018). Aluminium 6061 Datasheet. Retrieved January 22, 2018, from <http://asm.matweb.com/search/SpecificMaterial.asp?bassnum=ma6061t6>
- Ata, R. (2015). Artificial neural networks applications in wind energy systems: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 49(534-562).
- Baghirli, O. (2015). Comparison of Lavenberg-Marquardt, Scaled Conjugate Gradient And Bayesian Regularization Backpropagation Algorithms for Multistep Ahead Wind Speed Forecasting Using Multilayer Perceptron Feedforward Neural Network.
- Bastianoni, S., Coscieme, L., Caro, D., Marchettini, N., & Pulselli, F. M. (2019). The needs of sustainability: The overarching contribution of systems approach. *Ecological Indicators*, 100, 69-73.
- Batra, D. (2014). Comparison Between Levenberg-Marquardt And Scaled Conjugate Gradient Training Algorithms For Image Compression Using MLP. *International Journal of Image Processing (IJIP)*, 8(6), 412.
- Beatrice, B. A., Kirubakaran, E., Thangaiah, P. R. J., & Wins, K. L. D. (2014). Surface roughness prediction using artificial neural network in hard turning of AISI H13 steel with minimal cutting fluid application. *Procedia Engineering*, 97, 205-211.
- Beg, A. H., & Islam, M. Z. (2016). *Advantages and limitations of genetic algorithms for clustering records*. Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE 11th Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA).

- Bernhard Dusch, N. C. a. J. M. (2018). The Cambridge Sustainable Design Toolkit. Retrieved February 02, 2018, from <http://www.cambridge-sustainable-design-toolkit.com/#p=home>
- Bicer, Y., Dincer, I., Vezina, G., & Raso, F. (2017). Impact assessment and environmental evaluation of various ammonia production processes. *Environmental Management*, 59(5), 842-855.
- Biel, K., & Glock, C. H. (2016). Systematic literature review of decision support models for energy-efficient production planning. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 101, 243-259.
- Birch, A., Hon, K., & Short, T. (2010). Performance evaluation of DFE tools.
- Braat, L. (2012). The predictive meaning of sustainability indicators. *In Search of Indicators of Sustainable Development*, 1, 57.
- Camposeco-Negrete, C. (2015). Optimization of cutting parameters using Response Surface Method for minimizing energy consumption and maximizing cutting quality in turning of AISI 6061 T6 Aluminum. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 91, 109-117.
- Cays, J. (2017). Life-Cycle Assessment: Reducing Environmental Impact Risk with Workflow Data You Can Trust. *Architectural Design*, 87(3), 96-103.
- Conde-Gutiérrez, R., Cruz-Jacobo, U., Huicochea, A., Casolco, S., & Hernández, J. (2018). Optimal multivariable conditions in the operation of an absorption heat transformer with energy recycling solved by the genetic algorithm in artificial neural network inverse. *Applied Soft Computing*, 72, 218-234.
- Cortés, O., Urquiza, G., & Hernández, J. (2009). Optimization of operating conditions for compressor performance by means of neural network inverse. *Applied Energy*, 86(11), 2487-2493.
- Daechang Co. Ltd. (2018). Brass Material Datasheet. Retrieved January 22, 2018, from http://www.brassone.com/new/adminmode/download.php?downfile=20120220100243_1_726653.pdf&downpath=02_02&idx=7&filename=MSDS_C3604_eng.pdf&filenum=
- Dahmus, J., & Gutowski, T. (2004). An environmental analysis of Machining, ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and RD&D Expo. *Anaheim, California*.
- Dassault System. (2018). Solidworks Sustainability. Retrieved January 15, 2018, from <https://www.solidworks.com/product/solidworks-sustainability>
- Department of Safety and Health Malaysia. (2018). Guidelines for manual handling at workplace 2018. Malaysia: DOSH Malaysia.

- Duflou, J. R., Sutherland, J. W., Dornfeld, D., Herrmann, C., Jeswiet, J., Kara, S., . . . Kellens, K. (2012). Towards energy and resource efficient manufacturing: A processes and systems approach. *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, *61*(2), 587-609.
- El-Bhrawy, N. E.-K. M. a. A. S. M. (2016). Artificial Neural Networks in Data Mining. *IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSR-JCE)*, *18*(6), 55-59.
- El-Hossainy, T. (2010). A new technique for enhancing surface roughness of metals during turning. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, *25*(12), 1505-1512.
- ErgoPlus(a). (2018). Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA). Retrieved November 21, 2018, from <https://ergo-plus.com/reba-assessment-tool-guide/>
- ErgoPlus(b). (2018). Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA). Retrieved November 21, 2018, from <https://ergo-plus.com/rula-assessment-tool-guide/>
- Esfe, M. H., Afrand, M., Yan, W.-M., & Akbari, M. (2015). Applicability of artificial neural network and nonlinear regression to predict thermal conductivity modeling of Al₂O₃-water nanofluids using experimental data. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, *66*, 246-249.
- Esteves, A. M., Factor, G., Vanclay, F., Götzmann, N., & Moreira, S. (2017). Adapting social impact assessment to address a project's human rights impacts and risks. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *67*, 73-87.
- European Commission (EU). (2018). Green Public Procurement. Retrieved December 3, 2018, from http://ec.europa.eu/environment/gpp/index_en.htm
- Faludi, J., Bayley, C., Bhogal, S., & Iribarne, M. (2015). Comparing environmental impacts of additive manufacturing vs traditional machining via life-cycle assessment. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, *21*(1), 14-33.
- Firouzdor, V., Nejati, E., & Khomamizadeh, F. (2008). Effect of deep cryogenic treatment on wear resistance and tool life of M2 HSS drill. *Journal of materials processing technology*, *206*(1-3), 467-472.
- Garrison, M. B., Pierce, S. H., Monroe, P. A., Sasser, D. D., Shaffer, A. C., & Blalock, L. B. (1999). Focus group discussions: Three examples from family and consumer science research. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, *27*(4), 428-450.
- Gbededo, M., & Liyanage, K. (2018). Identification and alignment of the social aspects of sustainable manufacturing with the theory of motivation. *Sustainability*, *10*(3), 852.
- Ghasemi, A. H., Khorasani, A. M., & Gibson, I. (2018). Investigation on the Effect of a Pre-Center Drill Hole and Tool Material on Thrust Force, Surface Roughness, and Cylindricity in the Drilling of Al7075. *Materials*, *11*(1), 140.

- Haapala, H. Z. K. R. (2012). *Integrating Sustainable Manufacturing Assessment into Decision Making for a Production work cell*. (Master of Science), Oregon State University.
- Hajibabaei, M., Nazif, S., & Sereshgi, F. T. (2018). Life cycle assessment of pipes and piping process in drinking water distribution networks to reduce environmental impact. *Sustainable cities and society*, 43, 538-549.
- Hammond, G. P., & Jones, C. I. (2008). Embodied energy and carbon in construction materials. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Energy*, 161(2), 87-98.
- Hamzaoui, Y. E., Rodríguez, J., Hernández, J., & Salazar, V. (2015). Optimization of operating conditions for steam turbine using an artificial neural network inverse. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 75, 648-657.
- Hart, M. (2010). Traditional vs. sustainability indicators. Retrieved May 24, 2019, 2019, from <http://www.sustainablemeasures.com/node/90>
- Hernández, J. (2009). Optimum operating conditions for heat and mass transfer in foodstuffs drying by means of neural network inverse. *Food Control*, 20(4), 435-438.
- Hernández, J., Colorado, D., Cortés-Aburto, O., El Hamzaoui, Y., Velazquez, V., & Alonso, B. (2013). Inverse neural network for optimal performance in polygeneration systems. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 50(2), 1399-1406.
- Herrmann, I. T., & Moltesen, A. (2015). Does it matter which Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tool you choose?—a comparative assessment of SimaPro and GaBi. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 86, 163-169.
- Hignnet, S., & Mcatamney, L. (2000). Technical Note, Rapid Entire Body Assessment. *Applied Ergonomics*, 31(2), 201-205.
- Hirst, A. (2018). 5 Common Types of Workplace Injuries. Retrieved from Albert E. Hirst Southern California Worker's Compensation Law Firm website: <https://www.socalworkerscompensation.com/5-common-types-workplace-injuries/>
- Ho, W., Xu, X., & Dey, P. K. (2010). Multi-criteria decision making approaches for supplier evaluation and selection: A literature review. *European Journal of operational research*, 202(1), 16-24.
- Holden, E., Linnerud, K., & Banister, D. (2014). Sustainable development: our common future revisited. *Global environmental change*, 26, 130-139.
- Horhota, M., Asman, J., Stratton, J. P., & Halfacre, A. C. (2014). Identifying behavioural barriers to campus sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*.

- Hwa, E. P., Lok, S. Y. P., Hamid, S. R., & Cheong, C. B. (2019). The Implications of National Minimum Wage Policy on the Electrical and Electronics Industry in Malaysia. *Global Business and Management Research*, 11(2), 220-229.
- Inc, C. P. (2017). Machine Coolant Testing Methods. Retrieved May 21, 2017, from <http://www.carbideprocessors.com/pages/machine-coolant/machine-coolant-testing-methods.html>
- Islam, S., Khandoker, N., Izham, M., Azizi, T., & Debnath, S. (2017). *Development of a low cost MQL setup for turning operations*. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences.
- Jadhav, S., Kakde, A., Patil, N., & Sankpal, J. (2018). Effect of Cutting parameters, Point angle and reinforcement percentage on surface finish in drilling of AL6061/Al 2 O 3p MMC. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 20, 2-11.
- Jayal, A., Badurdeen, F., Dillon Jr, O., & Jawahir, I. (2010). Sustainable manufacturing: Modeling and optimization challenges at the product, process and system levels. *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, 2(3), 144-152.
- Jayasingam, S., Fujiwara, Y., & Thurasamy, R. (2018). 'I am competent so I can be choosy': choosiness and its implication on graduate employability. *Studies in Higher Education*, 43(7), 1119-1134.
- Jignesh Parmar. (2013, 2018). Total Losses in Power Distribution and Transmission Lines. Retrieved November 25, 2017, from <https://electrical-engineering-portal.com/total-losses-in-power-distribution-and-transmission-lines-1>
- Kalpakjian & Schmid. (2014). *Manufacturing Engineering & Technology* (7th Edition ed.): Pearson.
- Kannan, T. D. B., Kumar, B. S., & Baskar, N. (2014). Application of artificial neural network modeling for machining parameters optimization in drilling operation. *Procedia Materials Science*, 5, 2242-2249.
- Kant, G., & Sangwan, K. S. (2015). Predictive modelling and optimization of machining parameters to minimize surface roughness using artificial neural network coupled with genetic algorithm. *Procedia CIRP*, 31, 453-458.
- Kaytez, F., Taplamacioglu, M. C., Cam, E., & Hardalac, F. (2015). Forecasting electricity consumption: A comparison of regression analysis, neural networks and least squares support vector machines. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 67, 431-438.
- Kene, A. P., Orra, K., & Choudhury, S. K. (2016). Experimental Investigation of Tool Wear Behavior of Multi-Layered Coated Carbide Inserts Using Various Sensors in Hard Turning Process. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 49(12), 180-184.

- Khorasani, A., & Yazdi, M. R. S. (2017). Development of a dynamic surface roughness monitoring system based on artificial neural networks (ANN) in milling operation. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 93(1-4), 141-151.
- Kim, D. M., Bajpai, V., Kim, B. H., & Park, H. W. (2015). Finite element modeling of hard turning process via a micro-textured tool. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 78(9-12), 1393-1405.
- Kolios, A., Mytilinou, V., Lozano-Minguez, E., & Salonitis, K. (2016). A comparative study of multiple-criteria decision-making methods under stochastic inputs. *Energies*, 9(7), 566.
- Kumar, A., Sah, B., Singh, A. R., Deng, Y., He, X., Kumar, P., & Bansal, R. (2017). A review of multi criteria decision making (MCDM) towards sustainable renewable energy development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69, 596-609.
- Latif, H. H., Gopalakrishnan, B., Nimbarte, A., & Currie, K. (2017). Sustainability index development for manufacturing industry. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 24, 82-95.
- Lavecchia, A. (2015). Machine-learning approaches in drug discovery: methods and applications. *Drug discovery today*, 20(3), 318-331.
- Lehtonen, M., Sébastien, L., & Bauler, T. (2016). The multiple roles of sustainability indicators in informational governance: between intended use and unanticipated influence. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 18, 1-9.
- Li, C., Chen, X., Tang, Y., & Li, L. (2017). Selection of optimum parameters in multi-pass face milling for maximum energy efficiency and minimum production cost. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140, 1805-1818.
- Li, M., Du, W., & Nian, F. (2014). An adaptive particle swarm optimization algorithm based on directed weighted complex network. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2014.
- Li, Y., & Mathiyazhagan, K. (2018). Application of DEMATEL approach to identify the influential indicators towards sustainable supply chain adoption in the auto components manufacturing sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 2931-2941.
- Lojuntin, S. A. (2015). Low Carbon Building For Low Carbon Cities: Affordable and Practical Way to Reduce Carbon in Building Sector. Retrieved from Malaysian Institute of Planners website: <http://www.mip.org.my/doc/04.pdf>
- Loyer, J.-L., Henriques, E., Fontul, M., & Wiseall, S. (2016). Comparison of Machine Learning methods applied to the estimation of manufacturing cost of jet engine components. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 178, 109-119.

- Mahmood, S. (2016). *Methodology for Assessing the Sustainability of Hollow Fiber Membrane System for Wastewater Treatment*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Marksberry, P., & Jawahir, I. (2008). A comprehensive tool-wear/tool-life performance model in the evaluation of NDM (near dry machining) for sustainable manufacturing. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 48(7-8), 878-886.
- Márquez-Nolasco, A., Conde-Gutiérrez, R., Hernández, J., Huicochea, A., Siqueiros, J., & Pérez, O. (2018). Optimization and estimation of the thermal energy of an absorber with graphite disks by using direct and inverse neural network. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 140(2), 020906.
- Martinopoulos, G. (2016). Energy efficiency and environmental impact of solar heating and cooling systems *Advances in Solar Heating and Cooling* (pp. 43-59): Elsevier.
- Mathworks. (2018). Machine Learning. Retrieved February 15, 2018, from <https://www.mathworks.com/discovery/machine-learning.html>
- McAtamney, L., & Corlett, E. N. (1993). RULA: a survey method for the investigation of work-related upper limb disorders. *Applied Ergonomics*, 24(2), 91-99.
- Mia, M., & Dhar, N. R. (2016). Response surface and neural network based predictive models of cutting temperature in hard turning. *Journal of advanced research*, 7(6), 1035-1044.
- Mia, M., Razi, M. H., Ahmad, I., Mostafa, R., Rahman, S. M., Ahmed, D. H., . . . Dhar, N. R. (2017). Effect of time-controlled MQL pulsing on surface roughness in hard turning by statistical analysis and artificial neural network. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 91(9-12), 3211-3223.
- Mistry, M., Gediga, J., & Boonzaier, S. (2016). Life cycle assessment of nickel products. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 21(11), 1559-1572.
- Mohamed, A. E. (2017). Comparative study of four supervised machine learning techniques for classification. *International Journal of Applied*, 7(2).
- Mohassel, R. R., Fung, A., Mohammadi, F., & Raahemifar, K. (2014). A survey on advanced metering infrastructure. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 63, 473-484.
- Mokha, M., Sprague, P. A., & Gatens, D. R. (2016). Predicting musculoskeletal injury in National Collegiate Athletic Association Division II athletes from asymmetries and individual-test versus composite functional movement screen scores. *Journal of athletic training*, 51(4), 276-282.
- Moldavska, A., & Welo, T. (2015). On the applicability of sustainability assessment tools in manufacturing. *Procedia CIRP*, 29, 621-626.

- Morse, S. B. a. S. (2018). Sustainability Indicators Past and Present: What Next? *Sustainability*, 10(2018), 15.
- Mowforth, M., & Munt, I. (2015). *Tourism and sustainability: Development, globalisation and new tourism in the third world*: Routledge.
- Muslim, E., Iadha Nuraini, A., & Puspasari, M. A. (2013). *Analysis of Vertical Multiplier on Revised National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Lifting Equation for Male Workers in Indonesia Industry*. Paper presented at the Advanced Engineering Forum.
- Nallusamy, S., Ganesan, M., Balakannan, K., & Shankar, C. (2016). *Environmental sustainability evaluation for an automobile manufacturing industry using multi-grade fuzzy approach*. Paper presented at the International Journal of Engineering Research in Africa.
- Nardi, P. M. (2018). *Doing survey research: A guide to quantitative methods*: Routledge.
- Narita, H. (2012). Environmental burden analyzer for machine tool operations and its application *Manufacturing system*: InTech.
- Navani, J., Sharma, N., & Sapra, S. (2012). Technical and non-technical losses in power system and its economic consequence in Indian economy. *International Journal of Electronics and Computer Science Engineering*, 1(2), 757-761.
- Noor, C. M., Mamat, R., Najafi, G., Nik, W. W., & Fadhil, M. (2015). *Application of artificial neural network for prediction of marine diesel engine performance*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- Norman, W., & MacDonald, C. (2004). Getting to the bottom of “triple bottom line”. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 14(2), 243-262.
- Nouari, M., List, G., Girot, F., & Coupard, D. (2003). Experimental analysis and optimisation of tool wear in dry machining of aluminium alloys. *Wear*, 255(7-12), 1359-1368.
- OECD (2011). *OECD Sustainable Manufacturing Toolkit: Seven Steps to Environmental Excellence* (T. a. I. Science, Trans.). Paris: OECD.
- Okuma Machinery Works Ltd. (1987). *CNC Lathe LB15 Operation & Maintenance Manual*: Okuma Machinery Works Ltd.
- Onat, N. C., Kucukvar, M., & Tatari, O. (2014). Integrating triple bottom line input-output analysis into life cycle sustainability assessment framework: the case for US buildings. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 19(8), 1488-1505.
- OSHInsider. (2015). *Ergonomics: Learn How to Effectively Protect Worker From MSIs*. British Columbia: OSHInsider.

- Panchal, G., & Panchal, M. (2014). Review on methods of selecting number of hidden nodes in artificial neural network. *International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing*, 3(11), 455-464.
- Penadés-Plà, V., García-Segura, T., Martí, J. V., & Yepes, V. (2016). A review of multi-criteria decision-making methods applied to the sustainable bridge design. *Sustainability*, 8(12), 1295.
- Peruzzini, M., & Pellicciari, M. (2018). Application of Early Sustainability Assessment to Support the Design of Industrial Systems. *Industrial Engineering & Management Systems*, 17(2), 209-225.
- Pervaiz, S., Deiab, I., & Darras, B. (2013). Power consumption and tool wear assessment when machining titanium alloys. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 14(6), 925-936.
- Ponto, J. (2015). Understanding and evaluating survey research. *Journal of the advanced practitioner in oncology*, 6(2), 168.
- Pre Consultant(a). (2018). Eco-It Software. Retrieved January 20, 2018, from <https://www.environmental-expert.com/software/eco-it-software-182649>
- PreConsultant(b). (2018). Simapro. Retrieved January 02, 2018, from <https://simapro.com/>
- Prenzel, P. V., & Vanclay, F. (2014). How social impact assessment can contribute to conflict management. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 45, 30-37.
- Radovanović, M. (2018). Multi-objective optimization of multi-pass turning AISI 1064 steel. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 1-14.
- Restrepo, Á., & Becerra, R. (2016). Energetic and carbon footprint analysis in manufacturing process of bamboo boards in Colombia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 126, 563-571.
- Reyes-Téllez, E., Conde-Gutiérrez, R., Hernández, J., Cardoso, E., Silva-Martínez, S., Sierrab, F., & Cortés-Aburtoc, O. (2016). *Optimal operating condition for a type parabolic trough collector with low-cost components using inverse neural network and solved by genetic algorithm*. Paper presented at the Presented at the EDS conference on Desalination for the Environment: Clean Water and Energy.
- Sakundarini, N., Taha, Z., Ghazilla, R., Ariffin, R., Rashid, A., Hanim, S., & Gonzales, J. (2012). A FRAMEWORK OF INTEGRATED RECYCLABILITY TOOLS FOR AUTOMOBILE DESIGN. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 19(10).
- Sandvik Coromant. (2017). General Turning: Machining Formulas and Definitions. from <https://www.sandvik.coromant.com/en-gb/knowledge/machining-formulas-definitions/pages/general-turning.aspx>

- Säynäjoki, E.-S., Heinonen, J., & Junnila, S. (2014). The power of urban planning on environmental sustainability: A focus group study in Finland. *Sustainability*, 6(10), 6622-6643.
- Schowaneck, D., Borsboom-Patel, T., Bouvy, A., Colling, J., de Ferrer, J. A., Eggers, D., BMckeown, P. (2018). New and updated life cycle inventories for surfactants used in European detergents: summary of the ERASM surfactant life cycle and ecofootprinting project. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 23(4), 867-886.
- Schulz, S. A., & Flanigan, R. L. (2016). Developing competitive advantage using the triple bottom line: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 31(4), 449-458.
- Shedroff, N. (2009). *Design is the problem: the future of design must be sustainable*: Rosenfeld Media.
- Sheela, K. G., & Deepa, S. N. (2013). Review on methods to fix number of hidden neurons in neural networks. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013.
- Singh, R. K., Murty, H. R., Gupta, S. K., & Dikshit, A. K. (2012). An overview of sustainability assessment methodologies. *Ecological Indicators*, 15(1), 281-299.
- Slaper, T. F., & Hall, T. J. (2011). The triple bottom line: What is it and how does it work. *Indiana Business Review*, 86(1), 4-8.
- Spangenberg, J. H. (2005). Economic sustainability of the economy: concepts and indicators. *International journal of sustainable development*, 8(1-2), 47-64.
- Stoycheva, S., Marchese, D., Paul, C., Padoan, S., Juhmani, A.-s., & Linkov, I. (2018). Multi-criteria decision analysis framework for sustainable manufacturing in automotive industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 187, 257-272.
- Tam, W. V., Le, K. N., Tran, C., & Wang, J. (2018). A review on contemporary computational programs for Building's life-cycle energy consumption and greenhouse-gas emissions assessment: An empirical study in Australia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 4220-4230.
- Tenaga Nasional Berhad TNB. (2017). Commercial-Industrial Pricing Tariff. Retrieved January 15, 2017, from <https://www.tnb.com.my/commercial-industrial/pricing-tariffs1>
- ThinkStep. (2018). SoFi Software. Retrieved September 23, 2018, from <https://www.thinkstep.com/software/corporate-sustainability>
- ThinkStep(b). (2019). GaBi Solutions. Retrieved April 03, 2019, from <https://www.gabi-software.com/international/overview/product-sustainability-performance/>

- Tu, J. V. (1996). Advantages and disadvantages of using artificial neural networks versus logistic regression for predicting medical outcomes. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 49(11), 1225-1231.
- United Nations. (2018). The Sustainable Development Growth Report 2018. New York: United Nations.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2017, January 19, 2017). Sustainable Marketplace: Greener Products and Services. Retrieved May 21, 2018, from <https://www.epa.gov/greenerproducts>
- Vezzoli, C. (2018). Environmentally sustainable design-orienting tools *Design for Environmental Sustainability* (pp. 239-252): Springer.
- Vieira, D. R., Calmon, J. L., & Coelho, F. Z. (2016). Life cycle assessment (LCA) applied to the manufacturing of common and ecological concrete: A review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 124, 656-666.
- Vijayaraghavan, V., Garg, A., Gao, L., Vijayaraghavan, R., & Lu, G. (2016). A finite element based data analytics approach for modelling turning process of Inconel 718 alloys. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 137, 1619-1627.
- Villeneuve, C., Tremblay, D., Riffon, O., Lanmafankpotin, G. Y., & Bouchard, S. (2017). A systemic tool and process for sustainability assessment. *Sustainability*, 9(10), 1909.
- Waters, T. R., Putz-Anderson, V., Garg, A., & Fine, L. J. (1993). Revised NIOSH equation for the design and evaluation of manual lifting tasks. *Ergonomics*, 36(7), 749-776.
- Were, K., Bui, D. T., Dick, B., & Singh, B. R. (2015). A comparative assessment of support vector regression, artificial neural networks, and random forests for predicting and mapping soil organic carbon stocks across an Afromontane landscape. *Ecological Indicators*, 52, 394-403.
- Wood, R., Stadler, K., Bulavskaya, T., Lutter, S., Giljum, S., de Koning, A., . . . Usubiaga, A. (2015). Global sustainability accounting—developing EXIOBASE for multi-regional footprint analysis. *Sustainability*, 7(1), 138-163.
- WorksafeBC. (2008). *Understanding The Risk of Musculoskeletal Injury (MSI): An Educational Guide for Workers on Sprains, Strains and other MSI*. British Columbia: Worksafe BC.
- Wuest, T., Weimer, D., Irgens, C., & Thoben, K.-D. (2016). Machine learning in manufacturing: advantages, challenges, and applications. *Production & Manufacturing Research*, 4(1), 23-45.
- Yamashin Steel Company Inc. (2016). from http://www.yamco-yamashin.com/en/products/guide_specific_gravity.html

- Zahari Taha & Salaam. (2016). *Implementation of Triple Bottom Line Concept and Selection of a Product Design*. Paper presented at The Asia Pacific Industrial Engineering & Management System Conference (APIEMS) 2016, Taiwan.
- Zhang, H., & Haapala, K. R. (2015). Integrating sustainable manufacturing assessment into decision making for a production work cell. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *105*, 52-63.
- Zhang, T., Owodunni, O., & Gao, J. (2015). Scenarios in multi-objective optimisation of process parameters for sustainable machining. *Procedia CIRP*, *26*, 373-378.
- Zhang, W., Guo, J., Gu, F., & Gu, X. (2018). Coupling life cycle assessment and life cycle costing as an evaluation tool for developing product service system of high energy-consuming equipment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *183*, 1043-1053.