

**PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES
CHANGES ON MALAYSIA'S BIOMASS
TORREFACTION AND DEVELOPMENT
OF TORREFACTION CORRELATION
MODEL**

NUR HAZIRAH HUDA BINTI MOHD HARUN

Master of Science

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that We have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. SURIYATI BINTI SALEH

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. NOOR ASMA FAZLI BIN ABDUL SAMAD

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NUR HAZIRAH HUDA BINTI MOHD HARUN

ID Number : MKC15017

Date :

**PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES CHANGES ON MALAYSIA'S BIOMASS
TORREFACTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF TORREFACTION
CORRELATION MODEL**

NUR HAZIRAH HUDA BINTI MOHD HARUN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank the following people for their contribution to the making of this thesis:

My dear mother, for always believing, supporting me throughout the journey. Each written page is the symbol of your love and du'a.

My father: who keeps on encouraging and inspiring me.

My brothers, for their unconditional love and support.

Dr Suriyati Saleh, my supervisor, for her continuous support, guidance, sharing, advices and effective weekly meeting towards the completion of my masters degree research.

Dr Noor Asma Fazli Abdul Samad, my co-supervisor, for his guidance, advice, valuable knowledge sharing and insight especially on the modeling part.

Noratiqah, a dear friend of mine, who not only help me with discussions, but who keeps motivating me especially towards the end of the journey.

Zakirah, Fakhrur and Bilal, my teammates, for the invaluable ideas, discussions and helps through the research. I have learnt much from you guys!

Nursofia, Nurmaryam Aini, Nurul Amira, Natassha, Addilla, Nurulain Nadhirah, Nurashikin, Noraishah, for their unfailing love and support. Thanks for cheering my postgraduate life at UMP.

ABSTRAK

Pada ketika ini, *torrefaction* merupakan antara teknologi prarawatan yang penting untuk menambah baik ciri-ciri biojisim dalam usaha untuk mempromosi pemanfaatan biojisim bagi penghasilan tenaga lestari. *Torrefaction* adalah proses termal yang berlaku dalam persekitaran lengai bebas oksigen pada julat suhu 220 – 300°C. Sepanjang proses ini, biojisim akan terurai dan beberapa sifat biojisim akan berubah akibat kemusnahan strukturnya. Secara amnya, biojisim yang terurai mempunyai warna yang lebih gelap, ketumpatan tenaga yang tinggi, nilai pemanasan yang tinggi dan mempunyai ciri hidrofobik yang memudahkan pengisaran. Oleh itu, tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk menjalankan eksperimen untuk mengenalpasti kesan *torrefaction* kepada sifat fizikal-kimia biojisism seterusnya membangunkan satu model matematik untuk penilaian penurunan berat kontang (AWL). Eksperimen untuk *torrefaction* telah dijalankan di dalam reaktor tiub pada empat suhu yang berbeza (240, 270, 300 and 300°C), di dalam keadaan lengai dengan kehadiran nitrogen pada tiga tempoh masa yang berbeza (15, 30 dan 60 minit). Kesan *torrefaction* pada tiga jenis sisa kelapa sawit (tandan kosong kelapa sawit, tempurung kelapa sawit dan pelepas kelapa sawit) dan tiga jenis sisa pembalakan (meranti, kulim, cengal) ditaksir dengan melakukan beberapa analisis bersandarkan kepada piawaian prosedur untuk bahan bakar. Analisis yang dilakukan adalah analisis hampiran dan muktamad, analisis nilai kalori (HHV) dan pandangan mikroskopi elektron pengimbasan (SEM). Tambahan itu, berdasarkan ciri-ciri sisa kelapa sawit dan pembalakan, hubungkait antara data ciri-ciri tersebut dengan kehilangan jisim dinilai untuk tujuan Model Regresi Linear. Pada masa yang sama, tiga model kinetik dianalisis dan dibangunkan untuk menunjukkan proses *torrefaction* sebenar untuk sampel sisa sawit dan pembalakan. Model yang digunakan adalah Model Mudah Global, dua tindak balas dalam siri iaitu Model Di Blasi-Lanzetta dan tiga tindak balas selari iaitu Model Rousset di mana semua parameter kinetik yang mewakili evolusi produk pepejal dan jirim meruap diramalkan dan disimulasikan menggunakan Matlab R2014a. Kemudian, parameter yang diperoleh daripada kerja simulan diperbaiki untuk memadankan pengurangan jirim dan taburan jirim meruap yang diramalkan dengan data eksperimen. Kesimpulannya, terbukti bahawa *torrefaction* dapat meningkatkan ciri-ciri bahan bakar biojisim berdasarkan nilai HHV, dan analisis proksimat & muktamad. Dari hasil yang diperoleh, HHV untuk sisa kelapa sawit dan sisa pembalakan adalah di dalam julat 22 – 26 MJkg⁻¹. Nilai ini berada dalam lingkungan HHV untuk arang batu iaitu 24 – 35 MJkg⁻¹. Model korelasi linear telah dibangunkan untuk meramal analisis hampiran dan analisis muktamad dengan menggunakan pengurangan jirim sebagai input. Nilai regresi yang bagus telah diperoleh menunjukkan bahawa satu model korelasi yang andal telah berjaya dibangunkan. Untuk pemodelan AWL, Model Di Blasi-Lanzetta dan Rousset telah berjaya mendemonstrasikan AWL secara tepat untuk biojisim yang berkenaan. Model-model ini dibuktikan dengan data eksperimen oleh itu, boleh digunakan untuk meramalkan AWL pelbagai biojisim. Sebagai kesimpulannya, hasil jisim selepas *torrefaction* untuk pelbagai jenis biojisim boleh diramalkan menggunakan model yang telah dibangunkan untuk mengoptimumkan proses *torrefaction* pada skala kecil dan industri.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, torrefaction has become one of the important pretreatment technologies to upgrade the properties of biomass in order to promote utilization of biomass for sustainable energy production. Torrefaction is a thermal process that occurs in an inert oxygen-free environment at temperature range of 200 – 300°C. Throughout the process, biomass is decomposed and some properties of biomass changed as a result of structure destruction. In general, torrefied biomass has darker color, high energy density, high heating value and exhibits hydrophobic characteristic that makes it easier for grinding. Therefore, the objectives of this research are to conduct an experimental work in order to identify the effect of torrefaction on the physicochemical properties of biomass and to development of linear correlation model and mathematical model for anhydrous weight loss (AWL) evaluation. Torrefaction experiments were conducted in a tubular reactor at four different temperatures (240, 270, 300 and 330°C), in an inert nitrogen condition at three different residence times (15, 30 and 60 minutes). The effect of torrefaction on three types of oil palm waste (empty fruit bunch, palm kernel shell, oil palm frond) and three types of forestry residue (meranti, kulim, cengal) samples were assessed by conducting several analyses following the standard procedure for fuel. Analyses performed were proximate analysis and ultimate analysis, calorific value analysis (HHV) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Based on the properties of torrefied oil palm waste and forestry residue, the correlation of the properties data were evaluated with respect to mass loss data for Linear Regression Model purpose. Concurrently, three kinetic models were analysed and developed to briefly demonstrate the real torrefaction process using oil palm waste and forestry residue samples. AWL model used were Simple Global Model, a two reaction in series model namely Di Blasi-Lanzetta model and three parallel reaction namely Rousset Model in which all kinetic parameters that represents the evolution of solid and volatile products are predicted and simulated using Matlab R2014a. Later, parameters obtained from the simulation work were fine-tuned in order to fit the predicted mass loss and volatiles distribution with the experimental data. From the results obtained, mass yield for oil palm waste and forestry residues were reduced about 20 to 40%. Energy yield for oil palm waste decreased for about 20% whereas energy yield for forestry residues increased for about the same. HHV for torrefied oil palm waste and forestry residue are in the range of 22 – 26 MJkg⁻¹. These values are in the range of HHV for coal which is 24 – 35 MJkg⁻¹. In conclusion, it is proven that torrefaction can improve the fuel characteristics of biomass based on the HHV value, proximate analysis and ultimate analysis. Among oil palm waste, palm kernel shell is the most suitable feedstock for torrefaction as recorded HHV is 25.83 MJkg⁻¹@330°C whereas cengal is the most suitable feedstock for forestry residue (25.45 MJkg⁻¹@330°C). Good regression value has been obtained indicating a reliable correlation model has been developed for predicting the proximate and ultimate analysis using mass loss as an input. For AWL modelling, Di Blasi-Lanzetta and Rousset Model have accurately demonstrated the AWL of the respective biomass. The models were validated with the experimental data therefore, can be implemented to predict the AWL of various biomass.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
|-------------------------|----|

| | |
|----------------|-----|
| ABSTRAK | iii |
|----------------|-----|

| | |
|-----------------|----|
| ABSTRACT | iv |
|-----------------|----|

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| TABLE OF CONTENT | v |
|-------------------------|---|

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
|-----------------------|---|

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
|------------------------|----|

| | |
|------------------------|------|
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xiii |
|------------------------|------|

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
|------------------------------|-----|

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1.1 Background of Study | 1 |
|-------------------------|---|

| | |
|----------------|---|
| 1.2 Motivation | 2 |
|----------------|---|

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| 1.3 Problem Statement | 2 |
|-----------------------|---|

| | |
|----------------|---|
| 1.4 Objectives | 4 |
|----------------|---|

| | |
|------------|---|
| 1.5 Scopes | 4 |
|------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.6 Significance of the Study | 5 |
|-------------------------------|---|

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| 1.7 Thesis Outline | 5 |
|--------------------|---|

| | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 7 |
|------------------------------------|---|

| | |
|------------------|---|
| 2.1 Introduction | 7 |
|------------------|---|

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| 2.2 Malaysia Energy Demands | 8 |
|-----------------------------|---|

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2.3 Current Energy Supply in Malaysia | 9 |
|---------------------------------------|---|

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 2.3.1 | Oil | 9 |
| 2.3.2 | Coal | 9 |
| 2.3.3 | Hydropower | 10 |
| 2.4 | Potential Renewable Energy in Malaysia | 10 |
| 2.5 | Biomass Constituents | 11 |
| 2.5.1 | Lignin | 12 |
| 2.5.2 | Cellulose | 13 |
| 2.5.3 | Hemicellulose | 13 |
| 2.6 | Oil Palm Waste | 14 |
| 2.6.1 | Current Utilization of Oil Palm Waste | 17 |
| 2.7 | Forestry Residue | 18 |
| 2.7.1 | Meranti Tree | 19 |
| 2.7.2 | Kulim Tree | 19 |
| 2.7.3 | Cengal Tree | 20 |
| 2.8 | Torrefaction Process | 20 |
| 2.9 | Torrefaction Product Distribution | 21 |
| 2.9.1 | Solid Torrefied Biomass | 21 |
| 2.9.2 | Condensable Gases | 22 |
| 2.9.3 | Non Condensable Gases | 23 |
| 2.10 | Properties of Torrefied Biomass | 23 |
| 2.10.1 | Moisture Content | 23 |
| 2.10.2 | Proximate and Ultimate Analysis | 24 |
| 2.10.3 | Mass and Energy Yields | 25 |
| 2.10.4 | Grindability | 26 |
| 2.11 | Torrefaction of Oil Palm Waste in Malaysia | 26 |
| 2.12 | Torrefaction of Forestry Residue in Malaysia | 27 |
| 2.13 | Mathematical Modelling | 28 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 2.13.1 | Linear Correlation Model | 29 |
| 2.13.2 | Anhydrous Weight Loss Model | 30 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | 33 |
| 3.1 | Overview | 33 |
| 3.2 | Materials | 33 |
| 3.3 | Experimental Setup | 34 |
| 3.4 | Experimental Work | 35 |
| 3.4.1 | Sample Preparation | 35 |
| 3.4.2 | Torrefaction Experiment (Batch Reactor) | 36 |
| 3.4.3 | Torrefaction in Thermogravimetric Analyser (TGA) for kinetic parameter estimation | 36 |
| 3.5 | Product Analysis | 37 |
| 3.5.1 | Proximate Analysis | 37 |
| 3.5.2 | Ultimate Analysis | 38 |
| 3.5.3 | Calorific Value (HHV) | 38 |
| 3.5.4 | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) view | 39 |
| 3.6 | Mathematical Modelling | 40 |
| 3.7 | Linear Correlation Model | 41 |
| 3.7.1 | Regression Analysis | 41 |
| 3.7.2 | Model Validation | 41 |
| 3.8 | Anhydrous Weight Loss Model | 41 |
| 3.8.1 | Torrefaction Mathematical Modelling | 42 |
| 3.8.2 | Di Blasi – Lanzetta Model | 43 |
| 3.8.3 | Rousset Model | 46 |
| 3.8.4 | Kinetic Parameter Estimation | 47 |
| 3.8.5 | Model Validation | 48 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 49 |
| 4.1 Overview | 49 |
| 4.2 Properties of Raw Biomass | 49 |
| 4.3 Mass Yield | 51 |
| 4.4 Energy Yield | 55 |
| 4.5 Proximate Analysis | 59 |
| 4.6 Ultimate Analysis | 62 |
| 4.7 O/C and H/C Ratios | 62 |
| 4.8 Moisture Content | 67 |
| 4.9 Higher Heating Value (HHV) | 68 |
| 4.10 Physical Appearances | 71 |
| 4.11 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) image | 73 |
| CHAPTER 5 LINEAR CORRELATION MODEL AND KINETIC MODELLING OF TORREFIED OIL PALM WASTE AND FORESTRY RESIDUE | 75 |
| 5.1 Overview | 75 |
| 5.2 Linear Correlation Model | 75 |
| 5.3 Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) | 81 |
| 5.4 Differential Thermogravimetry (DTG) | 82 |
| 5.5 Anhydrous Weight Loss Modelling | 87 |
| 5.6 Di Blasi Lanzetta Kinetic Parameter Analysis and Model Verification | 88 |
| 5.7 Rousset Kinetic Parameter Analysis and Model Verification | 92 |
| CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS | 97 |
| 6.1 Conclusions | 97 |
| 6.2 Recommendation and Future Works | 98 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| REFERENCES | 100 |
| APPENDIX A LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | 112 |
| APPENDIX B MATLAB CODING FOR DI BLASI-LANZETTA MODEL | 113 |
| APPENDIX C MATLAB CODING FOR ROUSSET MODEL | 114 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 2.1 | Current utilization of oil palm waste at oil palm mills | 17 |
| Table 2.2 | Previous studies on oil palm waste torrefaction | 27 |
| Table 2.3 | Previous studies on woody biomass torrefaction | 28 |
| Table 2.4 | Correlation equations from literature | 30 |
| Table 3.1 | Kinetic model for Di Blasi – Lanzetta Model | 43 |
| Table 3.2 | Kinetic model for Rousset Model | 46 |
| Table 4.1 | Proximate and ultimate analysis for coal and raw biomass | 50 |
| Table 4.2 | Proximate and ultimate analysis of oil palm waste | 60 |
| Table 4.3 | Proximate and ultimate analysis of forestry residue | 60 |
| Table 4.4 | Changes of physical appearances in accordance to torrefaction severity for oil palm waste | 71 |
| Table 4.5 | Changes of physical appearances in accordance to torrefaction severity for forestry residue | 72 |
| Table 5.1 | Linear correlation model for oil palm waste and forestry residue | 81 |
| Table 5.2 | Kinetic parameter for oil palm waste | 88 |
| Table 5.3 | Kinetic parameters for forestry residue | 88 |
| Table 5.4 | Kinetic parameter for oil palm waste | 92 |
| Table 5.5 | Kinetic parameter for forestry residue | 94 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 | Main monomers for lignin (Du et al., 2013) | 13 |
| Figure 2.2 | Basic structure of cellulose (Sarvaramini, 2014) | 13 |
| Figure 2.3 | Structure of hemicellulose (Sarvaramini, 2014) | 14 |
| Figure 2.4 | General Oil palm tree structure | 15 |
| Figure 2.5 | Cross section of oil palm fresh fruit bunch (FFB) | 15 |
| Figure 2.6 | Oil Palm waste generation and its application in Malaysia (Hosseini & Wahid, 2014) | 16 |
| Figure 2.7 | Generation of forestry residues waste in 2015 (mil m ³) | 18 |
| Figure 2.8 | Biomass torrefaction product composition (Bergman & Kiel, 2005) | 21 |
| Figure 2.9 | Degradation profile of biomass hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin (adapted from Stefanidis et al. (2014)) | 22 |
| Figure 3.1 | Overall workflow for the study | 33 |
| Figure 3.2 | Experimental setup for torrefaction using batch reactor | 34 |
| Figure 3.3 | Workflow for experimental work | 35 |
| Figure 3.4 | Detailed workflow for the Linear Regression Model and Anhydrous Weight Loss Model | 40 |
| Figure 3.5 | Detailed workflow for kinetic parameter estimation | 47 |
| Figure 4.1 | Effect of torrefaction temperature and residence time on mass yield of (a) EFB, (b) PKS and (c) OPF | 53 |
| Figure 4.2 | Effect of torrefaction temperature and residence time on mass yield of a) Meranti, b) Cengal and c) Kulim | 54 |
| Figure 4.3 | Effect of torrefaction temperature and residence time on energy yield of a) EFB, b) PKS and c) OPF | 56 |
| Figure 4.4 | Effect of torrefaction temperature and residence time on energy yield of a) Meranti, b) Cengal and c) Kulim | 57 |
| Figure 4.5 | Effect of torrefaction temperature on mass and energy yields of EFB, PKS and OPF at 30 minutes | 59 |
| Figure 4.6 | Effect of torrefaction temperature on mass and energy yields of Meranti, Cengal and Kulim at 30 minutes | 59 |
| Figure 4.7 | O/C and H/C ratios for a) oil palm waste and b) forestry residue | 64 |
| Figure 4.8 | Distribution of mass yield, moisture content and proximate analysis for oil palm waste | 65 |
| Figure 4.9 | Distribution of mass yield, moisture content and proximate analysis for forestry residue | 66 |
| Figure 4.10 | Relationship between mass loss and moisture content for a) oil palm waste and b) forestry residue | 68 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 4.11 | Relationship between carbon content and HHV for a) oil palm waste and b) forestry residue | 70 |
| Figure 4.12 | SEM view for EFB (oil palm waste) and MS (forestry residue) | 74 |
| Figure 5.1 | Experimental and modelled data for EFB showing the linear relationship between mass yield and temperature | 76 |
| Figure 5.2 | Linear correlation of a) Carbon, b) Hydrogen and c) Oxygen elements as a function of mass loss | 78 |
| Figure 5.3 | Linear correlation model for a) HHV, b) volatile matter, c) ash and d) fixed carbon | 80 |
| Figure 5.4 | TGA graph for a) EFB, b) PKS and c) OPF | 83 |
| Figure 5.5 | TGA graph for a) MS, b) KS and c) CS | 84 |
| Figure 5.6 | DTG graph for a) EFB, b) PKS and c) OPF | 85 |
| Figure 5.7 | DTG graph for a) MS, b) KS and c) CS | 86 |
| Figure 5.8 | Anhydrous weight loss profile with respect to temperature and residence time for Meranti sawdust | 87 |
| Figure 5.9 | Residual mass for a) EFB, b) PKS and OPF at 30 minutes residence time | 90 |
| Figure 5.10 | Residual mass for a) Meranti, b) Kulim and c) Cengal at 30 minutes residence time | 91 |
| Figure 5.11 | Residual mass for a) EFB, b) PKS and OPF at 30 minutes residence time | 95 |
| Figure 5.12 | Residual mass for a) Meranti, b) Kulim and Cengal at 30 minutes residence time | 96 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| m_i | Initial mass |
| m_{torr} | Torrefied mass |
| Y_{energy} | Energy yield |
| Y_{mass} | Mass yield |
| HHV_i | Initial HHV |
| HHV_{torr} | Torrefied HHV |
| [A] | Solid A |
| [A ₀] | Initial solid A |
| [B] | Solid B |
| [B ₀] | Initial Solid B |
| [C] | Solid C |
| [C ₀] | Initial solid C |
| k_B | Kinetic constant for intermediate compound (B) |
| k_C | Kinetic constant for char |
| k_{V1} | Kinetic constant for volatile 1 |
| k_{V2} | Kinetic constant for volatile 2 |
| k_L | Kinetic constant for lignin |
| k_T | Kinetic constant for tar |
| k_{CL} | Kinetic constant for cellulose |
| k_{V3} | Kinetic constant for volatile 3 |
| k_{HB} | Kinetic constant for hemicellulose |
| k_{CI} | Kinetic constant for char 1 |
| k_{V4} | Kinetic constant for volatile 4 |
| k_{C2} | Kinetic constant for char 2 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|--|
| ASTM | American Standard for Testing Method |
| AWL | Anhydrous Weight Loss |
| CPO | Crude Palm Oil |
| CPKO | Crude Palm Kernel Oil |
| CS | Cengal Sawdust |
| DTG | Differential Thermogravimetry |
| EFB | Empty Fruit Bunch |
| EY | Energy Yield |
| FC | Fixed Carbon |
| FELDA | Federal Land Development Authority |
| FFB | Fresh Fruit Bunch |
| GCV | Gross Calorific Value |
| GHG | Green House Gas |
| HDPE | High Density Polyethylene |
| HHV | High Heating Value |
| KS | Kulim Sawdust |
| KTOE | Kilotonne of Oil Equivalent |
| MC | Moisture Content |
| MF | Mesocarp Fibre |
| ML | Mass Loss |
| MS | Meranti Sawdust |
| MY | Mass Yield |
| PKS | Palm Kernel Shell |
| POME | Palm Oil Mild Effluent |
| OPF | Oil Palm Frond |
| OPT | Oil Palm Trunk |
| SCORE | Sarawak Corridor of Renewable Energy |
| SEDA | Sustainable Energy Development Authority |
| SEM | Scanning Electron Microscopy |
| SREP | Small Renewable Energy Power |
| SSR | Sum of Square Residuals |
| TDT | Thermal Degradation Temperature |
| TGA | Thermogravimetric Analysis |
| VM | Volatile Matter |

REFERENCES

- Abas, R., Kamarudin, M. F., Nordin, A., & Simeh, M. A. (2011). A Study on the Malaysian Oil Palm Biomass Sector – Supply and Perception of Palm Oil Millers. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 11(1), 28–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.04.004>
- Abnisa, F., Daud W.M.A. Wan, W. M. A. W., Husin, W. N. W., & Sahu, J. N. (2011). Utilization possibilities of palm shell as a source of biomass energy in Malaysia by producing bio-oil in pyrolysis process. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(5), 1863–1872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.01.033>
- Ahmad, Z. S. (2016). Characterization of Meranti wood Sawdust and Removal of Lignin Content using Pre-treatment Process, 598–606.
- Al-Mansour, F., & Zuwala, J. (2010). An evaluation of biomass co-firing in Europe. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 34(5), 620–629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.01.004>
- Almeida, G., Brito, J. O., & Perré, P. (2010). Alterations in energy properties of eucalyptus wood and bark subjected to torrefaction: The potential of mass loss as a synthetic indicator. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(24), 9778–9784. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.07.026>
- Amin Sarvaramini. (2014). New routes to enhance the efficiency of biomass torrefaction and gasification processes. *Québec University Dotoral Thesis*, 277p.
- Anca-Couce, A., & Scharler, R. (2017). Modelling heat of reaction in biomass pyrolysis with detailed reaction schemes. *Fuel*, 206, 572–579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.06.011>
- Asadullah, M., Adi, A. M., Suhada, N., Malek, N. H., Saringat, M. I., & Azdarpour, A. (2014). Optimization of palm kernel shell torrefaction to produce energy densified bio-coal. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 88, 1086–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.04.071>
- Awalludin, M. F., Sulaiman, O., Hashim, R., & Nadhari, W. N. A. W. (2015). An overview of the oil palm industry in Malaysia and its waste utilization through thermochemical conversion, specifically via liquefaction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 50, 1469–1484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.085>
- Aziz, M. A., Uemura, Y., & Sabil, K. M. (2011). Characterization of oil palm biomass as feed for torrefaction process. *2011 National Postgraduate Conference - Energy and Sustainability: Exploring the Innovative Minds*, NPC 2011, (c), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/NatPC.2011.6136260>
- Basu, P. (2013). *Economic Issues of Biomass Energy Conversion. Biomass Gasification, Pyrolysis, and Torrefaction*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Basu, P., Kulshreshtha, A., & Acharya, B. (2017). An Index for Quantifying the Degree of Torrefaction. *BioResources*, 12(1), 1749–1766. <https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.12.1.1749-1766>
- Bergman, P. C. a., & Kiel, J. H. a. (2005). Torrefaction for biomass upgrading. *Proc. 14th European Biomass Conference, Paris, France*, (October), 17–21. Retrieved from <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Torrefaction+for+biomass+upgrading#0>

- Bousdira, K., Bousdira, D., Bekkouche, S. M. E. A., Nouri, L., & Legrand, J. (2017). Kinetic pyrolysis study and classification of date palm biomass. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4974342>
- Branca, C., & Di Blasi, C. (2003). Kinetics of the isothermal degradation of wood in the temperature range 528–708 K. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 67(2), 207–219. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370\(02\)00062-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370(02)00062-1)
- Chen, H. (2014). *Biotechnology of lignocellulose: Theory and practice. Biotechnology of Lignocellulose: Theory and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6898-7>
- Chen, W.-H., Wang, C.-W., Kumar, G., Rousset, P., & Hsieh, T.-H. (2018). Effect of torrefaction pretreatment on the pyrolysis of rubber wood sawdust analyzed by Py-GC/MS. *Bioresource Technology*, 259, 469–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2018.03.033>
- Chen, W. H., Cheng, W. Y., Lu, K. M., & Huang, Y. P. (2011). An evaluation on improvement of pulverized biomass property for solid fuel through torrefaction. *Applied Energy*, 88(11), 3636–3644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.03.040>
- Chen, W. H., Du, S. W., Tsai, C. H., & Wang, Z. Y. (2012). Torrefied biomasses in a drop tube furnace to evaluate their utility in blast furnaces. *Bioresource Technology*, 111, 433–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.163>
- Chen, W. H., & Kuo, P. C. (2010). A study on torrefaction of various biomass materials and its impact on lignocellulosic structure simulated by a thermogravimetry. *Energy*, 35(6), 2580–2586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2010.02.054>
- Chen, W. H., & Kuo, P. C. (2011a). Isothermal torrefaction kinetics of hemicellulose, cellulose, lignin and xylan using thermogravimetric analysis. *Energy*, 36(11), 6451–6460. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.09.022>
- Chen, W. H., & Kuo, P. C. (2011b). Torrefaction and co-torrefaction characterization of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin as well as torrefaction of some basic constituents in biomass. *Energy*, 36(2), 803–811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2010.12.036>
- Chen, W. H., Peng, J., & Bi, X. T. (2015). A state-of-the-art review of biomass torrefaction, densification and applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 44, 847–866. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.12.039>
- Chew, J. J., & Doshi, V. (2011). Recent advances in biomass pretreatment - Torrefaction fundamentals and technology. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(8), 4212–4222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.09.017>
- Du, L., Wang, Z., Li, S., Song, W., & Lin, W. (2013). A comparison of monomeric phenols produced from lignin by fast pyrolysis and hydrothermal conversions. *International Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering*, 11(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijcre-2012-0085>
- Fernando, R. (2012). *Cofiring high ratios of biomass with coal. IEA Clean Coal Centre*.
- Fogarasi, S., & Cormos, C. C. (2017). Assessment of coal and sawdust co-firing power generation under oxy-combustion conditions with carbon capture and storage. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 3527–3535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.115>

- Griffin, W. M., Michalek, J., Matthews, H. S., & Hassan, M. N. A. (2014). Availability of biomass residues for co-firing in peninsular Malaysia: Implications for cost and GHG emissions in the electricity sector. *Energies*, 7(2), 804–823. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en7020804>
- Hamzah, N., Tokimatsu, K., & Yoshikawa, K. (2017). Prospective for power generation of solid fuel from hydrothermal treatment of biomass and waste in Malaysia. *Energy Procedia*, 142, 369–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.12.058>
- Hanaffi Mohd Fuad, M. A., Faizal, H. M., Rahman, M. R. A., & Latiff, Z. A. (2018). Torrefaction of densified empty fruit bunches with addition of plastics waste. *Biofuels*, 7269, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17597269.2018.1457312>
- Hansen, U. E., & Nygaard, I. (2014). Sustainable energy transitions in emerging economies: The formation of a palm oil biomass waste-to-energy niche in Malaysia 1990–2011. *Energy Policy*, 66, 666–676. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.11.028>
- Harun, N. H. H. M., Samad, N. A. F. A., & Saleh, S. (2017). Development of Kinetics Model for Torrefaction of Empty Fruit Bunch from Palm Oil Waste. *Energy Procedia*, 105, 744–749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.385>
- Hosseini, S. E., & Wahid, M. A. (2014). Utilization of palm solid residue as a source of renewable and sustainable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 40, 621–632. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.214>
- International Energy Agency. (2017). World Energy Outlook: Chapter 1 - Introduction and Scope, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1787/weo-2017-en>
- IRENA. (2012). Renewable Energy Technologies: Cost Analysis Series. *Biomass for Power Generation*, 1(1/5), 60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-098330-1.00011-9>
- Muslim, M. B., Saleh, S., & Samad, N. A. F. (2017). Effects of purification on the hydrogen production in biomass gasification process. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 56, 1495–1500. <https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1756250>
- Ninduangdee, P., Kuprianov, V. I., Cha, E. Y., Kaewrath, R., Youngyuen, P., & Atthawethworawuth, W. (2015). *Thermogravimetric Studies of Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch and Palm Kernel Shell: TG/DTG Analysis and Modeling*. Energy Procedia (Vol. 79). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.518>
- Osman, N., Othman, H. T., Karim, R. A., & Mazlan, M. A. F. (2014). Biomass in Malaysia: Forestry-based residues. *Int J Biomass Renew*, 3(1), 7–14.
- Pang, S., & Mujumdar, A. S. (2010). Drying of woody biomass for bioenergy: Drying technologies and optimization for an integrated bioenergy plant. *Drying Technology*, 28(5), 690–701. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07373931003799236>
- Peng, J., Bi, X. T., Lim, J., & Sokhansanj, S. (2012). Development of torrefaction kinetics for British Columbia softwoods. *International Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1515/1542-6580.2878>
- Peng, J. H., Bi, H. T., Sokhansanj, S., & Lim, J. C. (2012). A Study of Particle Size Effect on Biomass Torrefaction and Densification.

Peng, J. H., Bi, X. T., Sokhansanj, S., & Lim, C. J. (2013). Torrefaction and densification of different species of softwood residues. *Fuel*, 111, 411–421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2013.04.048>

Peninsular Malaysia Electricity Supply Industry Outlook 2016. (2016).

Phanphanich, M., & Mani, S. (2011). Impact of torrefaction on the grindability and fuel characteristics of forest biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(2), 1246–1253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.028>

Prins, M. J., Ptasiński, K. J., & Janssen, F. J. J. G. (2006). More efficient biomass gasification via torrefaction. *Energy*, 31(15), 3458–3470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2006.03.008>

Repellin, V., Govin, A., Rolland, M., & Guyonnet, R. (2010). Modelling anhydrous weight loss of wood chips during torrefaction in a pilot kiln. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 34(5), 602–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2010.01.002>

Rousset, P., Turner, I., Donnut, A., & Perre, P. (2006). Choix d'un modèle de pyrolyse ménagée du bois à l'échelle de la microparticule en vue de la modélisation macroscopique. *Annals of Forest Science*, 63(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1051/forest>

Rozario, M. (2013). National Biomass Strategy 2020: New wealth creation for Malaysia's palm oil industry. *Agensi Inovasi, Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur*, (June), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.07.010>

Sabil, K. M., Aziz, M. A., Lal, B., & Uemura, Y. (2013). Effects of torrefaction on the physicochemical properties of oil palm empty fruit bunches, mesocarp fiber and kernel shell. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 56, 351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.05.015>

Saka, S. Munusamy, M.V. Shibata, M. Tono, Y. Miyafuji, H. (2008). Characterization in Chemical Composition of the Oil Palm (*Elaeis guineensis*).pdf.

Soysa, R., Choi, Y. S., Kim, S. J., & Choi, S. K. (2016). Fast pyrolysis characteristics and kinetic study of Ceylon tea waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 41(37), 16436–16443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.04.066>

Stefanidis, S. D., Kalogiannis, K. G., Iliopoulos, E. F., Michailof, C. M., Pilavachi, P. A., & Lappas, A. A. (2014). A study of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis via the pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 105, 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2013.10.013>

Sukiran, M. A., Abnisa, F., Wan Daud, W. M. A., Abu Bakar, N., & Loh, S. K. (2017). A review of torrefaction of oil palm solid wastes for biofuel production. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 149, 101–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.07.011>

Suruhanjaya Tenaga Energy Commission. (2015). Malaysia Energy Statistics Handbook 2015. *Suruhanjaya Tenaga Energy Commission*, 84. [https://doi.org/ISSN NO. : 2289-6953 , ST\(P\) 09/09/2016](https://doi.org/ISSN NO. : 2289-6953 , ST(P) 09/09/2016)

Tran, K. Q., Luo, X., Seisenbaeva, G., & Jirjis, R. (2013). Stump torrefaction for bioenergy application. *Applied Energy*, 112(January 2005), 539–546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.12.053>

- Tumuluru, J. S., Sokhansanj, S., Wright, C. T., Boardman, R. D., & Hess, J. R. (2011). Review on Biomass Torrefaction Process and Product Properties and Design of Moving Bed Torrefaction System Model Development. *ASABE Annual International Meeting*, 40. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.37192>
- Uemura, Y., Omar, W. N., Jamaludin, J. I., Yusup, S. B., Tsutsui, T., and Subbarao, D. (2010). Torrefaction of woody biomass in Malaysia, SCEJ 2nd Meeting, Kyoto 2010, page 853
- Uemura, Y., Omar, W. N., Tsutsui, T., & Yusup, S. B. (2011). Torrefaction of oil palm wastes. *Fuel*, 90(8), 2585–2591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2011.03.021>
- Uemura, Y., Saadon, S., Osman, N., Mansor, N., & Tanoue, K. I. (2015). Torrefaction of oil palm kernel shell in the presence of oxygen and carbon dioxide. *Fuel*, 144, 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2014.12.050>
- Uemura, Y., Sellappah, V., Trinh, T. H., Hassan, S., & Tanoue, K. ichiro. (2017). Torrefaction of empty fruit bunches under biomass combustion gas atmosphere. *Bioresource Technology*, 243, 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.057>
- van der Stelt, M. J. C., Gerhauser, H., Kiel, J. H. A., & Ptasinski, K. J. (2011). Biomass upgrading by torrefaction for the production of biofuels: A review. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(9), 3748–3762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.06.023>
- Vassilev, S. V., Vassileva, C. G., & Vassilev, V. S. (2015). Advantages and disadvantages of composition and properties of biomass in comparison with coal: An overview. *Fuel*, 158, 330–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.05.050>
- Volpe, R., Menendez, J. M. B., Reina, T. R., Messineo, A., & Millan, M. (2017). Evolution of chars during slow pyrolysis of citrus waste. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 158, 255–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2017.01.015>
- Wannapeera, J., Fungtammasan, B., & Worasuwannarak, N. (2011). Effects of temperature and holding time during torrefaction on the pyrolysis behaviors of woody biomass. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 92(1), 99–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2011.04.010>
- Zheng, Y., Tao, L., Yang, X., Huang, Y., Liu, C., & Gu, J. (2017). Effect of the Torrefaction Temperature on the Structural. *BioResources*, 12(2), 3425–3447