UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH OF SOFT CLAY REINFORCED WITH ENCAPSULATED POLYPROPYLENE (PP) COLUMN

ALVIN NGIENG WEN HONG

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)Full Name: ASSOC. PROF. DR. MUZAMIR BIN HASANPosition: DIRECTOR OF ERAS / ASSOCIATE PROFESSORDate: 31 MAY 2019



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature) Full Name : ALVIN NGIENG WEN HONG ID Number : AA15189 Date : 31 MAY 2019

UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH OF SOFT CLAY REINFORCED WITH ENCAPSULATED POLYPROPYLENE (PP) COLUMN

ALVIN NGIENG WEN HONG

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I want to dedicate my praise to my God for the wisdom and health He showered on me during my thesis writing. Thanks for His graceful guidance along the way and granted me with a peaceful mind in overcoming all the challenges met.

Not forget to thank my advisor, Associate Professor Dr Muzamir Bin Hasan, who always tries his best in giving his professional advice from the beginning of my research. His tolerance and patience is the supports that I hold on to when I am miserable. Moreover, I would like to thank the coordinator of final year project, Dr Nurul Nadrah who had prepared a series of briefings, paper work format, documentation and also the reminder throughout these two semesters of final year project course.

Furthermore, I want to thank my fellow friends (Ling Sin Yie and Tan Kah Yee) who always share their knowledge unconditionally. Their helping hands and moral supports are much appreciated.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude towards my parents. Thanks for their mentally support and their caring all the time when I am pursuing this research.

ABSTRAK

Kegunaan tiang dalam meningkatan kekuatan tanah liat semakin mendapat populariti dalam industri pembangunan. Banyak kajian tentang kecakapan tiang untuk menperbaiki prestasi tanah liat telah dikaji dan keputusannya agak memuaskan. Selain itu, amat banyak plastik dibuang merata-rata telah menjadi satu pencemaran yang serius terancam alam sekitar bumi. Dalam kajian ini, polipropilena, PP telah digunakan untuk menggantikan batu semula jadi untuk meningkatkan kekuatan tanah liat. Penggunaan PP dalam mengubati tanah bermasalah adalah alternatif yang lebih mampan dan kos efektif berbanding dengan bahan lain. Pertama, sifat bahan yang digunakan dalam kajian ini akan diuji dan dibincangkan. Kekuatan ricih sampel kaolin yang dirawat telah diperiksa dengan menggunakan ujian mampatan tak terkurung (UCT). 7 kumpulan sampel tanah akan diuji yang mana 1 sampel kawalan, 3 kelompok 14mm dan 3 kelompok 20mm diameter PP. Diameter lajur PP yang berbeza telah diperiksa masing-masing dengan ketinggian 60 mm, 80 mm dan 100 mm. Tanah liat akan diacuankan kepada sampel yang mempunyai 50mm diameter dan ketinggiannya adalah 100mm. Peningkatan kekuatan ricih kaolin adalah 33.82%, 46.51% dan 49.88% apabila ia ditanam dengan lajur PP yang mempunyai nisbah penggantian 7.84 dan nisbah penembusan 0.6, 0.8 dan 1.0. Sementara itu, tanah lembut yang dirawat dengan menggunakan nisbah penggantian 16.00 dengan nisbah penembusan 0.6, 0.8 dan 1.0 mempunyai peningkatan kekuatan ricih masingmasing sebanyak 25.22%, 33.39% dan 37.59%. Hasilnya, panjang kritikal bagi lajur PP jatuh dalam 4-8 yang mana sudah dijangka dalam kaji orang lain. Ringkasnya, peningkatan kekuatan ricih tanah liat kaolin sangat bergantung pada parameter lajur PP yang digunakan untuk menguatkan sampel.

ABSTRACT

Granular column is gaining popularity in current market as many researches had proven that it does enhance the strength of soft soil in certain degree. Also, abundant plastic had caused many environmental issues to the earth. Therefore, the main objective of this study is to investigate the effectiveness of encapsulated polypropylene (PP) column in enhancing the undrained shear strength of kaolin (soft clay). The usage of PP in treating problematic soil is a more sustainable and cost-effective alternative compared to other materials. In the construction site, installation of granular column can be done by using vibro replacement method. Firstly, several geotechnical tests to determine the properties of materials were done and the result was clearly discussed. The shear strength of treated kaolin sample was examined by using Unconfined Compression Test (UCT). There are 7 batches of soil sample in total which included a control sample, 3 batches of 14mm and 3 batches of 20mm diameter PP column. Different diameters of PP column were examined with 60 mm, 80 mm and 100 mm height respectively. The soil sample itself is 50mm in diameter and 100mm in height. The shear strength improvement of kaolin is 33.82%, 46.51% and 49.88% when it is implanted with PP column that is having 7.84 area replacement ratio and 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 penetration ratio. Meanwhile, the soft soil treated by using 16.00 area replacement ratio with 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 penetration ratio has a shear strength increment of 25.22%, 33.39% and 37.59% respectively. From the result, the H_c/D_c ratio was found to be within 4-8 which is same as the one recommended by previous researchers. In short, the shear strength improvement of the kaolin clay is very depending on the parameter of the PP column that used to reinforce the sample.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DEC	CLARATION	
TIT	LE PAGE	
ACH	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABS	STRAK	iii
ABS	STRACT	iv
TAB	BLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST	T OF TABLES	ix
LIST	T OF FIGURES	X
LIST	T OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST	T OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
CHA	APTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Research	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objective of Research	3
1.4	Scope of Research	4
1.5	Significance of Research	5
CHA	APTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Soft Clay Soil	7
	2.2.1 Composition of Clay Mineral	8
	2.2.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of Soft Clay	11

	2.2.3	Classification of soil	12
2.3	Kaolin	clay	14
	2.3.1	Physical and Chemical Properties of Kaolin Clay	15
2.4	Plastic		17
2.5	Polypr	ropylene (PP)	19
	2.5.1	Physical Properties of PP	20
2.6	Soil in	nprovement method	21
2.7	Туре с	of Granular Column	23
	2.7.1	Stone Column	23
	2.7.2	Sand Column	24
	2.7.3	Polypropylene (PP) Column	24
	2.7.4	Bottom Ash Column	25
	2.7.5	Silica Manganese Slag	25
	2.7.6	Tyre Chips Column	26
	2.7.7	Summary	27
2.8	Geotez	xtile-Encapsulated Column	27
2.9	Design	n Parameter	28
CHAH	PTER 3	METHODOLOGY	31
3.1	Introd	uction	31
3.2	Select	ion of Ground Improvement Technique	31
3.3	Select	ion of Material	33
3.4	Labora	atory Works	34
	3.4.1	Laboratory Works for Materials' Properties Testing	35
	3.4.2	Laboratory Works for Reinforced Kaolin Shear Strength Testing	43

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introd	uction	47
4.2	Proper	ties of Kaolin Clay, Plastic and Non-Woven Geotextile	47
	4.2.1	Properties of Kaolin Clay	48
	4.2.2	Properties of Plastic	53
	4.2.3	Properties of Non-Woven Geotextile	55
4.3	Effect	of Encapsulated PP Column to Undrained Shear Strength Kaolin Clay	55
4.4	4 Correlation Between the Column's Parameters and the Shear Strength Gain.		60
	4.4.1	Effect of Column Penetration Ratio to The Undrained Shear Strength	60
	4.4.2	Effect of Column Height to Column Diameter Ratio to The Undrained Shear Strength	64
	4.4.3	Effect of Volume Replacement Ratio to The Undrained Shear Strength	68
CHAF	PTER 5	CONCLUSION	72
5.1	Introd	uction	72
5.2	Conclu	isions	72
5.3	Recon	nmendations	73
REFE	RENC	ES	75
APPE	NDIX A	A SIEVE ANALYSIS TEST RESULT	82
APPE	NDIX]	B HYDROMETER TEST RESULT	83
APPE	NDIX	C STANDARD COMPACTION TEST RESULT	84
APPE	NDIX 1	D SPECIFIC GRAVITY TEST RESULT	85
APPE	NDIX]	E FALLING HEAD TEST RESULT	86

47

APPENDIX F ATTERBERG LIMIT TEST RESULT	87
APPENDIX G SIEVE ANALYSIS TEST RESULT	88
APPENDIX H RELATIVE DENSITY TEST RESULT	89
APPENDIX I CONSTANT HEAD PERMEABIITY TEST RESULT	90

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary for the properties of kaolin	16
Table 2.2	Type of plastic and their properties	18
Table 2.3	Summary for the types of column and the finding of the researches	27
Table 2.4	Parameter and the result	30
Table 2.5	Parameter and the result	30
Table 3.1	Laboratory test and the standard used for materials	35
Table 3.2	Laboratory test and standard used for reinforced kaolin clay	35
Table 3.3	Dimension of the soil sample and PP column	45
Table 4.1	Comparison of standard compaction result with previous researchers	s49
Table 4.2	Comparison of kaolin's specific gravity with previous researchers	50
Table 4.3	Comparison of kaolin's permeability coefficient with previous researchers	50
Table 4.4	Comparison of LL, PL and PI with previous researchers	52
Table 4.5	Summary on the properties of kaolin clay	52
Table 4.6	Properties of polypropylene from manufacturer	53
Table 4.7	Summary on the properties of polypropylene	55
Table 4.8	Properties of non-woven geotextile MTS130	55
Table 4.9	Result obtained from unconfined compression test	58
Table 4.10	Undrained shear strength improvement of kaolin clay treated by PP column	59
Table 4.11	Summary on the correlation equation for different parameter.	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Selection flow of deep ground improvement technique	2
Figure 1.2	Structure failure due to swell and shrink of soft clay	3
Figure 2.1	The soil distribution map in West Malaysia	8
Figure 2.2	Structure of silica tetrahedron (top left), silica tetrahedral sheet (top right), alumina octahedron (bottom left) and alumina octahedral sheet (bottom right)	9
Figure 2.3	Structure of clay mineral sheets and structure of clay mineral layers	9
Figure 2.4	Structural scheme of soil minerals	10
Figure 2.5	Morphological feature of clay minerals (a) quartz, (b) kaolinite and (c) muscovite	11
Figure 2.6	Description of soil minerals	12
Figure 2.7	Textural classification chart	13
Figure 2.8	AASHTO classification system	14
Figure 2.9	Structure for kaolinite	15
Figure 2.10	The historical stages of plastic evolution	17
Figure 2.11	Polymerization process of polypropylene (a) Gas-phase process (b) Liquid-phase process	19
Figure 2.12	Cost for various ground improvement installation	22
Figure 2.13	Pressure to displacement graph for (a) soft clay and (b) ordinary sand column	24
Figure 2.14	Graph of settlement to pressure for encapsulated stone column and unencapsulated stone column	28
Figure 2.15	Failure mode of a single stone column	29
Figure 2.16	Unit cell of the stone column	29
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of project methodology	32
Figure 3.2	Calculation for the percentage of retained and passing through soil sample	36
Figure 3.3	Sequence of blows using rammer	38
Figure 3.4	The state of soil and based on Atterberg limit result	39
Figure 3.5	Arrangement of apparatus for falling head test	41
Figure 3.6	Constant head specimen cell	42
Figure 3.7	Diameter of the soil sample and PP column	44
Figure 4.1	Particle size distribution curve for kaolin	48
Figure 4.2	Compaction curve for kaolin	49
Figure 4.3	Graph of average penetration against average moisture content	51

Figure 4.4	Plasticity chart	52
Figure 4.5	Particle Size Distribution Curve for Granular Polypropylene	53
Figure 4.6	Shape of deformation under compression stress (a) without encapsulated PP column (b) with encapsulated PP column	57
Figure 4.7	Graph of undrained shear strength against height penetration ratio	61
Figure 4.8	Correlation between undrained shear strength and height penetration	162
Figure 4.9	Graph of undrained shear strength improvement against height penetration ratio	63
Figure 4.10	Correlation between undrained shear strength improvement and height penetration ratio	64
Figure 4.11	Graph of undrained shear strength against column height to diamete ratio	r 65
Figure 4.12	Correlation between undrained shear strength against column height to diameter ratio	t 66
Figure 4.13	Graph of undrained shear strength improvement against column height to diameter ratio	67
Figure 4.14	Correlation between undrained shear strength improvement against column height to diameter ratio	67
Figure 4.15	Graph of undrained shear strength against volume replacement	68
Figure 4.16	Correlation between undrained shear strength against volume replacement	69
Figure 4.17	Graph of undrained shear strength improvement against volume replacement	69
Figure 4.18	Correlation between undrained shear strength improvement against volume replacement	70

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Ac	Area of PP Column
As	Area of Kaolin Clay Specimen
Al^{3+}	Aluminium Ion
CaO	Calcium Oxide
D _c	Diameter of PP Column
Ds	Diameter of Kaolin Clay Specimen
Dr	Relative Density
Fe^{2+}	Ferrous Ion
Fe ³⁺	Ferric Ion
G _s	Specific Gravity
g/cm ³	Gram per Centimetre Cube
H _c	Height of PP Column
Hs	Height of Kaolin Clay Specimen
kN	Kilo Newton
kN/m ²	Kilo Newton per Metre Square
kPa	Kilo Pascal
m	Metre
Mg	Mega Gram
Mg^{2+}	Magnesium Ion
Mg/m ³	Mega Gram per Metre Cube
mm	Millimetre
m/s	Metre per Second
\mathbf{Q}_{p}	Point Bearing Load
Qs	Soil Surface Frictional Resistance
$q_{\rm u}$	Unconfined Compression Stress
\mathbb{R}^2	Correlation Cohesion
Si ⁴⁺	Silicon (IV) Cation
SiO ₂	Silicon Dioxide
Su	Undrained Shear Strength
Δs_u	Undrained Shear Strength Improvement
Vc	Volume of PP Column
Vs	Volume of Kaolin Clay Specimen
Wopt	Optimum Moisture Content

Zn^{2+}	Zinc Ion
μm	Micrometre
γ	Unit Weight
γ_{\min}	Minimum Unit Weight
$\gamma_{ m max}$	Maximum Unit Weight
$ ho_{ m d}$	Dry Density
%	Percent
°C	Degree Celsius

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
BPA	Bisphenol A
BS	British Standard
BSCS	British Soil Classification System
CL	Lean Clay
HCL	Hydrochloric Acid
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
LDPE	Low-Density Polyethylene
LL	Liquid Limit
PE	Polyethylene
PET	Polyethylene Terephthalate
PI	Plastic Index
PL	Plastic Limit
PS	Polystyrene
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
PP	Polypropylene
SPI	Society of the Plastics Industry
TO layer	A Layer of Tetrahedral Sheet with A Layer of Octahedral Sheet
TOT layer	2 Layers of Tetrahedral Sheet with A Layer of Octahedral Sheet
UCT	Unconfined Compression Test
US	United Stated
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
USDA	United State Department of Agriculture
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Research

Initiation of a construction project is normally started by selecting a suitable site. In order to maximize profit, developers prefer to choose those sites with strong and stable soil. Therefore, the land that is available for construction nowadays is getting lesser compared to the old days. According to Hasan *et al.* (2015), soft soil areas are needed to cope with the massive development in Malaysia especially when it comes to the coastal of Peninsular Malaysia. There are lots of soft soil in this area so the use of soft soil for the construction industry is definitely inevitable for future generation.

Clay soil is one of the members of soft and problematic soils other than peat soil and loamy soil. It can be found especially in the coastal environment. Clay soil is actually good for the foundation of the structure because it retains excessive water. This characteristic of clay soil can prevent the foundation to be eroded by retaining water and thus increases the sustainability of the entire building. However, the untreated soft clay is not suitable for any construction. This is because the ability to absorb water makes clay soil swells when the environment is wet and shrink when it is dry.

There are different types of soil improvement methods in market to treat different types of soft soil. Ground improvement can be generally classified into three main categories which are ground improvement, ground treatment and ground reinforcement. The selection of soil improvement method is shown in Figure 1.1. According to Mani and Nigee (2013), installation of stone columns as soil improvement is gaining popularity in this era because of its reasonable cost and its ability in solving different types of problematic soil. Stone columns can effectively reduce water table and mitigate massive change in soil volume which makes the soil more sustainable to soil settlement.



Figure 1.1 Selection flow of deep ground improvement technique Source: Saroglou *et al.* (2009)

Plastic is not a stranger to every human being on earth. There are different types of plastic which serve different purposes worldwide likes polypropylene (PP), polyethylene (PE), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS) and the others. Because of its lightweight, stability, durability and cost, the amount of plastic grows rapidly after its publication. Refer to the result of oceanographic model from Eriksen *et al.* (2014), more than 5.25 trillion particles weighting 268940 tons floating plastic on the surface of our ocean.

1.2 Problem Statement

The competition among construction industry is getting intense because site with strong and stable soil for construction is mostly occupied. There are more developers in Malaysia facing challenges where their sites are covered with soft clay soil. This is because the volume of soft clay soil alters with the water contents. Rapid change of soil volume leads to uneven settlement causing severe structural damage to foundation of construction (Smethurst and Clarke, 2008). As the foundation fails, the whole structure can be unsafe. Cracking of the structure is one of the significant signs of foundation failure and without proper solution, the structure tends to fall apart. The failure first occurs in a sign of hairline crack and the crack will grow larger if there is no proper treatment applied to the structure or the soil. Figure 1.2 clearly shows the effect of untreated soft clay soil to the structure constructed on it.



Figure 1.2 Structure failure due to swell and shrink of soft clay Source: Lee (2011)

Plastic is one of the main contributors to pollution in this era. It is hard to be biodegraded and its demolishment brings negative effect to our environment. Abundant plastic wastes are floating on the ocean and many of them end up in landfill. Although there are many activities on plastic reduction been arose but the amount of plastic worldwide is too overwhelming. Recently, plastics are sent to be recycled and processed into other products. Amidst the recycled products, granular plastic is one of the products that have the characteristic similar to aggregate. Thus, it has the potential to become the filler of granular columns in the soil improvement sector. It is pleasure if this study gives a positive result as it can solve both problematic soil and abundant plastic crisis.

1.3 Objective of Research

This research focuses mainly on investigating the effect of encapsulated PP as column in strengthening the soft clay. There are three main objectives for this research which area;

- 1. To determine the physical properties of kaolin clay and polypropylene.
- 2. To determine the undrained shear strength of soft clay reinforced with encapsulated polypropylene (PP) column.
- To analyze the correlation of undrained shear strength of kaolin clay with various dimensions.

REFERENCES

- Aboubakr, S., Kandil, U. and Taha, M. R. 2013. Creep of epoxy-caly nanocomposite at the FRP interface, International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives, 54, pp.1-12.
- Adamis, Z., Budapest, H. and Richard B. W. 2005. Environmental health criteria 231: Bentonite, kaolin, and selected clay minerals, World Health Organization.
- Aja, A. A. and Randy, G. J. 2013. Physical properties of kaolin used in soap production in Nigeria, The International Journal Of Engineering And Science (IJES), 2(10), pp. 10–15.
- Akhitha, A. and Aswathy, S. 2017. Strength improvement of stone columns using tyre chips and aggregates, International Conference on Geotechniques for Infrastructure Projects, Thiruvananthapuram, 5(08), pp. 1–5.
- Ali, K., Shahu, J. T. and Sharma, K. G. 2012. Model tests on geosynthetic-reinforced stone columns: A comparative study, Geosynthetics International, 19(4), pp. 292– 305.
- Ambily, A. P. and Gandhi, S. R. 2007. Behavior of stone columns based on experimental and FEM analysis, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 133(3), pp. 295–305.
- Amini, R. 2015. Physical modelling of vibro stone column using recycled aggregates, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Birmingham, UK.
- Arens, K., Salveter, M. and Bilgin, O. 2014. Analysis of aggregate pier systems for stabilization of subgrade settlement, Technical Report, University of Dayton: FHWA/OH-2014/14.
- Aroke, U. O., El-Nafaty, U. A. and Osha, O. A. 2013. Properties and characterization of kaolin clay from Alkaleri, North-Eastern Nigeria, International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, 3(11), pp. 387–392.
- Ayothiraman, S. S. 2011. Use of shredded tyre chips as aggregates in stone column: An experimental study, Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference, pp. 711–714.
- Babu, D. M. R., Nayak, S. and Shivashankar, R. 2013. A critical review of construction,

analysis and behaviour of stone columns, Geotechnical and Geological Engineering, 31(1), pp. 1–22.

- Bae, W. S., Shin, B. W. and An, B. C. 2002. Behaviors of foundation system improved with stone columns. The Twelfth International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference. Kitakyushu, Japan: 26-31 May.
- Baioumy, H., Nawawi, M., Wagner, K. and Arifin, M. H. 2015. Geochemistry and geothermometry of non-volcanic hot springs in West Malaysia, Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 290, pp. 12–22.
- Barton, C. D. and Karathanasis, A. D. 2002. Clay minerals, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Aiken, South Carolina, U.S.A & University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, U.S.A.
- Beckman, E. 2018. The world's plastic problem in numbers, World Economic Forum, pp. 12–17.
- Bigham, J. M., Fitzpatrick, R. W. and Schulze, D. G. 2002. Soil mineralogy with environmental applications, Soil Science Society of America, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Black, J. V., Sivakumar, V. and McKinley, J. D. 2007. Performance of clay samples reinforced with vertical granular columns. Canadian Geotechnical Journal. 44(1): 89-95.
- Brown, R. B. 2003. Soil Texture. University of Florida, IFAS Extension (online). http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/SS169 (7 March 2019).
- Cai, Y., Shi, B., Ng, C. W. W. and Tang, C. S. 2006. Effect of Polypropylene fibre and lime admixture on engineering properties of clayey soil, Engineering Geology, 87(3–4), pp. 230–240.
- Castro, J. 2017. Groups of encased stone columns: Influence of column length and arrangement, geotextiles and geomembranes. Elsevier Ltd, 45(2), pp. 68–80.
- Cengiz, C. and Güler, E. 2018. Seismic behavior of geosynthetic encased columns and ordinary stone columns, geotextiles and geomembranes. Elsevier, 46(1), pp. 40–51.

- Charles, J. and Watts, K. 1983. Compressibility of soft clay reinforced with granular columns. Proceedings of the 8th European conference on soil mechanics and foundation engineering, pp. 347-352.
- Costa, H. M., Ramos, V. D. and Oliveira, M. G. 2007. Degradation of Polypropylene (PP) during multiple extrusions: Thermal analysis, mechanical properties and analysis of variance, Polymer Testing, 26(5), pp. 676–684.
- Das, B. M. and Sobhan, K. 2008. Principle of geotechnical engineering, Global Engineering.
- Devidal, J. L., Dandurand, J. L. and Gout, R. 1996. Gibbs free energy of formation of kaolinite from solubility measurement in basic solution between 60 and 170°C, Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta, 60(4), pp. 553–564.
- Eriksen, M., Lebreton, L. C. M., Carson, H. S., Thiel, M., Moore, C. J., Borerro, J. C., Galgani, F., Ryan, P. G. and Reisser, J. 2014. Plastic pollution in the world's oceans: More than 5 trillion plastic pieces weighing over 250,000 tons afloat at sea, PLoS ONE 9(12): e111913.
- Gaafer, M., Bassioni, H. and Mostafa, T. 2015. Soil improvement techniques, International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 6(12), pp. 217–222.
- Greenwood, D. 1970. Mechanical improvement of soils below ground surface. Proceedings, Ground Engineering Conference, Institution of Civil Engineers London, UK., pp. 11-22.
- Hartman, D. 2017. The disadvantages of recycled plastics, sciencing (online).
 https://sciencing.com/disadvantages-recycled-plastics-7254476.html. (29
 November 2018)
- Hasan, M., Yusuf, N., Shahrudeen, N. A. N. and Mohammed, A. H. K. 2015. Strength of soft clay reinforced with group crushed polypropylene (PP) columns, Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 20(22), pp. 12291–12308.
- Hasan, M., Suki, S. and Pangee, N. 2016. Shear strength of soft clay reinforced with single encased bottom ash columns, ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 11(3), pp. 1562–1569.

- Hasan, M., Wan, J. W. J., Wong, S. C. and Hyodo, M. 2018. The undrained shear strength of soft clay reinforced with group encapsulated lime bottom ash columns, International Journal of GEOMATE, 14(46).
- Head, K. H. 2006. Manual of soil laboratory testing. Third Edition. Whittles Publishing.
- Hogan J. P. and Banks R. L. 1986. History of Crystalline Polypropylene. In: SeymourR. B., Cheng T. History of Polyolefins. Chemists and Chemistry, vol 7.Dordrecht: Springer.
- Hong, Y. S., Wu, C. S. and Yu, Y. S. 2016. Model tests on geotextile-encased granular columns under 1-g and undrained conditions, Geotextiles and Geomembranes. Elsevier Ltd, 44(1), pp. 13–27.
- Hughes, J. M. O. and Withers, N. J. 1974. Reinforcing of soft cohesive soils with stone columns. Ground Engineer, 7(3):42–49.
- Indraratna, B., Balasubramaniam, A. S. and Sivaneswaran, N. 1998. Analysis of settlement and lateral deformation of soft clay foundation beneath two full scale embankments, numerical and analytical in geomechanics, 21(9), pp. 599-618.
- Kumar, A., Rajput, S., Kumar, S., Singh, S. and Michael, T. T. 2016. Working model and analysis on innovative stone-column encasements working model and analysis on innovative stone-column encasements, Third international conference on recent development in engineering, science, management and humanities, Qutub Institutional Area: 11st December 2016.
- Lee, D. J. 2011. British Geological Survey and Ian Jefferson, School of Civil Engineering, University of Birmingham, Institution of Civil Engineers Manuals series, Chapter C5 – Expansive Soils.
- Lee, J. Y. 2016. Strength of soft clay embedded with single crushed, Degree Thesis, Universiti Malaysia Pahang.
- Lunardi, 1997. Ground improvement by means of jet-grouting, In Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Ground Improvement. Parma, Italy, pp. 65–85.
- Malarvizhi, S. N. and Ilamparuthi 2007. Comparative study on the behavior of encased stone column and conventional stone column, soils and foundations, 47(5), pp.

873-885.

- Mani, K. and Nigee, K. 2013. Focus on ground improvement, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 2(11), pp. 6451– 6456.
- Marto, A. Hassan, M. A., Makhtar, A. M. and Othman, B. A. 2013. Shear strength improvement of soft clay mixed with Tanjung Bin coal ash, APCBEE Procedia, pp. 116–122.
- McKelvey, D., Sivakumar, V., Bell, A. and Mclaverty G. 2002. Shear strength of recycled construction materials intended for use in vibro ground improvement.Proceedings of the ICE-Ground Improvement 6 (2): 59-68
- Mohamad, N. O., Razali, C. E., Hadi, A. A. A., Som, P. P., Eng, B. C., Rusli, M. B. and Mohamad, F. R. 2016. Challenges in construction over soft soil - case studies in Malaysia, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 136(1).
- Mohapatra, S. R., Rajagopal, K. and Sharma, J. 2016. Direct shear tests on geosyntheticencased granular columns, geotextiles and geomembranes. Elsevier Ltd, 44(3), pp. 396–405.
- Mukherjee, S. 2013. The science of clays. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Najjar, S., Sadek, S. and Maakaroun, T. 2010. Effect of sand columns on the undrained load response of soft clays. Journal of Geotechnical and Geo environmental Engineering.
- Piccinini, I. D. 2015. Ground improvement with stone columns Methods of calculating settlement improvement factor, University of Glasgow.
- Prasad, S. S. G., Harish, Y. and Satyanarayana, P. V. V. 2015. Stabilization of marine clays with geotextile reinforced stone columns using silica-manganese slag as a stone column material, International Journal of Computational Engineering Research, 09, pp. 2250–3005.
- Samadhiya, N., Maheswari, P. and Basu, P. 2008. Load-settlement characteristics of granular piles with randomly mixed fibres. Indian Geotech. J, 38: (3): 345-354.

- Saroglou, H., Antoniou, A. and Pateras, S. 2009. Ground improvement of clayey soil formations using stone columns: A case study from Greece, International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 3(4), pp. 493–498.
- Schulze, D. G. 2005. Clay minerals, Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment.
- Sepulveda M. J., Vallyathan, V., Attfield, M. D., Piacitelli, L. and Tucker, J. H. 1983. Pneumoconiosis and lung function in a group of kaolin workers. Am Rev Respir Dis, 127: 231–235.
- Serridge, C. 2005. Achieving sustainability in vibro stone column techniques. Proceedings of the ICE-Engineering Sustainability 158 (4): 211-222.
- Shaari, H., Shazili, N. A. M., Shazili, M., Abdullah, L. I., Abdullah, A., Lokman, M. and Tahir, N. M. 2017. Geochemistry and clay minerals of surface sediments of Southwestern Johor, Malaysia, Malaysian Journal of Analytical Science, 21(2), pp. 312–322.
- Siemens AG 2007. Process analytics in polypropylene (PP) plants (online). https://www.industry.usa.siemens.com/automation/us/en/processinstrumentation-and-analytics/process-analytics/pa-casestudies/Documents/CS_Process_Analytics_in_PP_Plants.pdf. (12 December 2018)
- Smethurst, J. and Clarke, D. 2008. Building on clay, the effects of geology, climate and vegetation on heave and settlement, The building conservation directory, Cathedral Communications Limited, pp. 56-58.
- Suraj, G., Iyer, C. S. P. and Lalithambika, M. 1998. Adsorption of cadmium and copper by modified kaolinites, Applied Clay Science, 13(4), pp. 293–306.
- Thompson, R. C., Swan, S. H., Moore, A. J. and Saal, F. 2009. Our plastic age, philosophical transactions of the royal society B: Biological Sciences, 364(1526), pp. 1973–1976.
- Thompson, R. C., Moore, C. J., Saal, F. and Swan, S. H. 2010. Plastics, the environment and human health: Current consensus and future trends, pp. 2153–2166.

- Tournassat, C. 2015. Natural and engineered clay barriers, volume 6, surface properties of clay minerals, 1st ed. Elsevier, pp.5-31.
- Trevino, J. C. M. and Coles, C. A. 2003. Kaolinite properties, structure and influence of metal retention on pH, Applied Clay Science, 23(1–4), pp. 133–139.
- Vahedian, A., Mahini, S. and Aghdaei, S. A. 2014. A short state of the art review on construction and settlement of soft clay soil reinforced with stone column, International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 6(5), pp. 420–426.
- Webb, H. K., Arnott, J., Crawford, R. and Ivanova, E. P. 2012. Plastic degradation and its environmental implications with special reference to Poly(ethylene terephthalate), Polymers 2013 (5), pp. 1-18.
- Yasuda, S., Ishihara, K., Harada, K. and Shinkawa, N. 1996. Effect of soil improvement on ground subsidence, special issue of soils and foundations, 36, pp. 99–107.
- Zukri, A. and Nazir, R. 2018. Sustainable materials used as stone column filler: A short review, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 342(1).