

FLOOD FORECASTING USING
SEMI-DISTRIBUTED HYDROLOGICAL
MODEL COUPLED WITH WEATHER
RESEARCH AND FORECASTING MODEL

SYEDA MARIA ZAIDI

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis, and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Environmental Management).

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : DR. JACQUELINE ISABELLA ANAK GISEN

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 12-12-2019



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SYEDA MARIA ZAIDI

ID Number : PAM14001

Date :12-12-2019

FLOOD FORECASTING USING SEMI-DISTRIBUTED HYDROLOGICAL
MODEL COUPLED WITH WEATHER RESEARCH AND FORECASTING
MODEL

SYEDA MARIA ZAIDI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
(Environmental Management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

DECEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved mother in heaven “Syeda Aijaz Fatima.”

and

“Amatul Zehra Kazimi” for her unconditional love and support to me

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I bow my head in front of the Almighty ALLAH (SWT) for blessing me with His grace and granting me the strength and efficiency for completion of this dissertation.

I feel the honor to express my deep respect and sincere gratitude to my supervisor and mentor Dr Jacqueline Isabella for her indispensable guidance, interminable encouragement and constant support in making this research possible. This enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject in the right direction. Besides all of the academic and professional assistance, her kind support and encouragement have always been the lifeline for my academic and professional career.

My sincere thanks to honorable deputy dean of the faculty Dr Doh Shu Ing for his strong support, advice and kindness from the beginning of my research journey. My sincere thanks go to my previous supervisor Dr Abolghasem Akbari, my field supervisor Mr Cheok Hou Seng and all the lecturers and members of FKASA, and ERAS of UMP who kept me motivated throughout the Journey.

I also would like to express my gratitude to Dr Michel d. S. Mesquita, a climatologist from Norway, Muhammad Eltahan from Egypt Meteorology and Mr Sofian from Malaysian Metrological Department for their kind assistance and support in working with Weather Research and Forecasting model. My sincere thanks to Prof. Dr Jamil Hasan Kazimi and Mr Shakeel ur Rehman from the department of Geography, University of Karachi, Pakistan. for providing all possible administrative supports to make it possible to complete a doctoral dissertation. Besides my advisors, I would like to thank you Department of Drainage and Irrigation (DID), Kuantan, Malaysia for providing the data for my research project.

It was a great delight to be part of supportive and caring friends who went through hard times together in this research journey especially Mr. Asif Gul, Irfan Salahuddin, Syed Hadi Hassan Zaidi, Raheela Haider, Mr & Mrs Saadatullah Khan, Siti Syuhaida Adnan, Saifuddin, N.G.Zone Fhong, Nur Ain Zakiya, Noor Akma, Ban Hikmat and Jessie Ooi. These brilliant friends inspired me, and I am really thankful for their pieces of advice and cooperation.

Finally, I would like to thank all those people who contributed to their pieces of advice, views, and directions during the whole course of my research work.

SYEDA MARIA ZAIDI, December 2019

ABSTRAK

Lembangan Sungai Kuantan (KRB), merupakan kawasan tadahan terpenting di daerah Kuantan yang mengalami banjir sejak beberapa dekad lalu. Maklumat data hidro-meteorologi yang tidak lengkap, dan kekurangan stesen hujan dan paras air menjadi faktor utama yang mempengaruhi ketepatan ramalan banjir. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengatasi masalah dengan merapatkan jurang data hidro-meteorologi yang hilang dengan menggunakan model Penyelidikan Cuaca dan Peramalan (WRF) dengan gabungan kerjasama antara model Pusat Kejuruteraan Hidrologi-Sistem Pemodelan Hidrologi (HEC-HMS). Tiga kategori tahap hujan (ekstrem, berat, dan sederhana) digunakan bagi menilai keupayaan model dalam simulasi keadaan banjir. Kajian ini meliputi 4 objektif; (i) bagi menilai prestasi skema parameterisasi mikrofizik (MP) dan cumulus (CU) bagi model WRF, (ii) bagi mengenal pasti gabungan skim fizikal terbaik WRF untuk ramalan hujan di KRB iii) bagi menentukan parameter model GIS-hidrologi untuk KRB, dan (iv) bagi mengkuantifikasi keupayaan dan ketepatan rangka kerja ramalan banjir berdasarkan model hidro-meteorologi edaran separa. Prestasi 48 kombinasi skim WRF termasuk skim 8 MP dan 6 CU dinilai terlebih dahulu untuk simulasi kejadian hujan tunggal. Kemudian, 5 gabungan skema WRF terbaik yang terpilih diselidiki bagi menentukan skim prestasi tertinggi untuk mensimulasikan peristiwa bagi semua kategori dalam KRB. Kesemua hasil yang diperolehi telah disahkan dengan data hujan yang diperhatikan. Model HEC-HMS yang disepadukan dengan ArcGIS digunakan dalam membuat anggaran hidrograf banjir. Indeks statistik termasuk Kesilapan Peratusan (PE), Kecekapan Nash-Sutcliffe (NSE), Ralat Kuasa Dua (RMSE), Kadar Hit (HR), Nisbah Penggera (FAR), Perkadaran Pembetulan (PC) dan Bias (B) digunakan bagi menilai prestasi model. Hasil dari 48 skim simulasi mendedahkan bahawa kesemua skema parameterisasi didapati kurang sensitif terhadap HR dan FAR. Julat purata PC (0.61 hingga 0.67), TS (0.55 hingga 0.67), dan RMSE (41.8 hingga 54.4) menunjukkan parameter WSM6GF, SBUBMJ, LinGF, MDMBMJ, dan MDMGF bertindak dengan lebih baik dalam simulasi keputusan Perbandingan objektif (ii) mengenal pasti SBUBMJ sebagai skim yang paling sesuai untuk menangkap hujan spatial dan temporal di KRB dengan PE purata $\pm 5.1\%$, $\pm 20.2\%$, $\pm 23.7\%$ untuk hujan ekstrim, berat dan sederhana. Dalam penentuan dan pengesahan aliran HEC-HMS, proses menunjukkan bahawa parameter Parameter Pemuliharaan Perkhidmatan-Curve Number (SCS-CN) dan Pekali Penyimpanan (R) didapati sensitif terhadap prestasi model. WRF dan HEC-HMS menunjukkan prestasi yang memuaskan dalam simulasi kejadian hujan lebat dengan NSE antara 0.59 hingga 0.65 dan 0.73 hingga 0.83, PE untuk pelepasan aliran tertinggi antara -23.30% hingga -36.37%, dan julat isipadu tertinggi dari -20.8% kepada -28.9%. Kesepakatan yang baik antara model telah dikenalpasti dalam kejadian hujan sederhana dengan julat NSE antara 0.73 hingga 0.83, manakala julat PE untuk pelepasan aliran tertinggi puncak antara -6.89% hingga 14.48%, dan julat isipadu tertinggi dari 4.7% hingga 4.9%. Bagi kejadian hujan ekstrem, model menunjukkan prestasi rendah dengan NSE antara 0.40 hingga 0.06, PE untuk pelepasan aliran tertinggi dari -15.74% hingga 17.23%, dan isipadu tertinggi dari -14.65% kepada -26.06%. Dari analisis keseluruhan, kajian menunjukkan bahawa model WRF boleh digunakan sebagai input meteorologi alternatif yang terbaik untuk kawasan yang kekurangan stesen pengukur hujan atau stesen pemerhatian hujan yang gagal berfungsi. Oleh itu rangka kerja model adalah penting dalam memberikan maklumat yang boleh dipercayai mengenai ramalan banjir dengan mempertimbangkan kira-kira purata ralat peratusan kira-kira $\pm 16\%$ kepada $\pm 25\%$ nilai pelepasan aliran.

ABSTRACT

Kuantan River Basin (KRB), is an important watershed of Kuantan District which has been experiencing floods since decades. The incomplete information of hydro-meteorological data, and insufficient rainfall and streamflow gauging stations remain the key factors influenced on flood forecasting accuracy. This study aimed to cope with the problem by bridging the gap of missing hydro-meteorological data using Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model coupled with Hydrologic Engineering Center-Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) model. Three rainfall event categories (extreme, heavy, and moderate) were used to evaluate the model's capability in simulating flood events. The research was covered 4 objectives; (i) to evaluate the performance of microphysics (MP) and cumulus (CU) schemes parameterization for WRF model, (ii) to identify the best physical schemes combination of WRF for precipitation forecasting at KRB, (iii) to determine GIS-based hydrological model parameters for KRB, and (iv) to quantify the ability and accuracy of proposed flood forecasting framework based on a coupled semi-distributed hydro-meteorological model. Performance of 48 combinations of WRF schemes including 8 MP and 6 CU schemes were first evaluated to simulate single rainfall event. Then selected top 5 best WRF schemes combinations were further investigated to determine the highest performance scheme to simulate events for all categories in KRB. All the obtained results were validated against the observed rainfall data. HEC-HMS model integrated with ArcGIS was used estimate flood hydrographs. Statistical indices include Percentage Error (PE), Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Hit Rate (HR), False Alarm Ratio (FAR), Proportion of Correction (PC), Threat Score (TS) and Bias (B) were applied to evaluate the model performances. The results of the 48 schemes simulations revealed that all the parametrized schemes were found less sensitive to HR and FAR. an average range of PC (0.61 to 0.67), TS (0.55 to 0.67), and RMSE (41.8 to 54.4) indicated the parametrization of WSM6GF, SBUBMJ, LinGF, MDMBMJ, and MDMGF performed relatively better to simulate the event. Comparison results of objective (ii) identified SBUBMJ as the most suitable schemes to capture spatial and temporal rainfall in KRB with mean average PE of $\pm 5.1\%$, $\pm 20.2\%$, $\pm 23.7\%$ for extreme, heavy, and moderate rainfall, respectively. In HEC-HMS streamflow calibration and validation processes showed that the parameters Soil Conservation Service- Curve Number (SCS-CN) and Storage Coefficient (R) were found to be sensitive to the model performance. Validation results of the coupled WRF and HEC-HMS simulation revealed satisfactory performance in simulating heavy rainfall events with NSE ranges from 0.59 to 0.65 and 0.73 to 0.83, PE for peak discharge ranges from -23.30% to -36.37%, and peak-volume ranges from -20.8% to -28.9%. Good agreement between the models was identified in moderate rainfall events with NSE ranges 0.73 to 0.83, PE for peak discharge ranges from -6.89% to 14.48%, and peak volume range from 4.7% to 4.9%. For the extreme events, the models indicated low performance with NSE ranges from 0.40 to 0.06, PE of peak discharge from -15.74% to 17.23%, and peak volume from -14.65% to -26.06%. From the overall analysis, the study has determined that WRF model can be applied as the best alternative meteorological input to be used for sparse rainfall gauge areas or areas where rainfall observation stations fail to function. Hence the model framework is significant in providing reliable information on flood forecasting by considering about average percentage error of about $\pm 16\%$ to $\pm 25\%$ flow discharge values.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
DEDICATION	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 Scope of the Study	6
1.5 Significance of the Study	8
1.6 Thesis Outline	9
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10

2.2	Floods Problems	10
2.2.1	Types and Causes of Floods	11
2.2.2	Floods Management Strategies	12
2.2.3	Review of Flood Forecasting Systems in Malaysia	13
2.3	Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) Models	15
2.3.1	Historical Development of NWP Models	15
2.3.2	Mesoscale Numerical Weather Models	16
2.3.3	Fifth-Generation Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5)	17
2.3.4	Description of Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model	17
2.3.5	WRF Governing Equation System	19
2.3.6	Map Projection System	21
2.3.7	WRF Software Framework	22
2.3.8	WRF Processing Mechanisms	23
2.3.9	Nesting Technique in WRF	24
2.3.10	Lateral Boundary Condition (LBC)	25
2.3.11	Dynamics of Physical Scheme	26
2.3.12	Microphysics Schemes (MP)	27
2.3.13	Cumulus Schemes (CU)	27
2.3.14	Planetary Boundary Layer Scheme (PBL)	28
2.3.15	Land-Surface Model Schemes (LSM)	28
2.3.16	Radiation Schemes (SW/LW)	29
2.4	Integrated Applications of WRF Model	29
2.5	Spatial Interpolation of Rainfall	38
2.6	Hydrological Models	38
2.6.1	Categories of Hydrological Model	39
2.6.2	Remote Sensing in Hydrology	41

2.6.3	SRTM DEM	41
2.6.4	Geographic Information System in Hydrology	42
2.6.5	GIS-based Hydrological Model	43
2.6.6	MIKE-SHE	43
2.6.7	HYDROTEL	43
2.6.8	Hydrologic Engineering Centre Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS)	43
2.6.9	HEC-HMS Model Design	44
2.6.10	Hydrological Runoff Modelling	46
2.6.11	Loss Method using Soil Conservative Service-Curve Number (SCS-CN)	47
2.6.12	Runoff Transformation (Clark Unit Hydrograph)	49
2.7	Related Studies of HEC-HMS Model	53
2.8	Model Calibration and Validation	56
2.9	Summary of Literature Review	56
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		58
3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Description of Study Area	62
3.2.1	Site Survey	65
3.2.2	Data Collection	67
3.2.3	Selection and Categorization of Rainfall Events	68
3.3	Configuring WRF Model for Rainfall Forecasting	71
3.3.1	WRF Pre-Processing (WPS)	73
3.3.2	WRF Model Process	75
3.3.3	Schemes Sensitivity Test	75
3.3.4	Selection of Microphysics Schemes	75

3.3.5	Selection of Cumulus Scheme	77
3.3.6	Rainfall Events Simulation with Configured Model Physics	79
3.4	Hydrological Data Preparation using GIS	80
3.4.1	DEM Processing	80
3.4.2	Creating Soil Conservation Service-Curve Number (SCS-CN)	89
3.4.3	Land Use Classification	92
3.4.4	Land Use Classification Using NDVI	93
3.4.5	Weighting Curve Numbers of Sub-basin	101
3.4.6	Impervious Surface	106
3.5	HEC-HMS Model Runoff Simulation	109
3.5.1	Parameterization of Basin Model	109
3.5.2	Meteorological Model Parameterization	115
3.5.3	Control Specification and Model Run	117
3.6	Calibration and Validation Process	117
3.6.1	Model Performance Evaluation	118
3.6.2	Nash-Sutcliff Efficiency	118
3.6.3	Root Mean Square Error	118
3.6.4	Percentage Error	119
3.6.5	Contingency Table Matrix and Relatives Measures	119
3.7	Summary	121
CHAPTER 4 RAINFALL FORECASTING USING WRF MODEL		122
4.1	Introduction	122
4.2	Configured Domain	122
4.3	Accumulated Rainfall from Parametrized Physical Schemes	123
4.4	Model Schemes Evaluation	136

4.4.1	Root Means Square Error (RMSE)	136
4.4.2	Percentage Correct (PC)	139
4.4.3	Threat Score (TS)	139
4.4.4	Hit Rate (HR)	139
4.4.5	False Alarm Ratio (FAR)	140
4.4.6	Bias (B)	140
4.5	Top Performance Physical Schemes Categories	146
4.6	Performance of the Schemes Simulation for the Extreme Rainfall Events	148
4.7	Performance of the Schemes Simulation for Heavy Rainfall Events	150
4.8	Performance of the Schemes Simulation for the Moderate Rainfall Events	153
4.9	The trend in Spatial Pattern of Rainfall	155
4.10	Discussion	163
4.11	Conclusion	167
CHAPTER 5 FLOOD SIMULATION USING HEC-HMS MODEL		168
5.1	Introduction	168
5.2	HEC-HMS Model for Runoff Simulation	168
5.3	Streamflow Hydrograph Calibration and Validation	169
5.3.1	Simulating Runoff Hydrograph for Event Group A	170
5.3.2	Simulating Runoff Hydrograph for Event Group B	172
5.3.3	Simulating Runoff Hydrograph for Event Group C	174
5.4	Verification of WRF Precipitation in Producing Runoff	177
5.4.1	Simulated Runoff Hydrograph using WRF Rainfall for Group A	177
5.4.2	Simulated Runoff Hydrograph using WRF Rainfall for Group B	179
5.4.3	Simulated Runoff Hydrograph using WRF Rainfall for Group C	180
5.5	Discussion	183

5.6	Conclusion	185
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		186
6.1	Conclusion	186
6.2	Contribution	188
6.3	Recommendations	189
REFERENCES		190
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		214

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Factors Causative to Flooding	12
Table 2.2	Classification of Mesoscale	16
Table 2.3	Descriptive Summary of Microphysics Schemes	30
Table 2.4	Descriptive Summary Cumulus Schemes	32
Table 2.5	Summary of WRF Model Applications Used in Related Studies	34
Table 2.6	Performance of WRF with Sensitivity of Physical Scheme Parameterization	36
Table 2.7	Summary of HEC-HMS Applications Used in Related Studies	54
Table 3.1	Rainfall Gauge Stations	65
Table 3.2	General Information on Primary Data Collected	68
Table 3.3	Categorization of Rainfall Events	71
Table 3.4	Generalized Properties of Microphysics Schemes	77
Table 3.5	Combination of Different Microphysics and Cumulus Schemes	78
Table 3.6	Combination of WRF Physical Schemes with Selected MP and CU	79
Table 3.7	Configured Domain For The Study	80
Table 3.8	Adjusted Smooth/Sharp Drop Values for KRB	81
Table 3.9	Antecedent Moisture Condition (AMC) Classification	90
Table 3.10	Antecedent Moisture Condition (AMC) of KRB	90
Table 3.11	Hydrological Soil Group (HSG)	90
Table 3.12	Weighted Curve Number Values of KRB Subbasins for Years 1999, 2010 and 2013	105
Table 3.13	Impervious Areas Estimated at Each Sub-basin of KRB for the Years 1999, 2010 and 2013	108
Table 3.14	Physical Characteristics of Delineated Subbasin of KRB	110
Table 3.15	List of the Events Selected for Calibration and Validation Process	117
Table 3.16	Contingency Table Matrix	119
Table 4.1	RMSE (mm) of WRF Physical Schemes Combination at Rainfall Stations of KRB	137
Table 4.2	Cumulative Ranking for WRF Schemes Combination	146
Table 4.3	Selected Top Performance WRF Physical Schemes Combination	147
Table 4.4	Comparison of the Average Total Average Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for the Event 21 st to 23 rd December 2001 in KRB	149

Table 4.5	Comparison of Average Total Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for Event 1 st to 5 th December 2013 in KRB	150
Table 4.6	Comparison of Average Total Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for Event 29 th December 2010 to 2 nd January 2011 in KRB	151
Table 4.7	Comparison of Average Total Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for Event 26 th to 30 th January 2011 in KRB	152
Table 4.8	Comparison of Average Total Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for Event 11 th to 13 th January 2012 in KRB	153
Table 4.9	Comparison of Average Total Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for Event 26 th to 30 th March 2011 in KRB	154
Table 4.10	Comparison of Average Total Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Schemes and Observed Data for Event 8 th to 12 th December 2016 in KRB	154
Table 5.1	HEC-HMS Calibrated Parameters for Heavy Rainfall Simulation	169
Table 5.2	HEC-HMS Calibrated Parameters for Moderate Rainfall Simulation	169
Table 5.3	HEC-HMS Calibrated Parameters for Extreme Rainfall Simulation	169
Table 5.4	Model Simulation Performance for Event Group A	176
Table 5.5	Model Simulation Performance for Event Group B	176
Table 5.6	Model Simulation Performance for Event Group C	176
Table 5.7	WRF Model Simulations Performance for Event Group A	182
Table 5.8	WRF Model Simulations Performance for Event Group B	182
Table 5.9	WRF Model Simulations Performance for Event Group C	182

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	WRF Modelling System Flow Chart	18
Figure 2.2	WRF ARW Vertical Pressure Coordinates	20
Figure 2.3	Map Projections Show Geometric Relationship with Earth Surface (Excluded Lat-Long)	22
Figure 2.4	Flow Diagram of WRF Process	23
Figure 2.5	LBC Specified and Relaxation Zone for Real Data	25
Figure 2.6	Hierarchy of Hydrological Model Used in Study	40
Figure 2.7	Description of Basin Model Component in HEC-HMS	45
Figure 2.8	Connection between GIS, HEC-GeoHMS and HEC-HMS	46
Figure 3.1	Generalized Methodological Work Flow	59
Figure 3.2	Methodological Workflow for Rainfall Forecasting	60
Figure 3.3	Methodological Framework For Flood Hydrograph Simulation	61
Figure 3.4	Study Area Kuantan River Basin	63
Figure 3.5	Annual Average Historical Recorded Rainfall (mm)	64
Figure 3.6	Maximum Rainfall (mm) Receiving Months from Year 1976 to 2016	64
Figure 3.7	Rainfall and Streamflow Location Map of KRB	66
Figure 3.8	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for December 2001	69
Figure 3.9	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for December 2010	69
Figure 3.10	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for January 2011	69
Figure 3.11	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for March 2011	70
Figure 3.12	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for January 2012	70
Figure 3.13	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for December 2013	70
Figure 3.14	Daily Average Rainfall and Streamflow for December 2016	70
Figure 3.15	WRF Domain Configuration	72
Figure 3.16	Map-Scale Projections as a Function of Latitude	73
Figure 3.17	Illustration of Fill Sink and Remove Peak	81
Figure 3.18	Illustration of D8 Flow Direction	82
Figure 3.19	Map of Reconditioned DEM for KRB	83
Figure 3.20	Fill Sink Map for KRB	84
Figure 3.21	Map of Flow Direction for KRB	85
Figure 3.22	Flow Accumulation Map for KRB	86
Figure 3.23	Delineated Stream Segment Map for KRB	87
Figure 3.24	Delineated Sub-basins Map for KRB	88

Figure 3.25	Hydrological Soil Group (HSG) Map of KRB	91
Figure 3.26	Hydrological Soil Group (HSG) Area Coverage	92
Figure 3.27	LULC Changes for Investigated Years (1999-2013)	93
Figure 3.28	Classified Land Use Map for Year 1999 for KRB	94
Figure 3.29	Classified Land Use Map for Year 2010 for KRB	95
Figure 3.30	Classified Land Use Map for Year 2013 for KRB	96
Figure 3.31	NDVI Classification Map for Years 1999 and 2010 for KRB	97
Figure 3.32	Generated SCS-CN Map for the Year 1999 for KRB	98
Figure 3.33	Generated SCS-CN Map for the Year 2010 for KRB	99
Figure 3.34	Generated SCS-CN Map for the Year 2010 for KRB	100
Figure 3.35	Generated Weighted CN Map for the Year 1999 for KRB	102
Figure 3.36	Generated Weighted CN Map for the Year 2010 for KRB	103
Figure 3.37	Generated Weighted CN Map for the Year 2013 for KRB	104
Figure 3.38	Flow Diagram of Calculating Impervious Area for KRB	107
Figure 3.39	Kuantan River Component using HEC-GeoHMS	113
Figure 3.40	Generated Thiessen Polygon Map for KRB	116
Figure 4.1	WRF Configured Nested Domains	123
Figure 4.2	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station Kg. Sg.Soi	127
Figure 4.3	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station Pulau Manis	128
Figure 4.4	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station Paya Besar	129
Figure 4.5	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station PCCL Sg. Lembing	130
Figure 4.6	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station Rumah Pam	131
Figure 4.7	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station JKR Gambang	132
Figure 4.8	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station Ladang Nada	133
Figure 4.9	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station Kuala Raman	134
Figure 4.10	Daily Average Observed and WRF Simulated Rainfall Comparison at Station JPS Negeri Pahang	135
Figure 4.11	Percentage Correct for the WRF Physical Schemes Combination at Each Station of KRB	141

Figure 4.12	Threat Score (TS) for the WRF Physical Schemes Combination at Each Station of KRB	142
Figure 4.13	Hit Rate (HR) for the WRF Physical Schemes Combination at Each Station of KRB	143
Figure 4.14	False Alarm Ratio (FAR) for the WRF Physical Schemes Combination at Each Station of KRB	144
Figure 4.15	Bias (B) for the WRF Physical Schemes Combination at Each Station of KRB	145
Figure 4.16	WRF Simulated Rainfall for Event 21 st to 23 rd December 2001	149
Figure 4.17	WRF Simulated Rainfall for Event 1 st to 5 th December 2013	149
Figure 4.18	WRF Simulated Rainfall for Event 29 th December 2010 to 2 nd January 2011	150
Figure 4.19	WRF Simulated Rainfall for Event 26 th to 30 th January 2011	151
Figure 4.20	Simulated Rainfall using WRF Schemes for Event 11 th to 13 th January 2012	152
Figure 4.21	WRF Simulated Rainfall for Event 26 th to 30 th March 2011	153
Figure 4.22	WRF Simulated Rainfall for Event 8 th to 12 th December 2016	154
Figure 4.23	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 21 st to 23 rd December 2001 in KRB	156
Figure 4.24	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 1 st to 5 th December 2013 in KRB	157
Figure 4.25	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 29 th December 2010 to 2 nd January in KRB	158
Figure 4.26	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 26 th to 30 th January 2011 in KRB	159
Figure 4.27	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 11 th to 13 th January 2012 in KRB	160
Figure 4.28	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 26 th to 30 th March 2011 in KRB	161
Figure 4.29	Spatial Rainfall Pattern for Event 8 th to 12 th December 2016 in KRB	162
Figure 5.1	Calibrated Hydrograph for Event 26 th to 30 th January 2011	171
Figure 5.2	Validated Hydrograph for Event 29 th December 2010 to 2 nd January 2011	171
Figure 5.3	Validated Hydrograph for Event 11 th to 13 th January 2012	172
Figure 5.4	Calibrated Hydrograph for Event 26 th to 30 th March 2011	173
Figure 5.5	Validated Hydrograph for Event 8 th to 12 th December 2016	173
Figure 5.6	Calibrated Hydrograph for Event 21 st to 23 rd December 2001	175
Figure 5.7	Validated Hydrograph for Event 1 st to 5 th December 2013	175

Figure 5.8	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 26 th to 30 th January 2011	178
Figure 5.9	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 29 th December 2010 to 2 nd January 2011	178
Figure 5.10	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 11 th to 13 th January 2011	178
Figure 5.11	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 26 th to 30 th March 2011	179
Figure 5.12	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 8 th to 12 th December 2016	180
Figure 5.13	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 21 st to 23 rd December 2001	181
Figure 5.14	WRF Validated Hydrograph for Event 1 st to 5 th December 2013	181

LIST OF SYMBOLS

α	Alpha
β	Beta
γ	Gamma
\emptyset	Geo-potential height
ΔS	Change in Storage
Δx	Rate of change of distance
Δt	Rate of change of time
a	Generic variable
c	Wave celerity/speed
C_A	Routing Coefficient
C_B	Routing Coefficient
$CN_{0.2II}$	CN based on AMC II condition
$CN_{0.05II}$	Modified CN based on AMC II condition
c_p/c_v	Ratio of heat capacity for dry air
dS	Rate of change of storage
ET	Evapotranspiration
F	Forecasted value
F_u	Model Physics
F_v	Turbulent mixing
F_w	Spherical Projection
$F\theta$	Rotation of the earth
I_a	Initial abstraction
I_t	Inflow storage at time
m_x	Projection map scale at horizontal direction
m_y	Projection map scale at vertical direction
η	Hydrostatic-pressure coordinate
n	Number of discharge value
n	Number of sample points
O	Observed value
O_t	Outflow Storage at time
P	Precipitation
P	Predicted value

p	Pressure for Potential temperature
p_0	Reference pressure
p_{ht}	Top boundary value
p_{hs}	Surface boundary value
q	Runoff
q_L	Later inflow
Q	Discharge
Q_{obsi}	Observed flow
Q_{simi}	Simulated flow
Q_{obs}	Observed average flow
R	Streamflow
R	Linear Parameter
R_d	Dry air gas constant
S	Potential Retention
S_t	The volume of water in storage at time
S_f	Friction Slop
S_o	Bed slop
$S_{0.02}$	The maximum potential storage based on λ 0.02
$S_{0.05}$	The maximum potential storage based on λ 0.05
μ	Mass per unit area
μ	Hydraulic diffusivity
U, V, W	Covariant velocities in vertical and horizontal direction
x	Distance at downstream
X	Predicted value
Y	Dependent value

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMC	Antecedent Moisture Condition
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
ARW	Advance Research WRF
AVHRR	Advance Very High-Resolution Radiometer
B	Bias
BMJ	Betts Miller Janjic
CMORPH	Climatic prediction centre and Morphing Technique
CN	Curve Number
CU	Cumulus
CuP	Cumulus Potential
CUH	Calrk's Unit Hydrograph
CRED	Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disaster
D8	Deterministic 8
DA	Data Assimilation
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DHI	Danish Hydrological Institute
DID	Drainage and Irrigation Department
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DSM	Digital Surface Model
DVAR	Dimensional Variation
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecast
ENIAC	Electronic Numerical Integrator and Computer
EPIC	Environmental Policy Integrated Climate
ERA	ECMWF Re Analysis
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute
FAR	False Alarm Ratio
FEST	Fully Vegetated Slab of Soil and Transpiring Plants
FNL	Final Analysis Data
G3D	Grell 3D
GCM	Global Circulation Model
GDEM	Global Digital Elevation Model
GEOREX	Geo-Spatial Data Exchange System

GF	Grell Freitas
GFS	Global Forecasting System
GIS	Geographic Information System
GoM	Goddard Microphysics
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRIB	General Regularly distributed Information in Binary form
GUI	Graphical User Interphase
HadCM3	Hadley Centre Coupled Model
HEC	Hydrologic Engineering Centre
HEC-HMS	Hydrologic Engineering Centre- Hydrologic Modelling System
HMS	Hydrologic Modelling System
HR	Hit Rate
HSG	Hydrological Soil Group
IR	Infra-Red
KF	Kain Fritsch
KMC	Kuantan Municipal Council
KRB	Kuantan River Basin
KS	Kessler
LBC	Lateral Boundary Condition
LC	Lambert Conformal
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
Lin	Lin et al scheme
LLP	Lat-Long Projection
LSM	Land Surface Model
LU	Land Use
LW	Long Waves
MACRES	Malaysian Centre for Remote Sensing
MAE	Mean Absolute Error
MLC	Maximum Likelihood Classifier
Me	Mercator
MM5	Fifth-generation Mesoscale Model
MMD	Malaysian Meteorological Department
MDM	Morrison Double Moment
MP	Microphysics
MPI- ECHAM5	Max Planck Institute- European Centre Hamberg Model

MSE	Mean Square Error
MSS	Multi-Spectral Scanner
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCAR	National Centre of Atmospheric Research
NCEP	National Centre of Environmental Prediction
NCL	NCAR Command Language
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NEM	Northeast Monsoon
NGIA	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency
NIR	Near Infra-Red
NMM	Non- hydrostatic Mesoscale Model
NSE	Nash-Sutcliff Efficiency
Nth	New Thompson et al.
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NWP	Numerical Weather Prediction
OKF	Old Kain Fritsch
PC	Percentage Correct
PE	Percentage Error
PERSIANN	Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Network
PS	Polar Stereographic
PBL	Planetary Boundary Layer
QPE	Quantitative Precipitation Estimate
QPF	Quantitative Precipitation Forecast
R	Reservoir /Storage Coefficient
RCM	Regional Climatic Model
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RRTM	Rapid Radiative Transfer Model
RS	Remote Sensing
RSM	Regional Scale Model
RZ	Relax Zone
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SBU	Stony Brook University
SHE	System Hydrologique European
SCS	Soil Conservation Service

SL	Scripting language
SMA	Soil Moisture Accounting/Algorithm
SP	Specified Zone
SPOT	Satellite Probatoire d' Observation de la Terre
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission
SW	Short Waves
SWAT	Soil & Water Assessment Tool
SWM	Southwest Monsoon
Ti	Tiedtke
TM	Thematic Mapper
TOPMODEL	Topographic based Hydrological Model
TMPA	TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation Analysis
TS	Threat Score
TRMM	Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission
USDA	US Department of Agriculture
Var	Variational
WPS	WRF Pre-Processing System
WRF	Weather Research Forecasting
WSM3	WRF Single Moment 3 class
WSM6	WRF Single Moment 6 class
YSU	Yousei University PBL

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Site Visit to the Study Area	215
Appendix B	Sample of Namelist.WPS File	216
Appendix C	Brief Description about Namelist.WPS File	217
Appendix D	GFS Variables Tables	219
Appendix E	Sample of Namelist.Input File	221
Appendix F	Brief Description about Namelist.Input File Variables	223
Appendix G	Daily Average Observed and WRF Schemes Simulated Rainfall	229
Appendix H	Distribution Pattern of Rainfall from WRF Schemes Simulation	240
Appendix I	Proportion of Correction (PC)	250
Appendix J	Threat Score (TS)	252
Appendix K	Hit Rate (HR)	254
Appendix L	False Alarm Ratio (FAR)	256
Appendix M	Bias (B)	258
Appendix N	Rainfall Depth Estimated by WRF Top Five Selected Schemes	260

REFERENCES

- Abbaspour, K. C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H., & Klove, B. (2015). A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524, 733-752.
- Abdullah, J., Muhammad, N. S., & Julien, P. Y. (2015). Hydrological Modeling in Malaysia. In *International Symposium on Flood Research and Management, 2014* (pp. 99-109): Springer.
- Abood, M. M., Mohammed, T. A., Ghazali, A. H., Mahmud, A. R., & Sidek, L. M. (2012). Impact of infiltration methods on the accuracy of rainfall-runoff simulation. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 4(12), 1708-1713.
- Adnan, R., Samad, A. M., Zain, Z. M., & Ruslan, F. A. (2014). *5 hours flood prediction modeling using improved NNARX structure: case study Kuala Lumpur*. Paper presented at the IEEE 4th International Conference on System Engineering and Technology
- Ahasan, M., & Khan, A. (2013). Simulation of a flood producing rainfall event of 29 July 2010 over north-west Pakistan using WRF-ARW model. *Natural Hazards*, 69(1), 351-363.
- Ahir, K. B., Singh, K. D., Yadav, S. P., Patel, H. S., & Poyahari, C. B. (2014). Overview of validation and basic concepts of process validation. *Scholars Academic Journal of Pharmacy*, 3, 178-190.
- Ajmal, M., Waseem, M., Ahn, J.-H., & Kim, T.-W. (2015). Improved runoff estimation using event-based rainfall-runoff models. *Water Resources Management*, 29(6), 1995-2010.
- Akasah, Z. A., & Doraisamy, S. V. (2015). 2014 Malaysia flood: impacts and factors contributing towards the restoration of damages. *Journal of Scientific Research and Development*, 2(14), 53-59.
- Akbari, A., Samah, A. A., & Daryabor, F. (2016). Raster-based derivation of a flood runoff susceptibility map using the revised runoff curve number (CN) for the Kuantan watershed, Malaysia. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(20), 1379.
- Akbari, A., Samah, A. A., & Othman, F. (2011). Practical use of SRTM digital elevation dataset in the urban-watershed modeling. *Journal of Spatial Hydrology*, 10(2).
- Al-Juaidi, A. E. (2018). A simplified GIS-based SCS-CN method for the assessment of land-use change on runoff. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 11(11), 269.

- Alfieri, L., Salamon, P., Bianchi, A., Neal, J., Bates, P., & Feyen, L. (2014). Advances in Pan- European Flood Hazard Mapping. *Hydrological Processes*, 28(13), 4067-4077.
- Amin, K., Duan, Z., & Disse, M. (2017). *Comparison of spatial interpolation of rainfall with emphasis on extreme events*. Paper presented at the EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts.
- Ardie, W. A., Sow, K. S., T Tangang, F., Hussin, A. G., Mahmud, M., & Juneng, L. (2012). The performance of different cumulus parameterization schemes in simulating the 2006/2007 southern peninsular Malaysia heavy rainfall episodes. *Journal of Earth System Science*, 121(2), 317-327.
- Arikawa, T., Seki, K., Haga, T., & Fujiwara, K. (2018). Consideration of Storm Surge Caused by Hurricane Irma Based on STOC-WRF Coupling Model. *Coastal Engineering Proceedings*, 1(36), 55.
- Azam, M., San Kim, H., & Maeng, S. J. (2017). Development of flood alert application in Mushim stream watershed Korea. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 21, 11-26.
- Azmani, S., Juliana, N., Idrose, A., Amin, N., & Saudi, A. (2017). Challenges of communication system during emergency disaster response in Malaysia: A review. *Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 9(4S), 890-904.
- Banerjee, S., Carlin, B. P., & Gelfand, A. E. (2014). *Hierarchical modeling and analysis for spatial data*: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Banks, R. F., & Baldasano, J. M. (2016). Impact of WRF model PBL schemes on air quality simulations over Catalonia, Spain. *Science of the Total Environment*, 572, 98-113.
- Baro, R., Jimenez-Guerrero, P., Balzarini, A., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Grell, G., . . . Perez, J. L. (2015). Sensitivity analysis of the microphysics scheme in WRF-Chem contributions to AQMEII phase 2. *Atmospheric Environment*, 115, 620-629.
- Bartlett, M., Parolari, A. J., McDonnell, J., & Porporato, A. (2016). Beyond the SCS-CN method: A theoretical framework for spatially lumped rainfall- runoff response. *Water Resources Research*, 52(6), 4608-4627.
- Bartlett, M., Parolari, A. J., McDonnell, J., & Porporato, A. (2017). Reply to comment by Fred L. Ogden et al. on “Beyond the SCS- CN method: A theoretical framework for spatially lumped rainfall- runoff response”. *Water Resources Research*, 53(7), 6351-6354.

- Berg, L. K., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Kassianov, E. I., & Deng, L. (2013). Evaluation of a modified scheme for shallow convection: Implementation of CuP and case studies. *Monthly Weather Review*, *141*(1), 134-147.
- Birkel, C., Soulsby, C., & Tetzlaff, D. (2015). Conceptual modelling to assess how the interplay of hydrological connectivity, catchment storage and tracer dynamics controls nonstationary water age estimates. *Hydrological Processes*, *29*(13), 2956-2969.
- Bjerknes, V. (1904). The problem of weather prediction, as seen from the standpoints of mechanics and physics. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, *21*, 1, 7.
- Bouda, M., Rousseau, A. N., Gumiere, S. J., Gagnon, P., Konan, B., & Moussa, R. (2014). Implementation of an automatic calibration procedure for HYDROTEL based on prior OAT sensitivity and complementary identifiability analysis. *Hydrological Processes*, *28*(12), 3947-3961.
- Boudaghpour, S., Bagheri, M., & Bagheri, Z. (2015). Estimation of flood environmental effects using flood zone mapping techniques in Halilrud Kerman, Iran. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, *40*(3), 659-675.
- Burrough, P. A., McDonnell, R., McDonnell, R. A., & Lloyd, C. D. (2015). *Principles of geographical information systems*: Oxford University Press.
- Chai, T., & Draxler, R. R. (2014). Root mean square error (RMSE) or mean absolute error (MAE)?-Arguments against avoiding RMSE in the literature. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *7*(3), 1247-1250.
- Chan, N. W. (2015a). *Challenges in Flood Disasters Management in Malaysia*. Paper presented at the International Water Resources Associations World Water Congress. Edinburgh: International Water Resources Associations and Scottish Government.
- Chan, N. W. (2015b). Impacts of disasters and disaster risk management in Malaysia: The case of floods. In *Resilience and Recovery in Asian Disasters* (pp. 239-265): Springer.
- Chang, J. H.-W., Kong, S., Sentian, J., Dayou, J., & Chee, F.-P. (2019). Synoptic analysis and mesoscale numerical modelling of heavy precipitation: a case study of flash flood event in Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 1-21.
- Chau, V. N., Cassells, S., & Holland, J. (2015). Economic impact upon agricultural production from extreme flood events in Quang Nam, central Vietnam. *Natural Hazards*, *75*(2), 1747-1765.

- Chawla, I., Osuri, K. K., Mujumdar, P. P., & Niyogi, D. (2018). Assessment of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model for simulation of extreme rainfall events in the upper Ganga Basin. *Hydrology & Earth System Sciences*, 22(2).
- Chen, L., Gong, Y., & Shen, Z. (2016). Structural uncertainty in watershed phosphorus modeling: Toward a stochastic framework. *Journal of hydrology*, 537, 36-44.
- Chih, C.-H., Chou, K.-H., & Chiao, S. (2015). Topography and Tropical Cyclone Structure Influence on Eyewall Evolution in Typhoon Sinlaku (2008). *Terrestrial, Atmospheric & Oceanic Sciences*, 26(5).
- Chu, Q., Xu, Z., Chen, Y., & Han, D. (2018). Evaluation of the ability of the Weather Research and Forecasting model to reproduce a sub-daily extreme rainfall event in Beijing, China using different domain configurations and spin-up times. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22(6), 3391-3407.
- Clark, C. (1945). *Storage and the unit hydrograph*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Daniels, M. H., Lundquist, K. A., Mirocha, J. D., Wiersema, D. J., & Chow, F. K. (2016). A new vertical grid nesting capability in the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model. *Monthly Weather Review*, 144(10), 3725-3747.
- Das, A. K., Kundu, P., Bhowmik, S., & Rathee, M. (2019). Performance evaluation of WRF model with different cumulus parameterizations in forecasting monsoon depressions. *Performance Evaluation*, 551, 313.
- Das, S., Ashrit, R., Iyengar, G. R., Mohandas, S., Gupta, M. D., George, J. P., . . . Dutta, S. K. (2008). Skills of different mesoscale models over Indian region during monsoon season: Forecast errors. *Journal of Earth System Science*, 117(5), 603-620.
- Daud, W. N. B. W., Zainol, F. A., Salleh, F., Yazid, A. S., & Jamal, A. Z. (2016). Developing microtakaful flood model in Malaysia-its relevance and policy impacts. *International Journal of Business Continuity and Risk Management*, 6(3), 197-208.
- De Brito, M. M., & Evers, M. (2016). Multi-criteria decision-making for flood risk management: a survey of the current state of the art. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 16(4), 1019-1033.
- De Rosnay, P., Balsamo, G., Albergel, C., Munoz-Sabater, J., & Isaksen, L. (2014). Initialisation of land surface variables for numerical weather prediction. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 35(3), 607-621.

- De Silva, M., Weerakoon, S., & Herath, S. (2013). Modeling of event and continuous flow hydrographs with HEC–HMS: case study in the Kelani River Basin, Sri Lanka. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 19(4), 800-806.
- Devia, G. K., Ganasri, B., & Dwarakish, G. (2015). A review on hydrological models. *Aquatic Procedia*, 4, 1001-1007.
- Di Luca, A., Argueso, D., Evans, J. P., de Elia, R., & Laprise, R. (2016). Quantifying the overall added value of dynamical downscaling and the contribution from different spatial scales. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121(4), 1575-1590.
- Dile, Y. T., Karlberg, L., Srinivasan, R., & Rockström, J. (2016). Investigation of the curve number method for surface runoff estimation in tropical regions. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 52(5), 1155-1169.
- Doyle, S. H., Hubbard, A., Van De Wal, R. S., Box, J. E., Van As, D., Scharrer, K., . . . Johansson, E. (2015). Amplified melt and flow of the Greenland ice sheet driven by late-summer cyclonic rainfall. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(8), 647.
- Dudhia, J. (2014a). A history of mesoscale model development. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 50(1), 121-131.
- Dudhia, J. (2014b). Overview of WRF physics. University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/tutorial/201401/Physics_full.pdf.
- Dudhia, J. (2014c). WRF modeling system overview. www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/tutorial/201201/WRF_Overview_Dudhia.ppt.
- Dwarakish, G., & Ganasri, B. (2015). Impact of land use change on hydrological systems: A review of current modeling approaches. *Cogent Geoscience*, 1(1), 1115691.
- ElTahan, M., & Magooda, M. (2017). Evaluation of different WRF microphysics schemes: severe rainfall over Egypt case study. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1039 (2018) 012024. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1039/1/012024
- Eranen, D., Oksanen, J., Westerholm, J., & Sarjakoski, T. (2014). A full graphics processing unit implementation of uncertainty-aware drainage basin delineation. *Computers & Geosciences*, 73, 48-60.
- Feldman, A. D. (2000). *Hydrologic modeling system HEC-HMS: technical reference manual*: US Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center.
- Fovell, R. G., Bu, Y. P., Corbosiero, K. L., Tung, W.-w., Cao, Y., Kuo, H.-C., . . . Su, H. (2016). Influence of cloud microphysics and radiation on tropical cyclone structure and motion. *Meteorological Monographs*, 56, 11.11-11.27.

- Frisinger, H. H. (2018). *History of Meteorology to 1800*: Springer.
- Fu, S., Zhang, G., Wang, N., & Luo, L. (2011). Initial abstraction ratio in the SCS-CN method in the Loess Plateau of China. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 54(1), 163-169.
- Ghobadi, Y., Pradhan, B., Shafri, H. Z. M., & Kabiri, K. (2015). Assessment of spatial relationship between land surface temperature and landuse/cover retrieval from multi-temporal remote sensing data in South Karkheh Sub-basin, Iran. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 8(1), 525-537.
- Gitika, T., & Ranjan, S. (2014). Estimation of Surface Runoff using NRCS Curve number procedure in Buriganga Watershed, Assam, India-A Geospatial Approach. *International Research Journal of Earth Sciences*, 2(5), 1-7.
- Givati, A., Gochis, D., Rummeler, T., & Kunstmann, H. (2016). Comparing one-way and two-way coupled hydrometeorological forecasting systems for flood forecasting in the Mediterranean region. *Hydrology*, 3(2), 19.
- Glenn, S., Miles, T., Seroka, G., Xu, Y., Forney, R., Yu, F., . . . Kohut, J. (2016). Stratified coastal ocean interactions with tropical cyclones. *Nature Communications*, 7, 10887.
- Gomez, J. J., Raible, C., & Dierer, S. (2015). Sensitivity of the WRF model to PBL parametrisations and nesting techniques: evaluation of wind storms over complex terrain. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(10), 3349-3363.
- Grell, G., Peckham, S., Fast, J., Singh, B., Easter, R., Gustafson, W., . . . Pfister, G. (2013). *WRF-Chem V3. 5: A summary of status and updates*. Paper presented at the EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts.
- Grell, G. A., & Dévényi, D. (2002). A generalized approach to parameterizing convection combining ensemble and data assimilation techniques. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(14).
- Griffies, S. (2018). *Fundamentals of ocean climate models*: Princeton University Press.
- Guo, J., He, J., Liu, H., Miao, Y., Liu, H., & Zhai, P. (2016). Impact of various emission control schemes on air quality using WRF-Chem during APEC China 2014. *Atmospheric Environment*, 140, 311-319.
- Gutierrez-Magness, A. L., & McCuen, R. H. (2004). Accuracy evaluation of rainfall disaggregation methods. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 9(2), 71-78.
- Haghroosta, T., Ismail, W., Ghafarian, P., & Barekati, S. (2014). The efficiency of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model for simulating typhoons. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 14(8), 2179-2187.

- Haigh, T., Priestley, M., & Rope, C. (2014). Los alamos bets on eniac: Nuclear monte carlo simulations, 1947-1948. *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing*, 36(3), 42-63.
- Halder, M., Hazra, A., Mukhopadhyay, P., & Siingh, D. (2015). Effect of the better representation of the cloud ice-nucleation in WRF microphysics schemes: A case study of a severe storm in India. *Atmospheric Research*, 154, 155-174.
- Halder, M., & Mukhopadhyay, P. (2016). Microphysical processes and hydrometeor distributions associated with thunderstorms over India: WRF (cloud-resolving) simulations and validations using TRMM. *Natural Hazards*, 83(2), 1125-1155.
- Hamedi, A., & Fuentes, H. R. (2015). Comparative effectiveness and reliability of NEXRAD data to predict outlet hydrographs using the GSSHA and HEC-HMS hydrologic models. Paper presented at the World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2015.
- Han, J., & Pan, H.-L. (2011). Revision of convection and vertical diffusion schemes in the NCEP global forecast system. *Weather and Forecasting*, 26(4), 520-533.
- Hao, Y., Xu, X., Ren, D., Huang, Q., & Huang, G. (2015). Distributed modeling of soil water-salt dynamics and crop yields based on HYDRUS-EPIC model in Hetao Irrigation District. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 31(11), 110-116.
- Hashim, N. M., Shariff, S., & Deni, S. M. (2017). Capacitated Maximal Covering Location Allocation Problem During Flood Disaster. *Advanced Science Letters*, 23(11), 11545-11548.
- Hashimoto, A., Done, J. M., Fowler, L. D., & Bruyère, C. L. (2016). Tropical cyclone activity in nested regional and global grid-refined simulations. *Climate Dynamics*, 47(1-2), 497-508.
- Hawkins, R. H., Theurer, F. D., & Rezaeianzadeh, M. (2019). Understanding the Basis of the Curve Number Method for Watershed Models and TMDLs. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 24(7), 06019003.
- Her, Y., & Chaubey, I. (2015). Impact of the numbers of observations and calibration parameters on equifinality, model performance, and output and parameter uncertainty. *Hydrological Processes*, 29(19), 4220-4237.
- Hong, S.-Y., Dudhia, J., & Chen, S.-H. (2004). A revised approach to ice microphysical processes for the bulk parameterization of clouds and precipitation. *Monthly Weather Review*, 132(1), 103-120.
- Hong, S.-Y., & Lim, J.-O. J. (2006). The WRF single-moment 6-class microphysics scheme (WSM6). *Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 42(2), 129-151.

- Hong, S.-Y., Sunny Lim, K.-S., Kim, J.-H., Jade Lim, J.-O., & Dudhia, J. (2009). Sensitivity study of cloud-resolving convective simulations with WRF using two bulk microphysical parameterizations: Ice-phase microphysics versus sedimentation effects. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 48(1), 61-76.
- Huang, C. Y., Wu, I. H., & Feng, L. (2016). A numerical investigation of the convective systems in the vicinity of southern Taiwan associated with Typhoon Fanapi (2010): Formation mechanism of double rainfall peaks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121(21), 12,647-612,676.
- Huang, Y. F., Mirzaei, M., & Yap, W. K. (2016). Flood analysis in Langat river basin using stochastic model. *International Journal of Geotechnique, Construction Materials and Environment*, 11(27), 2796-2803.
- Igel, A. L., Igel, M. R., & van den Heever, S. C. (2015). Make it a double? Sobering results from simulations using single-moment microphysics schemes. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(2), 910-925.
- Ishida, K., Kavvas, M., & Jang, S. (2015). *Comparison of performance on watershed-scale precipitation between WRF and MM5*. Paper presented at the World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2015.
- Islam, T., Srivastava, P. K., Rico-Ramirez, M. A., Dai, Q., Gupta, M., & Singh, S. K. (2015). Tracking a tropical cyclone through WRF-ARW simulation and sensitivity of model physics. *Natural Hazards*, 76(3), 1473-1495.
- Jani, J., Nasir, S. M., & Zawawi, N. M. (2015). Community Awareness and Preparedness Towards Flood in Kuantan, Pahang. In *International Symposium on Flood Research and Management* (pp. 41-50): Springer.
- Janjić, Z. I. (2000). Comments on "Development and evaluation of a convection scheme for use in climate models". *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 57(21), 3686-3686.
- Jenin, P., Shadeed, S., Jayyousi, A., & Nablus, P. (2016). Application of Rainfall Runoff Distributed Model Using HEC-HMS for Al-Faria Catchment, West Bank, Palestine. *International Conference on Energy and Environmental Protection*, 6, 93.
- Joo, J., Kjeldsen, T., Kim, H.-J., & Lee, H. (2014). A comparison of two event-based flood models (ReFH-rainfall runoff model and HEC-HMS) at two Korean catchments, Bukil and Jeungpyeong. *Korean Society of Civil Engineers Journal of Civil Engineering*, 18(1), 330-343.
- Juan, A., Fang, Z., & Bedient, P. B. (2015). Developing a radar-based flood alert system for Sugar Land, Texas. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 22(5), E5015001.

- Juang, H.-M. H., Hong, S.-Y., & Kanamitsu, M. (1997). The NCEP regional spectral model: An update. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 78(10), 2125-2144.
- Kabiri, R., Chan, A., & Bai, R. (2013). Comparison of SCS and Green-Ampt methods in surface runoff-flooding simulation for Klang Watershed in Malaysia. *Open Journal of Modern Hydrology*, 3(03), 102.
- Kain, J. S. (2004). The Kain–Fritsch convective parameterization: an update. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 43(1), 170-181.
- Kain, J. S., & Fritsch, J. M. (1990). A one-dimensional entraining/detraining plume model and its application in convective parameterization. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 47(23), 2784-2802.
- Kala, J., Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., Foster, I. J., & Evans, B. J. (2015). Sensitivity of WRF to driving data and physics options on a seasonal time-scale for the southwest of Western Australia. *Climate Dynamics*, 44(3-4), 633-659.
- Kan, Y., Liu, C., Liu, Y., & Zhou, C. (2015). Evaluation of WRF microphysics and cumulus parameterization schemes in simulating a heavy rainfall event over Yangtze River delta. Paper presented at the Remote Sensing and Modeling of Ecosystems for Sustainability XII.
- Keong, K. C., Mustafa, M., Mohammad, A. J., Sulaiman, M. H., & Abdullah, N. R. H. (2016). *Artificial neural network flood prediction for sungai isap residence*. Paper presented at the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Control and Intelligent Systems
- Khain, A., Lynn, B., & Dudhia, J. (2010). Aerosol effects on intensity of landfalling hurricanes as seen from simulations with the WRF model with spectral bin microphysics. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 67(2), 365-384.
- Khain, A., Lynn, B., & Shpund, J. (2016). High resolution WRF simulations of Hurricane Irene: Sensitivity to aerosols and choice of microphysical schemes. *Atmospheric Research*, 167, 129-145.
- Khain, A., Pokrovsky, A., Pinsky, M., Seifert, A., & Phillips, V. (2004). Simulation of effects of atmospheric aerosols on deep turbulent convective clouds using a spectral microphysics mixed-phase cumulus cloud model. Part I: Model description and possible applications. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 61(24), 2963-2982.
- Khalid, K., Ali, M., Rahman, N. A., & Mispan, M. (2016). Application on one-at-a-time sensitivity analysis of semi-distributed hydrological model in tropical watershed. *IACSIT International Journal of Engineering Technology*, 8, 132-136.

- Khan, M. M. A., Shaari, N. A. B., Bahar, A. M. A., Baten, M. A., & Nazaruddin, D. A. B. (2014). Flood impact assessment in Kota Bharu, Malaysia: a statistical analysis. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 32(4), 626-634.
- Khodashenas, S. R., & Tajbakhsh, M. (2016). Management of Urban Drainage System Using Integrated MIKE SWMM and GIS. *Journal of Water Resources and Hydraulic Engineering*, 8, 36-45.
- Kim, S. B., Shin, H. J., Park, M., & Kim, S. J. (2015). Assessment of future climate change impacts on snowmelt and stream water quality for a mountainous high-elevation watershed using SWAT. *Paddy and Water Environment*, 13(4), 557-569.
- Klein, C., Heinzeller, D., Bliedernicht, J., & Kunstmann, H. (2015). Variability of West African monsoon patterns generated by a WRF multi-physics ensemble. *Climate Dynamics*, 45(9-10), 2733-2755.
- Krellenberg, K., & Welz, J. (2017). Assessing urban vulnerability in the context of flood and heat hazard: Pathways and challenges for indicator-based analysis. *Social Indicators Research*, 132(2), 709-731.
- Kumar, P., Kishtawal, C., & Pal, P. (2017). Impact of ECMWF, NCEP, and NCMRWF global model analysis on the WRF model forecast over Indian Region. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 127(1-2), 143-151.
- Kuo, C. C., & Gan, T. Y. (2018). Estimation of precipitation and air temperature over western Canada using a regional climate model. *International Journal of Climatology*, 38(14), 5125-5135.
- Kurtzman, D., Navon, S., & Morin, E. (2009). Improving interpolation of daily precipitation for hydrologic modelling: spatial patterns of preferred interpolators. *Hydrological Processes: An International Journal*, 23(23), 3281-3291.
- Laiolo, P., Gabellani, S., Campo, L., Silvestro, F., Delogu, F., Rudari, R., . . . Pierdicca, N. (2016). Impact of different satellite soil moisture products on the predictions of a continuous distributed hydrological model. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 48, 131-145.
- Lal, M., Mishra, S. K., & Pandey, A. (2015). Physical verification of the effect of land features and antecedent moisture on runoff curve number. *Catena*, 133, 318-327.
- Lam, K. C., Bryant, R., & Wainright, J. (2015). Application of spatial interpolation method for estimating the spatial variability of rainfall in Semiarid New Mexico, USA. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(4), 108.

- Lee, H., Waliser, D. E., Ferraro, R., Iguchi, T., Peters- Lidard, C. D., Tian, B., . . . Wright, D. B. (2017). Evaluating hourly rainfall characteristics over the US Great Plains in dynamically downscaled climate model simulations using NASA- Unified WRF. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122(14), 7371-7384.
- Li, C., & Mahadevan, S. (2016). Role of calibration, validation, and relevance in multi-level uncertainty integration. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 148, 32-43.
- Li, J., Chen, Y., Wang, H., Qin, J., Li, J., & Chiao, S. (2017). Extending flood forecasting lead time in a large watershed by coupling WRF QPF with a distributed hydrological model. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(2), 1279-1294.
- Li, L., Gochis, D. J., Sobolowski, S., & Mesquita, M. D. (2017). Evaluating the present annual water budget of a Himalayan headwater river basin using a high-resolution atmosphere- hydrology model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122(9), 4786-4807.
- Li, X. (2013). Sensitivity of WRF simulated typhoon track and intensity over the Northwest Pacific Ocean to cumulus schemes. *Science China Earth Sciences*, 56(2), 270-281.
- Li, Y., Grimaldi, S., Walker, J. P., & Pauwels, V. (2016). Application of remote sensing data to constrain operational rainfall-driven flood forecasting: a review. *Remote Sensing*, 8(6), 456.
- Lim, K.-S. S., & Hong, S.-Y. (2010). Development of an effective double-moment cloud microphysics scheme with prognostic cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) for weather and climate models. *Monthly Weather Review*, 138(5), 1587-1612.
- Lin, Y.-L., Chiao, S., Wang, T.-A., Kaplan, M. L., & Weglarz, R. P. (2001). Some common ingredients for heavy orographic rainfall. *Weather and Forecasting*, 16(6), 633-660.
- Lin, Y., & Colle, B. A. (2011). A new bulk microphysical scheme that includes riming intensity and temperature-dependent ice characteristics. *Monthly Weather Review*, 139(3), 1013-1035.
- Lindsay, J. B. (2016). The practice of DEM stream burning revisited. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 41(5), 658-668.
- Ling, L., & Yusop, Z. (2014). A micro focus with macro impact: Exploration of initial abstraction coefficient ratio (λ) in Soil Conservation Curve Number (CN) methodology. Paper presented at the Institute of Physics Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.

- Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Yang, Y., Gu, X., & Xiao, M. (2018). Investigating Relationships Between Australian Flooding and Large- Scale Climate Indices and Possible Mechanism. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123(16), 8708-8723.
- Loo, Y. Y., Billa, L., & Singh, A. (2015). Effect of climate change on seasonal monsoon in Asia and its impact on the variability of monsoon rainfall in Southeast Asia. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 6(6), 817-823.
- Luther, J., Hainsworth, A., Tang, X., Harding, J., Torres, J., & Fanchiotti, M. (2017). *World Meteorological Organization Concerted International Efforts for Advancing Multi-hazard Early Warning Systems*. Paper presented at the Workshop on World Landslide Forum.
- Machado, E. A., & Ratick, S. (2018). Implications of indicator aggregation methods for global change vulnerability reduction efforts. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 23(7), 1109-1141.
- Madhulatha, A., & Rajeevan, M. (2018). Impact of different parameterization schemes on simulation of mesoscale convective system over south-east India. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 130(1), 49-65.
- Maftai, C., & Papatheodorou, K. (2016). Mathematical Models Used for Hydrological Floodplain Modeling. In *Civil and Environmental Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 69-100): IGI Global.
- Mahala, B. K., Mohanty, P. K., & Nayak, B. K. (2015). Impact of Microphysics Schemes in the Simulation of Cyclone Phailin using WRF Model. *Procedia Engineering*, 116, 655-662.
- Mandal, S. P., & Chakrabarty, A. (2016). Flash flood risk assessment for upper Teesta river basin: using the hydrological modeling system (HEC-HMS) software. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 2(2), 59.
- Mansell, E. R., Ziegler, C. L., & Bruning, E. C. (2010). Simulated electrification of a small thunderstorm with two-moment bulk microphysics. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 67(1), 171-194.
- Mashimbye, Z. E., de Clercq, W. P., & Van Niekerk, A. (2014). An evaluation of digital elevation models (DEMs) for delineating land components. *Geoderma*, 213, 312-319.
- Matkan, A., Shakiba, A., Pourali, H., & Azari, H. (2009). Flood early warning with integration of hydrologic and hydraulic models, RS and GIS (Case Study: Madarsoo Basin, Iran). *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 6(12), 1698-1704.

- Mielikainen, J., Huang, B., & Huang, A. H.-L. (2014). *Optimizing zonal advection of the Advanced Research WRF (ARW) dynamics for Intel MIC*. Paper presented at the High-Performance Computing in Remote Sensing IV.
- Mielikainen, J., Huang, B., & Huang, H.-L. A. (2015). Optimizing total energy–mass flux (TEMF) planetary boundary layer scheme for Intel’s many integrated core (MIC) architecture. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 8(8), 4106-4119.
- Milbrandt, J., & Yau, M. (2005). A multimoment bulk microphysics parameterization. Part II: A proposed three-moment closure and scheme description. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 62(9), 3065-3081.
- Milman, A., & Warner, B. P. (2016). The interfaces of public and private adaptation: Lessons from flooding in the Deerfield River Watershed. *Global Environmental Change*, 36, 46-55.
- Min, K.-H., Choo, S., Lee, D., & Lee, G. (2015). Evaluation of WRF cloud microphysics schemes using radar observations. *Weather and Forecasting*, 30(6), 1571-1589.
- Mohammad, Q. H. (2014). Calculating the Coefficients of Muskingum and Muskingum-Cunge Methods for a reach from Shatt-Al-Hilla river. *Journal of University of Babylon*, 22(4), 749-762.
- Mohan, P. R., Srinivas, C., Yesubabu, V., Baskaran, R., & Venkatraman, B. (2018). Simulation of a heavy rainfall event over Chennai in Southeast India using WRF: Sensitivity to microphysics parameterization. *Atmospheric Research*, 210, 83-99.
- Mohtar, I. S. A., Tahir, W., Bakar, S. H. A., & Zuhari, A. Z. M. (2015). Use of numerical weather prediction model and visible weather satellite images for flood forecasting at Kelantan river basin. In *International Symposium on Flood Research and Management* (pp. 283-294): Springer.
- Moon, G.-W., Ajmal, M., Ahn, J.-H., & Kim, T.-W. (2016). Investigating practical alternatives to the NRCS-CN method for direct runoff estimation using slope-adjusted curve numbers. *Korean Society of Civil Engineers Journal of Civil Engineering*, 20(7), 3022-3030.
- Moriasi, D. N., Gitau, M. W., Pai, N., & Daggupati, P. (2015). Hydrologic and water quality models: Performance measures and evaluation criteria. *Transactions of the American Society of Agriculture and Biological Engineers*, 58(6), 1763-1785.
- Morrison, H., & Milbrandt, J. A. (2015). Parameterization of cloud microphysics based on the prediction of bulk ice particle properties. Part I: Scheme description and idealized tests. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(1), 287-311.

- Morrison, H., Milbrandt, J. A., Bryan, G. H., Ikeda, K., Tessendorf, S. A., & Thompson, G. (2015). Parameterization of cloud microphysics based on the prediction of bulk ice particle properties. Part II: Case study comparisons with observations and other schemes. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(1), 312-339.
- Morrison, H., Thompson, G., & Tatarskii, V. (2009). Impact of cloud microphysics on the development of trailing stratiform precipitation in a simulated squall line: Comparison of one-and two-moment schemes. *Monthly Weather Review*, 137(3), 991-1007.
- Morrioni, G. Y., Gironás, J., Caneo, M., & Delgado, R. (2017). Using Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model for extreme precipitation forecasting in an Andean region with complex topography. *European Water*, 59, 85-90.
- Mourato, S., Moreira, M., & Corte-Real, J. (2015). Water resources impact assessment under climate change scenarios in Mediterranean watersheds. *Water Resources Management*, 29(7), 2377-2391.
- Muhamad, N., Lim, C.-S., Reza, M. I. H., & Pereira, J. J. (2015). *Urban hazards management: A case study of Langat river basin, Peninsular Malaysia*. Paper presented at the 2015 International Conference on Space Science and Communication
- Musy, A., Hingray, B., & Picouet, C. (2014). *Hydrology: a science for engineers*: CRC Press.
- Mwaura, F., Kiringe, J. W., & Warinwa, F. (2016). Land Cover Dynamics in the Chyulu Watershed Ecosystem, Makueni-Kajiado Counties, Kenya. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*, 4(3), 17.
- Naidu, D. S. (2015). Use of GIS In Hydrological Investigations. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Advanced Research Trends*, II(2(4)).
- Naidu, D. S. (2018). Big Data “Waht-How-Why” And Analytical Tools For Hydroinformatics. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Scientific Research Art*. 214, pp37, 47, 2.
- Ooyama, K. V. (1990). A thermodynamic foundation for modeling the moist atmosphere. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 47(21), 2580-2593.
- Opijah, F. J., Mutemi, J. N., & Ogallo, L. A. (2017). Seasonal Climate Predictability over Kenya Using the Regional Spectral Model. *Journal of Meteorology*, 10(1).
- Otieno, H., Yang, J., Liu, W., & Han, D. (2014). Influence of rain gauge density on interpolation method selection. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 19(11), 04014024.

- Ozulu, İ., & Gokgoz, T. (2018). Examining the stream threshold approaches used in hydrologic analysis. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 7(6), 201.
- Pabreja, K., & Datta, R. K. (2016). Clustering technique for interpretation of cloudburst over Uttarakhand. *MAUSAM Quarterly Journal of Meteorology, Hydrology & Geophysics*, 67(3), 669-676.
- Pantanahiran, W. (2014). *Land use change on sloping areas in Phuket Province, Thailand*. Paper presented at the 2014 The Third International Conference on Agro-Geoinformatics.
- Paul, P. K., Kumari, N., Panigrahi, N., Mishra, A., & Singh, R. (2018). Implementation of cell-to-cell routing scheme in a large scale conceptual hydrological model. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 101, 23-33.
- Pennelly, C., Reuter, G., & Flesch, T. (2014). Verification of the WRF model for simulating heavy precipitation in Alberta. *Atmospheric Research*, 135, 172-192.
- Perera, E. D. P., & Lahat, L. (2015). Fuzzy logic based flood forecasting model for the Kelantan River basin, Malaysia. *Journal of Hydro-environment Research*, 9(4), 542-553.
- Politi, N., Nastos, P., Sfetsos, A., Vlachogiannis, D., Dalezios, N., Gounaris, N., . . . Soares, M. (2017). Comparison and Validation of WRF Model Physics Parameterizations Over the Domain of Greece. In *Perspectives on Atmospheric Sciences* (pp. 55-61): Springer.
- Powers, J. G., Klemp, J. B., Skamarock, W. C., Davis, C. A., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., . . . Peckham, S. E. (2017). The weather research and forecasting model: Overview, system efforts, and future directions. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 98(8), 1717-1737.
- Prajapati, R. N. (2015). Delineation of run of river hydropower potential of Karnali Basin Nepal using GIS and HEC-HMS. *European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology*, 2(1), 50-54.
- Pravaliu, R., Sîrodoev, I., & Peptenatu, D. (2014). Detecting climate change effects on forest ecosystems in Southwestern Romania using Landsat TM NDVI data. *Journal of Geographical Sciences*, 24(5), 815-832.
- Price, R. K. (2009). Volume-conservative nonlinear flood routing. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 135(10), 838-845.
- Qifeng, Q., & Yanluan, L. (2016). An improvement of the SBU-YLIN microphysics scheme in squall line simulation. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Physics*. Retrieved from arXiv:1612.09369

- Que, L.-J., Que, W.-L., & Feng, J.-M. (2016). Intercomparison of different physics schemes in the WRF model over the Asian summer monsoon region. *Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters*, 9(3), 169-177.
- Racoma, B., Crisologo, I., & David, C. (2015). Accumulation-based advection field for rainfall nowcasting. *Journal of the Philippine Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society*, 1(1), 21-26.
- Raju, P., Potty, J., & Mohanty, U. (2011). Sensitivity of physical parameterizations on prediction of tropical cyclone Nargis over the Bay of Bengal using WRF model. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 113(3-4), 125.
- Rakesh, V., Goswami, P., & Prakash, V. (2015). Evaluation of high resolution rainfall forecasts over Karnataka for the 2011 southwest and northeast monsoon seasons. *Meteorological Applications*, 22(1), 37-47.
- Ramly, S., Tahir, W., & Yahya, S. N. H. S. (2015). Enhanced Flood Forecasting Based on Land-Use Change Model and Radar-Based Quantitative Precipitation Estimation. In *International Symposium on Flood Research and Management* (pp. 305-317): Springer.
- Rasmussen, K., Hill, A., Toma, V., Zuluaga, M., Webster, P., & Houze Jr, R. (2015). Multiscale analysis of three consecutive years of anomalous flooding in Pakistan. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 141(689), 1259-1276.
- Ravazzani, G., Barbero, S., Salandin, A., Senatore, A., & Mancini, M. (2015). An integrated hydrological model for assessing climate change impacts on water resources of the upper Po river basin. *Water Resources Management*, 29(4), 1193-1215.
- Razi, M., Ariffin, J., Tahir, W., & Arish, N. (2010). Flood estimation studies using hydrologic modeling system (HEC-HMS) for Johor River, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 10(11), 930-939.
- Refsgaard, J. C., Madsen, H., Andréassian, V., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Davidson, T., Drews, M., . . . Olesen, J. (2014). A framework for testing the ability of models to project climate change and its impacts. *Climatic Change*, 122(1-2), 271-282.
- Reggiani, P., Todini, E., & Meißner, D. (2016). On mass and momentum conservation in the variable-parameter Muskingum method. *Journal of Hydrology*, 543, 562-576.
- Remesan, R., Bellerby, T., Holman, I., & Frostick, L. (2015). WRF model sensitivity to choice of parameterization: a study of the 'York Flood 1999'. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 122(1-2), 229-247.

- Reshma, T., Reddy, K., & Prastap, D. (2013). Simulation of event based runoff using HEC-HMS model for an experimental watershed. *International Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 2(2), 28-33.
- Rezaeianzadeh, M., Tabari, H., Yazdi, A. A., Isik, S., & Kalin, L. (2014). Flood flow forecasting using ANN, ANFIS and regression models. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 25(1), 25-37.
- Richardson, L. F. (2007). *Weather prediction by numerical process*: Cambridge University Press.
- Rogelis, M. C., & Werner, M. (2018). Streamflow forecasts from WRF precipitation for flood early warning in mountain tropical areas. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22(1), 853-870.
- Rogers, E., Black, T., Ferrier, B., Lin, Y., Parrish, D., & DiMego, G. (2001). Changes to the NCEP Meso Eta Analysis and Forecast System: Increase in resolution, new cloud microphysics, modified precipitation assimilation, modified 3DVAR analysis. *National Weather Service Technical Procedures Bulletin*, 488, 15.
- Romali, N. S., Yusop, Z., & Ismail, Z. (2015). Flood damage assessment: A review of flood stage–damage function curve. In *International Symposium on Flood Research and Management* (pp. 147-159): Springer.
- Romali, N. S., Yusop, Z., Sulaiman, M., & Ismail, Z. (2018). Flood risk assessment: A review of flood damage estimation model for Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 80(3).
- Rosenblueth, A., & Wiener, N. (1945). The role of models in science. *Philosophy of Science*, 12(4), 316-321.
- Rousseau, A. N., Savary, S., Tremblay, S., Caillouet, L., Doumbia, C., & Augas, J. (2017). A Distributed Hydrological Modelling System to Support Hydroelectric Production in Northern Environments under Current and Changing Climate Conditions. In: INRS, Centre Eau Terre Environnement.
- Salathé Jr, E. P., Hamlet, A. F., Mass, C. F., Lee, S.-Y., Stumbaugh, M., & Steed, R. (2014). Estimates of twenty-first-century flood risk in the Pacific Northwest based on regional climate model simulations. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 15(5), 1881-1899.
- Salimun, E., Tangang, F., & Juneng, L. (2010). Simulation of heavy precipitation episode over eastern Peninsular Malaysia using MM5: sensitivity to cumulus parameterization schemes. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 107(1-2), 33-49.

- Sampath, D., Weerakoon, S., & Herath, S. (2015). HEC-HMS model for runoff simulation in a tropical catchment with intra-basin diversions case study of the Deduru Oya River Basin, Sri Lanka. *Engineer*, 48(01), 1-9.
- Santikari, V. P., & Murdoch, L. C. (2018). Including effects of watershed heterogeneity in the curve number method using variable initial abstraction. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22(9), 4725-4743.
- Sanyal, J., Densmore, A. L., & Carbonneau, P. (2014). Analysing the effect of land-use/cover changes at sub-catchment levels on downstream flood peaks: A semi-distributed modelling approach with sparse data. *Catena*, 118, 28-40.
- Saucier, W. J. (1989). *Principles of meteorological analysis*: Courier Corporation.
- Schwartz, C. S. (2017). A comparison of methods used to populate neighborhood-based contingency tables for high-resolution forecast verification. *Weather and Forecasting*, 32(2), 733-741.
- Shah, S. M. H., Mustaffa, Z., & Yusof, K. W. (2017). Disasters worldwide and floods in the Malaysian region: a brief review. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(2).
- Shope, C. L., & Maharjan, G. R. (2015). Modeling spatiotemporal precipitation: effects of density, interpolation, and land use distribution. *Advances in Meteorology*, 2015.
- Shrestha, K., & Kondo, A. (2015). Assessment of the Water Resource of the Yodo River Basin in Japan Using a Distributed Hydrological Model Coupled with WRF Model. In *Environmental Management of River Basin Ecosystems* (pp. 137-160): Springer.
- Sikder, S., & Hossain, F. (2016). Assessment of the weather research and forecasting model generalized parameterization schemes for advancement of precipitation forecasting in monsoon- driven river basins. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 8(3), 1210-1228.
- Sintayehu, L. (2015). Application of the HEC-HMS model for runoff simulation of upper blue Nile River Basin. *Hydrology Current Research*, 6(199), 2.
- Skamarock, W., Klemp, J., Dudhia, J., Gill, D., Barker, D., Duda, M., . . . Powers, J. (2008). *A description of the advanced research WRF version 3 NCAR Technical Note June 2008*. Retrieved from National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, Colorado, USA:

- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang, W., & Powers, J. G. (2005). *A description of the advanced research WRF version 2*. Retrieved from National Center for Atmospheric Research Boulder, Colorado, USA:
- Smirnova, T. G., Brown, J. M., Benjamin, S. G., & Kenyon, J. S. (2016). Modifications to the rapid update cycle land surface model (RUC LSM) available in the weather research and forecasting (WRF) model. *Monthly Weather Review*, *144*(5), 1851-1865.
- Soares, P. M., Cardoso, R. M., Miranda, P. M., de Medeiros, J., Belo-Pereira, M., & Espirito-Santo, F. (2012). WRF high resolution dynamical downscaling of ERA-Interim for Portugal. *Climate Dynamics*, *39*(9-10), 2497-2522.
- Sprague, M. A., & Satkauskas, I. (2015). Nesting an incompressible-flow code within a compressible-flow code: A two-dimensional study. *Computers & Fluids*, *115*, 75-85.
- Srivastava, P. K., Han, D., Rico-Ramirez, M. A., O'Neill, P., Islam, T., Gupta, M., & Dai, Q. (2015). Performance evaluation of WRF-Noah Land surface model estimated soil moisture for hydrological application: Synergistic evaluation using SMOS retrieved soil moisture. *Journal of Hydrology*, *529*, 200-212.
- Su, Y., Guo, Q., Ma, Q., & Li, W. (2015). SRTM DEM correction in vegetated mountain areas through the integration of spaceborne LiDAR, airborne LiDAR, and optical imagery. *Remote Sensing*, *7*(9), 11202-11225.
- Survila, K., Yildirim, A. A., Li, T., Liu, Y. Y., Tarboton, D. G., & Wang, S. (2016). *A scalable high-performance topographic flow direction algorithm for hydrological information analysis*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the XSEDE16 Conference on Diversity, Big Data, and Science at Scale.
- Tan-Soo, J.-S., Adnan, N., Ahmad, I., Pattanayak, S. K., & Vincent, J. R. (2016). Econometric evidence on forest ecosystem services: deforestation and flooding in Malaysia. *Environmental and resource economics*, *63*(1), 25-44.
- Tan, K. C. (2018). Trends of rainfall regime in Peninsular Malaysia during northeast and southwest monsoons. Paper presented at the Journal of Physics: Conference Series.
- Tao, W.-K., Lang, S., Zeng, X., Li, X., Matsui, T., Mohr, K., . . . Norris, P. M. (2014). The Goddard Cumulus Ensemble model (GCE): Improvements and applications for studying precipitation processes. *Atmospheric Research*, *143*, 392-424.

- Tao, W. K., Wu, D., Lang, S., Chern, J. D., Peters- Lidard, C., Fridlind, A., & Matsui, T. (2016). High- resolution NU- WRF simulations of a deep convective- precipitation system during MC3E: Further improvements and comparisons between Goddard microphysics schemes and observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *121*(3), 1278-1305.
- Tariku, T. B., & Gan, T. Y. (2018). Regional climate change impact on extreme precipitation and temperature of the Nile river basin. *Climate Dynamics*, *51*(9-10), 3487-3506.
- Tehrany, M. S., Pradhan, B., & Jebur, M. N. (2014). Flood susceptibility mapping using a novel ensemble weights-of-evidence and support vector machine models in GIS. *Journal of Hydrology*, *512*, 332-343.
- Tessema, S. M., Lyon, S. W., Setegn, S. G., & Mörtberg, U. (2014). Effects of different retention parameter estimation methods on the prediction of surface runoff using the SCS curve number method. *Water Resources Management*, *28*(10), 3241-3254.
- Thakur, B., Parajuli, R., Kalra, A., Ahmad, S., & Gupta, R. (2017). *Coupling HEC-RAS and HEC-HMS in Precipitation Runoff Modelling and Evaluating Flood Plain Inundation Map*. Paper presented at the World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2017.
- Thompson, G., & Eidhammer, T. (2014). A study of aerosol impacts on clouds and precipitation development in a large winter cyclone. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, *71*(10), 3636-3658.
- Thompson, G., Field, P. R., Rasmussen, R. M., & Hall, W. D. (2008). Explicit forecasts of winter precipitation using an improved bulk microphysics scheme. Part II: Implementation of a new snow parameterization. *Monthly Weather Review*, *136*(12), 5095-5115.
- Tiedtke, M. (1989). A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parameterization in large-scale models. *Monthly Weather Review*, *117*(8), 1779-1800.
- Toride, K., Cawthorne, D. L., Ishida, K., Kavvas, M. L., & Anderson, M. L. (2018). Long-term trend analysis on total and extreme precipitation over Shasta Dam watershed. *Science of the Total Environment*, *626*, 244-254.
- Vallis, G. K. (2017). *Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics*. New York, NY 10006, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Wagner, S., Fersch, B., Yuan, F., Yu, Z., & Kunstmann, H. (2016). Fully coupled atmospheric- hydrological modeling at regional and long- term scales: Development, application, and analysis of WRF- HMS. *Water Resources Research*, *52*(4), 3187-3211.

- Wang, Y., Sang, G., Jiao, C., Xu, Y., & Zheng, H. (2018). *Flood simulation and parameter calibration of small watershed in hilly area based on HEC-HMS model*. Paper presented at the Institute of Physics Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- Wardah, T., Kamil, A., Hamid, A. S., & Maisarah, W. (2011a). *Statistical verification of numerical weather prediction models for quantitative precipitation forecast*. Paper presented at the Humanities, Science and Engineering (CHUSER), 2011 IEEE Colloquium on.
- Wardah, T., Kamil, A., Hamid, A. S., & Maisarah, W. (2011b). *Statistical verification of numerical weather prediction models for quantitative precipitation forecast*. Paper presented at the 2011 IEEE Colloquium on Humanities, Science and Engineering.
- Warner, T. T. (2010). *Numerical weather and climate prediction*: Cambridge University Press.
- Wendi, D., Liong, S. Y., Sun, Y., & Doan, C. D. (2016). An innovative approach to improve SRTM DEM using multispectral imagery and artificial neural network. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 8(2), 691-702.
- Whitten, G., Hann, M., Robles-Morua, A., Mayer, A. S., & Vivoni, E. R. (2014). Enhancing the link between surface and groundwater models for climate change assessment of water supply and demand in Northwest Mexico. *International Environmental Modelling and Software Society*, 1615-1622.
- Woodward, D. E., Hawkins, R. H., Jiang, R., Hjelmfelt, J., Allen T, Van Mullem, J. A., & Quan, Q. D. (2003). *Runoff curve number method: examination of the initial abstraction ratio*. Paper presented at the World Water & Environmental Resources Congress 2003.
- Wu, C.-C., Li, T.-H., & Huang, Y.-H. (2015). Influence of mesoscale topography on tropical cyclone tracks: Further examination of the channeling effect. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(8), 3032-3050.
- Xian, G. Z. (2015). *Remote sensing applications for the urban environment*: CRC Press.
- Xu, X., Li, J., & Tolson, B. A. (2014). Progress in integrating remote sensing data and hydrologic modeling. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 38(4), 464-498.
- Xue, Y., Janjic, Z., Dudhia, J., Vasic, R., & De Sales, F. (2014). A review on regional dynamical downscaling in intraseasonal to seasonal simulation/prediction and major factors that affect downscaling ability. *Atmospheric Research*, 147, 68-85.

- Yamamoto, M. K., & Shige, S. (2015). Implementation of an orographic/nonorographic rainfall classification scheme in the GSMaP algorithm for microwave radiometers. *Atmospheric Research*, *163*, 36-47.
- Yan, K., Di Baldassarre, G., Solomatine, D. P., & Schumann, G. J. P. (2015). A review of low- cost space- borne data for flood modelling: topography, flood extent and water level. *Hydrological Processes*, *29*(15), 3368-3387.
- Yáñez-Morroni, G., Gironás, J., Caneo, M., Delgado, R., & Garreaud, R. (2018). Using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model for precipitation forecasting in an Andean region with complex topography. *Atmosphere*, *9*(8), 304.
- Yang, B., Zhang, Y., Qian, Y., Huang, A., & Yan, H. (2015). Calibration of a convective parameterization scheme in the WRF model and its impact on the simulation of East Asian summer monsoon precipitation. *Climate Dynamics*, *44*(5-6), 1661-1684.
- Yasin, Z., Nabi, G., & Randhawa, S. (2015). Modeling of Hill Torrent Using HEC Geo-HMS and HEC-HMS Models: A Case Study of Mithawan Watershed. *Pakistan Journal of Meteorology*, *11*(22).
- Yendra, R., Zin, W. Z. W., Jemain, A. A., & Fudholi, A. (2017). Spatial Analysis of Storm Behavior in Peninsular Malaysia during Monsoon Seasons. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, *12*(10), 2559-2566.
- Yin, J., Ye, M., Yin, Z., & Xu, S. (2015). A review of advances in urban flood risk analysis over China. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, *29*(3), 1063-1070.
- Yucel, I. (2015). Assessment of a flash flood event using different precipitation datasets. *Natural Hazards*, *79*(3), 1889-1911.
- Yucel, I., & Onen, A. (2014). Evaluating a mesoscale atmosphere model and a satellite-based algorithm in estimating extreme rainfall events in northwestern Turkey. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *14*(3), 611-624.
- Zaidi, S. M., Akbari, A., Gisen, J. I., Kazmi, J. H., Gul, A., & Fhong, N. Z. (2018). Utilization of Satellite-based Digital Elevation Model (DEM) for Hydrologic Applications: A Review. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, *92*(3), 329-336.
- Zaidi, S. M., Akbari, A., & Ishak, W. (2014). A critical review of floods history in kuantan river basin: challenges and potential solutions. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Geo-Environment*, *5*.

- Zaidi, S. M., & Gisen, J. I. A. (2018). Evaluation of Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Microphysics single moment class-3 and class-6 in Precipitation Forecast. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences.
- Zakwan, M., & Muzzammil, M. (2016). Optimization approach for hydrologic channel routing. *Water and Energy International*, 59(3), 66-69.
- Zegelew, D., & Melesse, A. (2018a). Applicability of a spatially semi-distributed hydrological model for watershed scale runoff estimation in Northwest Ethiopia. *Water*, 10(7), 923.
- Zegelew, D., & Melesse, A. (2018b). Applicability of a Spatially Semi-Distributed Hydrological Model for Watershed Scale Runoff Estimation in Northwest Ethiopia. *Water*, 10(7). doi:10.3390/w10070923
- Zema, D. A., Labate, A., Martino, D., & Zimbone, S. M. (2017). Comparing Different Infiltration Methods of the HEC- HMS Model: The Case Study of the Mésima Torrent (Southern Italy). *Land Degradation & Development*, 28(1), 294-308.
- Zhang, C., Wang, Y., Hamilton, K., & Lauer, A. (2016). Dynamical downscaling of the climate for the Hawaiian Islands. Part I: Present day. *Journal of Climate*, 29(8), 3027-3048.
- Zhang, D.-w., Quan, J., Zhang, H.-b., Wang, F., Wang, H., & He, X.-y. (2015). Flash flood hazard mapping: A pilot case study in Xiapu River Basin, China. *Water Science and Engineering*, 8(3), 195-204.
- Zhang, D., Madsen, H., Ridler, M. E., Refsgaard, J. C., & Jensen, K. H. (2015). Impact of uncertainty description on assimilating hydraulic head in the MIKE SHE distributed hydrological model. *Advances in Water Resources*, 86, 400-413.
- Zhang, G. J., & McFarlane, N. A. (1995). Sensitivity of climate simulations to the parameterization of cumulus convection in the Canadian Climate Centre general circulation model. *Atmosphere Ocean*, 33(3), 407-446.
- Zhang, H., Chen, G., Hu, J., Chen, S.-H., Wiedinmyer, C., Kleeman, M., & Ying, Q. (2014). Evaluation of a seven-year air quality simulation using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF)/Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) models in the eastern United States. *Science of the Total Environment*, 473, 275-285.
- Zhang, J. A., Nolan, D. S., Rogers, R. F., & Tallapragada, V. (2015). Evaluating the impact of improvements in the boundary layer parameterization on hurricane intensity and structure forecasts in HWRF. *Monthly Weather Review*, 143(8), 3136-3155.

- Zhang, J. A., Rogers, R. F., Nolan, D. S., & Marks Jr, F. D. (2011). On the characteristic height scales of the hurricane boundary layer. *Monthly Weather Review*, 139(8), 2523-2535.
- Zhang, L., Traore, S., Cui, Y., Luo, Y., Zhu, G., Liu, B., . . . Singh, V. (2019). Assessment of spatiotemporal variability of reference evapotranspiration and controlling climate factors over decades in China using geospatial techniques. *Agricultural Water Management*, 213, 499-511.
- Zhao, L., Wang, S. Y., Jin, J., & Clark, A. (2015). Weather Research and Forecasting model simulations of a rare springtime bow echo near the Great Salt Lake, USA. *Meteorological Applications*, 22(3), 301-313.
- Zheng, Y., Alapaty, K., Herwehe, J. A., Del Genio, A. D., & Niyogi, D. (2016). Improving high-resolution weather forecasts using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model with an updated Kain–Fritsch scheme. *Monthly Weather Review*, 144(3), 833-860.
- Zittis, G., Bruggeman, A., Camera, C., Hadjinicolaou, P., & Lelieveld, J. (2017). The added value of convection permitting simulations of extreme precipitation events over the eastern Mediterranean. *Atmospheric Research*, 191, 20-33.
- Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., & Lelieveld, J. (2014). Comparison of WRF model physics parameterizations over the MENA-CORDEX domain. *American Journal of Climate Change*, 3(05), 490-511.
- Zope, P., Eldho, T., & Jothiprakash, V. (2016). Impacts of land use–land cover change and urbanization on flooding: A case study of Oshiwara River Basin in Mumbai, India. *Catena*, 145, 142-154.
- Zscheischler, J., Westra, S., Hurk, B. J., Seneviratne, S. I., Ward, P. J., Pitman, A., . . . Wahl, T. (2018). Future climate risk from compound events. *Nature Climate Change*, 1.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Syeda Maria, Zaidi, Akbari, Abolghasem and Wan Faizal, Wan Ishak (2014). A Critical Review of Floods History in Kuantan River Basin: Challenges and Potential Solutions. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Geo-Environmental*, 5. pp. 1-5. ISSN 2180-2742
- Syeda Maria, Zaidi, Akbari, Abolghasem, Azizan, Abu Samah and Ngien, S. K. and Gisen, J. I. A. (2017) Landsat-5 Time Series Analysis for Land Use/Land Cover Change Detection Using NDVI and Semi-Supervised Classification Techniques. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 26 (6). pp. 2833-2840. ISSN 1230-1485
- Syeda Maria, Zaidi and Akbari, Abolghasem (2017) *Urban Expansion Analysis Using Semi-Supervised Classification (SSIC) of Landsat-5 Image: A Case Study in Kuantan, Malaysia*. In: 3rd International Congress on Technology Engineering & Science (ICONTESS), 9-10 February 2017, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. pp. 1-6
- Syeda Maria, Zaidi and Gisen, J. I. A. (2018) *Evaluation of weather research and forecasting (WRF) microphysics single moment class- 3 and class- 6 in precipitation forecast*. In: MATEC Web of Conferences, Malaysian Technical Universities Conference On Engineering And Technology (2017), 6-7 December 2017, Penang, Malaysia. pp. 1-4., 150. ISSN 2261236X
- Syeda Maria, Zaidi, Akbari, Abolghasem, Gisen, J. I. A., Kazmi, Jamil Hasan, Gul, Asif and Ng, Zone Fhong (2018) Utilization of satellite-based Digital Elevation Model (DEM) for hydrologic applications: a review. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, 92 (3). pp. 329-336. ISSN 0016-7622 (Print); 0974-6889.